

CD-1 (363) Text Amendment: 1055-1085 Canada Place and 1001-1045 West Waterfront Road - Oppose

Date Received	Time Created	Case ID	Subject	Position	Content	Author Name	Author Email	Neighborhood	Attachment
2026-04-13	17:46	201003248703	CD-1 (363) Text Amendment: 1055-1085 Canada Place and 1001-1045 West Waterfront Road	Oppose	This is an insane proposal. We already have enough risks in our sacred Harbour with seaplanes and heavy boat traffic. This hotel goes against everything that has been upheld in our community for so long. How can we have such strict zoning, use and bylaw regulations, then allow a 250 room hotel to float in the water, increasing pollution and traffic? Above many other obvious issues. There are so many available redevelopment sites to build another hotel. Why can't they go through the proven process of building a hotel on land like everyone else has to? In addition to the environmental and other issues, I would assume this poses additional fire and liability risk. The city mandates multiple exits in buildings etc, yet they are proposing allowing a 250 room hotel with one access point on one small side of the hotel? As a local resident, I am disappointed this is even being considered.	Carter Kerzner	carter@hyattgroup.com	Downtown	
2026-04-13	17:47	201003248705	CD-1 (363) Text Amendment: 1055-1085 Canada Place and 1001-1045 West Waterfront Road	Oppose	A strong & forceful NO to the approval for a 250-room floating hotel in Coal Harbour	Peter Cawsey	pcawsey@shaw.ca	Riley Park	
2026-04-13	18:54	201003248819	CD-1 (363) Text Amendment: 1055-1085 Canada Place and 1001-1045 West Waterfront Road	Oppose	I vehemently oppose the proposed floating hotel. There is already a lot of congestion and hotels traffic on or near the waterfront. Please don't ruin the natural environment of our city and waterfront more than it already has been.	Bobbie Phillips	bpvancouver@gmail.com	Downtown	
2026-04-13	19:05	201003248832	CD-1 (363) Text Amendment: 1055-1085 Canada Place and 1001-1045 West Waterfront Road	Oppose	I strongly oppose this motion. The proposed floating hotel will block views of the waterfront that residents & visitors come to area to enjoy. It will not be subject to city inspections & oversights. It will cause environmental damage via "Grey water" / effluent going into already compromised water way, harming existing water life. Please do not sellout our waterways.	Teresa Sankey	teresa.i.sankey@gmail.com	Downtown	
2026-04-13	20:00	201003248851	CD-1 (363) Text Amendment: 1055-1085 Canada Place and 1001-1045 West Waterfront Road	Oppose	Floatel in that vicinity will diminish public viewscapes along Coal Harbour's waterfront and cause harm to the aquatic and shore environment well beyond its marine 'seaprint' just for a privileged few.	B Lynn Shepherd	blynnshepherd@gmail.com	Kitsilano	

CD-1 (363) Text Amendment: 1055-1085 Canada Place and 1001-1045 West Waterfront Road - Oppose

Date Received	Time Created	Case ID	Subject	Position	Content	Author Name	Author Email	Neighborhood	Attachment
2026-04-13	20:35	201003248871	CD-1 (363) Text Amendment: 1055-1085 Canada Place and 1001-1045 West Waterfront Road	Oppose	We lose our beautiful waterfront This bypasses necessary controls by the city	Carol Downton	dcdownton@gmail.com	Downtown	
2026-04-13	20:42	201003248873	CD-1 (363) Text Amendment: 1055-1085 Canada Place and 1001-1045 West Waterfront Road	Oppose	Our waterfront is beautiful as it is. I oppose an internationally owned "Floathell" which has no building codes/restrictions on its development and which will only add congestion, pollution and take away shoreline and views. This is not only unnecessary but a disgraceful lack of respect for Vancouver. Fund our Lifeguards instead! This is important for safety in our once lovely city.	Barbara Silver	bdsilv@yahoo.com	Kitsilano	
2026-04-14	08:09	201003248998	CD-1 (363) Text Amendment: 1055-1085 Canada Place and 1001-1045 West Waterfront Road	Oppose	Please turn down the hotel-barge proposal, because it will block and privatize an important public view — see attached photo and site plan. And because it wants to be a "free rider" not paying DCCs and CACs (unlike land-based hotels who do pay).	Robert Renger	robr29@gmail.com	Fairview	Attachment 1 Attachment 2
2026-04-14	08:35	201003249053	CD-1 (363) Text Amendment: 1055-1085 Canada Place and 1001-1045 West Waterfront Road	Oppose	I don't believe this belongs on our beautiful waterfront	V. Niddrie	vniddrie@gmail.com	Downtown	

CD-1 (363) Text Amendment: 1055-1085 Canada Place and 1001-1045 West Waterfront Road - Oppose

