

City of Vancouver Official Development Plan - Oppose

Date Received	Time Created	Subject	Position	Content	Author Name	Neighborhood	Attachment
2026-03-10	22:12	City of Vancouver Official Development Plan	Oppose	I have lived in Vancouver 30+ years and have seen too much deterioration of livability. The city has become very generic, is losing what made it beautiful. (Can barely see the mountains!) Too many high rises as solution to housing, not enough parks, community centres (with pools!) cleaner streets, LESS development (enriching only the developers), more infrastructure please!	Danielle Bugeaud	Mount Pleasant	
2026-03-10	23:02	City of Vancouver Official Development Plan	Oppose	I almost despise the city-wrecker ABC & its side pawns too much to comment uselessly on anything ever. Almost. This is what City of Vancouver mockocracy has fashed itself into. Meanwhile, a passel of worthy experts have told you pushbutton seller-outers why this ODP is garbage. So no need for me to reword their points. Listen to people who know much more than you ever will. Do NOT clear this Oreshnik Devastation Projectile for a landing in Vancouver.	Joseph Jones	Kensington-Cedar Cottage	
2026-03-10	23:22	City of Vancouver Official Development Plan	Oppose	Allowing applications to bypass the public hearing process, no matter the size of the project, will allow developers to continue to build unaffordable housing. Vancouver will become midtown Manhattan. Only for the wealthy.	Maureen Wilson		
2026-03-10	23:36	City of Vancouver Official Development Plan	Oppose	As a Vancouver resident I would like to record my objection to the ODP in its current form, specifically the plan for intensive, high-rise developments in Kitsilano and Point Grey. It is inconceivable to me why this is even being considered - this is the opposite of the affordable, low and medium density pattern of accommodation, with the appropriate level of parks, green spaces and community facilities, that is both appropriate and is legally mandated. The city, and more broadly the country, is full of empty accommodation units - many overpriced and built with publicly-funded soft loans - which undermines any rationale to create more in areas like Kitsilano and Point Grey. There are multiple alternative plans and, as well as registering my opposition to the existing plan, I would like to request that they be considered. Thank you Best wishes, Mervyn Patterson ██████████	Mervyn Patterson	Kitsilano	
2026-03-11	09:54	City of Vancouver Official Development Plan	Oppose	I oppose many things about the plan itself, particularly the way it replaces *planning* around Vancouver's existing neighborhoods with transit routes and heirarchies of density around them. The city is more than a network of transit routes, it is a pre-existing fabric of neighborhoods (housing, businesses, community amenities, parks) that deserve to be respected and considered wholistically, not only for the sake of residents who live here already but also for future generations. I am a mom with a young child. The city that this plan envisions and the way that it treats residents is deplorable. Vancouverites overwhelmingly oppose the proliferation of tower forms and have been shown no evidence to date - esp in light of recent population/demographic changes and economic outlooks - that the density envisioned by this ODP is desirable for our children or necessary for our province and country overall.	J Mackenzie	Grandview-Woodland	
2026-03-11	10:15	City of Vancouver Official Development Plan	Oppose	We were told from planning dept that the vacant neighbouring lot next to our high rise would only be 8 storeys. Now this map shows that a high rise can be put there. Will the community get a chance to oppose this when the time comes or will this eliminate the need for city to let community have a say?	Candice Petrowski	Downtown	
2026-03-11	10:15	City of Vancouver Official Development Plan	Oppose	I oppose the densification of this area because destroys the neighborhood. I oppose high rises in this area that block sunlight and destroy the environment and neighbourhood communities. OPPOSE .	James Chue	Kitsilano	

