

### 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 2086-2098 West 7th Avenue, and 2091 West 8th Avenue - OPPOSED

Date Received	Time Created	Subject	Position	Content	Name	Organization	Contact Info	Neighbourhood	Attachment
06/29/2022	16 04	PH4 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 2086-2098 West 7th Avenue, and 2091 West 8th	Oppose	1. Severe safety concerns for school kids and toddler park; 2. Exclude low-income families with children; 3. nearby senior's housing 4. common drug-use space on-premises but no onsite or nearby clinical mental health or addiction recovery services 5. incompatible with the surrounding buildings	mary ma		"s.22(1) Personal and Confidential"	Kitsilano	No web attachments.
06/29/2022	16:17	PH4 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 2086-2098 West 7th Avenue, and 2091 West 8th	Oppose	1. Severe safety concerns for school kids and pedestrians; 2. Exclude low-income families with children; 3. nearby senior's housing 4. common drug-use space on-premises but no onsite or nearby clinical mental health or addiction recovery services 5. incompatible with the surrounding buildings	Ranko Miljevic			West Point Grey	No web attachments.
06/29/2022	16 22	PH4 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 2086-2098 West 7th Avenue, and 2091 West 8th Avenue	Oppose	To the Mayor and Council, I strongly oppose the current plan: 1. Having residents with criminal records, violent behaviours and unstable, untreated mental health issues is a risk to the truly vulnerable in our area - children, seniors with mobility issues, and disabled individuals. Can you guarantee that residents will not inject substances outside the building and leave needles and drug paraphernalia outside, in the playground and park? What mechanisms will be in place to ensure that this can't happen? 2. There will not be enough staff supervision or professional support for the residents. Staff will be mental health workers only - no nurses or allied health professionals. 3. The building is very close to a liquor store, and very close to the proposed Arbutus Skytrain station. It will act as a magnet for associates of the tenants, who will likely have similar issues. The building could well become 'party central', with little supervision and easy access to drugs and alcohol. Thus Kits could be dealing with numbers far beyond just the tenants themselves. 4. Kits has many supportive group homes to support individuals on a smaller scale and this model already works in our community. 5. Family housing is desperately needed in this area. Workers making minimum wage can't afford to live here. Affordable housing would make it a lot easier for businesses struggling to attract employees. Any new housing space should be focused on low income families or single moms struggling to feed their families. 6. Research shows that 'a bed is not enough'. These individuals require professional complex care with many services in order to thrive. 7. The City has not learned the lesson from Yaletown and the Downtown areas, where housing for substance users was placed far from the supports offered in the Downtown Eastside. These areas are now plagued with rampant crime and violent attacks. Please don't ruin Kitsilano as well! 8. When I was growing up in Victoria, I had an uncle who was an alcoholic. After hitting bottom, he vowed to quit drinking and take back control of his life. His first act was to move away from Victoria. Why? Because all his friends in that city were also alcoholics. He knew it would be impossible to get sober if he continued to associate with them. Anyone who has suffered from addiction understands this. Yet you propose to house dozens of substance users together, in a place where they will reinforce each other's weaknesses, and where they have easy access to the drugs they are addicted to. This is truly a recipe for disaster. 9. In the report 'Illicit drug toxicity deaths in BC Jan 1 2012 to April 30, 2022', the BC Coroner's office stated that 44.7% of the deaths in the VCH region occurred in 'Other residence' including SROs, social and supportive housing. When you house many substance users together, in a place where they can easily access the drugs they are addicted to, the chance of Overdose is much greater.	Jay A. Storey			Kitsilano	No web attachments.
06/29/2022	17:17	PH4 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 2086-2098 West 7th Avenue, and 2091 West 8th Avenue	Oppose	There are a number of reasons why I oppose this project at this location. I am in favor of building affordable homes and supportive housing for the homeless at every neighborhood. However, it sounds like this project is being pushed forward mainly because the city is donating a piece of land and funding, and not because the location and project are suitable. My main concern is the proximity to a school (across the street). It is absurd that a lot 20 meters away from a school would be considered for this type of project. Objects can be thrown out of windows, obscenity can be seen from their windows if a resident chooses to be explicit. How can we protect our kids? I don't imagine building a wall would be a solution. Also, folks living in homelessness are not lesser people. Who thought that placing one hundred of them together, at the same location will do them any good? We should want to surround them with families with children, grandparents, single moms, working people, etc, so they can be integrated in society. There has been a large number of neighbors and users of the park and greenway opposed to this project. I've seen a petition with over a thousand of signatures. This is substantial, and needs to be looked at. Also, there is no scientific support to show that this project will benefit the homeless (at this high number of units). The shadow cast on the school is also problematic, and was not done properly. This needs to be looked at in detail, as not to cool and block natural light from the school and park. I also see a problem with the lack of green space nearby for residents. They will gather at the greenway (blocking it), and the nearby transit station will also add additional people to the area. There won't be sufficient green spaces for children to play, and for residents to sit leisurely. If you live inside a container sized home (studio) you will most likely want to spend a lot of your time outside. Affordable housing should definitely be built, but not next to a school, and not as little containers, as a patch. Let's build decent homes, allowing the homeless to find suitable shelter, but not at the cost of safety. I hope the city will listen to our concerns. Thank you for your attention.	Livia Piccinini			Kerrisdale	No web attachments.
06/29/2022	17 37	PH4 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 2086-2098 West 7th	Oppose	I oppose - this community is not suitable for this project.	David Duizer			Kitsilano	No web attachments.
06/29/2022	17 54	PH4 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 2086-2098 West 7th Avenue, and 2091 West 8th	Oppose	Oppose because it is for single occupancy dwellings and this site would be much better suited to families or a community service facility. The building is too tall. There is no need to rezone this site as the West Broadway corridor is already being rezoned and will be more suitable to buildings of this density and height. Council should consider using this space to extending green space in the area or extending the park across the street. There is high demand in the area for a park.	Davnat Heenan			Kitsilano	No web attachments.

