



# CITY OF VANCOUVER

# ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT

Date: April 29, 2005 Author: Henry Woo

/Dominica Chan

Phone No.: 873-7184/6647

RTS No.: 5129 CC File No.: 1602

Meeting Date: May 12, 2005

TO: City Services and Budgets Committee

FROM: General Manager of Corporate Services/Director of Finance

SUBJECT: 2005 Basic Capital Budget

## RECOMMENDATION

A. THAT the allocation of 2005 Capital from Revenue funding of \$17 million to the 2005 Capital Budget be as follows:

Engineering	\$ 1,265,000
Parks & Recreation Facilities	\$ 4,420,000
Public Safety	\$ 250,000
Community Service Initiatives	\$ 3,505,000
Civic Property Management	\$ 2,458,000
Library Facilities	\$ 60,000
Other	\$ 4,042,000
Supplementary Capital	\$ 1,000,000
Total	\$17,000,000

Engineering, Parks & Recreation Facilities, and Supplementary Capital project/program approval are included in the accompanying reports.

B. THAT the project/program expenditures in the Community Service Initiatives, Civic Property Management, Public Safety, Library Facility and Other components of the 2005 Basic Capital Budget as summarized in this report and detailed in Appendix 2 be approved with the source of funding to be:

	Capital from	Borrowing	Development
	Revenue	Authority	Cost Levies
Community Services Initiatives	\$3,505,000	0	\$ 3,800,000
Civic Property Management	\$2,458,000	0	
Public Safety	\$ 250,000	\$ 9,020,000	
Library Facility	\$ 60,000	\$ 4,910,000	
Other	\$4,042,000	\$ 6,840,000	

With separate reports to be submitted to Council for approval of the projects and the funding allocation of the following:

Police Training Facility	\$9,020,000
Library & Childcare - #1 Kingsway	\$7,760,000
Little Mountain Neighbourhood	
House Cost Sharing project	\$1,840,000
Affordable Housing Program	\$1,750,000
DTES Revitalization and	
Façade Grant Program	\$1,220,000

#### COUNCIL POLICY

It is Council policy to identify capital funding priorities in three year Capital Plans. These Plans and the associated Financing Plan are developed such that the plan and necessary borrowing authority can be put to the voters at the time of triennial civic elections.

#### **PUPOSE**

This report is one of four which seek approval of the programs and projects in the 2005 Capital Budget and their funding sources:

- This report provides an overall summary of the 2005 Capital Budget and the sources of funding for the program and seeks Council approval for the Community Service Initiatives, Civic Property Management, Public Safety and Other components;
- The 2005 Engineering Basic Capital Budget report reviews the capital expenditure program for Streets, Sewers and Waterworks and seeks Council approval of necessary borrowing and other funding sources and close out of completed capital projects;
- The 2005 Park Board Basic Capital Budget report reviews the capital expenditure program for the Park Board and seeks Council approval of necessary borrowing and other funding sources; and
- The 2005 Supplementary Capital Budget seeks Council approval of the allocation of Supplementary Capital funding to specific project expenditures.

#### BACKGROUND

The Capital Plan forms the framework within which annual capital budgets are prepared. The two components of the annual capital budget are:

- Basic Capital, which includes project/program specific items that were included in the Capital Plan, and
- Supplementary Capital, which includes items which had not been anticipated in the Capital Plan but which have become a priority during the course of the Plan.

The 2003 -2005 Capital Plan approved in September 2002, totaling \$282.4 million, guides the development of annual capital budgets in 2003, 2004 and 2005. Funding for the plan is derived from borrowing authority approved by plebiscite (\$96.8 million) and by Council for sewer and water projects (\$104.7 million). In addition, the 2003-2005 Capital Plan includes a provision of \$45.9 million Capital from Revenue, \$13.0 million from Development Cost Levies, \$2 million from Community Amenity Contributions, and \$20 million for potential cost sharing.

The 2003-2005 Capital Plan includes several construction related projects that have faced significant cost increases since the initial estimates were developed in 2001/2002. The Capital Plan Staff Review Group met with the Director of Finance in February 2004 to consider the funding shortfalls and the options available to provide sufficient funding to allow the projects to proceed as provided in the Capital Plan.

On April 20, 2004, Council considered a report from the Director of Finance recommending funding adjustments to the 2003-2005 Capital Plan. Council approved the following recommendations:

A. THAT Council approve the following adjustments to the 2003-2005 Capital Plan funding allocations:

Mount Pleasant Civic Centre (1 Kingsway):

- the allocation of additional city-wide DCL funds to the day-care component (\$1.5 million),
- reallocation of tax-supported day-care borrowing authority to the community centre component (\$1.0 million),
- allocation of the estimated 2004 GST rebate savings in the Operating Budget (\$2.0 million).

AND THAT the Director of Finance, in consultation with the Director of Facilities Design and Management, be instructed to report back once the tender process is completed on the final budget and funding requirements necessary to complete the project as envisioned.

Park Board Capital Plan Allocations:

• reallocation of \$695,000 of existing funding within the Park Board envelope as identified in Appendix A,

- reallocation of \$680,000 of existing tax-supported funding for Park Enhancement and Playfields to the Queen Elizabeth Park Reservoir and Killarney Pool projects, and
- allocation of an additional \$680,000 of City-wide DCL funds to the Park Enhancement (\$385,000) and Playfields (\$295,000) components.
- B. THAT Council approve the funding for the grant to the Millennium Sports Facility project (estimated to be \$1.0 million) from the \$20 million of borrowing authority approved by the voters in 2002 for the City share of cost shared capital projects.
- C. THAT Council confirm its commitment to a 30,000 square foot LEED Silver redevelopment of Sunset Community Centre and instruct the Director of Finance, in consultation with the General Manager of Parks and Recreation to report back on final costs and funding options.

The adjusted total for the 2003-2005 Capital Plan is \$286.62 million, with the Capital from Revenue increased to \$47.9 million and Development Cost Levies funding increased to \$15.2 million. In addition, Council allocated an additional \$1.0 million from the \$20 million in borrowing authority approved by the voters for cost-shared projects to the Millennium Sports Facility Society.

The budget presented in this report is based on the adjusted 2003-2005 Capital Plan as approved by Council.

Council has approved the following programs in advance of the 2005 Capital Budget:

	Project =	Funding
1	Street and Sidewalk Rehabilitation Projects *	\$3,000,000
2	Learning Tree Day Care and Playhouse Child	\$ 405,000
	Development Centre *	
3	Shannon Day Care Playground and Canopy	\$80,000
4	Capital Improvement - #300 -1140 West Pender Street*	\$60,000
5	Appointment of Architect - Replacement of Firehall	\$250,000
	number 15 *	
6	Vancouver East Cultural Centre *	\$750,000
7	Expansion of Champlain Height community Centre *	\$233,228
8	Local Improvements by Initiative - June 2005	\$344,653
9	Marpole West Traffic Plan	\$200,000
10	2005 Speed Hump Program	\$140,000
11	Seismic Upgrading - Burrard Bridge	\$2,700,000
12	Façade Grant - 36 Water Street *	\$35,000
13	Cost Shared Project - RAV station *	\$5,000,000

<sup>\*</sup> funding for these projects have been approved in advance of 2005 Capital Budget, the remaining projects have also been approved but subject to approval of the 2005 Capital Budget.

Funding for these projects has been included in the recommendations in this report and in the accompanying Engineering and Park Board Capital Budget Reports.

#### **DISCUSSION**

1. Funding for the 2005 Basic Capital Budget.

The following table summarizes the total funding for the 2003 - 2005 Capital Plan, as adjusted, and the funding recommendation of this report and the accompanying reports from the City Engineer and Park Board. The table also shows the budget allocated in 2003 and 2004, and the proposed funding allocation for 2005.

	Capital Plan	2003 Budget (1 <sup>st</sup> Year)	2004 Budget (2 <sup>nd</sup> year)	Proposed 2005 Budget
(\$'000)	Summary*	Allocation	Allocation	Allocation
Capital from Revenue:	\$47,900	\$12,600	\$18,300	\$17,000
Capital from DCL & CAC Funding	\$17,180	\$2,400	\$6,230	\$8,550
Debenture Authority:				
Plebiscite 2003 - 2005				
Capital Plan	\$96,790	\$31,722	\$27,731	\$37,337
Cost Sharing Projects **	\$13,640	0	\$2,100	\$11,540
Sewer	\$58,750	\$18,030	\$22,310	\$18,410
Water	<u>\$46,000</u>	<u>\$18,902</u>	<u>\$11,911</u>	<u>\$15,187</u>
Total Debentures	\$215,180	\$68,654	\$64,052	\$82,474
Total Allocated Funding	\$280,260	\$83,654	\$88,582	\$108,024
Cost Sharing - Unallocated	\$6,360			
Total Funding (\$'000)	\$286,620			

<sup>\*</sup> As adjusted by Council on April 20, 2004

A summary of the projects/programs and funding in the 2003 - 2005 Capital Plan and in the 2005 Capital Budget is included in Appendix 1. Appendix 2 provides additional details about the projects/programs funded in the Community Service Initiatives and Other categories of the 2005 Capital Budget. Details of the Engineering and Park Board capital budgets are included in accompanying reports.

<sup>\*\* 2004</sup> allocation adjusted to reflect the \$2 million to be repaid from Engineering Debenture funding.

As noted in the table, \$82,474,000 of the funding for the 2005 Basic Capital Budget comes from debenture authority, including \$48,877,000 from plebiscite authority, and \$33,597,000 from authority approved by Council for sewer and water programs.

The 2003-2005 plebiscite authority included \$20 million of Cost Sharing Projects Funding. Of this funding, \$4.1 million was originally allocated in 2004, with another \$11.54 million to be allocated to the 2005 Capital Budget. A \$2 million funding re-allocation is included in the 2005 Engineering Capital Budget for the Urban Transportation Showcase program. This replaces the \$2 million funding allocated in 2004 from the Cost Sharing Project Fund. As a result, the \$2 million has been returned to the Cost Sharing Project Fund, leaving an unallocated balance of \$6.36 million (as shown in Appendix 1).

The 2003-2005 Capital Plan includes City-Wide Development Cost Levy (DCLs) funding totalling \$15.2 million (Parks, Daycare and Housing) and Community Amenity Contribution (CAC) funding of \$2 million (Mt Pleasant Civic Centre). The 2005 Basic Capital Budget includes \$8.55 million of DCL funding: \$4.75 million for the Park Board and \$3.8 million for Community Service Initiatives.

#### 2. Funding for the 2005 Supplementary Capital Budget

The 2003 - 2005 Capital Plan includes provision for \$3.0 million in Supplementary Capital expenditures to be funded from the Operating Budget (Capital from Revenue) over the three years of the plan. The 2005 Supplementary Budget funding is \$1,000,000.

The allocation of these funds to specific projects has been submitted as an accompanying report.