City of Vancouver Official Development Plan - Oppose

Date Received	Time Created	Subject	Position	Content	Author Name	Neighborhood	Attachment
2026-03-11	10:19	City of Vancouver Official Development Plan	Oppose	Stop the abuse. You are digging your own grave.	Anissa Moussi	I do not live in Vancouver	
2026-03-11	10:22	City of Vancouver Official Development Plan	Oppose	I 100% oppose this plan.	Nolan Berning	Fairview	
2026-03-11	10:24	City of Vancouver Official Development Plan	Oppose	I oppose the Official Development Plan (ODP) in its current form. I live in North Vancouver and have opposed similarly undesirable concepts here. They are not wanted by the majority of people I speak to, whom all feel that neighborhoods are being ruined for the sake of still unaffordable homes	Rich Rootes	I do not live in Vancouver	
2026-03-11	10:35	City of Vancouver Official Development Plan	Oppose	I oppose the Propose ODP. I believe I have the right to make decisions about my properly and future and do not consent to having that right limited. As a resident and property owner, I live here and care about the future of my city. Recent projects show a lack of proper planning, with rising prices, insufficient transportation, concerns about electricity and water supply and treatment, and roads not treated as essential city arteries. The city is building oversized developments instead of livable homes, leaving people felling crowded and locked into poorly planned spaces.	Liudmila Podgornaya	Fairview	
2026-03-11	10:36	City of Vancouver Official Development Plan	Oppose	I oppose	Steven Zuccaro	Downtown	
2026-03-11	10:44	City of Vancouver Official Development Plan	Oppose	This is a misguided approach that will not deliver what is promised for affordability. It should be stopped	Colin McGrath	Kitsilano	
2026-03-11	11:07	City of Vancouver Official Development Plan	Oppose	I oppose the plan. I oppose the resulting lack of future public hearings. In today's world inclusivity is vital. Leaving out voices and community concerns will not result in an overall plan from all necessary contributors and will lead to one sided decisions.	Katherine Reichert	Shaughnessy	
2026-03-11	11:09	City of Vancouver Official Development Plan	Oppose	I strongly oppose the adoption of this Official Development Plan. I agree with the comments from numerous urban experts on the many issues. I also oppose it due to the negative impacts on the West Kitsilano neighbourhood west of Vine St. due to the unnecessary negative impacts that will occur resulting in loss of neighbourhood affordability, heritage, family housing, and stability	Jan Pierce	Kitsilano	
2026-03-11	11:30	City of Vancouver Official Development Plan	Oppose	Council should reject or substantially revise the proposed ODP because it entrenches a flawed, speculative growth model, weakens democracy, and fails to protect renters or deliver real affordability. The plan is built on the 2022 Vancouver Plan, which senior planners describe as "very flawed" and adopted without meaningful public participation, yet it is being rushed under a provincial deadline for a 30-year city-shaping framework. It will override neighbourhood plans and centralize decision-making, erasing years of local, community-based planning work and further reducing democratic oversight. By upzoning large areas without strong, enforceable tenant protections and pace-of-change controls, the ODP will accelerate demovictions of existing affordable rentals, replicating the harms seen under the Broadway Plan where tenants face harassment, displacement, and higher rents even under so-called "protections." The plan prioritizes market-driven supply that inflates land values, while failing to guarantee non-market and deeply affordable housing or properly funded parks and amenities for the new growth it enables. Council should withdraw or vote down the ODP in its current form and seek stronger tenant protection, genuine public engagement, restored neighbourhood planning roles, and a clear focus on non-market affordability before proceeding with any new city-wide framework.	Vincent Galland	Killarney	

