

**PH1 - 2. Removal of the Alma Street West Side Building Line North of West Fourth Avenue - OTHER**

Date Received	Time Created	Subject	Position	Content	Name	Organization	Contact Info	Neighbourhood	Attachment
09/20/2021	14:57	PH1 - 2. Removal of the Alma Street West Side Building Line North of West Fourth Avenue	Other	Dear Council: I am writing regarding the removal of the Alma Street west side building line north of W. 4th Ave. I live at § 22(1) Personal and Confidential immediately across the street I am unable to participate in the public hearing as I have a previous commitment. I would appreciate you accepting my comments below as part of the hearing process. Alma is a very wide street for the reasons you state in your notice and since the reclassification Pt Grey Rd west of McDonald Street, from an arterial to a local street, Alma no longer functions as an arterial. I have no objection to the proposal per se, but my support is conditional upon the traffic on the section of Alma between 4th and Cameron being 'quieted'. There are many speeders which take this wide stretch of road to be a highway rather than one which goes through a neighborhood where people cross the road, children play etc. I have seen many near misses in my time here and the situation is an accident waiting to happen. Your proposal seems like a good opportunity to address this problem as well. A roundabout or two, speed bumps and signage are all possible. It doesn't make sense to do one thing without the other. Additionally, because Alma is a wide street, there is a lot of illegal parking by oversize vehicles and unfortunately this is not well patrolled by your traffic enforcement. I think that is an issue which can also be addressed, part and parcel with the removal of the building line. Thank you for your consideration Edward Lipman Sent from my iPhone Name: Edward Lipman	Edward Lipman		§ 22(1) Personal and Confidential	Kitsilano	No web attachments.
09/28/2021	12:09	PH1 - 2. Removal of Alma Street West Side Building Line North of West Fourth Avenue	Other	Not sure about my opinion at this time. Instead of going on with all the explanations/history of the street lines, why don't they just indicate the real reason why they want to change it. The pros and cons. Reason for the change ie. change sidewalk width, make a bike lane, increase owners' lot sizes, they are building an apartment etc. It makes no sense to go ahead and waste everyone's time and public money without indicating the reason for making the change. There must be something in the works or why would they now all of a sudden request this change? Please advise. As in the past, they have the meeting as a formality and the next day make the change without any regard to what the people in the area say.	Karin Fredrich		§ 22(1) Personal and Confidential	Kitsilano	No web attachments.