

Fast-Tracking Rental Housing and Small Hotels - New Mixed-Use Commercial District - Other

Date Received	Time Created	Subject	Position	Content	Author Name	Neighborhood	Attachment
2026-05-05	18:52	Fast-Tracking Rental Housing and Small Hotels - New Mixed-Use Commercial District	Other	<p>Thank you. Please post my comments, below, sent at 4:37 pm May 5, 2026 onto the Public Hearing Agenda "CORRESPONDENCE" section and under opposed. RE: your information below --- "All correspondence is shared as submitted" Thank you Grace</p> <p>From: Speaker Request Sent: Tuesday, May 05, 2026 4:54 PM To: Grace MacKenzie ; Speaker Request Subject: RE: 2. Fast-Tracking Rental Housing and Small Hotels - New Mixed-Use Commercial District</p> <p>Hi Grace:</p> <p>Thank you for your message.</p> <p>Under section 13.12 of the Procedure Bylaw, public comments are posted in full on the City's website to keep the process open and transparent. All correspondence is shared as submitted, including any duplicate or repeat entries. The Mayor and Council retain discretion to determine whether comments are relevant to the merits of the application.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <hr/> <p>City Clerk's Office City of Vancouver Email: speaker.request@vancouver.ca</p> <p>From: Grace MacKenzie Sent: May 5, 2026 4:37 PM To: Speaker Request Subject: 2. Fast-Tracking Rental Housing and Small Hotels - New Mixed-Use Commercial District</p> <p>RE Public Hearing May 5 2026: 2. Fast-Tracking Rental Housing and Small Hotels – New Mixed-Use Commercial District What do the 'support' in the comments section of the agenda from 'Bruce Means' have to do with this Item? Why would they be listed</p> <p>RE Public Hearing May 5 2026: 2. Fast-Tracking Rental Housing and Small Hotels – New Mixed-Use Commercial District What do the 'support' in the comments section of the agenda from 'Bruce Means' have to do with this Item? Why would they be listed here to confuse the Mayor and Council that there was this much support for an Item when the comments have nothing to do with the Agenda Item #2? Surely there is a rule that the comments must relate to the Item, is there such a rule? When speaking at a public hearing one must stick to information regarding the Item, why does this not apply to written "COMMENTS" on an agenda Item? This is very confusing to a lay person. Will the City Clerks Office please clear this up for me? I oppose the Item because this does not seem to me to be a fair way to make a decision to change a whole Zoning District. A letter should be sent out to every property owner who will be affected by this change for them to make their comments on this change. Thank you kindly, Grace</p>	Grace MacKenzie		