#### COMMENTS ON THE BASIC CAPITAL BUDGET REQUESTS

#### 1. Engineering Basic Capital Budget

The 2003 - 2005 Capital Plan includes provision of \$176.4 million for Engineering Public and Water Works projects. The 2005 Engineering Basic Capital Budget, totaling \$59.58 million, is submitted in an accompanying report which includes the recommendations necessary to provide funding for identified projects.

The City Engineer has requested funding totaling \$59,584,000 which fits within the 2003 - 2005 Capital Plan allocation. This funding is provided from 2003 - 2005 borrowing authority approved by plebiscite (\$24,722,000) and by Council for sewer and water (\$33,597,000) and from capital from revenue (\$1,265,000).

The 2005 Capital Budget includes a \$2 million Capital Plan re-allocation from Streets "DCL Growth & System Expansion" to the Urban Transportation Showcase (UTS) project. This allowed the \$2 million that was previously allocated from the \$20 million Cost Sharing Project Fund to the UTS project, to be returned to the Cost Sharing Project Fund.

Of the programs and projects detailed in the Engineering Basic Capital Budget, the Burrard Bridge upgrade (\$5,000,000) and the traffic signal program (\$1,997,000) are still subject to report back to Council.

#### 2. Park Board Capital Budget

The 2003 - 2005 Capital Plan includes provision of \$45.17 million for the Park Board, of which \$12.55 million is allocated to the 2005 Park Board Basic Capital Budget and the details are submitted in an accompanying report. Funding for the 2005 Basic Capital budget is provided from plebiscite authority (\$3,385,000), from Capital from Revenue (\$4,420,000), and DCL funding (\$4,750,000).

The report also requests approval of funding from other sources (\$4,000,000 from the Federal Provincial Infrastructure Program and \$1,245,000 from partner organizations of the Park Board), bringing their gross capital budget to \$17,800,000.

Of the programs and projects detailed in the Park Board Basic Capital Budget, the portion of the #1 Kingsway Project (\$2,150,000) is subject to report back to Council.

#### 3. Community Services Initiatives

The 2003 - 2005 Capital Plan includes funding of \$14,800,000, for projects related to social and cultural facilities owned by the City and by others. These include: upgrading or relocation of social service, daycare and cultural facilities; a contribution to the Affordable Housing Fund; funding for the Downtown Eastside initiatives; and continuation of the Public Art program. These projects are funded from Capital from Revenue and Development Cost Levies.

The 2005 Capital Budget includes funding of \$7,305,000 allocated to the following projects/programs:

Affordable Housing - New Neighbourhoods	\$1,750,000
Social Service and Cultural Facilities	\$3,935,000
Capital Grants	\$ 150,000
Civic Public Art Program	\$ 250,000
Downtown Eastside Initiatives	\$1,220,000

Funding for the 2005 Capital program is provided from Capital from Revenue (\$3,505,000) and Development Cost Levies (\$ 3,800,000)

Approval of expenditures for the Childcare portion of the #1 Kingsway project (\$2,850,000), the Affordable Housing Program (\$1,750,000) and the Downtown Eastside Program (\$1,220,000) are subject to report back to Council.

# 4. Public Safety

The 2003 -2005 Capital Plan provides \$300,000 for preliminary study and design of Firehall #15, of which \$50,000 was requested in 2004 and the remaining \$250,000 was approved in advance of the 2005 Capital Budget. The 2005 Basic Capital Budget is funded from Capital from Revenue.

Included in the 2003-2005 Capital Plan is \$9,620,000 for the Police Training Facility and related transitional funding. In 2003, \$600,000 was allocated. The remaining debenture funding of \$9,020,000 is included in the 2005 Capital Budget. Staff are in the process of reviewing the requirements of this facility and will report back to Council when the review is complete.

### 5. Library

The 2003-2005 Capital Plan provides \$200,000 for branch renovation which is funded from Capital from Revenue. \$140,000 was allocated in the previous years and the remaining \$60,000 is allocated in the 2005 Basic Capital Budget.

Also included in the 2003- 2005 Capital Plan is \$4.9 million funding for the Library component of #1 Kingsway Development Project, which is funded from borrowing authority. The 2005 Basic Capital Budget includes this funding allocation. However, details of the # 1Kingsway development, along with the project funding requirements, will be reported to Council later this year.

#### 6. Other

The Other Category of the Capital Plan includes allocations for Library facilities planning and projects, maintenance of the City Hall Precinct (City Hall, East Wing and West Annex buildings), maintenance for other civic facilities, Information Technology maintenance and expansion, as well as two major cost sharing projects - the RAV station and Little Mountain Neighbourhood House. The adjusted Capital Plan, including the cost sharing funding provides \$31.0 million over three years, of which the 2005 allocation is \$18.3 million. These include:

Civic Building Management	\$2,458,000
Civic Theatres Upgrade	\$125,000
Information Technology Maintenance and Expansion	\$3,917,000
Cost Sharing Projects (LMNH & RAV Station)	\$6,840,000

The \$2,458,000 allocation to Civic Building Management is made up of the following:

City Hall and Civic Buildings Major Maintenance Program \$ 1,348,000

The 2005 program includes: City Hall Precinct Flooring/Water Closet/West Annex Elevator (\$422,000), Fire Alarm System at 312 Main (\$450,000), various maintenance/repairs projects at QET (\$242,000) and other civic building (\$234,000).

Facility Upgrade/Replacement Program \$ 1,110,000

The 2005 program includes: City Hall Precinct Office upgrade (\$340,000), Roof Replacement (\$300,000), Asbestos Mitigation (\$195,000) and other projects (\$275,000).

The \$3,917,000 allocation to Information Technology program is made up of:

Information Technology Infrastructure \$1,840,000

The 2005 program includes: replacement of obsolete desktop and laptop computers, upgrade of corporate networks, information servers and storage systems and IT security enhancements. The IT Infrastructure program for 2005 will be reported to Council later in the year.

SAP Evolution \$2,077,000

The major project for 2005 is the technical upgrade of the City's SAP system to the mySAP ERP version. The total project estimate is \$2.9 million, of which \$1.3 million is from 2003/2004 funding approved for SAP projects, \$577,000 from 2005 Capital Budget and \$1 million from Solid Waste Capital Reserve, Water Rate Stabilization Reserve and Sewer Rate Stabilization Reserve.

In addition, \$1.5 million was allocated from this category to fund the provision of an integrated Infrastructure Management System for Engineering, as approved by Council in December 2004.

The Cost Sharing Projects \$6,840,000 is made up of the following:

In March 2005, Council approved the City's contribution to the RAV Station construction at 2<sup>nd</sup> Avenue, a cost sharing project with the Province and RAVCO. The 2005 Basic Capital reflects the allocation of \$5.0 million from the original \$20 million plebescite authority for Cost Sharing projects. This project is subject to report back to Council.

In November 2004, Council approved an additional \$1.84 million funding for the development of Little Mountain Neighbourhood House, subject to the receipt of Federal Infrastructure Funds of \$2 million. The 2005 Basic Capital reflects the allocation of \$1.84 million from the

original \$20 million plebescite authority for Cost Sharing projects. This project is subject to report back to Council.

#### 6. #1 Kingsway

As noted in the capital budgets outlined for the Park Board, Library, and Childcare facilities, allocations of \$9.91 million have been provided for the #1 Kingsway project. The breakdown can be summarized as follows:

	Capital from	Borrowing	Development
	Revenue	<u>Authority</u>	Cost Levies
Park Board	\$1,000,000	\$1,150,000	0
Childcare	\$ 50,000	0	\$2,800,000
Library	0	\$4,910,000	0
Total allocated for #1 Kingsway	\$1,050,000	\$6,060,000	\$2,800,000

Staff will be reporting on the project scope and funding requirements later in 2005.

# 7. Capital Closeout and Status Report

The Capital Closeout of the Community Services Initiatives and Other Capital programs as well as a Capital Status Report is in process and staff will report to Council in summer 2005.

## CONCLUSION

The 2005 Capital Budget represents the final annual budget arising from the 2003- 2005 Capital Plan and provides for the allocation of funding included in that Plan to individual projects and programs.

\* \* \* \* \*

	2003-2005	2003-2005   2003 and 2004			2005		2005
	Capital Plan (1)		2005 Budget	Borrowing Revenue DCL/CAC			
	\$000's	\$000's	\$000's	\$000's	\$000's	\$000's	\$000's
D 11' 17' 1	Α	В	C = D+E+F	D	Е	F	G
Public Works	***						
Streets & Bridge Infrastructure	\$19,396	\$8,006	\$11,330	\$11,240	\$90		\$2,916
Pedestrian and Cycling Facilities	\$11,041	\$8,782.	\$3,010	\$2,430	\$580		\$3,634
Transit and Safety Improvements	\$13,154	\$10,536	\$2,522	\$2,422	\$100		\$650
Local Area Improvements	\$13,321	\$10,740	\$1,875	\$1,630	\$245		\$1,836
Burrard Seismic Upgrade*	\$2,700	\$0	\$2,700	\$2,700			\$2,000
Urban Transportation Showcase Program (3)	\$3,023	\$1,134	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$0		\$0
DCL Growth & System Expansion	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			\$500
Communications & Street Lighting	\$7,075	\$5,140	\$1,935	\$1,685	\$250		. \$200
Sewers	\$58,750	\$40,340	\$18,410	\$18,410			\$7,980
Yards	\$1,935	\$1,320	\$615	\$615			\$0
Total Public Works	\$130,395	\$85,998	\$44,397	\$43,132	\$1,265	\$0	\$19,716
Parks & Recreation (2)					*		
Recreation Facilities*	\$17,897	\$14,712	\$3,185	\$3,185			65 705
Recreation Facility- #1 Kingsway	\$4,150	\$2,000	\$2,150	\$1,150	\$1,000		\$5,795
Land Acquisition	\$2,250	\$1,395	\$2,875	\$50	\$1,000	62.025	
Park Development	\$17,233	\$11,968	\$3,245		\$0	\$2,825	
Debenture & Overhead Charges	\$3,640	\$2,540	\$3,243 \$1,100	(\$1,000)	\$2,670	\$1,575	
Total Parks & Recreation	\$45,170	\$32,615	\$12,555	\$0 \$3,385	\$750	\$350	85 705
	. 543,170	332,013	312,555	33,383	\$4,420	\$4,750	\$5,795
Public Safety							
Fire - Hall Replacement/Upgrading	\$300	\$50	\$250	\$0	\$250		
Police - Training officer Facility	\$9,620	\$600	\$9,020	\$9,020	\$0		
Total Public Safety	\$9,920	\$650	\$9,270	\$9,020	\$250	\$0	\$0
Community Service Initiatives							
Social and Cultural Facilities	\$1,700	\$615	61.005	l	#1.00 <i>=</i>		
Childcare - #1 Kingsway	\$2,850	\$615 \$0	\$1,085		\$1,085	** ***	\$4,740
Affordable Housing	\$5,000		\$2,850		\$50	\$2,800	
Downtown Eastside Initiatives	\$4,000	\$3,250 \$2,780	\$1,750		\$750	\$1,000	
Capital Grants	\$500	\$350	\$1,220		\$1,220		
Public Art on Capital Projects	\$750		\$150	I	\$150		
Total Community Services Initiatives	\$14,800	\$500 <b>\$7,495</b>	\$250 \$7,305	SO.	\$250 <b>\$3,505</b>	\$3,800	\$4,740
·	,	4.,00	J, 503	30	33,303	33,000	34,/40
Other							
Library Facilities Planning	\$200	\$140	\$60	\$0	\$60		
Library Facility - #1 Kingsway	\$4,910	\$0	\$4,910	\$4,910	\$0		
Civic Theatres Upgrade	\$275	\$150	\$125		\$125		
Information Technology	\$11,500	\$7,583	\$3,917		\$3,917		
Civic Property Maintenance	\$7,250	\$4,792	\$2,458		\$2,458		
Other project - Little Mt NBH House *	\$1,840		\$1,840	\$1,840			\$2,000
Major Project - RAV Station *	\$5,000	\$0	\$5,000	\$5,000			\$7,800
Total Other	\$30,975	\$12,665	\$18,310	\$11,750	\$6,560	\$0	\$9,800
Supplementary Capital	\$3,000	\$2,000	\$1,000	so	\$1,000	\$0	
Total - Capital Plan / Capital Budget Funding	\$234,260	\$141,423	\$92,837	\$67,287	\$17,000	\$8,550	\$40,051
Waterworks	\$46,000	\$30,813	¢1€ 107	615 107	6.		
Total Including Waterworks	\$280,260	\$172,236	\$15,187 \$108,024	\$15,187 \$82,474	\$0 \$17,000	\$0 \$8,550	\$40,051
	2300,200	Ψ27mgmOU		<i>502,474</i>	J17,000	30,330	54U,U31
Cost Sharing Projects - Unallocated (3)	\$6,360						
Total - Capital Plan	\$286,620				and the second		