City of Vancouver Official Development Plan - Oppose

Date Received	Time Created	Subject	Position	Content	Author Name	Neighborhood	Attachment
2026-03-11	12:28	City of Vancouver Official Development Plan	Oppose	<p>I urge Council not to adopt the proposed city-wide Official Development Plan in its current form.</p> <p>The ODP represents a fundamental shift in how land-use decisions will be made in Vancouver. By establishing broad city-wide land-use permissions in a single by-law, the plan risks eliminating meaningful public oversight of future redevelopment. Projects that conform to these generalized designations could proceed without public hearings, removing one of the few remaining opportunities for residents and Council to review the real-world impacts of major changes in their neighbourhoods.</p> <p>Public hearings are not simply procedural—they are where infrastructure capacity, traffic impacts, school enrollment, parks, public safety, and neighbourhood livability are examined in detail. Removing that accountability before communities have seen how this plan will reshape their neighbourhoods is premature.</p> <p>Equally concerning is that the ODP is extremely high-level. It sets broad development permissions across the city without providing the detailed policies needed to ensure that growth is matched with infrastructure, amenities, and genuinely affordable housing. That imbalance risks accelerating redevelopment without the services that make neighbourhoods work. In practice, this creates a framework where density can increase rapidly, to the benefit of developers well-acquainted with the corridors and glitzy fundraising dinners of City Hall, while the supporting services that make neighbourhoods livable and vibrant lag behind.</p> <p>A plan that will guide Vancouver for decades should strengthen democratic accountability and public trust, not weaken them.</p> <p>Numerous experienced planners and urban experts have warned that the current ODP contains systemic flaws and should be withdrawn for reconsideration. When such broad professional concern exists about a generational planning document, it is prudent for Council to pause and refine the proposal rather than rush adoption.</p> <p>Council should pause this process, conduct deeper consultation, and bring forward a revised plan that maintains meaningful public oversight while responsibly planning for growth.</p>	Mark Stockton	Kitsilano	
2026-03-11	12:32	City of Vancouver Official Development Plan	Oppose	I strongly oppose The ODP for the reasons expressed in the West Point gray residence Association letter, as well as that from the coalition of Vancouver Neighbourhoods	Susan Copland	Kitsilano	
2026-03-11	13:06	City of Vancouver Official Development Plan	Oppose	This ODP is not community friendly and It's un democratic. I strongly oppose this plan. It will make Vancouver ugly and block the skylines and views. We need more services and green spaces, not high rise towers. It will make Vancouver claustrophobic. This issue is very important to me as a resident, homeowner, young mother, and voter.	Maizy Thorvaldson	Hastings-Sunrise	
2026-03-11	13:32	City of Vancouver Official Development Plan	Oppose	<p>I am writing to say that I oppose the Official Development Plan as it excludes public participation. Public participation is critical in a democracy and the province is doing everything possible to erode that. Municipalities like the City of Vancouver should stand strong against this violation of trust and erosion of democratic principles.</p> <p>Prohibiting public hearings and scrapping community plans is wrong. Please take a stand for our democracy.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>	Lisa Penney	Grandview-Woodland	

