

**1. Streamlining Rental Around Local Shopping Areas - OPPOSE**

Date Received	Time Created	Subject	Position	Content	Full Name	Contact Info	Neighbourhood	Attachment
11/02/2021	22:07	PH1 - 1. Streamlining Rental Around Local Shopping Areas - Amendments to the C-2, C 2B, C-2C and C-2C1 Zones and Creation of New Rental Zones for Use in Future Rezoning Applications in Surrounding Low Density Areas Under the Secured Rental Policy	Oppose	I am wondering if council can approve the C2 red zone part of this policy separately from the new blue rental zone part. Or is this an all-or-nothing situation where both parts must be approved or rejected together'	Roberta Olenick	s.22(1) Personal and Confidential	Unknown	No web attachments.
11/03/2021	01 07	PH1 - 1. Streamlining Rental Around Local Shopping Areas - Amendments to the C-2, C 2B, C-2C and C-2C1 Zones and Creation of New Rental Zones for Use in Future Rezoning Applications in Surrounding Low Density Areas Under the Secured Rental Policy	Oppose	It's okie to amend the C-2, C-2B, C-2C, and C-2C1 zones to allow 6 storey rental buildings without a rezoning; while I strong oppose that the city allow rezoning RS & RT sites on and off arterials for 4 to 6 storeys rental apartments. This policy may increase some rental units in the neighbourhood, but will significantly destroy the beauty of Vancouver west side for ever, especially on West Point Grey and Dunbar area. It's foreseeable that after the city approving this policy many flippers will destroy several neighbourhood on west side with vacancy houses, which will increase the unaffordability for many vancouverites who have been lived in their neighbourhood for many years. This is definitely not the right way to solve the house problem rather than intensify the house problem in the beautiful city of Vancouver.	Jerry SHI	s.22(1) Personal and Confidential	West Point Grey	No web attachments.
11/03/2021	11 34	PH1 - 1. Streamlining Rental Around Local Shopping Areas - Amendments to the C-2, C 2B, C-2C and C-2C1 Zones and Creation of New Rental Zones for Use in Future Rezoning Applications in Surrounding Low Density Areas Under the Secured Rental Policy	Oppose	I think this is excessive for my neighborhood.	Andrew Sloan	s.22(1) Personal and Confidential	Grandview-Woodland	No web attachments.
11/03/2021	12 02	PH1 - 1. Streamlining Rental Around Local Shopping Areas - Amendments to the C-2, C 2B, C-2C and C-2C1 Zones and Creation of New Rental Zones for Use in Future Rezoning Applications in Surrounding Low Density Areas Under the Secured Rental Policy	Oppose	I got to speak last night at City Hall. I was so incensed that developers, who are the only ones to come out ahead on this initiative, that they got to speak and address this was truly disturbing. It through me off remarks I wanted to address as I so emotional around it. I neglected to say: I have been trying to build a lane home on my property for the last three years and here we are at the end of that road and the city will NOT let me take down two of the 14 trees on my property. Does anyone else here see the irony in this. You'll allow a developer to clear-cut all 14 of my trees to "create density" but when an individual wants to create density, the green canopy is important. But it's only important when it's in the city's best interests.	Tyman Stewart	s.22(1) Personal and Confidential	Kitsilano	No web attachments.

**1. Streamlining Rental Around Local Shopping Areas - OPPOSE**

11/03/2021	12 32	PH1 - 1. Streamlining Rental Around Local Shopping Areas - Amendments to the C-2, C 2B, C-2C and C-2C1 Zones and Creation of New Rental Zones for Use in Future Rezoning Applications in Surrounding Low Density Areas Under the Secured Rental Policy	Oppose	Not happy about 6 floor building taking Mountain View's away from us. No building went above 4 floors in this area .	Sara Hakkak	s.22(1) Personal and Confidential	Riley Park	No web attachments.
11/03/2021	12 35	PH1 - 1. Streamlining Rental Around Local Shopping Areas - Amendments to the C-2, C 2B, C-2C and C-2C1 Zones and Creation of New Rental Zones for Use in Future Rezoning Applications in Surrounding Low Density Areas Under the Secured Rental Policy	Oppose	The proposal, that a whole city can be rezoned, completely ignores communities. Sure, developers will love it. I'm guessing the industry is where most of the "support" votes are coming from. The online Change petition has 4271 signers at the moment. Again, guessing those are voters and not from the industry.	Jean Baird		West Point Grey	No web attachments.
11/03/2021	12:45	PH1 - 1. Streamlining Rental Around Local Shopping Areas - Amendments to the C-2, C 2B, C-2C and C-2C1 Zones and Creation of New Rental Zones for Use in Future Rezoning Applications in Surrounding Low Density Areas Under the Secured Rental Policy	Oppose	Question: Property owners in the blue and light blue zones can expect to be fairly compensated for their properties. But what about the properties immediately adjacent to the blue and light blue zones? Won't the values of these properties necessarily suffer as they are overshadowed by multi-storey rental buildings? If this loss of value is the "price of progress," as they say, then why are only some of the city's residents having to pay it? If you are really concerned about equity should you not be concerned about this? It is basically a substantial transfer of wealth from individual property owners to developers, is it not?	Craig Gay		West Point Grey	No web attachments.
11/03/2021	12 50	PH1 - 1. Streamlining Rental Around Local Shopping Areas - Amendments to the C-2, C 2B, C-2C and C-2C1 Zones and Creation of New Rental Zones for Use in Future Rezoning Applications in Surrounding Low Density Areas Under the Secured Rental Policy	Oppose	We need to look at neighbourhood friendly options such as turning a property into a multiplex 3-4-5-6 units. That this can fit into the neighbourhood it does not look out of place or destroy the character of the neighborhood. There are many examples in a kits between 12th and 16th of this type of densification it doesn't overdo the density. There are many empty businesses because the landlords quite unscrupulously jacked up rents so the old original businesses couldn't afford to pay. Eg. Kay's cleaners on Dunbar. Once you go over four stories as well you create a micro climate the sun does not clear the building in the winter that's making the street cold and inhospitable. The very things that I've made Vancouver in a tractive liveable city or threatened by these kinds of zoning decisions. How is it environmentally friendly to replace houses with gardens and yards and setbacks, with cement six story blocks that come to the sidewalk.' There has not been enough consultation and cooperation between this neighbourhood group and the other parties.	Eileen Anderson		Kitsilano	No web attachments.

