Report date range from: 9/2/2025 12:00:01 AM to: 10/27/2025 5:00:00 PM

## Modification to a Condition of Enactment for 3077 Maddams Street (formerly 1405 East 15th Avenue & 3047-3071 Maddams Street) - Oppose

Date Received	Time Created	Subject	Position	Content	Author Name	Neighborhood	Attachment
2025-10-18	14:37	Modification to a Condition of Enactment for 3077 Maddams Street (formerly 1405 East 15th Avenue & 3047-3071 Maddams Street)	Oppose	Hello,  No issue with market rate rental that was initially put forward.  1. It feels like a bait and switch tactic moving from market rate rentals to social housing.  2. Very concerned with how the social housing units are managed in the city of Vancouver.  3. does not seem right to change from a market rental when there are still challenges and shortages.  4. Social housing doesn't belong in the middle of a residential neighborhood with nearby schools + kids and families that use the park heavily.	Ryan Garteig	Kensington-Cedar Cottage	
2025-10-18	16:39	Modification to a Condition of Enactment for 3077 Maddams Street (formerly 1405 East 15th Avenue & 3047-3071 Maddams Street)	Oppose	Not supportive of this change in this location.	Brent Savard	Kensington-Cedar Cottage	
2025-10-22	14:54	Modification to a Condition of Enactment for 3077 Maddams Street (formerly 1405 East 15th Avenue & 3047-3071 Maddams Street)	Oppose	NA	Larry Jackson	Mount Pleasant	
2025-10-27	11:00	Modification to a Condition of Enactment for 3077 Maddams Street (formerly 1405 East 15th Avenue & 3047-3071 Maddams Street)	Oppose	Dear Vancouver City Council,  I am writing to strongly oppose the application to convert the market rental units at 3077 Maddams Street into social housing. While I understand the need for social housing in our community, I believe that this specific location is unsuitable for such a change due to several concerns that I feel have not been adequately considered.  First, the building is situated directly opposite a public park, a place that is frequently used by families and children. Additionally, two schools: St. Joseph's School and Stratford Hall School are within walking distance of the building.  Converting this building into social housing could disrupt the safety and peace of this family-oriented area, especially given that social housing units often attract a higher turnover of tenants and sometimes bring in individuals who may not be familiar with or integrated into the local community. This could lead to a perception of increased insecurity and instability around the schools and park.  Moreover, the potential for increased foot traffic from individuals who may not be familiar with the neighborhood could create safety concerns, particularly for the children and students who frequent this area. With a high concentration of young people in close proximity, this is a very sensitive issue that must be taken into consideration.  In conclusion, I urge the committee to carefully reconsider this application. While I fully support the need for social housing in our community, I do not believe this specific location is appropriate given its proximity to schools, a public park, and the potential social and infrastructural challenges it may create for the surrounding residents.  Thank you for considering my views.	Iris Lee	Kensington-Cedar Cottage	