### 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 2086-2098 West 7th Avenue, and 2091 West 8th Avenue - OPPOSED

06/29/2022	18 04	PH4 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 2086-2098 West 7th Avenue, and 2091 West 8th Avenue	Oppose	I am writing about my concerns about the rezoning application for the 11 story BC housing project to potentially be built at Arbutus and seventh. I have lived in this neighbourhood for 20 years with my assistant dog in another BC housing building situated on Arbutus and 10th. There are a number of us wheelchair users in this building who all have delicate nervous system's. I certainly see the benefits of having various types of housing integrated into community living. However our building although social housing does not attempt to house those with brain injury even though they have a disability in the same building as us. They tried that years ago and the difficulties of a brain injured persons reality did not siting well with the delicate nervous systems of those with a Spinal Cord Injury. You are trying to mix apples and oranges in the neighbourhood that has been established and has certain nuances that really do not fit with what is being proposed. Aside the fact that you are putting a drug use room in a building next to an already established building that houses women who are trying to recover from addiction through faith and abstinence is similar to the example I gave above of trying to mix various types of disabilities in one building without any sensitivity to the real needs of the people in question. Besides the fact that I think the combination and the location of this building is medically and psychologically and socially wrong I also do not see any wisdom in the decision to place it where you have. There's a liquor store a block away there are three schools within a half a block to block vicinity . Already numerous times I have been followed by those hanging out at Electra store. I have experienced a robbery at the local grocery market. Since word of this development the texture and tone of the neighbourhood has already changed and not for the better. I think this is an unfortunate move by counsel to attempt to fulfil a housing mandate combining ideologies and political opinions that do not mix with peoples lives. You've got this whole thing all wrong. Do you have lost my vote which you had the last election and I am really disappointed in the direction that counsel has taken in attempting to address the social housing issues within the city. How many units are accessible' What are you doing to address the addiction problem' What are you doing to address the housing issues that exist on the downtown Eastside' Are you merely trying to transplant people to other areas of town to make it not look so bad' Deal with the real issues. Open up a proper mental health hospital for those people to be properly taken care of and given what they need. Name: Mary-Jo Fetterly	Mary-Jo Fetterly		Kitsilano	No web attachments.
06/29/2022	19 55	PH4 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 2086-2098 West 7th	Oppose	Hi there, Projects of this size do not benefit the occupants, the neighbourhood or the community. Thanks for reading.	Saul Brown		Kitsilano	No web attachments.
06/29/2022	22:40	PH4 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 2086-2098 West 7th Avenue, and 2091 West 8th Avenue	Oppose	I am a grandmother of children that attend one of the 7 schools in the neighbourhood of the proposed project. City Planners and BC Housing do not consider children or the need for safe housing and environments for vulnerable women-led families in site selection criteria for Minimal Barrier Shelters. Freedom of Information requests have revealed that neither the 500-child school, nor the Women's Supportive Recovery Home were included as part of the site selection criteria by neither City Planners nor by BC Housing. Single residency only buildings also disregard the importance of families and preclude companionship. Individuals who may want to be reunited with their children cannot within the congregate, single room only formats. t is known that 25% living in SROs and Supportive Housing with single occupancy rooms having children under someone else's care which means 1 in 4 dwellers have had to be separated from children in order to accept accommodation.	Marianne Hess		I do not live in Vancouver	No web attachments.
06/29/2022	23:17	PH4 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 2086-2098 West 7th Avenue, and 2091 West 8th Avenue	Oppose	Combined with the W. Broadway Transit extension including a subway station and major bus interchange, the subject area is already under considerable stress arising from the established neighborhood interests including commercial interests that include a liquor store and a gas station, an elementary school, a home for abused women, a church, and at least 2 old age social housing units as well as a child's playground located directly across the street from the subject proposal. There are many more suitable locations that could better serve the community in question, including the the area surrounded by Burrard Street on the West, Fourth Avenue on the south, West Second Avenue on the North, and Fir Street on the East. Lastly, this Building type of structure is not conducive to the surrounding neighborhood structures at all, nor does it meet the many needs . its proposed residents	Andrew Williams		Kitsilano	No web attachments.
06/30/2022	07 29	PH4 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 2086-2098 West 7th Avenue, and 2091 West 8th Avenue	Oppose	Watching the hearings a concerned voter who resides in South Van. Very disappointing with counsel member who try to Lead speakers to say things making them sound like nimby's. They are obviously concerned with not only the safely and welfare of the neighborhood but also that of those who will live in this warehouse of people with admittedly troubled people. As a life long Vancouverite it is obvious that homeless issue has been put aside for yours and this counsel just want to hide the people and not fix it or take responsible action die everyone involved. They are trying to hide a problem not fix it. And I can see this being deemed a success by these counsel members and any issues being ignored and them then pushing more of these building in all Vancouver area with no care for those communities. It is obvious to me that the city has not been truthful regarding their intension by Not providing proper facts or consultation with the community. Shame on you. I was horrified to learn that this building is going up across the street from a school and park. The sheer size for the area is also upsetting. I am a voter that lives on the other side of town that I often feel this city ignores but this issues is of concern to me and if it passes I will be sure to show my judgement by not only voting against anyone who votes for it but to ensure I bring this and other failing to the attentions of others in my part of Vancouver to vote you out. Think about Those kids and families and residents and those in the building and what the facts clearly state is the best course of action. Stop thinking about your own agenda. Those apposed are providing very well thought out objections and hard facts.	Arlene Rocha	South Vancouver Resident	Renfrew-Collingwood	No web attachments.
06/30/2022	09:17	PH4 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 2086-2098 West 7th Avenue, and 2091 West 8th Avenue	Oppose	This kind of project, while providing necessary housing, is the wrong model for this and any neighborhood in Vancouver. It is reminiscent of the huge urban renewal projects in US cities that were built in the 60's and finally demolished because of the urban blight they caused. What is needed are much smaller buildings housing fewer and providing social supports such as safe injection sites, mental health clinics, employment services, opportunities for positive social engagement and more so that the problems of untreated addiction and mental illness don't cause harm to currently trouble free neighborhoods. Would you welcome the building that is currently being proposed into your neighborhood' I certainly wouldn't.	Maggy Kaplan		Kerrisdale	No web attachments.