Date Received	Time Created	Case ID	Subject	Position	Content	Author Name	Author Email	Neighborhood	Attachment
2026-04-14	10:52	201003249457	CD-1 (363) Text Amendment: 1055-1085 Canada Place and 1001-1045 West Waterfront Road	Oppose	<p>The proposal runs afoul of existing city policies, which never fathomed a permanent floatel in Vancouver Harbour. The floatel’s proposed height is more than double that permitted at the nearby marina. It will partially obstruct views of the harbour and north shore along the seawall, the panoramic views at the end of Burrard Street, the Vancouver Convention Center and Canada Place. It also raises questions about environmental impacts in a sensitive marine environment and sewage management.</p> <p>The floatel will not be subject to the same city inspections as other hotels. No building permit. No occupancy permit. That means the City will have less oversight on building safety, fire protection, structural integrity, or evacuation procedures. Instead, the applicant is proposing to use a third party - based overseas - for critical safety inspections. That should alarm everyone.</p> <p>The developer is only offering dock access to the floatel as their public benefit – an insult compared to the scale of what’s being taken from the public. The applicant refused to provide the \$3.6 million in community contributions the City requested.</p> <p>Council should be focusing on making our city more affordable for working people, not more giveaways to developers.</p>	Debra Herst	bubostrix@gmail.com	Hastings-Sunrise	
2026-04-14	11:56	201003249717	CD-1 (363) Text Amendment: 1055-1085 Canada Place and 1001-1045 West Waterfront Road	Oppose	I oppose this	Karen Orth	karenorth@gmail.com	West Point Grey	
2026-04-14	12:02	201003249740	CD-1 (363) Text Amendment: 1055-1085 Canada Place and 1001-1045 West Waterfront Road	Oppose	Keep waterfront access available for all - this movement once again feels like the city selling itself piece by piece to the very rich. I see tourists taking pictures and enjoying the sights, and local families enjoying the waterfront. An unsightly hotel would ruin the access for everyone.	Christine Quintana	christine@delinquenttheatre.com	Grandview-Woodland	

CD-1 (363) Text Amendment: 1055-1085 Canada Place and 1001-1045 West Waterfront Road - Oppose

Date Received	Time Created	Case ID	Subject	Position	Content	Author Name	Author Email	Neighborhood	Attachment
2026-04-14	16:12	201003250671	CD-1 (363) Text Amendment: 1055-1085 Canada Place and 1001-1045 West Waterfront Road	Oppose	<p>I've lived in coal harbour for over a decade and possibly the best seawall view is from this location, which will be obstructed by the vessel. It has a panoramic view, is elevated, and is easily accessible. Tourists take pictures here all summer.</p> <p>Significantly better public infrastructure would be needed from the developer to make the exchange worth it. Possibly a large dock with nice public seating, playground, and an elevated public terrace could make it worth it. At the moment I only see a small dock which won't feel public as it's overshadowed by the large vessel and will likely have staff manning the doors right beside anyone trying to enjoy it. Looks to be expensive dining and hotel rooms, while what we need are affordable downtown hotels. Not 5 star yachts that block the best view of our beloved seawall.</p> <p>—</p> <p>Also critical for any hotel vessel is use exclusively shore power and never run motors or generators that make noise and pollute.</p>	Samuel Roland	samuel.roland@gmail.com	Downtown	
2026-04-14	16:42	201003250771	CD-1 (363) Text Amendment: 1055-1085 Canada Place and 1001-1045 West Waterfront Road	Oppose	<p>This is not appropriate. Build on the land or move this to the port near Main Street.</p> <p>This is one of the most visited areas of the seawall, and the view of the water and mountains should not be obstructed, including for surrounding hotels and buildings.</p> <p>This is another attempt to reduce community contributions and also use the waterfront. The water and views are what make Vancouver unique.</p> <p>Enough of the buildings along the front of the water. Removing the view cones was an error, and this should not be approved in this location.</p> <p>Stop looking at short-term solutions that only benefit a few.</p>	Sue Grant	sgrant@firstfund.ca	Downtown	

CD-1 (363) Text Amendment: 1055-1085 Canada Place and 1001-1045 West Waterfront Road - Oppose

Date Received	Time Created	Case ID	Subject	Position	Content	Author Name	Author Email	Neighborhood	Attachment
2026-04-14	16:47	201003250781	CD-1 (363) Text Amendment: 1055-1085 Canada Place and 1001-1045 West Waterfront Road	Oppose	<p>Disagree with a floating hotel on the water.</p> <p>The waterfront should be open to views of water and mountains. It is what makes Vancouver unique.</p> <p>The Convention Centre area already has too much traffic especially in the summers with cruise ships, tourists, and conventions. There needs to be open space for people to enjoy the outdoor and views that make people around the world return to, and locals value.</p>	C G	cheryl.grant@hotmail.com	Kitsilano	