City of Vancouver Official Development Plan - Oppose

Date Received	Time Created	Subject	Position	Content	Author Name	Neighborhood	Attachment
2026-03-11	13:41	City of Vancouver Official Development Plan	Oppose	<p>I oppose the the changes proposed to the City of Vancouver Official Development Plan. This proposal ignores past community and neighbourhood plans and input. In the pursuit of questionably - needed more density it panders to developers and wealthy investors at the expense of the people who actually live in the neighbourhoods that will be impacted. Furthermore, the proposal to ban or restrict future community input at public hearings is plain wrong and an affront to democracy. Something ABC council members seem to be ok with. People are sick and tired of seeing Vancouver's heritage of livable neighbourhoods with their tree canopies and green spaces turned into souless concrete towers. So much for the greenest city ! There is an election coming in the fall and there will be feedback from Vancouver residents then like it or not.</p>	Elizabeth Tait	West Point Grey	
2026-03-11	14:17	City of Vancouver Official Development Plan	Oppose	<p>One of the most consequential changes embedded in the ODP is procedural (the prohibition of most public hearings). this is outrageous. Our taxes pay for your salaries and cover the city costs we need to have input.</p> <p>A focus on more and more towers and large blocky buildings everywhere. Viewlines, shadows from buildings and a general degrading of life is currently the norm with these plans.</p> <p>Scrapping of community plans in favour of a "one size fits all" approach, citywide. This does not work for anyone who lives here just the developers and city workers who are paid to check plans and make sure design are to bylaws.</p> <p>100,000 land parcels are affected in Vancouver, but almost no one has been notified. I certainly was not and this is a pattern. Even if you do notify us the plan is presented as a given not a proposal for revision and debate.</p> <p>Population projections courtesy of the real estate industry instead of official government numbers.</p> <p>No meaningful plans or funding for added infrastructure, parks, community centres, or schools. If you take away our green spaces on our private property with larger development and shadowed spaces where are the green spaces for us to enjoy now that we have no yards or gardens.</p> <p>Inadequate protections for renters.</p> <p>A focus on small, expensive units largely beyond the reach of people on median incomes. Already, there has been considerable land assembly as developers and speculators position themselves to take advantage of the ODP and benefit from reduced oversight by the public and even by the Vancouver City Council.</p> <p>I am so tired of this city thinking that we the residents do not care what happens to our neighbourhood. As a Vancouver born resident and third generation family I have witnessed the destruction of the very fabric of this beautiful city by greed. Please stop destroying our homes, neighbourhoods and think about making a place for all to live affordably and thrive rather than lining your personal pockets. Vancouver used to be a place where all were welcome and could afford to have a roof over their heads with delightful amenities now we have expensive tiny spaces with over priced rentals and way too few places to walk to for entertainment, shopping, appointments and more. Please retain our existing neighbourhoods rather than tearing them down and building new one in places that are only reachable by car. I would like to walk to my grocery store, doctor, dentist, movie theatre etc like I did when I moved into Dunbar 30 years ago rather than get into my car to do all of this. thank you</p>	Kathleen Salbuvik	Dunbar-Southlands	
2026-03-11	14:22	City of Vancouver Official Development Plan	Oppose	<p>We oppose the city of Vancouver Official Development Plan. We agree with all the points made in the OPEN LETTER written by HousingReset (HousingReset.ca) in opposition to the plan. We urge Council to withdraw the Plan and refer it back to staff for reconsideration with a process that prioritizes planning for a city of neighbourhoods with a focus on sustainability. The Plan should have meaningful public participation.</p>	Bruni Goodson	Dunbar-Southlands	

City of Vancouver Official Development Plan - Oppose

Date Received	Time Created	Subject	Position	Content	Author Name	Neighborhood	Attachment
2026-03-11	14:27	City of Vancouver Official Development Plan	Oppose	Despite claims by staff, public outreach has been very poor. Barely anyone knows of the considerable changes the ODP will bring. Also, there are flaws. There is no reason to panic and approve this now. It needs refinement and true public consultation.	David Fine	Kitsilano	
2026-03-11	14:29	City of Vancouver Official Development Plan	Oppose	Vote down the ODP! Up-Zoning will not add affordability to Vancouver, but it will line developers pockets. We need more non-market housing, not for-profit rental towers.	Julien Sellgren	Kitsilano	
2026-03-11	14:50	City of Vancouver Official Development Plan	Oppose	We don't need more towers. They are ruining the quality of life in Vancouver.	Roberta King	Kitsilano	
2026-03-11	15:14	City of Vancouver Official Development Plan	Oppose	<p>I must protest the erosion of citizen's ability to have a say in the planning. Reducing any potential opposition and ability to have any significant input after the initial proposal is passed brings up visions of the erosion of democracy that we are currently witnessing south of the border!</p> <p>Doing away with community plans further dilutes any future input or influence.</p> <p>We need much more planning, with citizen's input about what makes a community liveable re schools, community centres, and greenery.</p>	Alice Enns	West Point Grey	
2026-03-11	15:23	City of Vancouver Official Development Plan	Oppose	<p>As a resident of Vancouver, I am deeply concerned about the proposed changes to the city's Official Development Plan (ODP). Many residents feel that this proposal represents a major shift in how our neighborhoods will develop, yet it is moving forward without sufficient transparency or meaningful public consent.</p> <p>What Vancouver residents are asking for is simple: please pause this process.</p> <p>Given the scale and long-term impact of the proposed ODP changes, the City of Vancouver should delay any major decisions until after the upcoming municipal election this fall. This would allow residents to vote and express their views on the direction they want for their city before such significant changes are finalized.</p> <p>Many people in our communities feel that these policies are being imposed rather than developed collaboratively. While the city states that consultations have occurred, a large number of residents do not feel that their concerns have been genuinely heard or reflected in the current proposal.</p> <p>We are already seeing significant changes in our neighborhoods, such as higher-density developments appearing beside long-standing single-family homes. These changes raise real concerns about infrastructure, parking, neighborhood character, and livability. For many residents, parking has already become extremely difficult, and people worry about how additional density will affect daily life.</p> <p>Vancouver has been built over generations by residents who care deeply about their neighborhoods and communities. We ask the City Council to respect that commitment by slowing this process down and ensuring that residents have a meaningful opportunity to shape the future of the city.</p> <p>Please pause the proposed ODP changes until after the municipal election so Vancouver residents can have their voices heard through the democratic process.</p>	Jack Sun	Killarney	

