

5. CD-1 Amendment: 480 Broughton Street (Opposed)

Date Received	Time Created	Subject	Position	Content	Name	Organization	Contact Info	Neighbourhood	Attachment
06/29/2021	17:12	PH1 - 5. CD-1 Amendment: 480 Broughton Street	Oppose	The downtown Coal Harbour area in which the proposed school and residential building is to be located is already significantly congested with traffic during peak commuting hours, particularly due to the Lion's Gate Bridge and the extremely inefficient junction that is the confluence of West Pender and West Georgia Streets. Building the proposed development at 480 Broughton Street will lead to more idling cars - already a common occurrence in this so-called "Green City" - so I cannot see how it will be good for the environment. I am also unimpressed that the Decision Board is relying on an incomplete and flawed Traffic Assessment Report. If I did that as a professional scientist, I would face disciplinary action from my professional association.	Warwick Stuart Board		"s 22(1) Personal and Confidential"	Downtown	No web attachments.
06/29/2021	18:16	PH1 - 5. CD-1 Amendment: 480 Broughton Street	Oppose	Re: Currently in Opposition unless independent studies done and Concerns of all citizens truly addressed Dear Mr. Stewart . Sorry this has come on short notice , I oppose this project there is a way too many problems with this proposal. 1) Legally the VSB is legally required to go through a formal school closing process as per its own policy and should not be pursuing deals with BC Hydro before this is done. This is biasing their own process hence the should not be making deals with BC Hydro VSB shouldn't be participating in BC Hydro Consultation. Legal action is being considered . BC Hydro is pushing to have Coal harbor School built sooner ... is the gov't trying to backtrack paying for it The New school would likely house Coal harbor children as well as all the Lord Roberts school children for at least 7 years or perhaps permanently ... Have any consideration of this been completed in the studied on the impact on all levels , to the neighborhood in Coal Harbor and the problems it will cause to traffic families etc in the west end . Have any Current studies looking at social , environmental , physical , and current traffic patterns etc been independently done. ie) Emery Barnes Park city council declined BC Hydros proposal for a substation their for many reasons and safely concerns EMFs 2) a very old traffic study is being used on the proposal . We request a new independent current up to date study to be done 3) 5 to 7 years of construction , congestion , noise, pollution, safety hazard , large trucks and construction materials and equipment for digging etc in the Westend will happen . Then once built their is the safety hazard of EMFs emmissions from the new BC hydro facility ... all this will effect the community living around this area and Coal Harbor . Has a current studied been independently done on this or does the community need to submit legal action to have this done . t is our tax paying dollars being used properly or has political and corrupt dealings trying to over take legality. 4). The public consultation process was completely flawed and corrupt ... All three parties have tried to mow over legal due process ... should the citizens of coal harbor and the West End bring action against City Hall, BC Hydro and VSB should they not listen and address their oppositions and calls for real independent legal studies on the impact of health , traffic, safety, environment , social impact etc ... 5)Govt is the biggest beneficiary of this plan politically as well . Sincerely, Margaret Klima In opposition of current project at Coal Harbor	Margaret Klima		"s 22(1) Personal and Confidential"	West End	No web attachments.
06/29/2021	18:41	PH1 - 5. CD-1 Amendment: 480 Broughton Street	Oppose	This project is dangerous for children. It put children in the unsafe area. If any children lose to water who will take responsibility"	Lily		"s 22(1) Personal and Confidential"	Unknown	No web attachments.
06/29/2021	18:46	PH1 - 5. CD-1 Amendment: 480 Broughton Street	Oppose	The streets in the neighborhood do not have capacity for more traffic The safety and security of the neighborhood will be at risk	Shahrzad Faghihi		"s 22(1) Personal and Confidential"	Downtown	No web attachments.
06/29/2021	18:47	PH1 - 5. CD-1 Amendment: 480 Broughton Street	Oppose	Concerned about the safety and security of the neighborhood. Concerned about the traffic, limited routes for access, challenging pickup and drop-off... Concerned about the increased parking need Concerned about increased noise	Hooman Homayouni		"s 22(1) Personal and Confidential"	Downtown	No web attachments.
06/29/2021	18:48	PH1 - 5. CD-1 Amendment: 480 Broughton Street	Oppose	As a 2 decade resident of this neighborhood, I have justified the ongoing building-associated disruptions including dust and noise of developments towards the end goal of optimizing local services to make it a sustainable, safe and healthy multi-use and integrated community. This increased infrastructure has brought along unregulated/ unenforced threats to the local residents' health and safety. In addition to the escalating thoroughfare and local traffic at all hours of the day, we are constantly bombarded by non-directional sirens and high decibel muffler sounds from motorbikes, service delivery vehicles, personal vehicles of high output caliber as well as poorly maintained older cars. In addition to constant sleep disruption and the threat of premature deafness, the residents are now at a heightened risk of developing fossil fuel related hematologic cancers and ischemic heart diseases. This development would further add to this area's already unhealthy footprint. I'd like to hear the Planning Committee or Developer's study on the projected impact of increased ambient noise and that of petroleum pollution on human health. We have also seen a rise in petty crimes, loitering and unstable pedestrian behaviors of late. We will be risking the safety of the schoolchildren as they and their guardians navigate car drop-offs and pick-ups around a narrow intersection that isn't designed to accommodate 900+ car trips daily and expose children and the underhoused to a destabilizing street scape. I remain keen to hear the net benefit of this proposal to all--the schoolchildren, all residents including the working taxpayers who require and deserve a healthy, restorative environment. In closing, I have yet to be convinced that this is the appropriate site for the project. Given that a smaller scale elementary school was drafted in the original plans and that there is no other walkable option, I am open to a pared down elementary school that is in keeping with the traffic and ambient noise expected with a smaller school if the constant and exponential rise in ambient noise, dust and petroleum pollution is simultaneously addressed. Thanks for your attention.	PY Chai		"s 22(1) Personal and Confidential"	Unknown	No web attachments.

