

PH 2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 103-111 North Templeton Drive and 2185 Oxford Street - Other

Date Received	Time Created	Subject	Position	Content	Author Name	Neighborhood	Attachment
2023-03-07	20:18	PH 2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 103-111 North Templeton Drive and 2185 Oxford Street	Other	<p>While I am not opposed to the redevelopment of the above-mentioned properties (despite the fact that they were renovated in 2018 and displaced renters then as well), I do object to the treatment of the current tenants. Ostensibly, development projects of this kind are meant to provide more housing, and by allowing the demoviction of the current tenants, the above rezoning will in fact eliminate housing for 25 people. It is crucial that these tenants are allowed to qualify for a right to return to the new development at the same rent they pay now and receive rent top-ups during construction in order to stabilize their housing. All current residents should qualify for rental protections. To fail to do this exposes the real goal of these redevelopments - to maximize profit for owners, not to improve old buildings or provide housing for Vancouverites. There is no need for this profiteering on the backs of regular folks who are just looking for a place they can afford to live.</p>	Carol Wood	Hastings-Sunrise	
2023-03-08	13:51	PH 2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 103-111 North Templeton Drive and 2185 Oxford Street	Other	<p>It has been brought to my attention that the residents of this housing complex may be renovicted from their homes with inadequate compensation and support. I happen to live about a block from this site and am aware of the precarious housing situation in my neighbourhood and the city at large.</p> <p>I cannot speak to the benefits or not of any redevelopment of the site; however, I do have a strong opinion about the need to adequately support citizens who are turned out of their homes, especially, in this housing market.</p> <p>I have been a renter in this city for 50 years and have seen and experienced how renters' rights have always been precarious and they have been seen as second-class citizens.</p> <p>The fact is, today, that a majority of citizens in the city cannot afford to buy property. So, that means more long-term renters who should be able to feel some form of security in their home.</p> <p>If people absolutely must be relocated, then they have a right to adequate compensation, assistance in re-location and general support and consideration; preferably paid for by whomever stands to profit from the development. And, this should apply to everyone who lives there.</p>	Kate Vincent	Hastings-Sunrise	