City of Vancouver Official Development Plan - Oppose

Date Received	Time Created	Subject	Position	Content	Author Name	Neighborhood	Attachment
2026-03-11	15:41	City of Vancouver Official Development Plan	Oppose	<p>I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed development of tall apartment towers within established neighbourhood areas in Vancouver.</p> <p>Vancouver’s neighbourhoods are defined not only by their buildings but by the relationships, stability, and shared identity that develop over time among residents. Introducing tall apartment towers into these neighbourhoods risks fundamentally altering this character. Rapid and large-scale vertical development can undermine the sense of community that makes these areas livable and resilient.</p> <p>Neighbourhoods built primarily with low- and mid-rise housing tend to foster stronger social connections. Residents are more likely to know their neighbours, participate in local schools and businesses, and develop long-term ties to the area. Tall apartment towers, by contrast, often introduce high resident turnover, increased density without corresponding social infrastructure, and a more transient living environment. This can weaken the local networks that support community safety, cohesion, and mutual support.</p> <p>In addition, towers can significantly alter the physical and social fabric of neighbourhoods. Issues such as overshadowing, increased traffic, pressure on local services, and reduced access to green space can diminish the quality of life for existing residents. These impacts are particularly concerning when developments are proposed within areas that were not designed to accommodate high-rise density.</p> <p>While addressing housing affordability and supply is an important goal, it should not come at the expense of the communities that already exist. More balanced approaches—such as gentle density, small-scale infill, and well-integrated mid-rise development—can increase housing supply while preserving neighbourhood character and the social connections that make communities thrive.</p> <p>I urge the City of Vancouver to prioritize planning approaches that increase density through the thoughtful development of low and mid-rise level buildings - not high rises.</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration.</p>	H Fraser	West Point Grey	