**1. Streamlining Rental Around Local Shopping Areas - OPPOSE**

11/03/2021	13:43	PH1 - 1. Streamlining Rental Around Local Shopping Areas - Amendments to the C-2, C 2B, C-2C and C-2C1 Zones and Creation of New Rental Zones for Use in Future Rezoning Applications in Surrounding Low Density Areas Under the Secured Rental Policy	Oppose	I would like to register my opposition to the City's Streamline Rental Initiative and blanket rezoning. The fact is that everybody that lives in Vancouver already has somewhere to live except the Homeless and this move by City Hall will further exacerbate the issue. The City has done nothing for the Homeless as we can see by the numbers that have grown since this council has come to power. We need to question the lack of funding and initiative by the city on the downtown eastside and Hastings corridor where land is sitting idle waiting for the City to take action' It is very much seen as just a money grab to increase the tax base needed to support the irresponsible spending of City Hall. There is no way that demolishing existing homes, many that provide affordable housing to multiple families and generations and replacing them with new rental units owned by investment trusts can be viewed as helpful to residents. It is interesting to watch the developers assemble the affordable single family home properties along E. 1st Avenue to demolish and build condos that start at 800K+. None of these new condos would be available for rent at less than 3500/mon. These homes currently contain many suites that are quite affordable yet residents are being displaced with nowhere to go. You have chosen to destroy the neighbourhood and allow the developers to profit under the guise of your quest for affordable housing. The only justification the City has is that people want to move here, so we must provide adequate housing' That is not what you were elected to do. Your job is to act on behalf of the residents and taxpayers who elected you and pay your salary! It is strange that your only source of direction comes from developers and planners that agree with your personal initiative. The City has paid millions of dollars for City plans over the years that have been developed in consultation with various stakeholders yet you choose to ignore them. Many people have invested their life and hard work to build this city for over a century yet you choose to disregard it and push your own agenda. People are asking how the Vancouver City Planning Commission was chosen but the answers are not forthcoming. The purpose and intent of destruction of single family neighborhoods, which are very much the fabric of Vancouver's charm, is questionable. It only can be viewed as a tax grab by the City where you will now tax single family homes at a Highest and Best use to fund further wasteful initiatives.	Glen Pederson		Kitsilano	No web attachments.
11/03/2021	14:07	PH1 - 1. Streamlining Rental Around Local Shopping Areas - Amendments to the C-2, C 2B, C-2C and C-2C1 Zones and Creation of New Rental Zones for Use in Future Rezoning Applications in Surrounding Low Density Areas Under the Secured Rental Policy	Oppose	The proposal ignores communities, community plans and community visions, in favour of developers.	George Bowering		West Point Grey	No web attachments.
11/03/2021	14 35	PH1 - 1. Streamlining Rental Around Local Shopping Areas - Amendments to the C-2, C 2B, C-2C and C-2C1 Zones and Creation of New Rental Zones for Use in Future Rezoning Applications in Surrounding Low Density Areas Under the Secured Rental Policy	Oppose	I am strongly opposed to the 6 story rezoning proposal which will turn Vancouver into an ugly slum. We don't want your bad plans.	Theodor Weideman		West Point Grey	No web attachments.
11/03/2021	15 59	PH1 - 1. Streamlining Rental Around Local Shopping Areas - Amendments to the C-2, C 2B, C-2C and C-2C1 Zones and Creation of New Rental Zones for Use in Future Rezoning Applications in Surrounding Low Density Areas Under the Secured Rental Policy	Oppose	My wife and are completely opposed to the Rental Rezoning Policy in its current form. And we are deeply concerned by the non-consensual, accusatory, and extremely divisive nature of the process that is being used to drive this policy through. Regards...Greg Booth	Greg Booth		Marpole	No web attachments.

s.22(1) Personal and Confidential