Report date range from: 12/9/2024 3:30:00 PM to: 12/10/2024 5:00:00 PM

CD-1 Rezoning: 1365 West 12th Avenue - Oppose

2024-12-09 21:33 CD-1 Rezoning: 1365 West 12th Avenue 365 represents so much that I will comment on it as well as the overall signs that invite our opinion about placing multiples of concrete towers into this small, quiet area. We who live here are enduring active construction sites that bring us blocked off local streets, huge construction vehicles, supplies and workers in their own vehicles only one up and own our side streets with the accompanying noise, dust and disruption. This leads my neighbours and to item gaine how we will be able to live for our next years in a full-on construction zone, called our neighbourhood, resulting in overwhelming towers like 1365, and unsupported overcrowding. Building more rentals is worthy, but it surely should not come at such a high cost of destroying mostly perfectly good purpose-built rental buildings to replace them with unwelcome, non-affordable hi-rises, as well as the social cost of displacing families, students and seniors, many of whom have called this neighbourhood home for decades. Sis representative of an apparent soullessness that is being perpetuated- are we renters really so inconsequential that we can be moved around in great numbers on someone's chessboard with little concern of the implications to our lives. How to replace where we live? some of us live here because it is close to our modical services as we age, some live here on purpose because it is close to our work or our families. Do we not have a right to maintain our decent size living spaces and to refer secure? This is why 136 is so unpleasant a concept. It is symptomatic of an overall unpleasant direction for our City. The 1365 tower does not meet the standard creating affordable housing. The results of unbridled gentrification of course, is land speculation-that's upon us already- then comes the increased values and taxes, and utimately — unaffordable rents. The purpose fails. Here is what worries me: where are the people living in 1365 supposed to go once evicted, given Vancouver's lack	Date	Time	Subject	Docition	Content	Author Name	Noighborhood	Attachment
Scheme of which it is part. I live in proximity and see the plethora of rezoning signs that invite our opinion about placing multiples of concrete towers into this small, quiet area. We who live here are enduring active construction sites that bring us blocked off local streets, huge construction vehicles, supplies and workers in their own vehicles going up and down our side streets with the accompanying noise, dust and disruption. This leads my neighbours and I to imagine how we will be able to live for our next years in a full-on construction zone, called our neighbourhood, resulting in overwhelming towers like 1365, and unsupported overcrowding. Building more rentals is worthy, but its urely should not come at such a high cost of destroying mostly perfectly good purpose-built rental buildings to replace them with unwelcome, non-affordable hi-rises, as well as the social cost of displacing families, students and seniors, many of whom have called this neighbourhood home for decades. 1365 is representative of an apparent soullesness that is being perpetuated-are we renters really so inconsequential that we can be moved around in great numbers on someone's chessboard with little concern of the implications to our lives. How to replace where we live? some of us live here because it is close to our work or our families. Do we not have a right to maintain our decent size living spaces and to feel secure? This is why 1365 is so unpleasant a concept: it is symptomatic of an overline pleasant direction for our City. The 1365 tower does not meet the standard of creating affordable housing. The results of unbridled gentrification of course, is land speculation: that's upon us already: then comes the increased values and taxes, and ultimately—unaffordable rents. The purpose fails. Here is what worries me-where are the people living in 1365 supposed to one evicted, given Vanocouver's lack of rentals. How will the young families, students and pensioners pay the market rate rents in the homes they end up in once reloca	Received	Created	Subject	Position	Content	Author Name	Neighborhood	Attachment
it and can they live in a most assuredly smaller space? 1365 is representative of a real sense of lack of caring and conscience that somehow allows the displacement of people seem ok to the deciders. There are many reasons why this building is not appropriate here- it's non-human scale, not family oriented, not affordable for the majority, not so close to SkyTrain and no new amenities to add value, but in the end, it's the associated destruction and fear of displacement that feels obscene. 1365 could be a model in the quest for more rentals as a mid-rise building that blends in comfortably with the existing feel of the area. As it is now, 1365 will not nor will it be affordable for most. I strongly object to this	Received	Created		Position Oppose	scheme of which it is part. I live in proximity and see the plethora of rezoning signs that invite our opinion about placing multiples of concrete towers into this small, quiet area. We who live here are enduring active construction sites that bring us blocked off local streets, huge construction vehicles, supplies and workers in their own vehicles going up and down our side streets with the accompanying noise, dust and disruption. This leads my neighbours and I to imagine how we will be able to live for our next years in a full-on construction zone, called our neighbourhood, resulting in overwhelming towers like 1365, and unsupported overcrowding. Building more rentals is worthy, but it surely should not come at such a high cost of destroying mostly perfectly good purpose-built rental buildings to replace them with unwelcome, non-affordable hi-rises, as well as the social cost of displacing families, students and seniors, many of whom have called this neighbourhood home for decades. 1365 is representative of an apparent soullessness that is being perpetuated- are we renters really so inconsequential that we can be moved around in great numbers on someone's chessboard with little concern of the implications to our lives. How to replace where we live? some of us live here because it is close to our medical services as we age, some live here on purpose because it is close to our work or our families. Do we not have a right to maintain our decent size living spaces and to feel secure? This is why 1365 is so unpleasant a concept- it is symptomatic of an overall unpleasant direction for our City. The 1365 tower does not meet the standard of creating affordable housing. The results of unbridled gentrification of course, is land speculation- that's upon us already- then comes the increased values and taxes, and ultimately – unaffordable rents. The purpose fails. Here is what worries me- where are the people living in 1365 supposed to go once evicted, given Vancouver's lack of rentals. How will the young families,	Author Name janis hamilton	Reighborhood Fairview	Attachment