Note 1: The original total approved 2003-5 plan includes \$247,440,000 Debt and Capital from Revenue funding , \$15 million of DCL/CAC funding and \$20 million for potential cost sharing. On April 20,2004 Council approved additional Capital from Revenue funding of \$2 million and additional DCL of \$1.5 million for #1 Kingsway and \$0.68 million for Parks. The Plan total was now adjusted to reflect all additional funding.

Note 2: Park Recreation Facilities 2004 budget adjusted to include \$2 million funding allocation from 2004 GST Saving. Park Development 2003 budget included \$4.38 million debenture funding, a portion of which was replaced by additional DCL funding identified in 2004 and this resulted in negative adjustment in 2005.

Note 3: In 2004, \$2 million was allocated from the \$20 million Cost Sharing Fund to Urban Transportation Showcase project. The \$2 million was repaid by Engineering from the 2005 Capital allocation. The 2004 budget has been adjusted to reflect this re-allocation.

Note 4: Of the \$20 million Cost Sharing Project Fund, \$13.64 million has been allocated to various projects leaving a balance of \$6.36 million.

<sup>\*</sup> Include allocation from the \$20 Million fund approved by Council in separate report.

Appendix 2 to Council report RTS#5129

# 2005 Basic Capital Budget

**May 2005** 

## CITY OF VANCOUVER

	CITY OF VANCOUVER		APPENDIX
	2005 Basic Capital Budget		
Project	Description	City & DCL Funding	
LIBRARY BOARD			
I	Renovations of Branches and Central Library	60,0000	
	Library Facility - #1 Kingsway *	4,910,000	
FIREHALL		,,	
J	Firehall Replacement	250,000	
	Police Training Facility *	9,020,000	
COMMUNITY SERV	TICES		
Но	using Centre		
L1	SRO Renovations	1,750,000	
Soc	cial and Cultural Facilities		
N1-N2	City-Owned Social Services, Child Care and Cultural Facilities	1,085,000	
	#1 Kingsway - Child Care Component*	2,850,000	
N3	Capital Grants	150,000	
N4	Civic Public Art	250,000	
Em	nerging Neighbourhoods		
O2	Heritage Façade Rehabilitation Grant Program	1,000,000	
O1	DTES Revitalization Program	220,000	
		7,305,000	
OTHER			
U4	Vancouver Civic Theatres	125,000	
Inf	ormation Technology		
U5-1	Information Technology	1,840,000	
U5-2	SAP Evolution Program	2,077,000	
		3,917,000	
CIVIC PROPERTY MAINTENANCE			
W1	City Hall Renovation	340,000	
W2	Roof Replacement Program	300,000	
W3	Asbestos Mitigation Program	195,000	
W4	Non Structural Mitigation Program	100,000	
W5-14	Major Building Systems Maintenance/ Green Building	1,348,000 175,000	

# CITY OF VANCOUVER 2005 Basic Capital Budget **Project Description** City & DCL **Funding** CIVIC PROPERTY 2,458,000 MAINTENANCE **OTHER PROJECTS** Little Mt Neighbourhood House \* 1,840,000 RAV Line 2nd Avenue Station \* 5,000,000 TOTAL BASIC CAPITAL APPROVAL 34,885,000

<sup>\*</sup>details to be reported in separate report to Council

#### 2005 BASIC CAPITAL BUDGET Reference # I PROGRAM: **DEPARTMENT: DIVISION:** Library Board **SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE: Renovations of Branches and Central Library** SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Central library single service points **Project Total Previous Years** 2005 Advance **Other Sources Basic Capital** Cost Funding Approval of Funding Budget Carried Requested **Forward** \$158,300 \$20,000 \$60,000 **Project Costs** \$ \$78,300 OTHER FUNDING SOURCES BUDGET (Include functional breakdown): Direct Labour \$36,300 **Senior Governments** \$ Materials **Property Owners** \$ \$ Equipment \$9,000 DCL/CAC funding \$ Other (please specify e.g. Translink, ICBC, Contract \$106,000 donation) Overhead Supplemental capital \$78,300 Other - contingency \$7,000 **Total** \$158,300 **Total Other Funding Sources** \$78,300 COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS: The single service points will result in a more efficient use of staff resources. IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET: 2007 2005 2006 (Added Basic) \$0 \$0 \$0 PROJECT SUMMARY: (Consider Objectives, Scope, Timing, Costs, & Functional breakdown) \$ 200,000 was approved as part of the 2003-2005 Capital plan for the renovations of libraries. \$ 140,000 was allocated in 2003. This request is for the balance of the \$ 200,000. HISTORY: At present there are 2 service point desks on each of levels 3, 4, and 6 at the central library. Each desk (separate division) is staffed. This project entails the combining of the 2 existing desks into 1 single service point on each floor. The existing desks are not configured properly to allow for the elimination of one desk and the continued use of the other. New desks will be constructed, using as many part of the existing desks as possible. **OBJECTIVES/SCOPE:** To create a single service on levels 3,4, and 6 so that the public will have one place to go on each floor for their staff contact and staff can be combined into one work unit on each floor. The combining of the work units will make desk coverage and staff scheduling more efficient.

# **PROJECT TIMING:**

Start Date (month/year): May, 2005

Completion Date (month/year): July, 2005

#### **FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:**

Reference # J

**PROGRAM: Firehall Replacement** 

**DEPARTMENT: Vancouver Fire and Rescue Services** 

**DIVISION: Facility Design & Management** 

SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE: Replace Firehall Number 15 - Design Work

#### SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

	Project Total Cost	Previous Years Funding Carried Forward	2005 Advance Approval	Other Sources of Funding	Basic Capital Budget Requested
Project Costs	\$300,000	\$50,000	\$250,000	\$	<b>\$0</b>

BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):		OTHER FUNDING SOURCES		
Direct Labour	\$250,000	Senior Governments	\$	
Materials	\$	Property Owners	\$	
Equipment	\$	DCL/CAC funding	\$	
Contract	\$	Other (please specify e.g. Translink, ICBC, donation)	\$	
Overhead	\$		\$	
Other (specify basis)	\$		\$	
Total	\$250,000	Total Other Funding Sources	\$	

#### COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:

IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:	2005	2006	2007
(Added Basic)	\$	\$	\$

# PROJECT SUMMARY: (Consider Objectives, Scope, Timing, Costs, & Functional breakdown) OBJECTIVES:

To continue design work preparing for the replacement of Firehall number 15 which is 93 years old. The structure does not meet current seismic code requirements and has numerous deficiencies requiring extensive repair.

#### **SCOPE:**

To continue design work to the tender stage for the replacement of Firehall Number 15, for which funding of \$300,000 was approved in this Capital Plan in advance of the submission in the next Capital Plan (2006-2008) for the construction component of the project (\$4.5M). Council approved appointment of architect for the design in March 2005.

#### IMPACT OF DELAY

Design work to be completed for 2006.

#### PROJECT TIMING: Start date: February 2005 Completion date: February 200

Completion date: February 2006

<b>FOR</b>	BUDGET	<b>OFFICE</b>	<b>USE</b>	<b>ONLY:</b>
------------	--------	---------------	------------	--------------

Order Group:

Order Number:

Reference # # L-1

DEPARTMENT: Housing Centre PROGRAM: Affordable Housing Fund

**DIVISION: Community Services Group** 

#### SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE: SRO renovation

#### SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

The City has a long history of acquiring sites and buildings for lease to non-profit housing societies who, using various sources of funding (primarily provincial and federal), develop the sites or renovate the buildings for social or affordable housing. In Oct. 2001, Council approved recommendations that the City commit \$2-3,000,000/year in capital grants, and a similar amount in PEF lease write downs, for the development of social and affordable housing.

	Project Total Cost	Previous Years Funding Carried	2005 Advance Approval	Other Sources of Funding	Basic Capital Budget
		Forward			Requested
Project Costs	\$1,750,000	\$	\$	\$1,000,000	\$750,000

BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):		OTHER FUNDING SOURCES		
Direct Labour	\$	Senior Governments	\$	
Materials	\$	Property Owners	\$	
Equipment	\$	DCL/CAC funding	\$ 1,000,000	
Contract	\$ 1,750,000	Other (please specify e.g. Translink, ICBC, donation)	\$	
Overhead	\$		\$	
Other (specify basis)	\$		\$	
To	tal \$1,750,000	Total Other Funding Sources	\$ 1,000,000	

#### **COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:**

IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:	2005	2006	2007	
(Added Basic)	\$	\$	\$	

# PROJECT SUMMARY: (Consider Objectives, Scope, Timing, Costs, & Functional breakdown) OBJECTIVES:

The purpose of the development of affordable and social housing in Vancouver is to maintain (as a minimum) the percentage of non-market (affordable and social) housing in the city, which is currently at 8.5%.

