3. Amendment to Downtown Official Development Plan – Area K3 (Granville Street)

Date	Time	Subject	Positio	Content	Name	Organization	Contact Info	Neighbourhood	Attachment
03/01/2021	17:36	PH2 - 3. Amendment to Downtown Official Development Plan – Area K3 (Granville Street)	Oppose	City of Vancouver, are NOT in the business of housing. This is a provincial matter. We do not want rezoning for ANY social housing in this core - it's a boiling point as it is - and full of criminal and public disorder already. Plus, CoV has other available less costly land that would benefit those that need social housing - this core is not it.	Save Our City! Vancouver			Downtown	No web attachments.
03/02/2021	17:41	PH2 - 3. Amendment to Downtown Official Development Plan – Area K3 (Granville	Oppose	Hello, I know the public hearing is really just a formality and more than likely this is just going to be passed regardless of public opinion, but still I'm opposed. It's already become really tough to live in this neighbourhood and it feels like everything is being centred here. We've had a 4x increase in move-outs in our building because no one wants to live here anymore. Question: Can you please address at the meeting exactly what this means to the community E.g. what is the actual # of additional people who will be housed here, and what exact # of social housing will be increased. I don't understand the industry language you've used in the notice. Thanks, Larissa	Larissa			Downtown	No web attachments.
03/08/2021	11:47	PH2 - 3. Amendment to Downtown Official Development Plan – Area K3 (Granville Street)	Oppose	As a business owner and resident of downtown Vancouver I oppose K3. Over the past decade and particularly the past several years Downtown South has become home to an extraordinary number of new residential and support systems for the homeless, mentally ill, and drug addicted. It has dramatically changed the character of this part of the city for the worse. My retail business of 28 years downtown has had as many negative incidents occur over the past two years as the 26 years before. This neighbourhood has bore an overweight of support. I'm not against support, but I will be frank in stating we have done our part already. Please find alternate locations or find ways to keep it contained in the DT East Side. I am a full grown capable man yet I now need to plan my walk to work to avoid certain blocks that have become dangerous and unpredictable. I feel badly for women, children and the aged trying to negotiate Downtown South now. K3 also puts the LGBT community at more risk as that community centre lies literally 3 blocks away. The additional noise, pollution, crime, and stress to an already stressed neighbourhood is plainly wrong. K3 must not go ahead, it's too dangerous. Thank you.	David King			Downtown	No web attachments.
03/08/2021	13:52	PH2 - 3. Amendment to Downtown Official Development Plan - Area K3 (Granville Street)	Oppose	The City's war on middle class residents in the Yaletown/Downtown South area is getting out of hand. There has long been existing social support and housing that has co-existed well for 10 years. The City's recent in push in 2020 to concentrate and expand these programs (well intentioned as they may be) is overwhelming that balance and destroying the livability of the neighbourhood. Emery Barnes Park is no longe child safe, people are being threatened and assaulted. More of the programs the City wishes to impose on such a small area of the City will crush the livability of the taxpayers and residents who until 2020 enjoyed living in this area. Please stop this and spread out these programs more through out the City. The Yaletown/Downtown South area will become another Main and Hastings if this keeps up. Please stop. Thank you.	Ronald Henry			Downtown	No web attachments.
03/08/2021	15:10	PH2 - 3. Amendment to Downtown Official Development Plan – Area K3 (Granville Street)	Oppose	What exactly is your vision' The neighborhood is no longer as safe as it used to be. Have you followed what is happening in San Francisco' They used the same approach as yours and placed troubled residents in the business and residential cores. I encourage you to see what the results are. I am in the conferences industry. Several conference organizers have already pulled out of San Fran pre-2020 as it is not safe to walk there. Lufthansa moved its staff hotel. These two examples are simply business examples resulting from an ideologically led decision to not respect tax paying citizens. My other concern is common sense. There are only two explanations: I: you do not care about these marginalized people as any normal person would remove them from bad influences. You are building a ghetto instead of spreading them out across town to successfully integrate them .2. Your intent is to create a second Downtown Eastside as you keep building social services, drug injection sites (next to a playground for heaven's sake """) etc and then use those as an argument to build more social housing to these services. I am not sure how some of you sleep at night as you are literally helping no one with your strategy.	Tom Voigt			Downtown	No web attachments.