5. CD-1 Amendment: 480 Broughton Street (Opposed)

06/29/2021	18:49	PH1 - 5. CD-1 Amendment: 480 Broughton Street	Oppose	Why should we risk the safety and security of this area by building a social housing ' What would happen for traffic ' No plans for it. t would take 15 min to get out of parking!	Sara James		"s 22(1) Personal and Confidential"	Downtown	No web attachments.
06/29/2021	18:53	PH1 - 5. CD-1 Amendment: 480 Broughton Street	Oppose	Having social housing in the most expensive area of the city has lots of harm and no advantage. t would damage the safety and security of the area. It would be beneficial to build social housing in a cheaper neighborhood than building it in most expensive part of the town.	Ivan Donald		"s 22(1) Personal and Confidential"	Downtown	No web attachments.
06/29/2021	18:56	PH1 - 5. CD-1 Amendment: 480 Broughton Street	Oppose	Social housing in coal harbour ' Why ' social housing in an area that the price of a condo is around 2 million dollar has lots of harm and no point.	Liam Oliver		"s 22(1) Personal and Confidential"	Downtown	No web attachments.
06/29/2021	20:12	PH1 - 5. CD-1 Amendment: 480 Broughton Street	Oppose	https://www.facebook.com/groups/BCPoliticsAndMore/permalink/939121746631544/	Raymond Liang		"s 22(1) Personal and Confidential"	Downtown	Appendix A
06/29/2021	22:51	PH1 - 5. CD-1 Amendment: 480 Broughton Street	Oppose	I oppose to the new development as this will only increase the noise pollution and added traffic in an already very congested area, not to mention the view of the water and mountain that we in the coal harbour neighborhood have to come cherish. I understand the housing in the city has become very unaffordable, (that is do to foreign buyers that have extra cash to out-bid local buyers that is driving the prices sky high) but to simply take a coal harbour's already very congested area and add more traffic, noise pollution and danger the lives of kids (in the Pender and Broughton corner there has been numerous accidents) and to destroy the people's water view that have paid a premium to enjoy is not a solution for school and social housing, it's rubbery legalized by city. This is rather madness. I also don't understand how can the city have such a lack of regard for the safety of the kids by having social housing and schooling mixed in one building. I would never-ever want to have my kids go to an school that the there are people living on top of the it. Instead of reducing congestion in such a busy corner of coal harbour and mixing social housing and school, I rather see my tax payer dollar to be spent on improving the park area and the rec center. How is this project improves my life in my neighborhood' or is city to blind to even care. I am sure majority of the owners have opposed this project, but the city seems to care very little about the people's democracy and the majority of the opposed votes. If our votes didn't count, then this is not democracy nor a real vote, but city just simply taking tax payers money and use it at its own will. In short, this is a farce. I bought my condo at a premium with my hard earned money so I can be near water and be able to see it and enjoy it, not to simply be told by city that I should pay for someone else to get a free ride and have more traffic, noise and congestion front of my face at my expense. This is a disservice by city to the coal harbour resident and everyone that has voted against the project. As best a convoluted way of using social housing and putting kids lives at danger to make money from us. While there are many condos being built in much less congested areas without mixing school with it, the city wants to build on the most expensive land without any regards to coal harbour resident well being, objection and kids safety...how is this even logical or sane' Have we forgotten there was a shooting here' Why don't the city spend my taxpayer money on catching real criminals or have real solution of stopping foreign investment buying condos and houses that are empty and are just an investment' I guess city only wants money and we can be ignored & silenced. Or is that too much to ask from the city to do the right thing, instead of stealing my money in name of social housing and schooling mixed under one roof' Am I still in Canada or have socialism taken over democracy of people's votes' Answer me!!!	Khashayar Pouladian		"s 22(1) Personal and Confidential"	West End	Appendix B
06/30/2021	15:53	PH1 - 5. CD-1 Amendment: 480 Broughton Street	Oppose	486 Sudden deaths in last 5 days due to heat waves. Heat Waves are caused by Global Warming from carbon emissions pollution. We are here to fight for future's heat waves. This project from TAMS report supplied by Architect in permit application says 917 vehicles per day are expected to the site as it's a high traffic building. Carbon Emissions become global warming and carbon warming creates deaths as result of heat waves. City Council ready to take on the liabilities' https://beta.ctvnews.ca/local/british-columbia/2021/6/30/1_5492288.html?fbclid=IwAR3FNaE8pIpgU5itF0sFhC5phOdUeDQjkyjPwJLLI4EwgMFYOacj11hY	Rick VJ		"s 22(1) Personal and Confidential"	Downtown	No web attachments.



Alan's Post



harbour community. Broughton is between Jervis and Cardero so if 480 Broughton is approved, there will be 413 social housings in total within the same 3 blocks in coal harbour community - 2 out of 4 social housing buildings in the coal harbour community will be over 10 storeys high - 480 Broughton (11 storeys and 60 units) and 1288 West Cordova (30 storeys and 284 units). Why all in the sa... See More

8h Like Reply



Hector Heisler

It should be noted that most large American cities have now abandoned this approach as it resulted in a neighbourhood ('hood') of high-rise slums dominated by criminal gangs that terrorized poor people and were unpolicable...

1h Like Reply



Write a reply...