#### **SCOPE**

Two SRO hotels require renovations in the coming year.

The Pennsylvania, 412 Carrall will be renovated in a partnership with the City, BC Housing, Canada Mortgage and Housing and Human Resources and Skills Development Canada. The 72 unit building is now closed and a consultant is developing and costing various types of renovation. It is expected that the City's share could be \$500,000 - \$600,000. The City purchased the Stanley-New Fountain, 35 West Cordova in March 2002 and renovated it using funds provided by BC Housing. BC Housing's funds only allowed a minimal capital upgrade. The roof is now beyond repair and needs to be replaced. It is expected to cost about 150,000 – 250,000. Both projects would be reported back to Council when more precise costs are available. Remaining funding reserved for contingency to cover other emergency repairs.

#### **IMPACT**

There should be no impact on the operating budget. The revenue generated by the rents in both buildings should be sufficient to cover the operating costs.

#### **PROJECT TIMING:**

Start Date (month/year): Renovation would occur in 2005/6

Completion Date(month/year):

1	FOR	BUDGET	OFFICE	USE	ONLY:

Order Group:

**Order Number:** 

Reference # N-1

DEPARTMENT: Social Planning and Cultural Affairs

DIVISION: Community Services Group

**PROGRAM: City-owned Childcare** 

SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE: City –owned Childcare

#### SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

City-owned childcare repair and replacement – playground.

	Project Total Cost	Previous Years Funding Carried Forward	2005 Advance Approval	Other Sources of Funding	Basic Capital Budget Requested
Project Costs	\$435,000	\$	\$135,000	\$200,000	\$235,000

BUDGET (Include functional breakdown): NA Grant		OTHER FUNDING SOURCES		
Direct Labour	\$	Senior Governments	\$100,000	
Materials	\$	Property Owners	\$	
Equipment	\$	DCL/CAC funding	\$	
Contract	\$ 435,000	Other (please specify) - Donations	\$100,000	
Overhead	\$		\$	
Other (specify basis)	\$		\$	
Total		Total Other Funding Sources	\$200,000	

#### **COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:**

IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET: 2005 2006 2007 (Added Basic) \$ \$ N/A

# PROJECT SUMMARY: (Consider Objectives, Scope, Timing, Costs, & Functional breakdown) OBJECTIVES:

Upgrading the City's portable childcare buildings reduces risks of injury and lowers maintenance costs. The City provides a grant in support of the capital projects which are developed on a partnership basis, leveraging significant support from senior levels of government and the private sector. The City's investment in its social and cultural infrastructure support the City's objectives of accessible, community-based services, the development and maintenance of strong, complete communities.

The 2003-2005 Capital Plan included \$600,000 for capital improvements to City-owned childcare buildings, which are leased, to non-profit childcare providers (social service and cultural facilities are the subject of a separate submission). Funds are allocated to building improvements such as upgrading building systems to current codes and standards, improving accessibility, replacement facilities, major program expansion and for capital feasibility studies.

#### SCOPE:

In 2003 a Childcare Capital Budget of \$50,000 was approved and allocated to the replacement of the Learning Tree portable daycare. In 2004 a budget of \$315,000 was approved; a further \$355,000 was allocated to Learning Tree as well as \$15,000 to Brant Villa daycare. Council has also approved an allocation of \$80,000 in advance of the 2005 Capital Budget for capital improvements to the City-controlled Shannon Daycare (an amenity bonus facility). A balance of \$100,000 remains.

The 2005 budget submission proposes to continue the work anticipated in the 2003-2005 capital plan for repair and replacement of Cityowned childcare facilities and focuses on outdoor play structures and play environments. Safe outdoor play environments are critical to children's health and wellness. The current structures and facilities are in critical need of upgrading. The proposed work is in line with the Council approved Civic Childcare Strategic Plan.

#### IMPACT OF DELAY

Further delay on repair and replacement of city childcare facilities exposes the City to additional risk of injury or undue maintenance expense.

#### **PROJECT TIMING:**

Start Date (month/year): June 2005 Completion Date(month/year): Nov 2005

## FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:

Reference # N-2

**DEPARTMENT:** Social Planning and Office of Cultural Affairs **PROGRAM:** City-owned Facilities

**DIVISION:** Community Services Group

SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE: City Owned Social and Cultural Facilities

#### **SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

Capital projects are generally initiated by the City's non-profit tenants which are responsible for the facility and programmatic operating costs. Infrastructure improvements often create operational and environmental efficiencies. The City provides a grant in support of the capital projects which are developed on a partnership basis, leveraging significant support from senior levels of government and the private sector.

Project Total Previous Years 2005 Advance Other Sources Basic Capital

	Cost	Fundi Carrie Forwa	ed	Approval	of Funding	Budget Requested
Project Costs	\$5,440,000			\$810,000	\$4,540,000	\$90,000.
BUDGET (Include functiona	l breakdown): N	A Grant	ОТНЕ	ER FUNDING SO	OURCES	
Direct Labour	\$	Senior (	Governm	ents	\$2,000,000	
Materials	\$	Propert	Property Owners		\$	
Equipment	\$	DCL/C.	AC fund	ing	\$	
Contract	\$	Other (	(i.e. priva	te donations)	\$2,540,000	
Overhead	\$				\$	
Other (specify basis)	\$				\$	
Т	otal \$	Т	otal Oth	er Funding Sou	rces \$4.540.000	

#### COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:

 IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:
 2005
 2006
 2007

 (Added Basic)
 N/A
 \$
 \$

#### PROJECT SUMMARY: (Consider Objectives, Scope, Timing, Costs, & Functional breakdown)

#### OR JECTIVES

The City's investment in its social and cultural infrastructure support the City's objectives of accessible, community-based services, the development and maintenance of strong, complete communities. The 2003-2005 Capital Plan included \$1.15 million for major capital improvements to City-owned social service, community and cultural buildings, which are leased, to non-profit organizations (childcare is the subject of a separate submission). Funds are allocated to building improvements such as upgrading building systems to current codes and standards, improving accessibility, replacement facilities, major program expansion and for capital feasibility studies.

#### SCOPE:

In 2003, \$50,000 was allocated to master planning for the Vancouver Art Gallery; in 2004, \$200,000 was allocated to Kiwassa Neighbourhood House. A balance of \$900,000 remains\*. Council has approved two projects in advance of the 2005 Capital Plan: \$80,000 for refurbishment of a City-controlled amenity bonus facility at 1140 West Pender Street now occupied by the Community Legal Assistance Society (RTS 4732); and \$750,000 "Challenge Grant" to the Vancouver East Cultural Centre – for Phase 1 of a three-phase revitalization project (RTS 4826). This leaves a balance of \$90,000. Staff will be reporting to Council on several capital initiatives in 2005 for City-owned facilities including: an adaptive reuse and feasibility study for a City-owned heritage building at 240 East Cordova (Coroner's Court); and refurbishment of two City artist live/work studios. These studios are awarded by the City – the *City of Vancouver Artists Residency Awards* – each for 3-year terms which expire in 2005. (\* \$900,000 includes \$50,000 for #1 Kingsway Childcare component))

#### IMPACT OF DELAY

Projects that depend on other funding sources including private foundations and senior government funding are at significant risk of cancellation should the City fail to participate in the cost sharing within the required timeframe.

#### PROJECT TIMING:

Start Date (month/year): various projects commencing in 2005

## FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:

Reference # N-3

PROGRAM: NON CITY-OWNED

**DEPARTMENT:** Social Planning and Office of Cultural Affairs

**DIVISION:** Community Services Group

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:** 

Capital Grants

#### **SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

	Project Total Cost	Previous Years Funding Carried Forward	2005 Advance Approval	Other Sources of Funding	Basic Capital Budget Requested
Project Costs	\$450,000			\$300,000	\$150,000

BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):NA Grant		OTHER FUNDING SOURCES		
Direct Labour	\$	Senior Governments	\$150,000	
Materials	\$	Property Owners	\$	
Equipment	\$	DCL/CAC funding	\$	
Contract	\$	Other - donations	\$150,000	
Overhead	\$		\$	
Other (specify basis) -Grant	\$		\$	
Tota	1 \$ 450,000	Total Other Funding Sources	\$300,000	

#### **COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:**

The City's contribution makes it possible for organizations to leverage money from senior levels of governments, foundations and private individuals. These other funders view the City's endorsement as critical to their funding decisions.

IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:		2005	2006	2007
(Added Basic)	N/A	\$	\$	\$

# PROJECT SUMMARY: (Consider Objectives, Scope, Timing, Costs, & Functional breakdown)

The Capital Grants program supports the City's objectives of accessible, community-based services, the development and maintenance of strong, complete. The Capital Grants program encourages ownership and the creation of capital assets and thereby contributes to the long-term viability and sustainability of the non-profit sector in Vancouver. This is also a cost effective tool as it leverages at least two-thirds of the project costs from senior governments and the private sector. Recent Canadian research has shown that economic vitality of cities increasingly depends on inter-governmental and community collaboration in the provision of amenities and the creation of distinctive places.

#### SCOPE:

The 2003-2005 Capital Plan included \$500,000 for Capital Grants of up to one-third of total project costs to non-profit social service, daycare and cultural organizations for construction, renovation or repairs to facilities that are not owned by the City. \$112,300 of the 2003 budget (\$200,000) was allocated to three projects; \$94,146 of the 2004 budget (\$150,000) was allocated to 6 projects. The 2005 budget (\$150,000) and the unallocated funds from 2003 and 2004 will be the source of funds for the 2005 Capital Grant Program. Notices are mailed to eligible Vancouver non-profit social service, daycare and cultural organizations. As well, information on the grant program is available on the City's website and through brochures in the office. Grant applications are submitted and assessed by Social Planning, Cultural Affairs and Facilities Design & Development staff and recommendations made to City Council for approval.

#### IMPACT OF DELAY

Projects that depend on Federal/ Provincial Infrastructure funding are at significant risk of cancellation should the City fail to participate in the cost sharing within the required timeframe.

#### **PROJECT TIMING:**

**Start Date (month/year)**: 06/05 **Completion Date(month/year)**:

FOR	BUDGET	OFFICE	USE	ONLY

Reference # N-4

PROGRAM: Public Art

**DEPARTMENT:** Office of Cultural Affairs **DIVISION:** Community Services Group

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:** 

Public Art Program

#### SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

	Project Total Cost	Previous Years Funding Carried Forward	2005 Advance Approval	Other Sources of Funding	Basic Capital Budget Requested
Project Costs	\$250,000	\$	\$	\$	\$250,000

BUDGET (Include functional breakdow	vn):	OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	
Direct Labour	\$	Senior Governments	\$
Materials	\$ 50,0	O00 Property Owners	\$
Equipment	\$	DCL/CAC funding	\$
Contract	\$	Other (please specify e.g. Translink, ICBC, donation)	\$
Overhead	\$		\$
Other (specify basis) Creation costs	\$ 200,0	000	\$
Total	\$ 250,0	7000 Total Other Funding Sources	\$

#### **COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:**

IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:	2005	2006	2007	
(Added Basic)	\$	\$	\$	

# PROJECT SUMMARY: (Consider Objectives, Scope, Timing, Costs, & Functional breakdown) OBJECTIVES:

The 2003-2005 Capital Plan included \$750,000 in funding for the Civic Public Art Program. This program includes:

The *Civic Public Art Program* -for art at new City capital works and in community settings including libraries, bridges, bikeways, Greenways, street improvements and parks.