City of Vancouver Official Development Plan - Oppose

Date Received	Time Created	Subject	Position	Content	Author Name	Neighborhood	Attachment
2026-03-11	16:24	City of Vancouver Official Development Plan	Oppose	<p>I am disturbed by the extend to which this proposed development plan will change the basic nature of our City, overturn community plans, and disregard democratic principles of Council/citizen relations.</p> <p>Not only will we loose input (no public input) on substantial changes in density, liveability and affordability of new developments, the on the ground result will be larger, taller and denser buildings everywhere throughout the City, creating what kind of City?? Certainly not liveable, nor sustainable in any way.</p> <p>Council has lost sight of what their role is, and seems to be committed to a 'one fell swoop' approach to City-building. We will have many new buildings without thought or plans for basic needs such as infrastructure, parks, amenities, schools. Ditto for affordability and unit size appropriateness for a full range of community members.</p> <p>The "one size fits all" approach handily meets the needs of developers and speculators who will stand to reap the benefits from the proposed development plan. Isn't it Council's role to ensure that City wide plans are based on the many needs of current and future residents?</p> <p>The proposed development plan will ensure continuation of the disruption and destruction already mushrooming in main development areas. It will ensure that Vancouver will become unliveable, unsustainable socially and politically, and ultimately become a very undesirable place to live.</p> <p>Is this Council seeking an elite and notorious place in history?</p>	Cheryl Kathler	Kitsilano	
2026-03-11	16:24	City of Vancouver Official Development Plan	Oppose	<p>We write to oppose the City of Vancouver's Official Development Plan (ODP). We have lived in Vancouver since 20023, raising two boys. We have lived in Kitsilano and Point Grey. We have seen many changes to these neighborhoods and the city, some of which, such as the destruction of character homes, have been dismaying. But we thought the City was on track to respect our heritage and the integrity of neighborhoods, as well as more generally the liveable aspects of Vancouver that made us want to move here and stay here over two decades.</p> <p>We fear the ODP will change Vancouver irrevocably and without addressing what seems to be the publicly-stated reason for the changes, to bring about more affordable housing. We do not see any of the development in my neighborhood bringing down prices or offering less expensive units. Instead I see ugly, boxy homes that tower over others, both single and multi-family. We were shocked yesterday to have seen that a lovely early 20th century home had been replaced by a single family home that looks to be about double the size. How does that planning contribute to affordability or density?</p> <p>The removal of the process for public feedback is also disheartening and moving in the wrong direction. There should be more consultation and community involvement not less.</p> <p>We urge the City to think hard about what makes Vancouver a place people want to live and visit, and strive to protect those features instead of a tear-it-all-down approach. Where are the new schools and parks and doctors that will be needed if this plan succeeds? It seems like the worst of all worlds, in that you are creating a Vancouver that we will not want to live in, and that our children will not be able to afford.</p>	Michelle Levy	West Point Grey	

2026-03-11	16:33	City of Vancouver Official Development Plan	Oppose	<p>We request that this Vancouver Official Development Plan not be approved as proposed, and instead refer it back to staff for broader public participatory neighbourhood based planning. The plan as proposed will not address the housing crisis and will continue to destroy what makes Vancouver such a special city to live in.</p>	Miriam Smith	West Point Grey	
2026-03-11	16:38	City of Vancouver Official Development Plan	Oppose	<p>I live in Vancouver and I love this city but I hate what this ODP will do to it.</p> <p>I applaud the many articulate speakers who have already covered many crucial flaws with this plan, including but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - proposed density targets based on artificially inflated population growth estimates; - top-down one-size-fits-all; - poor urban planning with excessive emphasis on the expensive dog crates in high rises we already have an excess of, rather than family-sized ground-oriented affordable housing which is what we really need; - demolition of existing affordable housing; - inadequate renter protector; - vastly insufficient provision for parks, green space, schools, community centres and infrastructure indispensable to accommodate increased density; - blatant disregard for the natural setting that makes Vancouver special, including public views and the urban tree canopy crucial to mitigate heat island effects; and - no incentives to preserve and subdivide heritage buildings. <p>That's just the short list.</p> <p>I completely share these and the other concerns raised and beg council to please really listen and address all of these and more.</p> <p>This ODP is largely based on the Vancouver Plan passed by the previous council. That council was soundly defeated in the 2022 election, sending a message to the current council that should have been loud and clear: Vancouverites reject a city of concrete towers everywhere to the benefit of big developers, the detriment of residents and without full citizen participation.</p> <p>But the change we voted for has not occurred under this council. Transparency has clouded to opacity. Citizen input has been rebuffed – shorter time to speak at hearings, no interchange between council and speakers allowed, and astonishingly limited efforts to inform the public and encourage their participation on everything, especially this ODP that will determine the trajectory of our city for decades to come.</p> <p>100,000 properties affected by the ODP but no postcard notifications sent. Just a few open houses to help those who even happened to hear of them try to understand a complicated far-reaching plan.</p> <p>I attended one of those open houses. There were numerous confusing information boards and a few apparently junior staff present who were for the most part unable to clarify the muddle or answer my many answers.</p> <p>Yet I am being asked to support a half-baked plan that will stifle my right to have any further say beyond today on unclear blanket rezoning that will drastically impact my city, right down to my very block, my very lot.</p> <p>I understand we need to build more housing and I support affordable livable densification that provides the right type of housing. But I do not support imposed surprises, be they sudden demovictions of renters from older affordable homes or block-busting high rises sprouting up willy nilly in low density areas.</p>	Roberta Olenick	West Point Grey	

