

PH1 - 4. Regulation Redesign – Simplifying Height Regulations and Miscellaneous Amendments - OPPOSED

Date Received	Time Created	Subject	Position	Content	Name	Organization	Contact Info	Neighbourhood	Attachment
07/06/2021	15:55	PH1 - 4. Regulation Redesign – Simplifying Height Regulations and Miscellaneous Amendments	Oppose	<p>I STRONGLY OPPOSE approval of Item 4, Regulation Redesign ' Simplifying Height Regulations and Miscellaneous Amendments. This item is extensive, covers various unconnected topics, and is dense with technical language. The general public may find it incomprehensible and daunting to review. How is the average person expected not only to find the time to read through this, but also to understand and absorb the contents and implications of many of the proposed changes, so that an intelligent response can be formed? I take Ms. Olenick's point: has each Councillor had time to do proper due diligence on all the topics in Item 4? As stated in the Coalition of Vancouver Neighbourhoods document (please see http://coalitionvan.org/posts/2021-jun-8-regulation-redesign-amendments/#more-800) against this motion, building height is too important a topic to be shoved into the current catch-all document from staff. Over the past few years, the idea of roof amenities has been inserted into developers' plans. As proposed in 10.18.1, if there are to be structures of 3.6 m (~12 ft.) to provide residents (staff, in the case of office buildings) access to rooftop amenities, then I argue that this "roof" does add floor space and, therefore, should be considered as another floor and should be included in the calculation of maximum building height.</p>	Veronica Yakoleff		s.22(1) Personal an	South Cambie	No web attachments.