

**City of Vancouver Official Development Plan - Other**

Date Received	Time Created	Subject	Position	Content	Author Name	Neighborhood	Attachment
2026-03-07	13:16	City of Vancouver Official Development Plan	Other	<p>I would like to see more density spread throughout the city and not just on arterials.</p> <p>There's little reason that entire blocks of single family homes between arterial shouldn't be redeveloped into low rise higher density projects.</p> <p>The model for this is in places like Westbrook village at UBC - one of the most desirable neighbourhoods in the Vancouver region, yet not a single family home to be found.</p> <p>With proper modal filtering and transit, ,micro mobility, etc, there's plenty of room for these projects between arterials, as well. They simply need to have a structured design plan for the entire arterial-to-arterial block.</p>	Christopher Vidito	Kensington-Cedar Cottage	
2026-03-07	15:12	City of Vancouver Official Development Plan	Other	<p>1) There are FAR too many areas rezoned for density (mid-rise and high-rise 1 and 2) on main roads/busy streets compared to density in quiet back roads. This needs to change and there needs to be more allowing for low and mid-rises in the back streets.</p> <p>2) There are far too little areas zoned for mixed use. Most of the residential only low/mid/high rises should default to mixed-use.</p> <p>3) The whole area of industrial-only in Mt Pleasant needs to be changed ASAP. That is prime mixed use land that is currently completely underutilized by keeping it zoned industrial.</p>	Federico Ziza	Mount Pleasant	
2026-03-07	21:13	City of Vancouver Official Development Plan	Other	<p>I support the idea of increasing density in Vancouver, but only if the new builds are actually livable units. There needs to be a size minimum (say 600 sq ft for a 1 bedroom), and not a shoebox. There also needs to be a higher priority on multiple bedroom units and minimal studios.</p>	Dana Caudle	Fairview	
2026-03-08	16:07	City of Vancouver Official Development Plan	Other	<p>I spent a bit of time looking through the ODP, and I noticed vague and unspecific nods to the importance of protecting the urban environment but no reference that I noted to the importance of urban trees or any future plan to increase the tree canopy over the life of this plan. I view this as a huge omission that must be addressed to provide protection and resilience in the face of climate disruption and global warming.</p>	Kate Vincent	Hastings-Sunrise	