

4. Amendments to Restricted Zones (RT-7, RT-9, CD-1 371 and CD-1 463) to Comply with Bill 44 - Provincial Small-Scale Multi-Unit Housing (SSMUH) Legislation - Other

Date Received	Time Created	Subject	Position	Content	Author Name	Neighborhood	Attachment
2024-06-11	14:30	4. Amendments to Restricted Zones (RT-7, RT-9, CD-1 371 and CD-1 463) to Comply with Bill 44 - Provincial Small-Scale Multi-Unit Housing (SSMUH) Legislation	Other	<p>Dear Mayor Sim and Council,</p> <p>Despite the fact that Vancouver is suffering from a deep shortage of housing, it has taken provincial legislation to force the city to legalize multiplexes in areas like Shaughnessy and Kits Point. This is unacceptable: these are central neighbourhoods, and multiplexes are clearly inadequate to address the city's housing needs.</p> <p>The Climate Emergency Action Plan calls for complete neighbourhoods; this proposal will do nothing to help achieve that. The Housing Strategy calls for more rental homes; this proposal will do nothing to help achieve that. This does not follow the City's own policies and stated priorities.</p> <p>Given the proximity of these neighbourhoods to Downtown and Broadway, and their excellent access to frequent transit, it is obvious that apartments need to be legalized in these neighbourhoods. Just look at Señákw – that shows what housing demand is really like around these neighbourhoods.</p> <p>Vancouver needs real housing action. This is a crisis and small multiplexes are a drop in the bucket of housing need. Legalize apartments in Kits Point and Shaughnessy.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>Tyler Knoepfel</p>	Tyler Knoepfel	Kensington-Cedar Cottage	

4. Amendments to Restricted Zones (RT-7, RT-9, CD-1 371 and CD-1 463) to Comply with Bill 44 - Provincial Small-Scale Multi-Unit Housing (SSMUH) Legislation - Other

Date Received	Time Created	Subject	Position	Content	Author Name	Neighborhood	Attachment
2024-06-11	11:52	4. Amendments to Restricted Zones (RT-7, RT-9, CD-1 371 and CD-1 463) to Comply with Bill 44 - Provincial Small-Scale Multi-Unit Housing (SSMUH) Legislation	Other	<p>Dear Mayor Sim and Council,</p> <p>Despite the fact that Vancouver is suffering from a deep shortage of housing, it has taken provincial legislation to force the city to legalize multiplexes in areas like Shaughnessy and Kits Point. This is unacceptable: these are central neighbourhoods, and multiplexes are clearly inadequate to address the city's housing needs.</p> <p>The Climate Emergency Action Plan calls for complete neighbourhoods; this proposal will do nothing to help achieve that. The Housing Strategy calls for more rental homes; this proposal will do nothing to help achieve that. This does not follow the City's own policies and stated priorities.</p> <p>Given the proximity of these neighbourhoods to Downtown and Broadway, and their excellent access to frequent transit, it is obvious that apartments need to be legalized in these neighbourhoods. Just look at Señákw – that shows what housing demand is really like around these neighbourhoods.</p> <p>Vancouver needs real housing action. This is a crisis and small multiplexes are a drop in the bucket of housing need. Legalize apartments in Kits Point and Shaughnessy.</p> <p>Sincerely, Cameron</p>	Cameron White	Kensington-Cedar Cottage	
2024-06-11	12:20	4. Amendments to Restricted Zones (RT-7, RT-9, CD-1 371 and CD-1 463) to Comply with Bill 44 - Provincial Small-Scale Multi-Unit Housing (SSMUH) Legislation	Other	<p>This limited proposal for council to take action is completely inadequate in light of the actual need in the city. Why does the ABC party keep supporting so much red tape for developers who want to build much-needed new housing? It makes housing more expensive, increases loan expenses for developers, and means that council is sending a message that things like apartments aren't wanted. Vancouver needs real housing action. This is a crisis and small multiplexes are a drop in the bucket of housing need. Legalize apartments in Kits Point and Shaughnessy.</p>	Joshua Prowse	West End	

