1. CD-1 Rezoning: 5-15 West 2nd Avenue and 1751 Ontario Street

Date Received	Time Created	Subject	Position	Content	Name	Organization	Contact Info	Neighbourhood	Attachment
03/11/2022	18:16	PH2 - 1. CD-1 Rezoning: 5-15 West 2nd	Oppose	The building is too tall, and restrictive based on the shape designed and location, with shadows casting on neighboring buildings and blocking views.	Farid Hosseini		s.22(1) Personal and Confidential	Downtown	No web attachments.
03/23/2022	07:34	PH2 - 1. CD-1 Rezoning: 5-15 West 2nd	Oppose	The building is too tall for that area and is not aesthetically pleasing at all. PLEASE do not go ahead with this build!!!	Tony Lambert			Unknown	No web attachments.
03/23/2022	07:46	PH2 - 1. CD-1 Rezoning: 5-15 West 2nd	Oppose	It is an ugly building and too tall for the area. t will hugely impact the enjoyment of living to other buildings in the area as it will block the sunlight and destroy peoples enjoyment of the view.	Katy Taylor			Unknown	No web attachments.
04/01/2022	12:52	PH2 - 1. CD-1 Rezoning: 5-15 West 2nd Avenue and	Oppose	I strongly OPPOSE the proposed items below: 1. CD-1 Rezoning: 5-15 West 2nd Avenue and 1751 Ontario Street P.S. I believe Ontario was misspelled on the mail out, according to what I was advised. This may cause confusion and result in underrepresented feedback from the public.	Alicia Smith			Unknown	No web attachments.
04/03/2022	01:40	PH2 - 1. CD-1 Rezoning: 5-15 West 2nd	Oppose	The built form and architectural expression do not fit in with the careful design of Olympic Village buildings.	Daniel Kryzhanovski			Downtown	No web attachments.
04/05/2022	12:38	PH2 - 1. CD-1 Rezoning: 5-15 West 2nd Avenue and 1751 Ontario Street	Oppose	I would like to add my name to the list of those who are opposed to this development. My concern has to do with the building design and height. The proposed design is in no way reflective of the design of existing buildings in South East False Creek (SEFC). It appears to be three warehouse-style industrial buildings that have been stacked one on top of another. It is a bleak, heavy handed building form that looks like it might topple over. I hope that Council will request the developer to reconsider the building design to create something that is more sympathetic to the showcase of modern architecture that is SEFC.	Barbara Lindsay			Mount Pleasant	No web attachments.