2024-12-09	21:46	CD-1 Rezoning: 1365	Oppose	1. why are 4-storey buildings appearing across from King Edward Station,	Kim O'Leary	Fairview
		West 12th Avenue		while 20-storey buildings are planned 5 to 10 blocks from stations on		
				Broadway? Why is this tower not being built beside a station?		
				2. New buildings contain much concrete plus plastic fittings, pipes etc.		
				Concrete contains lime and plastic gasses off for months. What consideration		
				is made for people with health issues (asthma, bronchitis) who will not be		
				able to live in these buildings for 6 months to a year?		
				3. Why are stores planned for ground floor? Shopping districts exist because		
				they provide a variety of goods in one area that may not exist in a mall or		
				online. Shopping districts are struggling with empty stores, why put stores in		
				residential towers?		
				4. Stores in ground floor will bring security issues, more noise, more		
				pollution, more garbage and rodents along with various types of crime.		
				5. Most people want to drive to stores. Where is the parking for customers if		
				there are stores in towers?		
 				6. Why are tower owners/architects/builders unable to accommodate		
				tenants who want ground floor and a patio or garden space?		
				7. Where is the garden or green space for the tenants to enjoy. People rarely		
				use roof gardens, too hot, too dry, plants don't survive etc. Buildings that fill		
				an entire lot give no landscaping or natural relief from all the materials used		
				in construction, and there is no habitat for wildlife.		
				8. What consideration is being made for all the extra light generated from		
				towers, which causes disruption to birds and can kill them.		
				9. Will the towers be full of cameras invading everyone's privacy and tracking		
				everyone's movements? Why? This will also increase expenses passed on to		
				tenants via higher rent.		
				10. Having lived next to 2 fires and witnessing footage of the Grenfell Towers		
				disaster I do not believe in the safety of towers and have no interest in living		
				above the 2nd floor.		
				11. People with mobility issues will not be able to climb down multiple flights		
				of stairs in an emergency.		
				12. Massive towers block light from many neighbouring buildings. Less light,		
				more seasonal affective disorder and depression for the people living in the		
				shadow.		
				13. Long-term neighbourhood residents will have to endure years of noise,		
				dust, dirt and inconvenience. 7 more towers are planned within a 2-block		
				radius of this tower.		
				14. Where do the hundreds and thousands of displaced tenants move?		
 				15. The towers are to include 20% of units at below market rent. What is		
 				market rent? And what is the reduced rent? The figures reported in media		
				for new one-bedroom apartments in towers, have no relationship to wages		
 				in this city. Rents have increased 300 to 400% over 35 years. My yearly		
 				earnings have not changed since 1995. How do I afford these new market		
				rents?		
				16. What size are the below market rental units? Are they livable or are they		
 				little concrete boxes?		
 				17. Birds and animals displaced by towers will not be able to return as the		
				building fills the lot.		
1 I		1	I		1	1

	18. There are no rent controls. In the past 10 years	landlords have been
	evicting tenants to raise rents any amount they wisl	sh (3 times in 5 years for
	me). The market rent quoted for a one-bedroom is	my entire monthly
	income. So how do low-income earners continue to	o live in the city if they
	work here, if there is no accommodation that match	hes the wages?
	19. Omit pools, gyms etc and reduce the rents. Omi	it dishwashers and washer
	dryers from every suite and reduce rents even furth	her to more reasonable
	amounts.	

Report date range from: 12/9/2024 3:30:00 PM to: 12/10/2024 5:00:00 PM