4. Amendments to Restricted Zones (RT-7, RT-9, CD-1 371 and CD-1 463) to Comply with Bill 44 - Provincial Small-Scale Multi-Unit Housing (SSMUH) Legislation - Other

Date Received	Time Created	Subject	Position	Content	Author Name	Neighborhood	Attachment
2024-06-11	12:34	4. Amendments to Restricted Zones (RT-7, RT-9, CD-1 371 and CD-1 463) to Comply with Bill 44 - Provincial Small-Scale Multi-Unit Housing (SSMUH) Legislation	Other	I am concerned that Vancouver is losing its vitality because the pace of change in our neighbourhoods is being held back unreasonably. Kits Point is an example of a highly desirable location where many more people would surely live, work, recreate and contribute to civic life if they had the opportunities to do so. The legalization of multiplexes in Kits Point is better than the City's status quo which is even more restrictive. But it is not good enough to meet the latent demand for housing in such a prime location. Council should be directing staff to propose Zoning Bylaw amendments to allow higher density development in Kits Point. The example set by Squamish Nation next door at Senakw is informative. It is as a good an indication as any of the willingness of people to invest in the neighbourhood and make their lives there, if only they could legally. In order to truly be a better city, Council must spearhead the delivery of sufficient housing for renters and young families (like mine) to escape the chokehold they face in finding a home. Vancouver is failing to build enough homes for the people who want to live here. Why is Kits Point the wrong place for more homes?	Matt Shields	Mount Pleasant	
2024-06-10	21:46	4. Amendments to Restricted Zones (RT-7, RT-9, CD-1 371 and CD-1 463) to Comply with Bill 44 - Provincial Small-Scale Multi-Unit Housing (SSMUH) Legislation	Other	This is garbage. You should fire the staff responsible for this incredibly unambitious change and then redo this. It's so bad that you're violating the spirit of the provincial guidelines. Legalize apartments already, or at least multiplexes as dense as the province is requiring in other cities.	Reilly Wood	Grandview-Woodland	
2024-06-11	23:28	4. Amendments to Restricted Zones (RT-7, RT-9, CD-1 371 and CD-1 463) to Comply with Bill 44 - Provincial Small-Scale Multi-Unit Housing (SSMUH) Legislation	Other	Please see attached letter.	Owen Brady		Attachment 1
2024-06-11	21:11	4. Amendments to Restricted Zones (RT-7, RT-9, CD-1 371 and CD-1 463) to Comply with Bill 44 - Provincial Small-Scale Multi-Unit Housing (SSMUH) Legislation	Other	We are in a housing shortage. Allowing multiplexes in Kits Point is simply not enough. You should be legalizing apartments, so that people can live close to their job Downtown or on Broadway, instead of commuting from far away.	Michael Wiebe		

4. Amendments to Restricted Zones (RT-7, RT-9, CD-1 371 and CD-1 463) to Comply with Bill 44 - Provincial Small-Scale Multi-Unit Housing (SSMUH) Legislation - Other

Date Received	Time Created	Subject	Position	Content	Author Name	Neighborhood	Attachment
2024-06-11	14:52	4. Amendments to Restricted Zones (RT-7, RT-9, CD-1 371 and CD-1 463) to Comply with Bill 44 - Provincial Small-Scale Multi-Unit Housing (SSMUH) Legislation	Other	<p>Dear Mayor Sim and Council,</p> <p>Vancouver has been suffering from a severe housing shortage for decades, and it is embarrassing that the province needs to force us to legalize duplexes in central neighbourhoods such as Kits Point. Vancouver needs to allow much larger types of housing in these areas to alleviate our housing crisis. The city should look at Señákw as an example of how to adequately address housing demand.</p> <p>Kits Point is an amazing neighbourhood, and more people should live here; it is close to amenities, jobs, and nature. Having so few people living in a neighbourhood with the best access to transit in North America is a waste.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>Rory MacMillan</p>	Rory MacMillan		