The *Community Public Art Program* –for collaborative projects initiated by artists and communities that address neighbourhood needs and aspirations, and that build community pride, identity, and social capacity.

#### SCOPE:

City Council will receive separate reports on the allocation of funds on the programs and projects proposed. For 2005, as part of the *Civic Public Art Program* a major public art commitment at the new civic complex (Library, Community Centre, Daycare Centre and Social Housing) at #1 Kingsway will be completed. As well, the StoryScapes Aboriginal public art process (which seeks to identify and mark sites of special interest to aboriginal peoples) in sites down town) and the Carrall Street Greenway will be continued. The *Community Public Art Program* will, as in previous years, seek applications from artists and communities for the creation of new artwork within neighbourhood and community settings.

#### IMPACT OF DELAY

Civic public art projects are integral to the overall construction project and cannot be delayed within additional costs or impact to the overall project budget.

#### **PROJECT TIMING:**

Start Date (month/year): 05/05

Completion Date(month/year): 12/05

# FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:

Reference # O-1

**DEPARTMENT: Planning DIVISION: Central Area** 

**PROGRAM: DTES Revitalization** 

SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:

#### SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

Capital Projects to help achieve the City and Vancouver Agreement economic revitalization, health, safe and housing objectives in the Downtown Eastside. Most of these projects support community-based initiatives and are leveraged

with senior government and private sector funds.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	Project Total	Previous Years	2005 Advance	Other Sources	Basic Capital		
	Cost	Funding	Approval	of Funding	Budget		
		Carried			Requested		
		Forward			_		
Project Costs	\$770,000	\$550,000	\$	\$	\$220,000		

BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):		OTHER FUNDING SOURCES		
Direct Labour	\$	Senior Governments	\$	
Materials	\$	Property Owners	\$	
Equipment	\$	DCL/CAC funding	\$	
Contract	\$770,000	Other (please specify e.g. Translink, ICBC, donation)	\$	
Overhead	\$		\$	
Other (specify basis)	\$		\$	
Tot	<b>al</b> \$ 770,000	Total Other Funding Sources	\$	

#### **COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:**

IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:	2005	2006	2007	
(Added Basic)	\$	\$	\$	

# PROJECT SUMMARY: (Consider Objectives, Scope, Timing, Costs, & Functional breakdown)

#### **OBJECTIVES:**

The following strategic initiatives are under development and will likely require City capital funds during the remainder of this year. Each will be partnered with senior government and/or private sector funds. It may be necessary to request additional funding within 2003-05 plan.

- 1. Construction Training Facility
- 2. Lease Subsidy Program for social enterprises
- 3. New facility for WISH
- 4. Chinatown Cultural Centre improvements
- 5. Safety improvements for the Strathcona/Oppenheimer area
- 6. Capital improvements for child care facility at 717 Princess
- 7. Strathcona Community Centre kitchen improvements

**SCOPE:** The scope of each project will be described in separate reports to Council as projects emerge and the City share is negotiated.

#### IMPACT OF DELAY

It is important to have flexible access to these funds to help complete negotiations with funding partners for strategic projects.

PROJECT TIMING: as and when funding is available

Start Date (month/year): Completion Date(month/year):

#### FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:

# 2005 BASIC CAPITAL BUDGET Reference # O-2

DEPARTMENT: Community Services Group PROGRAM: Emerging Neighbourhoods

**DIVISION: Current Planning** 

#### **SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:**

Heritage Façade Rehabilitation Grant Program

#### SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

This program is available to assist owners with the costs of rehabilitating heritage building facades. The program covers 50% of costs up to a maximum of \$50,000 per principal façade, with the owner covering the balance.

	Project Total Cost	Previous Years Funding Carried Forward	2005 Advance Approval	Other Sources of Funding	Basic Capital Budget Requested
Project Costs	\$1,000,000	\$nil	\$35,000	\$nil	\$965,000

BUDGET (Include functional breakdow	vn):	OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	
Direct Labour	\$	Senior Governments	\$ n/a
Materials	\$	Property Owners	\$1,000,000 +
Equipment	\$	DCL/CAC funding	\$
Contract	\$	Other (please specify e.g. Translink, ICBC, donation)	\$
Overhead	\$		\$
Other (specify basis)	\$965,000		\$
Total	\$965,000	Total Other Funding Sources	\$1,000,000 +

#### **COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:**

IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:	2005	2006	2007	
(Added Basic)	\$n/a	\$n/a	\$n/a	

PROJECT SUMMARY: (Consider Objectives, Scope, Timing, Costs, & Functional breakdown)

#### **OBJECTIVES:**

The objective is to enhance the exterior appearance of buildings in a manner that is sympathetic to the heritage character of the area and to encourage economic revitalization opportunities. A priority for this program is the rehabilitation of ground floor spaces for active uses such as retail and restaurants.

This is an integral part of a major City initiative to revitalize the downtown neighbourhoods. The other component of the initiative includes the Heritage Building Rehabilitation Program, which offers incentives to owners such as transferable density and a property tax exemption of up to 10 years to assist with the costs of building rehabilitation and assists in introducing new uses and vitality to the area.

#### SCOPE:

Individual grants are allocated to specific projects. These can be stand alone or be a part of a comprehensive package of rehabilitation. Each grant is report to Council and requires Council approval.

IMPACT OF DELAY :N/A

#### PROJECT TIMING:

Start Date (month/year): ONGOING - THROUGHOUT YEAR

**Completion Date(month/year):** 

#### FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:

# 2005 BASIC CAPITAL BUDGET Reference # U 4

**DEPARTMENT: City Manager's Office** 

**DIVISION: Civic Theatres** 

PROGRAM: Orpheum

#### SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:

Seating repairs and refurbishment.

#### SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

	Project Total	Previous Years	2005 Advance	Other Sources of	Basic Capital
	Cost	Funding Carried	Approval	Funding	Budget
		Forward			Requested
Project Costs	\$575,000	\$200,000	\$	\$250,000	\$125,000

BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):		OTHER FUNDING SOURCES		
Direct Labour	\$	Senior Governments	\$	
Materials	\$175,000	Property Owners	\$	
Equipment	\$	DCL/CAC funding	\$	
Contract	\$385,000	Other (please specify e.g. Translink, ICBC, donation)	\$	
Overhead	\$15,000	2005 Supplementary Capital request	\$250,000	
Other (specify basis)	\$		\$	
Total	\$575,000	Total Other Funding Sources	\$250,000	

#### **COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:**

Reduces annual maintenance costs for a few years.

IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:	2005	2006	2007
(Added Basic)	\$	\$	\$

# PROJECT SUMMARY: (Consider Objectives, Scope, Timing, Costs, & Functional breakdown)

**OBJECTIVES:** 

To refurbish the frames, seat pans and wood arms and reupholster the seats and backs of the Orpheum seating.

#### SCOPE:

The Orpheum has 2,780 seats . They were last refurbished in 1976, nearly 30 years ago. Attendance ranges from 260,000 to 320,000 patron visits per year.

The seats have become badly worn and our staff receives constant complaints. Fabric is worn through in many places, seat-lifters don't work, cushions separate from the pans, arms are worn and broken etc.

#### COSTS:

It is not cost-effective to survey every seat for repairs needed. A sampling of seats indicates an upside cost of \$170 each to repair lift-mechanics, refinish wooden arms, replace padding and replace fabric covering. Fabric was selected and ordered to ensure delivery for this coming summer and to ensure that we have a single mill-run for uniformity of look.

Source of Funds: Carry forward \$200,000 from previous year. Supp Cap committee is considering a funding request for \$250,000. In 2005, Theatre has \$125,000 available in the Basic Capital for Security Upgrade. As the security work planned can be adequately covered by the 2004 funding, this request is to re-allocate the available funding of \$125,000 to the Orpheum Seating Refurbishment.

#### TIMING

Work has to be done in summer when we can close the theatre for an extended period of time without impacting users.

Funding already committed has paid for the new fabric, which is on order for delivery in May.

Remaining existing funding will allow us to repair and reupholster 1400 seats = 50% of the total. Approval of this request and the Sup Cap request will permit the remaining 1380 seats to be done now. If not, we will hope to get funds approved in the 2006-2008 Capital Plan and complete the work in summer 2006.

#### IMPACT OF DELAY

There will be some increase in costs from inflation. Also, the contractor will have start-up costs twice. If we delay half the job, the contractor will select the best components of the old seats and install them in the remaining old chairs that will not be repaired this summer.

#### PROJECT TIMING:

Start Date (month/year): July 2005

Completion Date(month/year): September 2005 (or September 2006 if half the seats are delayed.)

#### FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:

Reference #U5 -1

DEPARTMENT: CORPORATE SERVICES

DIVISION: IT - INFRASTRUCTURE

PROGRAM:

#### **SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:**

Information Technology Infrastructure Replacement & Upgrade

#### SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

Replacement of corporate information technology infrastructure.

		Project Total Cost	Previous Years Funding Carried	2005 Advance Approval	Other Sources of Funding	Basic Capital Budget Requested
			Forward			
Project Cos	ts	\$7,000,000	\$995,000	<b>\$0</b>	\$4,165,000	\$1,840,000

BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):		OTHER FUNDING SOURCES		
Direct Labour	\$	Senior Governments	\$	
Materials	\$	Property Owners	\$	
Equipment & Software	\$ 6,500,000	DCL/CAC funding	\$	
Contract	\$	Other (please specify e.g. Translink, ICBC, donation)	\$	
Overhead	\$	IT Long Term Financing Plan	\$4,165,000	
Services	\$ 500,000		\$	
Total	\$ 7,000,000	Total Other Funding Sources	\$4,165,000	

#### COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:

No net savings. This is necessary replacement of obsolete equipment and necessary expansion to meet growing needs.

IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:

2005 **\$(no further increase)** (Added Basic) \$100K ongoing, as follows \$(no increase) \$100,000 inc.