's 22(1) Personal and Confidential"

**3. CD-1 Rezoning: 2086-2098 West 7th Avenue, and 2091 West 8th Avenue - OPPOSED**

06/30/2022	11:15	PH4 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 2086-2098 West 7th Avenue, and 2091 West 8th Avenue	Oppose	I felt compelled to oppose the development after I saw the story on the evening news. I do think it is important to have supportive housing, but not at such a large capacity at this site. Having had personal experience with drug addiction and recovery, it is extremely difficult to provide adequate encouragement and emotional help that the residents require. It would be impossible for success, if within the building residents are using drugs. It's a disastrous combination. Please consider the actual needs that residents require for supportive housing, because this is not it. Please oppose.	Marina Cecilia Katigbak		"s.22(1) Personal and Confidential"	Fairview	No web attachments.
06/30/2022	13 01	PH4 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 2086-2098 West 7th Avenue, and 2091 West 8th Avenue	Oppose	I am a resident in close proximity to this proposed development. I am vehemently opposed to this experimental housing model which has been proven flawed and does not work. Scooping 129 homeless people off the street and warehousing them in concrete cages all together is more similar to a prison, than to that of a home. These people will not recover or be rehabilitated in this environment. Use this funding to buy smaller existing properties around the area to disperse these people in such a way so they can be integrated into the neighbourhood and communities. It is clear to everyone who has been following these council hearings that there is no firm plan or strategy on how BCH or MPA are going to run this building and address the needs of the intended residents. If council approve this rezoning, it will leave an indelible mark on your career as a councillor, and as a member of your community.	Niall Currid			Kitsilano	No web attachments.
06/30/2022	13 27	PH4 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 2086-2098 West 7th Avenue, and 2091 West 8th	Oppose	I am all for housing, but this seems to be a poorly thought out location. High pedestrian, bike, and vehicle traffic on all sides of this location, not to mention the elementary school right beside. This doesn't seem right, you could do better. Other locations definitely see a high rise in emergency calls. You will be best to station two police officers on the corner 24/7 if this goes ahead, saving response time or at least dedicated parking for emergency vehicles as to not block the roads. Thank you	Neil McLaughlin			Kitsilano	No web attachments.