			<p>The uncertainty of what I could find myself suddenly living next to, with no prior warning and no consideration of site-specific consequences, is a source of great ongoing stress and anxiety for me. That alone is making Vancouver increasingly unlivable.</p> <p>Supporters of this ODP claim that site-by-site public hearings only benefit the NIMBY's opposed to change. But this is patently untrue given that virtually NO development proposal has been denied by this or the previous council, even projects with strong public opposition.</p> <p>What IS true is that in some cases, public hearings have brought to light significant issues that would otherwise have been overlooked and that council was then able to address with amendments.</p> <p>The fact is that citizens familiar with their particular patch detect important things on the ground that council and developers inevitably miss. Catching these oversights is one key purpose of public hearings.</p> <p>How many such oversights will slip through the cracks, the gaping holes, once this ODP silences the public and turns major development decisions over to a few unelected staff?</p> <p>That one question alone is strong justification to abhor the provincially mandated end to public hearings for ODP-compliant developments.</p> <p>The absolute implication of that abhorrent end to public hearings is this: the Vancouver ODP as proposed warrants extraordinarily detailed, beyond-the-norm thorough, fastidiously critical analysis and contemplation before any approval.</p> <p>Rethink this ODP. There is no rush to approve it right now. Even the provincial deadline backs that.</p>			
2026-03-11	16:42	City of Vancouver Official Development Plan	<p>Oppose</p> <p>Opposed. Since we are so close the next election, these massive one-size-fits-all decisions will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - destroy our neighbourhoods - destroy our green canopy with everything built to the property line - makes no mention of green space required with all this development - makes no mention of the additional schools needed - makes no requirement for parking which will be essential as no children will be able to walk to nearby schools, necessitating their parents driving them in order to be able to get to work themselves. <p>Stand up to the Provincial govt like other mayors have.</p> <p>We don't need to approve zoning which will have us looking like Manhattan everywhere.</p> <p>And with the eventual earthquake will render these towers as tear-downs with glass in the street and interiors destroyed by moisture.</p> <p>Only good thing is that no one will want to live in these things, so they won't get built.</p>	Maureen Charron	Kitsilano	

City of Vancouver Official Development Plan - Oppose

Date Received	Time Created	Subject	Position	Content	Author Name	Neighborhood	Attachment
2026-03-11	16:57	City of Vancouver Official Development Plan	Oppose	<p>I firmly oppose this development plan and want it to stop. This is an abrupt and large-scale change to the city I love and frequent often. Worse, it will be impossible to undo.</p> <p>The character and agency will be lost to citizens of Vancouver and those who love what remains of the city that I do not want to lose. Especially when the very citizens who should be top priority are deprived of the opportunity to vote on such a drastic and unattractive reconceptualition and redesign of the city's function, heritage and means to organize.</p> <p>This puts community plans in jeopardy for a blunt reconstruction that will make everyone very unhappy citywide. I can't emphasize how sick I am getting of having our city, land, agency and prosperity ruthlessly (as well as incrementally like we wouldn't notice) taken away by the bureaucrats and politicians whose actions emphasize how much contempt they have for the public and the sheer arrogance of how they believe our lives ought to be run.</p>	Christopher Beaubien	I do not live in Vancouver	