PROJECT SUMMARY: (Consider Objectives, Scope, Timing, Costs, & Functional breakdown) **OBJECTIVES:** 

Obsolete corporate IT infrastructure (computers, servers, telephone and network systems) is replaced through a managed plan, reported to Council as an annual "IT Infrastructure Expansion and Replacement Program". The 2005 program includes:

2006

2007

- Replacement of 1,000 obsolete desktop and laptop computers and a similar number of monitors;
- Upgrade of obsolete e-mail systems and other corporate servers;
- Upgrade of storage systems to accommodate rapid growth in data volumes;
- Replacement of obsolete local area networking equipment;
- Replacement of leased telecommunication services with optical fibre;
- Software to improve systems' availability through improved management and monitoring;
- Upgrades to data centres and off-site server/telecommunications facilities for improved data protection and security;
- Contract resources to assist in development of a corporate telephony strategy;
- IT security enhancements to ensure adequate protection against ever-increasing threats to City information systems

#### SCOPE:

Provides for the IT infrastructure needs of all departments and boards.

# IMPACT OF DELAY

System failures, slowness, risk of data loss – negative impact on staff productivity and customer service.

#### PROJECT TIMING:

Continuous through 2005, into 2006.

#### FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:

Order Group:

**Order Number:** 

Reference # U5 - 2

PROGRAM: OTHER

DEPARTMENT: CORPORATE SERVICES

DIVISION: IT – SAP BUSINESS SUPPORT

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:** 

SAP EVOLUTION – PHASE 2

#### **SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

SAP System Upgrade

	Project Total Cost	Previous Years Funding Carried Forward	2005 Advance Approval	Other Sources of Funding	Basic Capital Budget Requested
Project Costs	\$ 4,400,000	\$ 1,325,000	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 577,000

BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):		OTHER FUNDING SOURCES		
Direct Labour	\$	Senior Governments	\$	
Materials	\$	Property Owners	\$	
Equipment	\$	DCL/CAC funding	\$	
Contract	\$	Other (please specify e.g. Translink, ICBC,	\$ 333,333	
		donation) Solid Waste Capital Reserve		
Overhead	\$	Sewer Rate Stabilization Reserve	\$ 333,333	
System Licenses/Support & Integration	\$ 4,400,000	Water Rate Stabilization Reserve	\$ 333,334	
Total	\$ 4,400,000	Total Other Funding Sources	\$ 1,000,000	

#### COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:

 IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:
 2005
 2006
 2007

 (Added Basic)
 \$ 170,000
 \$

Additional annual SAP maintenance costs (beginning in 2006)

PROJECT SUMMARY: (Consider Objectives, Scope, Timing, Costs, & Functional breakdown) OBJECTIVES:

Vendor support for the City's current version of SAP will expire in 2006. In order to maintain support the City is required to complete an upgrade of the SAP system. Moving to SAP's new product, mySAP ERP, will ensure that ongoing support is continued. mySAP ERP will also position SAP as a strong alternative for the Engineering Infrastructure Management system and will allow the City to take advantage of new web-enabled functionality such as employee self service and web procurement.

#### SCOPE:

The funding of \$4.4m will cover two components of SAP evolution:

- 1. Provision of an integrated Infrastructure Management System for Engineering (\$1.5m)
  - \$1.5m of the 2003-2005 Capital budget for SAP Evolution has been allocated to the Engineering Infrastructure Management System project as per report to Council dated Dec 3, 2004 (approved Dec 14, 2004).
- 2. Performing a technical upgrade of the City's SAP system to the mySAP ERP platform (\$2.9m)

A report is scheduled to go to Council on Mar 15, 2005 requesting authority to negotiate with SAP Canada for the upgrade of the City's SAP system to the mySAP ERP version. \$995k was provided in the 2004 Capital Budget for this upgrade. An unexpended amount from the 2003 Capital Budget (\$330k) is also available.

#### IMPACT OF DELAY:

- 1. Loss of vendor support for the City's SAP system
- 2. Inability for SAP to be the system of choice for new web-based applications (eg. Engineering Infrastructure Mgmt)

#### **PROJECT TIMING:**

**Start Date (month/year):** September/2005 **Completion Date(month/year):** February/2006

## FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:

Reference # W1

**DEPARTMENT: Corporate Services** 

PROGRAM: City Hall Campus Upgrades

**DIVISION: Facility Design & Management** 

SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE: Upgrade facilities within City Hall Campus Buildings

#### SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

	Project Total Cost	Previous Years Funding Carried Forward	2005 Advance Approval	Other Sources of Funding	Basic Capital Budget Requested
Project Costs	\$340,000	\$	\$	\$	\$340,000

BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):		OTHER FUNDING SOURCES		
Direct Labour	\$	Senior Governments	\$	
Materials	\$	Property Owners	\$	
Equipment	\$	DCL/CAC funding	\$	
Contract	\$ 340,000	Other (please specify e.g. Translink, ICBC, donation)	\$	
Overhead	\$		\$	
Other (specify basis)	\$		\$	
Total	\$ 340,000	Total Other Funding Sources	\$	

#### COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:

IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:	2005	2006	2007
(Added Basic)	\$	\$	\$

PROJECT SUMMARY: (Consider Objectives, Scope, Timing, Costs, & Functional breakdown) OBJECTIVES:

This program upgrades facilities and renovates offices within the City Hall campus buildings to enhance public service and support policy objectives such as the Alternate Transportation Plan.

#### **SCOPE:**

The scope of work will be to upgrade bicycle facilities throughout the City Hall campus; to upgrade audio visual systems in Council Chamber; and miscellaneous office upgrades.

#### IMPACT OF DELAY

Work in Council Chamber must be scheduled when Council is not is session (August break).

PROJECT TIMING: Start Date: May 2005

**Completion Date: December 2005** 

FOR	BUDO	GET	OFFICE	USE	ONLY:
$\mathbf{r} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{n}$	$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{D}$		OFFICE	UDL	UNLI.

Order Group:

**Order Number:** 

Reference # W1

DEPARTMENT: Corporate Services PROGRAM: City Hall Campus Upgrades

**DIVISION: Facility Design & Management** 

# SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE Upgrade facilities within City Hall Campus

#### **PROJECT SUMMARY (continued)**

Work completed or underway from the program approvals in 2003 and 2004 includes: Renovations to Human Resources office second floor \$210,000 Conversion of Committee Room 2 to Secretariat Support \$50,000 Subtotal \$260,000

#### The program work for 2005 includes the following:

Upgrade Bicycle Facilities \$45,800 (plus 2005 Supplementary Capital \$25,200) Replace and add lockers, access controls and weather protection canopies.

Upgrade Audio Visual System in Council Chamber \$150,000 (plus 2005 Supplementary Capital \$150,000) Add robotic cameras to record and post proceedings to internet, update software, replace microphones, add public display screen in foyer.

Miscellaneous Office Upgrades including Committee Room 1, Sustainability; Third Floor \$145,000 Subtotal \$340,000 Total \$600,000

Reference #W2

**PROGRAM: Roof Replacement** 

**DEPARTMENT: Corporate Services** 

**DIVISION: Facility Design & Management** 

#### **SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:**

Roof Replacement

#### **SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

Ongoing program to replace roofs in civic buildings ((Capital Assets)

	Project Total Cost	Previous Years Funding Carried Forward	2005 Advance Approval	Other Sources of Funding	Basic Capital Budget Requested
Project Costs	\$900,000	\$600,000	\$	\$	\$300,000

BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):		OTHER FUNDING SOURCES		
Direct Labour	\$	Senior Governments	\$	
Materials	\$	Property Owners	\$	
Equipment	\$	DCL/CAC funding	\$	
Contract (for 2005)	\$300,000	Other (please specify e.g. Translink, ICBC, donation)	\$	
Overhead	\$		\$	
Other (specify basis)	\$		\$	
Total	\$300,000	Total Other Funding Sources	\$	

COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS: Preventative maintenance to avoid water damage, mould, etc., due to aging roofs.

IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:200520062007(Added Basic)\$\$

# PROJECT SUMMARY: (Consider Objectives, Scope, Timing, Costs, & Functional breakdown) OBJECTIVES:

The program replaces aging roofs in civic buildings (capital assets) as well as some maintenance of exterior heritage facades.

#### SCOPE

The scope of work ranges from repairs where practical to replacement with a new roofing system, including insulation, flashings and drainage as required. The program also encompasses some exterior refurbishment when funding is available to maintain heritage facades.

#### IMPACT OF DELAY

The work must be scheduled in dry warm weather. Unexpected leaks cause damage.

#### **PROJECT TIMING:**

Start Date (month/year): May 2005

Completion Date(month/year): November 2005

#### FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:

Reference #W2

DEPARTMENT: Corporate Services PROGRAM: Roof Replacement

**DIVISION: Facility Design & Management** 

# SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE

Ongoing program to replace roofs

#### PROJECT SUMMARY (continued)

Unexpected leaks typically arise throughout the year causing priorities for reroofing to shift in response. Exterior refurbishments such as stone cleaning, repointing, masonry, stabilizing heritage facades and recaulking or replacing leaking or inefficient single-glazed windows and skylights are undertaken as priorities for funding will permit.

Projects completed in 2003 and 2004:

Firehall Number 17 \$50,000 Kiwassa Neighbourhood House \$50,000 Skeena Pumpstation \$10,000 Kitsilano Pumpstation \$14,000 Vancouver Art Gallery membrane repair \$10,000

Sub-Total \$134,000

Several projects proposed for 2004 which were deferred to allow further investigation (VAG) are now proposed for 2005 as follows:

City Hall North and South Wings \$100,000 VAG roof and stone work \$290,000 Orpheum Theatre Canopy \$35,000

312 Main (remaining work) \$105,000 Cultural and Social Facilities \$116,000 Firehalls (7, 8 and 20) \$120,000

Sub-Total \$766,000

TOTAL <u>\$900,000</u>

#### 2005 BASIC CAPITAL BUDGET Reference #W3 **DEPARTMENT: Corporate Services** PROGRAM: Asbestos **DIVISION: Facilities Design and Management SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:** Hazardous Materials Abatement and Management **SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:** Asbestos Abatement in Various City owned buildings. **Project Total Previous Years** 2005 Advance **Other Sources Basic Capital** Funding Budget Cost Approval of Funding Carried Requested **Forward Project Costs** \$600,000 \$405,000 \$0 \$0 \$195,000 BUDGET (Include functional breakdown): OTHER FUNDING SOURCES Direct Labour \$ Senior Governments \$ Materials \$ Property Owners \$ Equipment \$ DCL/CAC funding \$ Contract \$195,00 Other (please specify e.g. Translink, ICBC, \$ donation) Overhead Other (specify basis) \$ **Total** \$195,000 **Total Other Funding Sources** COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS: The benefits of conducting the above work is to remove the risk associated with certain Hazardous Materials that is a Health and Safety issue for City Staff and the General public and the reduction of sanctions and fines from WCB. IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET: 2005 2006 2007 (Added Basic) 0 \$ 0 PROJECT SUMMARY: (Consider Objectives, Scope, Timing, Costs, & Functional breakdown) **OBJECTIVES:** THE REMOVAL AND DISPOSAL OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS FROM IDENTIFIED LOCATIONS THAT IS A HEALTH AND SAFETY CONCERN. SCOPE: C421 (City Hall) – Disposal of delaminated Asbestos Containing Ceiling tiles throughout identified floors. We 1) will be allocating funds of \$70,000 from 2004 budget to complete the first phase of replacement in the areas of the planned renovations for 2<sup>nd</sup> floor (Human Resources) and the sub-ground (HR training rooms). Another \$20,000 is needed to maintain the remaining floors during minor maintenance work. The removal and disposal of delaminated mechanical piping throughout \$10000. This work is mandatory as this material is quite friable and can pose a risk to the person and personnel working around the area accessing the ceiling tile. Total Amount required \$100,000. (continue on following page) IMPACT OF DELAY Possible fines and sanctions against the City of Vancouver PROJECT TIMING: Start when funding is approved. FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY: Order Group: **Order Number:**

