PH 2 - 1. CD-1 Rezoning: 3575-3655 Kaslo Street, 3580-3644 Slocan Street and 2755 East 21st Avenue - Oppose

I oppose this rezoning unless the residents of this area receive reassurance that the added vehicular, foot, and cycle traffic burden will be addressed by appropriate road redesign, including allowances for sufficient and safe public transportation, pedestrian and cycle use, parking for motor vehicles, and locally accessible services. This plan must also account for climate change / carbon emission threats with this proposed rezoning.			
2022-12-31  20:23  PH 2 - 1. CD-1 Rezoning: 3575-3655 Kaslo Street, 3580-3644 Slocan Street and 2755 East 21st Avenue  PH 2 - 1. CD-1 Rezoning: 3575-3655 Kaslo Street, 3580-3644 Slocan Street and 2755 East 21st Avenue  Oppose  3. The existing pedestrian crossing at Renfrew and E.19th must be improved such this has either pedestrian controlled traffic lights or similar warnings to motorists, who often drive through this crossing without even slowing down. This is especially worrisome in the the darker winter months.  4. City transit routes to this facility are currently insufficient, and there needs to be proactive planning about new and/or improved routings to handle the increased commuter numbers. Bus route #25 cannot do this alone.  4. There are very few, if any, commercially food /drink services within the facility to be rezoned. Not only is this inconvenient for those who will work there, but this means that staff and patients /families are likely to need to drive to and from the facility even for minor needs such as coffee collar lunch. This adds to the traffic and climate change burden.  Thank you	Matt Seftel	Renfrew- Collingwood	

2023-01-14	23:32	PH 2 - 1. CD-1 Rezoning: 3575-3655 Kaslo Street, 3580-3644 Slocan Street and 2755 East 21st Avenue	Oppose	NOT ENOUGH DENSITY! WASTED LOCATION! The redevelopment of this large, long under used, publicly-owned site is a tremendous opportunity for our neighbourhood. Nestled between 2 Skytrain stations (10 and 9 min walk) and with 2 large City parks a block away in 2 directions, this proposal is far from the highest and best use for this site. I commend the desire to protect existing large trees on site, however, a proposed overall density LESS than the current permitted density of 0.70 FSR shows just how underused this VERY URBAN site will continue to be. Sure, protect the trees, and maintain the footprint, but as an institutional site in this location, a much higher density should be demanded by the public and the City. Whatever density we don't put here just ends up in the suburbs, in much less walkable and accessible neighborhoods, so if the City cares at all about climate change, we would demand MUCH more density, especially from a provincial authority, for this site. These types of opportunities are few and far between.	Alex Taylor	Renfrew- Collingwood	
------------	-------	---	--------	---	-------------	-------------------------	--