

CD-1 Rezoning: 1780 East Broadway - Oppose

Date Received	Time Created	Subject	Position	Content	Author Name	Neighborhood	Attachment
2025-05-15	19:31	CD-1 Rezoning: 1780 East Broadway	Oppose	Any developments around Trout Lake should be shorter than what is proposed (Trout Lake is a bit of a neighborhood oasis for all), the developments should include more green space than what is proposed, and the developments need to include affordable housing, which they don't currently seem concerned with.	Nathan A.	Grandview-Woodland	
2025-05-15	19:37	CD-1 Rezoning: 1780 East Broadway	Oppose	40 story buildings will create an alienating neighbourhood, destroy views, and are not in keeping with Commercial Drive. We need more housing around transit stations, but not expensive apartments. Why is the original Grandview Woodland plan being ignored?	Kathryn Feeney	Grandview-Woodland	
2025-05-15	20:03	CD-1 Rezoning: 1780 East Broadway	Oppose	I feel the towers are way too tall. I am all for more rental housing and I am chagrined that people have to pay so much in rent. This will just be another example of overpriced rental units and it will be a blight on our neighborhood.	Iris Quinn		
2025-05-15	20:39	CD-1 Rezoning: 1780 East Broadway	Oppose	We need more affordable housing not just endless luxury high-rises!	Caroline Ballhorn	Grandview-Woodland	
2025-05-15	20:49	CD-1 Rezoning: 1780 East Broadway	Oppose	We need more affordable and low income housing than this proposed development provides. Sustainable green spaces have not been considered either.	Annie O Donoghue	Hastings-Sunrise	
2025-05-15	20:50	CD-1 Rezoning: 1780 East Broadway	Oppose	What we need is more affordable rental accommodation and not a development that is completely out of scale with our neighbourhood.	David Roberts	Hastings-Sunrise	
2025-05-15	21:03	CD-1 Rezoning: 1780 East Broadway	Oppose	<p>As a concerned member of the Grandview-Woodland community, I oppose the proposed Safeway Broadway redevelopment. The plan for three massive towers—up to 43 storeys—goes far beyond what was agreed upon in our community plan, which set a 24-storey height limit. This scale feels out of place in our neighborhood and threatens the character that makes this area special.</p> <p>Only 10% of the units are set aside as below-market rentals, which doesn’t come close to addressing the real need for affordable housing. The promised public benefits—a small plaza and limited childcare space—are minimal given the size of the project. I’m also deeply concerned about how this will affect our local businesses, mountain views, and the overall livability of the neighborhood.</p> <p>This development feels more like it serves investors than the people who live here. We deserve a plan that respects our community’s vision and values.</p>	C. Gee	Kensington-Cedar Cottage	