# 2005 BASIC CAPITAL BUDGET Reference #W3 **DEPARTMENT: Corporate Services** PROGRAM: Asbestos **DIVISION: Facilities Design and Management SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:** Hazardous Materials Abatement and Management PROJECT SUMMARY (continued) 2) A086 (Queen Elizabeth Theatre) Removal of Asbestos Textured Ceiling in Playhouse hallway in order to access damaged ACM insulated mechanical piping. Removal and disposal of ACM Damaged and delaminated mechanical insulation throughout building. Total amount required \$30,000 3) Libraries – The removal and encapsulation of damaged Asbestos Containing Material (ACM) mechanical insulation in various libraries. Total amount required \$5,000 Fire Halls - The removal and encapsulation of damaged ACM mechanical insulation in various Fire Halls 4) #1, 17, 9, 15. Total Amount required \$5,000 A872 (Manitoba Works Yard) - The removal and encapsulation of damaged ACM mechanical insulation. 5) Total amount required \$5,000 A761 (Old City Analyst Lab) - The removal and encapsulation of damaged ACM mechanical insulation **6**) and delaminated textured ACM ceilings. Total amount required \$5,000 7) E939 (Pacific Space Centre) - Removal and disposal of ACM Mechanical insulation and floor tile in various areas. Total amount required \$20,000 Various Locations - Damaged and delaminated mechanical insulation, Floor tile, drywall filler, etc. Total 8) amount required \$15,000 9) Hazardous Material Bulk and air Sample Analysis (Indoor air Quality, Mould, PCB, Lead, Asbestos). Total amount required \$10,000 Total Amount needed \$195,000

2005 BASIC CAPITA	L BUD	GET				Referen	ce # W4
DEPARTMENT: Corporate S DIVISION: Facility Design &		nent	]	PROG	RAM: Structural	and Nonstructural	Mitigation
SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE: Nonstructural Mitigation Program							
SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:							
	Project Cos		Previous Y Fundir Carrie Forwar	ng ed	2005 Advance Approval	Other Sources of Funding	Basic Capital Budget Requested
Project Costs	\$100,	000	\$0		\$	\$	\$100,000
-	ļ.		ļ		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
BUDGET (Include functional	breakdow	/n):		ОТН	ER FUNDING SO	OURCES	
Direct Labour		\$		Senio	or Governments		\$
Materials		\$		Prop	erty Owners		\$
Equipment		\$			/CAC funding		\$
Contract		\$100,0	000	Othe		g. Translink, ICBC,	\$
Overhead		\$		dona			\$
Other (specify basis)		\$					\$
•	Total	\$100,0	000		Total Other	r Funding Sources	\$
COST SAVING AND OTHE Improved emergency prepared		TS:					
IMPACT ON OPERATING	BUDGET:		2005		2006	2007	
(Added Basic) N/A			\$		\$	\$	
PROJECT SUMMARY: (Co	nsider Ob	jectives,	Scope, Tim	ing, Co	osts, & Functional	breakdown)	
OBJECTIVES: Continues ongoing program of	nonstructur	al seism	nic mitigation	within	City Hall campus	buildings.	
SCOPE: To secure nonstructural elements (light fixtures, equipment, shelving, etc.) within office areas and exit routes in conjunction with projects already approved.							
IMPACT OF DELAY N/A							
PROJECT TIMING: Ongoing in conjunction with major renovations.							
FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY: Order Group: Order Number:							

#### 2005 BASIC CAPITAL BUDGET Reference #W5 DEPARTMENT: CORPORATE SERVICES PROGRAM: PHYSICAL PLANT UPGRADES **DIVISION: Building Services** SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE: **Maintenance Restoration** SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION: HVAC - Replacement of Carnegie Centre Boiler **Project Total** Previous Years 2005 Advance Other Sources **Basic Capital** Cost **Funding** Approval of Funding Budget Carried Requested **Forward** \$140,000 \$60,000 \$80,000 **Project Costs** BUDGET (Include functional breakdown): OTHER FUNDING SOURCES Direct Labour Senior Governments \$ Materials \$ **Property Owners** DCL/CAC funding Equipment \$ \$ \$ 140,000 Contract Other (please specify e.g. Translink, ICBC, donation) Overhead Other (specify basis) \$ \$ **Total** \$ 140,000 **Total Other Funding Sources** COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS: IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET: 2005 2006 2007 (Added Basic) \$ PROJECT SUMMARY: (Consider Objectives, Scope, Timing, Costs, & Functional breakdown) **OBJECTIVES:** The triage inventory completed for the Facilities Strategic Plan identified the need to replace several older installations with more reliable units. Advances in energy efficient hydronic hearing systems could improve efficiency ratings from 40 to 50% to modern standards. The replacement listed below is deemed most urgent due to age and critical use. A failure during winter months with an 8 to 10 week delivery time would result in huge disruption and the facility possibly being closed until repairs were completed. SCOPE: REPLACE CARNEGIE CENTRE BOILER The scope has changed since 1999 original submission due to deterioration of the chimney and supply piping/circulation pumps. Additional funding is required. IMPACT OF DELAY Failure in winter months would result in facility disruption. PROJECT TIMING: Start when funding is approved. FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY: **Order Group: Order Number:**

Reference # W6

DEPARTMENT: CORPORATE SERVICES

PROGRAM: PHYSICAL PLANT UPGRADES

**DIVISION: Building Services** 

#### **SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:**

**Maintenance Restoration** 

#### SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

West Annex (Van-City) Elevator Modernization

	Project Total Cost	Previous Years Funding Carried Forward	2005 Advance Approval	Other Sources of Funding	Basic Capital Budget Requested
Project Costs	\$200,000	\$120,000		\$	\$80,000

BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):		OTHER FUNDING SOURCES		
Direct Labour	\$	Senior Governments	\$	
Materials	\$	Property Owners	\$	
Equipment	\$	DCL/CAC funding	\$	
Contract	\$ 200,000	Other (please specify e.g. Translink, ICBC, donation)	\$	
Overhead	\$	,	\$	
Other (specify basis)	\$		\$	
Total	\$ 200,000	Total Other Funding Sources	\$	

## **COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:**

IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:	2005	2006	2007
(Added Basic)	\$	\$	\$

# PROJECT SUMMARY: (Consider Objectives, Scope, Timing, Costs, & Functional breakdown) OBJECTIVES:

As identified in Facilities Study many elevators are in the 30 to 50 years old range. Major components have worn to the point where reliable service cannot be ensured throught regular maintenance only. Modernization of controllers, traveling cables, hydraulic systems and handicapped accessibility is required.

#### SCOPE:

Major replacement of all elevator components

#### IMPACT OF DELAY

Continued and increasing interruption of service as aging components fail

#### **PROJECT TIMING:**

Start at receipt of funding

#### FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:

2005	RΔ		$C\Delta$	DIT	' Δ T	RI	ID	GET	٦
$\angle(I,I,I,I)$	$\mathbf{D} \mathbf{A}'$	) I (	L.A	MILL	AL	DU	ノレノ	CIEL	

Reference #W7

**DEPARTMENT: CORPORATE SERVICES** 

PROGRAM: PHYSICAL PLANT UPGRADES

**DIVISION: Building Services** 

## **SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:**

**Maintenance Restoration** 

#### SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

HVAC – Replace Museums/Space Centre Humidification Systems

	Project Total Cost	Previous Years Funding Carried Forward	2005 Advance Approval	Other Sources of Funding	Basic Capital Budget Requested
Project Costs	\$90,000	\$	\$	\$	\$90,000

BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):		OTHER FUNDING SOURCES		
Direct Labour	\$	Senior Governments	\$	
Materials	\$	Property Owners	\$	
Equipment	\$	DCL/CAC funding	\$	
Contract	\$ 90,000	Other (please specify e.g. Translink, ICBC, donation)	\$	
Overhead	\$		\$	
Other (specify basis)	\$		\$	
Total	\$ 90,000	Total Other Funding Sources	\$	

#### **COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:**

IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:	2005	2006	2007
(Added Basic)	\$	\$	\$

# PROJECT SUMMARY: (Consider Objectives, Scope, Timing, Costs, & Functional breakdown) OBJECTIVES:

The humidifier systems serving Museums Gallery and Space Centre are 35 years old. Replacement with newer technology will allow standard of control for climate conditions to meet current requirements.

#### SCOPE:

Replace existing humidification system

#### IMPACT OF DELAY

Current systems are aged to where required control is difficult. Unstable climate conditions adversely affect Museum artifacts and sophisticated Space Centre electronics.

## PROJECT TIMING:

Start when funding is approved.

#### FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:

Reference # W8

**DEPARTMENT: CORPORATE SERVICES** 

PROGRAM: PHYSICAL PLANT UPGRADES

**DIVISION: Building Services** 

## **SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:**

**Maintenance Restoration** 

#### SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

HVAC - Replace Firehall #1 Rooftop Units

	Project Total Cost	Previous Years Funding Carried Forward	2005 Advance Approval	Other Sources of Funding	Basic Capital Budget Requested
Project Costs	\$60,000	\$	\$	\$	\$60,000

BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):		OTHER FUNDING SOURCES		
Direct Labour	\$	Senior Governments	\$	
Materials	\$	Property Owners	\$	
Equipment	\$	DCL/CAC funding	\$	
Contract	\$ 60,000	Other (please specify e.g. Translink, ICBC, donation)	\$	
Overhead	\$		\$	
Other (specify basis)	\$		\$	
Total	\$ 60,000	Total Other Funding Sources	\$	

#### **COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:**

IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:	2005	2006	2007	
(Added Basic)	\$	\$	\$	

PROJECT SUMMARY: (Consider Objectives, Scope, Timing, Costs, & Functional breakdown)

#### **OBJECTIVES:**

The equipment used for heating and cooling is nearing the end of its designed life which means that compressors and heat exchangers may fail. Reassesment of systems and replacement will be more cost-effective than repairs.

