PH 2 - 5. False Creek North Social Housing Delivery: Amendments to the False Creek North Official Development Plan and consequential CD-1 By-law amendments - Other

Date Received	Time Created	Subject	Position	Content	Author Name	Neighborhood	Attachment
2023-07-04	15:56	PH 2 - 5. False Creek North Social Housing Delivery: Amendments to the False Creek North Official Development Plan and consequential CD-1 By-law amendments	Other	Is there going to be any height restrictions to build at the address of 431 Beach Crescent? Originally it was going to be non market building and there was a proposed height restriction. Now it has been removed for the non market housing but I am just wondering if the restrictions of height still in place? Thanks	Julie Sky	Downtown	
2023-07-11	00:14	PH 2 - 5. False Creek North Social Housing Delivery: Amendments to the False Creek North Official Development Plan and consequential CD-1 By-law amendments	Other	I highly support increased density (rezoning buildings higher is totally fair game in my view). However, the proposed rezoning nearly quadruples the amount of non-market housing at 1050 Expo (from 8 to 29 stories), and will likely have quite a dramatic effect on social dynamics in the neighborhood. Again, this rezoning is totally fair game, but next time (for future developments) I would encourage more careful consideration / efforts to spread non-market housing more evenly throughout the city to moderate the social adjustment for the existing community (owners and renters alike). If a social adjustment of this magnitude was proposed in other parts of the city with a more politically active / less transient community, I suspect the opposition may be more unified and vocal.	Fraser Ince	Downtown	
2023-07-06	08:51	PH 2 - 5. False Creek North Social Housing Delivery: Amendments to the False Creek North Official Development Plan and consequential CD-1 By-law amendments	Other	As a member of the community in this region I am wondering why the proposed buildings must be separated by market vs non-market housing. Of course I support the addition of more units, a fire hall and child care center but is it necessary to divide people by how their housing is afforded to achieve these additions? If these housing opportunities are separated will they be guaranteed to be kept to the same level of cleanliness and have similar amenities? There is already a lot of separation and pushing out of lower income people from this region, I'd rather see more mixed income housing so that our community can grow together regardless of monetary standing. I don't want to see more exclusively market housing that pushes diversity further out because the renters don't like the look of lower income individuals and families.	Laura Lalande	Downtown	

Report date range from: 6/13/2023 12:00:01 AM to: 7/11/2023 10:30:00 AM

PH 2 - 5. False Creek North Social Housing Delivery: Amendments to the False Creek North Official Development Plan and consequential CD-1 By-law amendments - Other

Date Received	Time Created	Subject	Position	Content	Author Name	Neighborhood	Attachment
2023-07-01	14:33	PH 2 - 5. False Creek North Social Housing Delivery: Amendments to the False Creek North Official Development Plan and consequential CD-1 By-law amendments	Other	Re: False Creek North Non-Market Housing Bullet point #4 needs clarification: The proposed changes would remove "OF THE SOCIAL HOUSING OBLIGATION ON SITES LOCATED AT 431 BEACH CRESCENT, 1502 GRANVILLE ST AND 900 PACIFIC BOULEVARD". Does this mean: "ALL OF" the social housing obligation? "SOME OF" of the social housing obligation? "HALF OF" the social housing obligation? "NONE OF" the social housing obligation?	Wendy Mathers	Downtown	