#### **SCOPE:**

Replace existing rooftop HVAC units

#### IMPACT OF DELAY

Equipment failure would result in 6 to 8 week delivery time during which no ventilation could be provided.

## PROJECT TIMING:

Start when funding is approved.

#### FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:

#### 2005 BASIC CAPITAL BUDGET Reference # W9 DEPARTMENT: CORPORATE SERVICES PROGRAM: PHYSICAL PLANT UPGRADES **DIVISION: Building Services SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:** Maintenance Restoration SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Fire and Life Safety - 312 Main VPD Fire Suppression System **Project Total Previous Years** 2005 Advance **Other Sources Basic Capital Funding** Budget Cost Approval of Funding Carried Requested **Forward Project Costs** \$450,000 **\$0 \$0** \$ \$450,000 BUDGET (Include functional breakdown): OTHER FUNDING SOURCES Direct Labour \$ Senior Governments \$ Materials \$ Property Owners \$ Equipment \$ DCL/CAC funding \$ \$ 450,000 Other (please specify e.g. Translink, ICBC, \$ Contract donation) Overhead \$ Other (specify basis) \$ \$ **Total** \$ 450,000 **Total Other Funding Sources** COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS: IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET: 2005 2006 2007 (Added Basic) PROJECT SUMMARY: (Consider Objectives, Scope, Timing, Costs, & Functional breakdown) **OBJECTIVES:** The existing fire suppression system at 312 Main does not meet current requirements for property protection. Replacement is Additional funding will be required in the 2006-2008 Plan for the Cordova Street Annex. This project will bring the Fire Alarm and Sprinkler system up to current codes. SCOPE: Upgrade Fire Suppression systems IMPACT OF DELAY Property remains vulnerable. **PROJECT TIMING:** Start as soon as funding is approved. FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY: Order Group: **Order Number:**

2005 BASIC CAPITAL BUDGET  DEPARTMENT: CORPORATE SERVICES DIVISION: Building Services  Reference # 10  PROGRAM: PHYSICAL PLANT UPGRADES								
SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE: Maintenance Restoration								
SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Interior Upgrades – City Hall/East Wing								
	Project Total Cost Funding Carried Forward Cost Project Total Cost Funding Carried Forward Cost Forward Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost						Basic Capital Budget Requested	
Project Costs	\$242,0	000	\$		\$	\$	\$242,000	
BUDGET (Include functional	breakdow				ER FUNDING SO	DURCES	I o	
Direct Labour  Materials		\$ \$			or Governments		\$ \$	
Equipment		\$			erty Owners /CAC funding		\$	
Contract		\$ 242,0	000		r (please specify e.g	. Translink, ICBC,	\$	
Overhead		\$					\$	
Other (specify basis)		\$					\$	
COST SAVING AND OTHER	Total	\$ 242,0	000		Total Other	Funding Sources	\$	
		15.						
IMPACT ON OPERATING	BUDGET:		2005			2007	-	
(Added Basic)			\$		\$	•		
PROJECT SUMMARY: (Co OBJECTIVES:	onsider Ob	ectives,	Scope, Timi	ing, Co	osts, & Functional	breakdown)		
Repainting and replacement of floor coverings, ceiling tile, stair nosing, furniture, lighting, doors etc. fall into the category of interior functional and aesthetic improvements. The maintenance mandate is to extend the quality of "what is" as long as possible. There is a point where simply because of age and heavy use these components must be replaced.								
SCOPE:								
Replace interior components as	defined ab	ove.						
IMPACT OF DELAY								
Continued degradation of City	Hall appear	ance						
PROJECT TIMING:								
Start when funding a	pproved							
EOD DUDGET OFFICE LICE	ONIT V							
FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE Order Group:	EUNLY:		Order Nu	ımber	:			

2005 BASIC CAPITA	2005 BASIC CAPITAL BUDGET Reference #W11					W11	
DEPARTMENT: CORPORA DIVISION: Building Services	TE SERV				PROGRAM: PHY	SICAL PLANT UI	
SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT Maintenance Restoration	CT TITLE:	:					
SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT Plumbing and Drainage	CT DESCR	RIPTIO	N:				
	Project Cos		Previous Y Fundin Carrie Forwar	g d	2005 Advance Approval	Other Sources of Funding	Basic Capital Budget Requested
<b>Project Costs</b>	\$100,0	000	\$		\$	\$	\$100,000
				ı			
<b>BUDGET</b> (Include functional	breakdow	m):		OTH	ER FUNDING SO	OURCES	
Direct Labour		\$			or Governments		\$
Materials		\$			erty Owners		\$
Equipment		\$ 100.0	200		CAC funding	Translink ICDC	\$
Contract		\$ 100,0	J00	dona	r (please specify e.g	. Translink, ICBC,	\$
Overhead		\$		dona	iioii)		\$
Other (specify basis)		\$					\$
	Total	\$ 100,0	000		Total Other	Funding Sources	\$
COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:							
IMPACT ON OPERATING I (Added Basic)	BUDGET:		2005 \$			2007 \$	-
(Added Dasic)			Ψ		Ψ	Ψ	
PROJECT SUMMARY: (Co OBJECTIVES:	nsider Obj	jectives,	Scope, Timi	ng, Co	osts, & Functional	breakdown)	
As identified in Facilities Strate piping. Failures in plumbing ar perspectives. Mould forms from develop leaks.	nd drainage	systems	are a primar	y caus	e of building damag	e from material and	health/safety
SCOPE:							
Replace aged plumbing supply	piping (City	y Hall aı	nd East Wing	)			
IMPACT OF DELAY Potential for property damage							
PROJECT TIMING: Start when funding approved	PROJECT TIMING: Start when funding approved.						
FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE Order Group:	E ONLY:		Order Nı	ımber	:		

#### 2005 BASIC CAPITAL BUDGET Reference # W12 DEPARTMENT: CORPORATE SERVICES PROGRAM: PHYSICAL PLANT UPGRADES **DIVISION: Building Services SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:** Maintenance Restoration SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Exterior Upgrades – QET Spalling Repairs **Project Total** 2005 Advance **Previous Years Other Sources Basic Capital Funding** Budget Cost Approval of Funding Carried Requested **Forward Project Costs** \$84,000 \$ \$ \$ \$84,000 BUDGET (Include functional breakdown): OTHER FUNDING SOURCES Direct Labour \$ Senior Governments \$ Materials \$ Property Owners \$ Equipment \$ DCL/CAC funding \$ \$ 84,000 Other (please specify e.g. Translink, ICBC, \$ Contract donation) Overhead \$ Other (specify basis) \$ \$ \$ 84,000 **Total Other Funding Sources** Total COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS: IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET: 2005 2006 2007 (Added Basic) \$ PROJECT SUMMARY: (Consider Objectives, Scope, Timing, Costs, & Functional breakdown) **OBJECTIVES:** The exterior vertical surfaces of the QET/Playhouse Theatres is spalling. Chunks have fallen to the street below. As identified in the Facilities Strategy exterior repairs are required. SCOPE: Repair spalling concrete on QET/Playhouse exterior vertical surfaces IMPACT OF DELAY Continued degradation of the structure and potential accident **PROJECT TIMING:** Start when funding is approved (undertaken in Summer 2005) FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY: Order Group: **Order Number:**

2005 BASIC CAPITA	L BUDGET		Reference #W13													
DEPARTMENT: CORPORA			PROGRAM: PHYSICAL PLANT UPGRADES													
<b>DIVISION: Building Services</b>	1															
SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:																
Maintenance Restoration																
SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:																
Elevator Upgrades – QET Hydraulic Cylinder																
_	Project Total	Previous Y		2005 Advance	Other Sources	Basic Capital										
	Cost	Fundin Carrie		Approval	of Funding	Budget Requested										
		Forwar				Requesteu										
Project Costs	\$70,000	\$		\$	\$	\$70,000										
BUDGET (Include functional			OTHER FUNDING SOURCES													
Direct Labour  Materials	\$ \$			or Governments		\$										
Equipment	\$			erty Owners /CAC funding		\$										
Contract	\$			(please specify e.g	g. Translink, ICBC,	\$										
			dona	tion)												
Overhead	\$					\$										
Other (specify basis)	Total \$			Total Other	· Funding Sources	\$										
COST SAVING AND OTHER				Total Other	Tunung bources	Ψ										
IMPACT ON OPERATING I	RUDGET.	2005		2006	2007											
(Added Basic)	BCDGE1.	\$		\$	\$	-										
PROJECT SUMMARY: (Co	nsider Ohiectives	Scone Timi	ing Ca	ests & Functional	hreakdown)											
OBJECTIVES:	nsider Objectives,	scope, 1 min	ing, Ct	sts, & Functional	bicakuowii)											
			_													
The hydraulic cylinder driving given the and capacity loads thi	-	-	-		t by consultant recor	nmends that										
given the and capacity loads thi	s unit experiences i	epiacement i	s requi	ieu.												
SCOPE:																
Replace freight elevator hydrau	lic cylinder															
IMPACT OF DELAY																
Potential for accident.																
PROJECT TIMING:																
Start on approval of funding																
EOD DUDCET OFFICE LISE ONLY.																
FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY: Order Group: Order Number:																
1																

Reference #W14

**DEPARTMENT: CORPORATE SERVICES** 

PROGRAM: PHYSICAL PLANT UPGRADES

**DIVISION: Building Services** 

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:** 

**Maintenance Restoration** 

#### **SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

Interior Upgrades – QET Lobby Furniture

	Project Total Cost	Previous Years Funding Carried Forward	2005 Advance Approval	Other Sources of Funding	Basic Capital Budget Requested
Project Costs	\$92,000	\$	\$	\$	\$92,000

BUDGET (Include functional breakdow	'n):		
Direct Labour	\$	Senior Governments	\$
Materials	\$	Property Owners	\$
Equipment	\$	DCL/CAC funding	\$
Contract	\$ 92,000	Other (please specify e.g. Translink, ICBC, donation)	\$
Overhead	\$		\$
Other (specify basis)	\$		\$
Total	\$92,000	Total Other Funding Sources	\$

#### **COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:**

IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:	2005	2006	2007
(Added Basic)	\$	\$	\$

PROJECT SUMMARY: (Consider Objectives, Scope, Timing, Costs, & Functional breakdown) OBJECTIVES:

Repainting and replacement of floor coverings, ceiling tile, stair nosing, furniture, lighting, doors etc. fall into the category of interior functional and aesthetic improvements. The maintenance mandate is to extend the quality of "what is" as long as possible. There is a point where simply because of age and heavy use these components must be replaced.

#### SCOPE:

This project will focus on the replacement of the Lobby furniture of the Queen Elizabeth Theatre.

#### IMPACT OF DELAY

Continued aesthetic degradation

#### PROJECT TIMING:

Start on receipt of funding

																				ì		

Order Group:

Order Number: