| 3. CD | Rezon | ng: 3084 West 4th Av | e | 2010 Balaclava Street - OPPOSE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date Received | Time Created | Subject | Position | Content | Name | Organization | Contact Info | Neighbourhood | Attachment |
| Received | 11:02 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | Dear Clerk, See attached letter of opposition. I realize this is more of an individual letter rather than a petition and I wonder if we should be entering these letters into the comment box. These letters were gathered by going door to door in my neighbourhood. Please advise if we can enter them into the comment box, which we think is probably most correct. Regards, Cameron | Cameron |  | s.22(1) Personal and Confidential | Kitsilano | Appendix A |
| 02/10/2021 | 12:49 | PH2-3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | Please see attached letter. | Pierre De Trenqualye |  |  | Kitsilano | Appendix B |
| 02/10/2021 | 13:06 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | Mayor and Councillors: I am writing to oppose the proposed rezoning application noted above. As outlined in submissions by the West Kits Residents' Association, and supported by the Coalition of Vancouver Neighbourhoods, there is a collaborative process under way between residents and the proponent. If allowed to take its course, this will result in a much better outcome for all concerned. City Hall should not be the heavy hand here. The engagement between the parties should be allowed to continue. Please turn down this proposal. Regards, lan Crook | Ian Crook | Private User |  | Unknown | No web attachments. |
| 02/10/2021 | 14:04 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I am speaker \#5, please see attached a PDF presentation that I would like provided to Mayor and Council for the Feb 11 Item 3 Public Hearing | Cameron Zubko | WeLovekits |  | Kitsiano | Appendix C |
| 02/10/2021 | 14:05 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | Too many storeys high. Four would be a better fit for the neighborhood. Also more parking spaces needed in building | Denise Gross |  |  | Kitsiano | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 14:36 | PH2-3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | Please see the attached correspondence which 1 ask be responded to before the hearing. | Sarah Hansen |  |  | Kitsilano | Appendix D |
| 02/10/2021 | 15:01 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | We are opposed to this rezoning application because: 1. Proposal Does Not Qualify for the Moderate Income Rental Housing Pilot Program (MIRHPP). This proposal should not have been considered for this site because it does not meet the city's criteria laid out in the MIRHP Program. The site has 6 existing rental units and 13 tenants that will be displaced. The MIRHP Program states that only sites with a maximum of 3 existing rental units should be considered. 2. Design Concerns. The proposal is too aggressive for the small $71 \times 104$ site size, in and footprint; ' The proposal is almost double the permitted height ( $10.7 \mathrm{~m} / 35$ feet to $19.3 \mathrm{~m} / 63.4$ feet) and more than double the density/floorspace of what is allowed in the RM-4 Zone (FSR 1.45 to 2.95 ). ' $N o$ context: There are no buildings higher than 4 stories along Fourth Avenue and the nearby adjacent RM4 developments are $31 / 2$ stories with a lower ground floor to reduce height to 35 feet. ' Building design is poor: an unimaginative "box design" that disrespects the streetscape, design and character of the neighbourhood; ' Setback relaxations result in very narrow setbacks which are inconsistent with neighbouring buildings. Consequences of the narrow setbacks are little space for greenery and loss of privacy. There will be only 6 feet between the balconies and the rear property line. Reducing the north setback as proposed will bring new residents closer to the noise and pollution of 4th Avenue and could jeopardize the 3 existing, mature cedar trees; ' Inadequate treatment of garbage and recycling - needs to move underground; ' Shadowing will occur in late afternoon, the time when Vancouverites often want to sit outside after returning home from work; ' Minor mitigating conditions in the City's Report do not solve the problems listed above. I oppose the proposed rezoning application at 3084 W 4th Ave \& 2010 Balaclava Street for the following reasons: 1 . The proposal is 2 X the height permitted under RM-4 2. The proposal is more than 2 X the density/floorspace of what is permitted under RM-4 3. The building design is poor: an unimaginative "box design" that disrespects the streetscape, design and character of the neighbourhood; 4 . Inappropriate setbacks 5 . Not enough trees / loss of privacy for neighbours 5 . Garbage must be moved below ground 6 . Shadow impacts for surrounding neighbours The proposed height reduction of 3 feet and other mitigating conditions in the referral report do not solve the problems listed above. WHAT WE SUPPORT - A BETTER DESIGN FOR RENTAL HOUSING We support a more appropriate design for a market rental alternative using a combination of apartments and stacked townhouse building form, up to 4.5 stories, with trees and appropriate setbacks (see below). This could be an innovative rental housing project that would more than replace the existing rental housing on the site. It would still involve an increase in density and number | June Lai Fong Lam |  |  | Kitsiano | Appendix E |
| 02/10/2021 | 15:33 | PH2-3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | My husband and I oppose this development as it is shown in the drawings for the following reasons: It is higher than any other buildings on 4th Avenue in Kitsilano This MIRH Pilot Project should not have been considered for this site because it does not meet the criteria laid out in the MIRH policy. The site has 6 existing rental units and 13 tenants that will be displaced. MIRH policy states that only sites with 3 rental units or less should be considered. The character of the building is not in keeping with the surrounding buildings We drove around East Vancouver and saw imaginatively designed buildings of 4 or 5 stories going up everywhere. Surely, the nature of our lovely community deserves to be considered and maintained while providing housing. | Maggi Cheetham |  |  | Kitsiano | No web attachments. |
| 02/10/2021 | 16:50 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | The Coalition of Vancouver Neighborhoods (CVN) joins the local community and West Kits Residents Assoc. members in opposition to this rezoning proposal. Please see attached letter for full comments. | Larry A. Benge | Coalition of Vancouver Neighbourhoods |  | Unknown | Appendix F |
| 02/10/2021 | 17:16 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | Re 3084 West Fourth/2010 Balaclava St As a homeowner at 22 ( 1 I would like to go on record as being totally opposed to the redevelopment and variances proposed for the site at 3084 Wessffourth 2010 Balaclava St. This is not keeping with the current feel of the neighborhood which is zoned RTT. Please see intention of therening diphighted in yellow below. RT-7 and RT-8 Two-Family Dwelling Districts The intent is to encourage the retention and renovation of existing buildings which maintain an architectural style and building form consistent with the historical character of the area. Redevelopment will beealidedrageed on sites where existing buildings are smaller, or do not contribute to this character. For renovations and additions, emphasis is plaged ferfmaittaining existing external architectural character; for new development, on compatibility in external character. In all cases, neighboury $\begin{aligned} & \text { difdidf }\end{aligned}$ one of the last original neighborhoods in Vancouver is destroyeffial | Berrie Doyle |  |  | Kitsiano | No web attachments. |
| 02/10/2021 | 17:16 |  |  | UPLICATE |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02/10/2021 | 17:19 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | Proposed building is too high. Max 4 floors OK. Please keep within heights of other buildings on 4th Ave. or lower. thank you | John Peters |  |  | Kitsiano | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 17:49 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | See attached word document letter | Virag Sheila Vizi |  |  | Kitsiano | Appendix G |
| 02/10/2021 | 17:57 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I strongly oppose this kind of density in the Kitsilano neighbourhood. I would be fine with 4 storeys but not 6 right next to a one storey bungalow | Rob Kavelars |  |  | Kitsiano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 18:02 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | Dear Sirs and Madams, I am writing to express my concern about the development project of a 6 storey building on the corner of 4th Ave.W and Balaclava- Kitsilano. I find that this development should align in height with the neighbouring buildings, ( 35 ft max.), to protect the feel and character of Kitsilano. The size of the lot seems also too small for a project of this scale. It would be a pity to allow this development to go ahead as it is proposed at the moment. I hope you will give consideration to the alternative proposal by the West Kitsilano Residents Association and the WeLoveKits group. With thanks and best regards, Yvette de Trenqualye | Yvette de Trenqualye |  |  | Kitsiano | No web attachments. |
| 02/10/2021 | 18:42 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I Oppose | Alexandra Kutrovits |  |  | Kitsiano | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |




| 02/10/2021 | 19:35 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 Oppose. | Norm Tymo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02/10/2021 | 19:36 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 Oppose. | Marion Cross |
| 02/10/2021 | 19:37 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PH2 - . CD-1 Rezoning: } 3084 \text { West } \\ & \text { 4the Avenue and 2010 Balaclava } \end{aligned}$ Street | Oppose | 1 Oppose. | Monica Jacobs |
| 02/10/2021 | 19:38 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose . | Ken Burrough |
| 02/10/2021 | 19:38 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 Oppose. | Matthias Jakob |
| 02/10/2021 | 19:39 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Louise Ries |
| 02/10/2021 | 19:39 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I Oppose. | Florence Pieronek |
| 02/10/2021 | 19:41 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose . | Robert Bloom |
| 02/10/2021 | 19:43 | PH2-3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Barbara Heller |
| 02/10/2021 | 19:44 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 Oppose. | Vicky Harris |
| 02/10/2021 | 19:44 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 Oppose. | Lynda Shioya |
| 02/10/2021 | 19:45 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 Oppose. | Patti Slattery |
| 02/10/2021 | 19:46 | PH2-3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 Oppose. | Paulette Lane |
| 02/10/2021 | 19:47 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 Oppose. | Anthony Murfet |
| 02/10/2021 | 19:48 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 Oppose. | James Turner |
| 02/10/2021 | 19:48 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 Oppose. | Gloria Lodge |
| 02/10/2021 | 19:49 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 Oppose. | Tom Delahooke |
| 02/10/2021 | 19:50 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 Oppose. | Apinya Amornterasawas |
| 02/10/2021 | 19:51 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 Oppose. | Claudette DePape |
| 02/10/2021 | 19:52 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 Oppose. | Michael Stunden |
| 02/10/2021 | 19:52 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 Oppose. | Janet Cleary |
| 02/10/2021 | 19:53 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 Oppose. | Dugald Morrison |
| 02/10/2021 | 19:54 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Eric Pox\| |
| 0210/2021 | 19:59 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose . | Gerry Karvelis |
| 02/10/2021 | 19:59 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 Oppose. | Casper Dolleris |
| 02/10/2021 | 19:59 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Farzad Kossari |
| 02/10/2021 | 19:59 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 Oppose. | Nina Dolleris |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:00 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose . | Richard Mui |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:00 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: } 3084 \text { West } \\ & \text { 4th Avenue and } 2010 \text { Balaclava } \\ & \text { Street } \end{aligned}$ | Oppose | 1 oppose. | William Herzer |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:01 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: } 3084 \text { West } \\ & \text { 4th Avenue and } 2010 \text { Balaclava } \end{aligned}$ Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Michele Fayadas |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:01 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 Oppose. | Gord Bradley |



| 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street - OPPOSE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:02 | PH2-3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Jami Koenl | s.22(1) Personal and Confidential | Kitsilano | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:02 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Frances Herzer |  | Kitsilano | No web attachments. |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:02 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Serge Bih |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:02 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Stefan Koenl |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:03 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I Oppose. | Laurel Schafer |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:03 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Ann Evans |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:04 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I Oppose. | Greg Bridges |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:04 | PH2-3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Lynne Pement |  | Kitsilano | No web attachments. |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:04 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | l oppose. | Diane Bridges |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:05 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose | John Hunt |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:05 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 Oppose. | Peter Rose |  | Kitsilano | No web attachments. |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:05 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | M. Kenny |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:05 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose | Tery Eisen |  | Kitsilano | No web attachments. |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:05 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Juan L |  | Kitsilano | No web attachments. |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:06 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I Oppose. | Gayle Quigley |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:06 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Stuart Rust |  | Kitsilano | No web attachments. |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:06 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I Oppose. | David Paterson |  | Kitsilano | No web attachments. |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:07 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose | John Gellard |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:07 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | l oppose | John Gellard |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:07 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Irene Takahara |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:07 | PH2-3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 Oppose. | Patricia Hook |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { alacinuluens. } \\ & \text { no web } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:07 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | l oppose | Ron Fryer |  | Kitsilano | No web attachments. |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:08 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I Oppose. | Fiona Brodie |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:08 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Mary Ackenhusen |  | Kitsilano | No web attachments. |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:09 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I Oppose. | Eric Broom |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:09 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | l oppose | Laura Hernandez |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:09 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Christine Eriksen |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:09 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | l oppose | Joan Cawsey |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:09 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Maria H. |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:10 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | l oppose. | Margaret Butter |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:10 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Bruce Ott |  | Unknown | No web attachments. |


| 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street - OPPOSE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:10 | PH2-3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Wayne Antoniak | s.22(1) Personal and Confidential | Kitsilano | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:11 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 Oppose. | Sharon Bortootto |  | Kitsiano | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:11 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Alejandra Lopez |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:11 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Carolyn Russell |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:11 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 Oppose. | Eva Allan |  | Kitsiano | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:11 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Iain MacDonell |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:12 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Melanie Henderson |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:12 | PH2-3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 Oppose. | Tom Perry |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:12 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Marysol L. |  | Kitsiano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:12 | PH2-3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | David Wainwright |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:12 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 Oppose. | Beth Chambers |  | Kitsiano | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:13 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose | Cathy Wainwright |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{array}{\|l} \begin{array}{l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:13 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 Oppose. | Meaghan Dean |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:13 | PH2-3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Andrew Webb |  | Kitsiano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:13 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Lawrence Jakeron |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:14 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Eric Werker |  | Kitsiano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:14 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | We oppose. | Mary Kowal \& Dan Kowal |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:14 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street $\qquad$ | Oppose | I oppose | Alana Hirsh |  | Kitsiano | No web attachments. |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:15 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Mary Lavin |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:15 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Jeff Davis |  | Kitsiano | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \begin{array}{l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array} \end{array}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:16 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Diane Neltes |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:17 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Karen Marki |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:17 | PH2-3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Maria Honda |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:17 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose | Alan (Alex) Alexander |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:17 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Nancy Desjarlais |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:18 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Wolfgang Strigel |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:18 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 Oppose. | Murat Ozbek |  | Kitsiano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:18 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Barbara Strigel |  | Kitsilano | No web attachments. |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:18 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 Oppose. | Tarpaul Parhar |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:18 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Antonia Schang |  | Kitsilano | No web attachments. |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:19 | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: } 3084 \text { West } \\ \text { 4th Avenue and } 2010 \text { Balaclava } \\ \text { Street } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Feng Wang |  | Kitsilano | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}\right.$ |



| 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street - OPPOSE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0210/2021 | 20:26 | PH2-3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Ross Coomber | s.22(1) Personal and Confidential | Kitsilano | No web attachments. |
| 0210/2021 | 20:26 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | David Williams |  | Kitisiano | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 0210/2021 | 20:27 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Lorna Weir |  | Kitisiano | No web attachments |
| 0210/2021 | 20:27 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Anne-Marie Lapointe |  | Kitisiano | No web attachments |
| 0210/2021 | 20:27 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Xochitl Hanson Pastran |  | Kitisiano | No web attachments. |
| 0210/2021 | 20:28 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Darcy Higgs |  | Kitisiano | No web <br> attachments |
| 0210/2021 | 20:28 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaciava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Sandra Ho |  | Kitsilano | No web attachments. |
| 0210/2021 | 20:29 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Rita Green |  | Kitisiano | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:29 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | David Cachioni |  | Kitisiano | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 0210/2021 | 20:29 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Charleen Strand |  | Kitisiano | No web attachments. |
| 021012021 | 20:29 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street $\qquad$ | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Roy Heckenast |  | Kitisiano | No web attachments. |
| 0210/2021 | 20:31 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Anne-Marie Lapointe |  | Kitisiano | No web attachments. |
| 0210/2021 | 20:31 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Christine Mewis |  | Kitisiano | No web attachments. |
| 0210/2021 | 20:32 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Todd Prodaunk |  | Kitisiano | No web attachments |
| 0210/2021 | 20:32 | PH2-3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Hubertus Vandertugt |  | Kitisiano | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 0210/2021 | 20:33 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose | Kelly McKnight |  | Kitisiano | No web attachments |
| 0210/2021 | 20:33 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street $\qquad$ | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Paula Fainstat |  | Kitisiano | No web attachments. |
| 0210/2021 | 20:34 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Leslie Sengara |  | Kitisiano | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 0210/2021 | 20:34 | PH2-3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Birgitta Woods |  | Kitisiano | No web attachments. |
| 021012021 | 20:34 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | A.B. Morrison |  | Kitisiano | No web attachments. |
| 0210/2021 | 20:34 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Invin Akpov |  | Kitisiano | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 0210/2021 | 20:35 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street $\qquad$ | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Deborrah Dunne |  | Kitisiano | No web attachments |
| 021012021 | 20:35 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West Street $\qquad$ | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Judy Wong |  | Kitsilano | No web attachments |
| 0210/2021 | 20:35 |  | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Pamella Farish |  | Kitsilano | No web attachments. |
| 0210/2021 | 20:36 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Martin Howard |  | Kitsilano | No web attachments |
| 0210/2021 | 20:36 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | N. Holz-Felder |  | Kitsilano | No web attachments |
| 021012021 | 20:36 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: } 3084 \text { West } \\ & \text { 4th Avenue and } 2010 \text { Balaclava } \\ & \text { Street } \end{aligned}$ | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Nancy Thompson |  | Kitsilano | No web attachments |
| 021012021 | 20:36 | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: } 3084 \text { West } \\ \text { 4th Avenue and } 2010 \text { Balaclava } \\ \text { Street } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | Oppose | 1 oppose . | Carmen Ramirez |  | Kitsilano | No web attachments. |
| 021012021 | 20:37 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Zerlina Chan |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 0210/2021 | 20:37 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Kelsey Dundon |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 021012021 | 20:37 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Lee Manchul |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |


| 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street - OPPOSE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:38 | PH2-3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose | Nancy Gioia | s.22(1) Personal and Confidential | Kitsilano | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:38 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Clara Gioia |  | Kitsiano | No web <br> attachments. |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:38 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Joanne Webster |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:39 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Maria Cortese |  | Kitsiano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:39 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | John Geddes |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:40 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Sheila Lovelock |  | Kitsiano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:40 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Friedhelm Ames |  | Kitsilano | No web attachments |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:40 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Esther Alexander |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:40 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Stephen Nicholls |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:41 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Dr. Nima Rabbani |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:41 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Jan Fleming |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:41 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Dezy Nicholls |  | Kitsiano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:42 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Kathleen Bladon |  | Kitsilano | No web attachments. |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:42 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street $\qquad$ | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Maria Pappas |  | Kitsiano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:42 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Ian Galsworthy |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:43 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Norman Rich |  | Kitsiano | No web attachments. |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:43 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Gordon Clements |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:43 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Margaret Macleod |  | Kitsiano | No web attachments. |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:43 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street $\qquad$ | Oppose | We oppose. | Don \& Judy Flahiff |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:44 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Philip Siekmann |  | Kitsiano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:44 | PH2-3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Joanne Keenan |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { alacinuluens. } \\ & \text { no web } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:44 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose | Fred Wark |  | Kitsiano | No web attachments. |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:44 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | We oppose. | Sharon Hodgins \& family |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:45 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street $\qquad$ | Oppose | I oppose. | Kathleeen Warkentin |  | Unknown | No web attachments. |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:45 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Margaret Partridge |  | Kitsiano | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:46 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Richard Ryant |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:46 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose | Reesa Mackinnon |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:46 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Barb Whyte |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:47 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Brent Stait |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:47 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose | Michele Diggins |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 20:47 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose | Jim Diggins |  | Kitsilano | No web attachments. |

## 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street - OPPOSE

| 年 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



| 02/10/2021 | 21:06 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 308 . West4th Avenue and $2010 ~ B a l a c l a v a ~$Street | Oppose | I oppose. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02/10/2021 | 21:07 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: } 3084 \text { West } \\ & \text { 4th Avenue and } 2010 \text { Balaclava } \\ & \text { Street } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Oppose | 1 oppose. |
| 02/10/2021 | 21:10 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I am local resident for 38 years. Born \& raised on this block. I support the local concerns for this project. As I was growing up \& witnessed previous development on this block I ask that the City turn down this application as it does not meet eligibility criteria under MIRHPP, and consider instead an alternate proposal for the location (the 'Balaclava Option') that meets the following criteria: 1. Meets city objectives for providing secured 'missing middle' rental housing 2. Creates a more efficient building style that can be replicated on other small lots 3 . Provides density significantly above current zoning provisions but without the negative impacts to the surrounding neighbourhood. Thank you |
| 02/10/2021 | 21:11 | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: } 3084 \text { West } \\ \text { 4th Avenue and } 2010 \text { Balaclava } \\ \text { Street } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Oppose | I oppose. |
| 02/10/2021 | 21:13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: } 3084 \text { West } \\ & \text { 4th Avenue and } 2010 \text { Balaclava } \\ & \text { Street } \end{aligned}$ | Oppose | I oppose. |
| 02/10/2021 | 21:14 | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: } 3084 \text { West } \\ \text { 4th Avenue and } 2010 \text { Balaclava } \\ \text { Street } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | Oppose | We oppose. |
| 02/10/2021 | 21:14 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | l oppose. |
| 02/10/2021 | 21:15 | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: } 3084 \text { West } \\ \text { 4th Avenue and } 2010 \text { Balaclava } \\ \text { Street } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Oppose | I oppose. |
| 02/10/2021 | 21:16 | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: } 3084 \text { West } \\ \text { 4th Avenue and } 2010 \text { Balaclava } \\ \text { Street } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | Oppose | 1 oppose. |
| 02/10/2021 | 21:17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: } 3084 \text { West } \\ & \text { 4th Avenue and } 2010 \text { Balaclava } \\ & \text { Street } \end{aligned}$ | Oppose | We oppose. |
| 02/10/2021 | 21:19 | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { PH - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: } 3084 \text { West } \\ \text { 4th Avenue and zo10 Balaclava } \\ \text { Street } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Oppose | We oppose. |
| 02/10/2021 | 21:20 | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: } 3084 \text { West } \\ \text { 4th Avenue and } 2010 \text { Balaclava } \\ \text { Street } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | Oppose | I oppose. |
| 02/10/2021 | 21:21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: } 3084 \text { West } \\ & \text { 4th Avenue and } 2010 \text { Balaclava } \\ & \text { Street } \end{aligned}$ | Oppose | I oppose. |
| 02/10/2021 | 21:24 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. |
| 02/10/2021 | 21:25 | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: } 3084 \text { West } \\ \text { 4th Avenue and } 2010 \text { Balaclava } \\ \text { Street } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | Oppose | I oppose. |
| 02/10/2021 | 21:25 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: } 3084 \text { West } \\ & \text { 4th Avenue and } 2010 \text { Balaclava } \\ & \text { Street } \end{aligned}$ | Oppose | I oppose. |
| 02/10/2021 | 21:27 | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { PH - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: } 3084 \text { West } \\ \text { 4th Avenue and } 2010 \text { Balaclava } \\ \text { Street } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Oppose | We oppose. |
| 02/10/2021 | 21:28 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: } 3084 \text { West } \\ & \text { 4th Avenue and } 2010 \text { Balaclava } \\ & \text { Street } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Oppose | I oppose. |
| 02/10/2021 | 21:29 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose |  so this is an issue that is very close to my heart and will impact my family's daily activties. aiready write an emair to some city council members and Mayor Kennedy expressing serious concerns about this 6 story in aparmadithe complexes/apartments 4 stories or less. This will cast a large shadow on neighbouring homes and take up disproportionate space, with insufficient setback. It is tremendously frustrating that when $m y$ husband and I applied to city hall to get permits to get a Reno to increase the square footage of our heritage home (which only had 2 bedrooms, too small for a family of 4 plus my sister and her husband staying with us in the basement), we had to jump through so many hoops and two year's worth of waiting to get architect's plans approved. This is completely hypocritical that a nearby building would be approved that completely does not fit the character of the neighbourhood, which is mainly 1920's era houses and well designed Craftsman style, Art Deco or West Coast modern condo complexes. There is already too much traffic in this area and insufficient parking. Not everyone can take the bus, especially health care workers who work evening shifts such as myself. Where exactly are all these new tenants going to park their cars' Traffic on 4th avenue DOUBLED after the creation of the bike lane on Point Grey Road. The increased volume and speeding buses, skateboarders, bicyclists who do not follow rules, is dangerous. I have two school age children and it gives me so much stress that I do not even let them go to the playground alone. My father who is 88 years old, independent and well functioning, lives in the condos at $22(\mathcal{1})$ He rarely goes out and about by himself since he almost got hit by a speeding car crossing the street at the pedestrian crossing last year. (A-smaller scale, less intrusive building with smaller number of occupants would be reasonable, and have less negative impact on thereqidyoffifa on neighbours. This is trying to increase density in a thoughtless manner without respect for the character of a historically significant neighbourhood. This negatively impacts the safety of the neighbourhood. I have witnessed firsthand what efforts to increase population dersing (lithout community buy in, has done in the Commercial Drive area, SW Marine drive, Marpole, etc. I'm sorry I could not attend this hearingin perppo a I care deeply about this issue, as do my husband and my grandfather, but as a front line health care worker I have to spend Feb 11 evering teaning up after dinner before going to work a 24 h shift. Thanks for your consideration. ntial |
| 02/10/2021 | 21:29 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: } 3084 \text { West } \\ & \text { 4th Avenue and } 2010 \text { Balaclava } \\ & \text { Street } \end{aligned}$ | Oppose | I oppose. |
| 02/10/2021 | 21:29 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. |
| 02/10/2021 | 21:30 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: } 3084 \text { West } \\ & \text { 4th Avenue and } 2010 \text { Balaclava } \\ & \text { Street } \end{aligned}$ | Oppose | I oppose. |
| 02/10/2021 | 21:31 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: } 3084 \text { West } \\ & \text { 4th Avenue and } 2010 \text { Balaclava } \\ & \text { Street } \end{aligned}$ | Oppose | 1 oppose. |



| 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street - OPPOSE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02/10/2021 | 21:33 | PH2-3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Karen Shklanda | s.22(1) Personal and Confidential | Kitsilano | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 21:33 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Marilyn Harris |  | Kitsiano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 21:34 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Alex Forsythe |  | Kitsilano | No web attachments |
| 02/10/2021 | 21:34 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | We oppose. | Dagney Evanoff \& Peter Evanoff |  | Kitsiano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { atacinivenis. } \\ & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 21:35 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Julie Frydenlund |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 21:37 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Joanne Sawatzky |  | Kitsiano | No web attachments. |
| 02/10/2021 | 21:37 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Bradley Staffard |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 21:38 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Rob Sawatzky |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 21:39 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Renee Staffard |  | Kitsilano | No web attachments. |
| 02/10/2021 | 21:40 | PH2-3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Angela Delben |  | Kitsiano | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 21:40 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Wayne \& Sam Drier |  | Kitsiano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 21:42 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Len Boyko |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 21:42 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Karen Takahoshi |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 21:43 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose | Paula D. Chalmers |  | Kitsilano | No web attachments. |
| 02/10/2021 | 21:45 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Darlene Spevakow |  | Kitsiano | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 21:45 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Josl Blair |  | Kitsiano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 21:46 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Michael Brewer |  | Kitsilano | No web attachments. |
| 02/10/2021 | 21:46 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Andrea Martin Blair |  | Kitsilano | No web attachments. |
| 02/10/2021 | 21:47 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Tina Tang |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 21:47 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street $\qquad$ | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Deborah Muggli |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 21:48 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Dr. Com Tollit |  | Kitsiano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | $21: 50$ | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Cheyenne Reese |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { atacinivenis. } \\ & \text { no web } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | $21: 51$ | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street $\qquad$ | Oppose | I oppose. | Sandra L. Scott |  | Kitsilano | No web attachments. |
| 02/10/2021 | $21: 52$ | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Niovi Stergiou |  | Kitsilano | No web attachments |
| 02/10/2021 | $21: 52$ | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street $\qquad$ | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Dagmar Rinky |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | $21: 53$ | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Andrew Rinky |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | $21: 53$ | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | We oppose. | Jeremy \& Blanca Bobroff |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array}$ |
| 0210/2021 | $21: 54$ | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Jana Lyons |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | $21: 54$ | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Simone Avram |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 0210/2021 | $21: 55$ | PH2-3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Doug Lyons |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | $21: 55$ | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Laura Green |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |


| 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street - OPPOSE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02/10/2021 | $21: 56$ | PH2-3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | We oppose. | Steve Colby \& Susan Colby | s.22(1) Personal and Confidential | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | $21: 57$ | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Elena MacGregor |  | Kitsiano | No web <br> attachments. |
| 02/10/2021 | $21: 58$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PH2 -3. CD-1 Rezoning: } 3084 \text { West } \\ & \text { 4th Avenue and } 2010 \text { Balaclava } \\ & \text { Street } \end{aligned}$ | Oppose | I oppose. | Forence Roy |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 0210/2021 | $21: 58$ | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Paul Hazett |  | Kitsiano | No web <br> attachments |
| 02/10/2021 | $21: 58$ | PH2-3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Charlyn Black |  | Kitsilano | No web attachments. |
| 02/10/2021 | 22:01 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | 1 oppose. | Eric Patel |  | Kitsiano | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 22:02 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Catherine Miller Patel |  | Citsilano | No web attachments. |
| 02/10/2021 | 22:05 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: } 3084 \text { West } \\ & \text { 4th Avenue and } 2010 \text { Balaclava } \\ & \text { Street } \end{aligned}$ | Oppose | I oppose. | Mary Reaney |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/10/2021 | 22:07 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: } 3084 \text { West } \\ & \text { 4th Avenue and } 2010 \text { Balaclava } \\ & \text { Street } \end{aligned}$ | Oppose | We oppose. | Chiqing Zhang \& Elan Yang |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 0210/2021 | 22:15 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I am opposed to this particular rezoning application because: 1. This MIRH Pilot Project should not have been considered for this site because it does not meet the criteria laid out in the MIRH policy. The site has 6 existing rental units and 13 tenants that will be displaced. MIRH policy states that only sites with 3 rental units or less should be considered. 2. It is my opinion that this proposed building does not fit into the West Kitsiano neighbourhood context and is out of scale due to: HEIGHT: proposed to be over 66 feet with a recommendation from the planners that an amended height still be over 63 feet. (This is higher than the Shoppers DrugMart building on West Broadway with fewer setbacks on upper floors) BULK: Very small sixth floor setbacks. Also 6 foot deep balconies that extend into side and rear yards. Rear yard relaxation that leaves only a 12 foot rear yard with only 6 feet left after considering 6 foot deep balconies. IMPACT: This will very negatively affect the Santa Barbara development to the east, particularly by blocking afternoon sunlight in the summer into rear yards, and the RT7 properties to the south, particularly due to the shallower lot depth on West Fifth. CONTEXT: There are no buildings higher than 4 stories along Fourth Avenue and the nearby adjacent RM4 developments are $31 / 2$ stories with a lower ground floor to reduce height to 35 feet. I support a more appropriate design for a rental alternative, using a 'stacked townhouse over apartment' building form. Discussions have had a favourable response from the existing and owners, This alternale proposal would be an innovaive missing midale rental housing project hat woild more than replace the existing rental housing on the site. It would also involve a significant increase in density and number of units over current RM4 zoning but in an efficient building form that would fit into the context of the area. In addition, many of the the units would likely be larger than the current proposal and be ground oriented. Not to mention being a positive addition to the neighbourhood, ie. a win/win for everyone. | Vicky Haris |  | Jnknown | No web attachments. |
| 0210/2021 | 22:16 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I am opposed to this particular rezoning application because: 1. This MIRH Pilot Project should not have been considered for this site because it does not meet the criteria laid out in the MIRH policy. The site has 6 existing rental units and 13 tenants that will be displaced. MIRH policy states that only sites with 3 rental units or less should be considered. 2. It is my opinion that this proposed building does not fit into the West Kitsilano neighbourhood context and is out of scale due to: HEIGHT: proposed to be over 66 feet with a recommendation from the planners that an amended height still be over 63 feet. (This is higher than the Shoppers DrugMart building on West Broadway with fewer setbacks on upper floors) BULK: Very small sixth floor setbacks. Also 6 foot deep balconies that extend into side and rear yards. Rear yard relaxation that leaves only a 12 foot rear yard with only 6 feet left after considering 6 foot deep balconies. IMPACT: This will very negatively affect the Santa Barbara development to the east, particularly by blocking afternoon sunlight in the summer into rear yards, and the RT7 properties to the south, particularly due to the shallower lot depth on West Fifth. CONTEXT: There are no buildings higher than 4 stories along Fourth Avenue and the nearby adjacent RM4 developments are $31 / 2$ stories with a lower ground floor to reduce height to 35 feet. I support a more appropriate design for a rental alternative, using a 'stacked townhouse over apartment' building form. Discussions have had a favourable response from the developer and owners, This alternate proposal would be an innovative missing middle rental housing project that would more than replace the efficient building form that would fit into the context of the area. In addition, many of the the units would likely be larger than the current proposal, and be ground oriented. Not to mention being a positive addition to the neighbourhood, ie. a win/win for everyone. | Emma Harris |  | Jnknown | No web attachments. |
| 02/10/2021 | 22:17 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I am opposed to this particular rezoning application because: 1. This MIRH Pilot Project should not have been considered for this site because it does not meet the criteria laid out in the MIRH policy. The site has 6 existing rental units and 13 tenants that will be displaced. MIRH policy states that only sites with 3 rental units or less should be considered. 2 . It is my opinion that this proposed building does not fit into the West Kitsilano neighbourhood context and is out of scale due to: HEIGHT: proposed to be over 66 feet with a recommendation from the planners that an amended height still be over 63 feet. (This is higher than the Shoppers DrugMart building on West Broadway with fewer setbacks on upper floors) BULK: Very small sixth floor setbacks. Also 6 foot deep balconies that extend into side and rear yards. Rear yard relaxation that leaves only a 12 foot rear yard with only 6 feet left after considering 6 foot deep balconies. IMPACT: This will very negatively affect the Santa Barbara development to the east, particularly by blocking afternoon sunlight in the summer into rear yards, and the RT7 properties to the south, particularly due to the shallower lot depth on West Fifth. CONTEXT: There are no buildings higher than 4 stories along Fourth Avenue and the nearby adjacent RM4 developments are $31 / 2$ stories with a lower ground floor to reduce height to 35 feet. I support a more appropriate design for a rental alternative, using a 'stacked townhouse over apartment' building form. Discussions have had a favourable response from the developer and owners, This alternate proposal would be an innovative missing middle rental housing project that would more than replace the existing rental housing on the site. It would also involve a significant increase in density and number of units over current RM4 zoning but in an and be ground oriented. Not to mention being a positive addition to the neighbourhood, ie. a win/win for everyone. | Tom Harris |  | Jnknown | No web attachments. |



| 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street - OPPOSE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02/10/2021 | 23:01 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose this project for the following reasons: When I first reviewed this application and referral report for this project it sounded great. It is replacing 6 units with 35 units of secured rental and 8 of those units will be moderate-income tenants. Excellent thought until a look closer. The unfortunate truth is that the proposed MRHPP building will be worse for the moderate-income renter ' rents will go up and unit sizes will go down, essentially increasing the price per square foot. The current site has $6,2-3$ bedrooms units and houses 13 people at a moderate-income rental rate of approximately $\$ 1650$ for an 1100 square foot unit. This is below the stated MIRHPP rates. The current site has a minimum of 12 bedrooms and a maximum of 18 , while the proposed MIRPP building only provides for 11 total bedrooms. So there is a loss, at a minimum, of 1 bedroom. Currently, renters at this location pay approximately 5530 a month for a shared 1100 square foot apartment. If approved the MRHPP becroom. Currently, reners at his location pay approximately 530 a mont for shared building renters will pay approximately $\$ 800$ for a smaller space. This MIRHPP project will create a loss of affordability at this site. As well, in total, the newiy proposed MIRHPP units will be approximately 2000 square feet less of useable, livable space than what currently exists. So, upon closer look, it is clear that the MIRHPP units are essentially a net negative in the number of bedrooms and affordability. So, my question is Where is actually a net negative benefit to moderate-income renters on this site, why is there consideration of so many leniencies and status should be reconsidered and not approved since the MIRHPP benefitit is null. Allowances such as increased height, small setbacks, lack of character and heritage in an $R T 7 / 7$ neighbourhood, and waived $D C L$ s should not be applied to this project. Both the lack of setbacks plus the increased building height wiil cast afternoon shadows and drasticaly inpact many of he back gardens at the adjacent Santa Barbara. Prus, the neighbourhood have gone through costly and time-consuming renovations being upheld stringent character standards. This building is a slap in the face. This net negative in affordable rentals will have little impact on the housing crisis and a huge impact on the urban design of a heritage class neighbourhood which arready houses a lot of low and moderate-income people. Is this trade-off really worth it Let's pivot the plan together. There has been a willingness of the neighbours, architect, and developer to work together to come up with a mutually beneficial solution I hope the City of Vancouver will jump on board and not be a blocker in this collaborative process. | Shery Webster | s.22(1) Personal and Confidential | Kitsilano | Appendix H |
| 0211/2021 | $01: 54$ | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | Too big, out of scale with the neighbourhood, developer has been working on a plan with the local community for nearly 18 months for a better design. Why not give them time to work it out to everyone's satisfaction. | paolo Meret |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/11/2021 | 02:02 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | This building proposal is completely out of scale for the neighbourhood. A sixth floor is not supportable in view of surrounding scale of development. Insufficient rear yard (only 6 feet after balconies) so that the six story building will overshadow gardens in the Santa Barbara next door in late summer afternoons when people want to sit outside. There are presently more than 3 rentals on the site. | Barbara May |  | Unknown | No web attachments. |
| 02/11/2021 | 02:09 | PH2-3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | This building is out of scale and far to large for the size of the property this building is proposed for. It will have an adverse effect on the Santa Barbara complex next door putting many of their gardens in shadow during the late summer months. It will displace tenants currently living in this complex | Alisha Meret |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 0211/2021 | 09:39 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PH2 -3. CD-1 Rezoning: } 3084 \text { West } \\ & \text { 4th Avenue and } 2010 \text { Balaclava } \\ & \text { Street } \end{aligned}$ | Oppose | I oppose. | Susan Matheson |  | Kitsilano | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 0211/2021 | 09:41 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PH2 -3. CD-1 Rezoning: } 3084 \text { West } \\ & \text { 4th Avenue and } 2010 \text { Balaclava } \\ & \text { Street } \end{aligned}$ | Oppose | I Oppose. | Catherine Fowler |  | Kitsiano | No web <br> attachments. |
| 0211/2021 | 09:42 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I Oppose. | Lana Nabad |  | Unknown | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 0211/2021 | 09:42 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I Oppose. | Susan Andrews |  | Kitsiano | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/11/2021 | 09:50 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PH2 -3. CD-1 Rezoning: } 3084 \text { West } \\ & \text { PH2 Avenu and } 2010 \text { Balaclava } \\ & \text { Street } \end{aligned}$ | Oppose | I Oppose. | Derek Smith |  | Unknown | No web <br> attachments. |
| 0211/2021 | 09:51 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I Oppose. | TingKun Zhou |  | Unknown | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 0211/2021 | 09:52 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | David Williams |  | Unknown | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 0211/2021 | 09:53 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: } 3084 \text { West } \\ & \text { 4th Avenue and } 2010 \text { Balaclava } \\ & \text { Street } \end{aligned}$ | Oppose | I Oppose. | Grace Williams |  | Unknown | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 0211/2021 | 09:54 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I Oppose. | Ron Williams |  | Unknown | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No web } \\ & \text { attachments. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/11/2021 | 10:51 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I appose the building of a six story box on the corner of Balaclava and 4th ave. This neighbourhood is full of beautiful character homes which provide beauty, character and attract tourists now and more so in the future. This proposal opens the door to the process of doing significant harm to our beautiful neighborhood. This does not fit in our neighbour as - it is too big - too high - ugly - and too inconsistent with the beauty of the neighbourhood. the neighbourhood. | Mark haden |  | Kitsilano | No web attachments. |
| 02/11/2021 | 10:57 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose | Amanda Morrison |  | Mount Pleasant | $\begin{array}{\|l} \begin{array}{l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 02/11/2021 | 10:59 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: } 3084 \text { West } \\ & \text { 4th Avenue and } 2010 \text { Balaclava } \\ & \text { Street } \end{aligned}$ | Oppose | I oppose. | Ryan Fowler |  | Riley Park | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { No web } \\ \text { attachments. } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 02/11/2021 | 10:59 | PH2 - 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084 West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street | Oppose | I oppose. | Kenneth Pang |  | Riley Park | No web <br> attachments. |

Dear Neighbours:

There are plans to build a significantly over-height and oversized six storey, 32 unit residential rental tower on the corner of SE corner of Balaclava and $4^{\text {th }}$ Ave at 3084 West $4^{\text {th }} \& 2010$ Balaclava St.

The lot is currently zoned RM-4 which, and according to city information on RM4, section 4.3, allows for a maximum building height of 10.7 m ( 35 feet ) with consideration given for affordable rental projects.

If approved, the proposed height of a six storey building could be in excess of 22 m ( 72 feet) which would have the following results:

1. It would be totally out of character with the surrounding neighbourhood on $4^{\text {th }}$ Ave and Balaclava.
2. It would significantly decrease sunlight access and increase shadowing to the north of $4^{\text {th }}$ Ave which, for those living north of the proposed building, goes against city requirements ensuring living and bedrooms receive adequate natural light and outlook.

It is my request that the city reconsiders this 6 storey height as too tall for the location and propose nothing in excess of four storeys, with a setback, to ensure the building is in keeping with the neighbourhood.

Thank you,

Name:


[^0]Dear Council Members,

As a 20-year resident of Kitsilano I am writing this letter to fully support the findings of the West Kitsilano Residents association, and the WeLoveKits group concerning the application for a 6 -storey building at 3084 West 4th and Balaclava St, which has been referred to a Public Hearing.

Thank you for taking into account the preferences of neighbors in your policy decisions, and for not relying exclusively on unregulated market forces which as you well know often lead to inadequate policy choices in the context of urban choices.

The local groups have identified a number of shortcomings by the proposed application. On the one hand, the proposal is not consistent with the Moderate Income Rental Housing Pilot Program as it would displace twice as many tenants as the maximum allowed by this program.
Besides, after considering both city regulations and other buildings in the area, neighbors have pointed out that the proposed 6 -storey building is too tall, that its foot-print is too large relative to the site size, and that the design of the building is poor.

It is often the case that unimaginative designs, like the "box design" in this proposal application, are cheaper to build, and that a large building is more profitable to the developer. But an ugly building or a building that does not respect the guidelines, imposes a cost on the community. As you know, this is an example of what economists call "external costs". The developer does not pay the external costs and therefore is motivated to ignore them, hence the unimaginative or inappropriate design in many proposals. But external costs are as real as the building costs and should not be ignored, which justifies both most city regulations and guidelines and the collection of opinions from neighbors.

Let me emphasize as well that the current pandemic and the growing awareness of climate change have encouraged city planners in many parts of the world to favor greener and pedestrian friendlier cities, and housing projects that allow people to work from home, at least part of the time.
The proposed application seems inconsistent with this trend. Factors such as (1) the too narrow setbacks and the consequent smaller spaces for greenery and lower privacy of residents and neighbors, (2) the reduced distance between the proposed building and the high traffic 4th Avenue, and (3) the excessive height of the building and its effect on mountain views, on "shadowing", and on the character of the neighborhood, all point to a less livable and less green neighborhood than what could be achieved with an alternative proposal.

Thank you for your consideration.
Best regards,
Pierre de Trenqualye
s.22(1) Personal and Confidential

Presentation on Rezoning Application for
3084 W $4^{\text {th }}$ Ave \& 2010 Balaclava Street
APPENDIX C
WELOVE WELOL
KITS KITS


## Outline

1. Overview - Proposed Rezoning
2. The impacts of density at this location
a. Increase from RM-4 (10.7m) to CD-1 (19.3m)
b. Bulk / FSR 1.45 to 2.95
c. Reduced setbacks
3. Development not consistent with MIRHPP Guidelines
4. No room for green infrastructure
5. Development does not meet social equity test
6. Conclusion: A failed pilot project
7. Better solutions are possible: "The Balaclava Option"
8. Suggested Council Motion


## City Enforcing Height \& Unit Restriction in Neighbourhood

- During extensive building renovation at 3095 , the City permitted only a 1-foot maximum height increase, "Not to exceed height of neighbouring houses". (Despite the fact that RT-7 allows a 35-foot maximum height)
- Home owner at 3095 requested to create 2 market rental units but was only permitted 1 unit due to City policy restricting number of basement suites
- One set of rules for developers, another for home owners


Proposed vs Permitted Under RM4


## Unacceptable Neighbourhood Impacts

- 2X allowable density
- Almost 2X allowable height
- Very narrow setbacks creating privacy issues and insufficient room for tree planting


## Additional Considerations (from MIRHPP Policy)

Additional Considerations:

- Projects must consider and respect transitions to surrounding areas and homes.
- Neighbourhood context is an important consideration. In single family and duplex areas, projects in areas with existing precedents for higher buildings will be considered more appropriate locations for additional height and density.
- Policy direction in plan areas must be respected (e.g. Marpole, DTES, West End, GrandviewWoodland, Joyce Station Area, Cambie Corridor, Oakridge Transit Centre, Broadway).
- Where existing zoning or street context supports provision of ground floor retail space, proposals should include ground floor retail.
- Proposals for projects in areas not identified in the table above will not be considered. In particular, sites not identified as General Urban in the Regional Context Statement ODP cannot be considered.

- "Projects Must Consider and Respect transitions to surrounding areas and homes" -MIRHPP Guidelines - There are many examples of neighbourhood buildings respecting transitions - However, the applicant's proposal does not meet this criteria.



## Too Bulky, Too Un-neighbourly

- Oversized Building Exasperated by Shallow Lots (71 x 104’)
- No Context for Taller Buildings in the Area:

The proposed building height is not contextually supported and does not have any precedent in the area. There are no buildings over 4 stories within 500 m .

- Bulk, Overshadowing:

The overall massing, height, and minimal setbacks of the building create an overwhelming physical mass adjacent to overall spaces and buildings that shadow over many more properties.

## South Setback Issue



- The "Box Design" combined with overly-aggressive FSR seriously diminishes setbacks resulting in setbacks which are highly inconsistent with neighbouring sites and will adversely impact neighbouring sites.
- The requested 22 -foot South setback from center of the lane (vs RM-4's 25 -feet) is extremely problematic with 6 -foot balconies that will reduce the rear yard to about 6 feet from the property line (See drawing to right). Consequently the rear of the yard is reduced to a service area.
- Setbacks should be respected and be congruent with the buildings on the adjacent blocks and properties, as suggested in MIRHPP Additional Considerations.


## "Neighbourhood Context is an Important Consideration"

- Source: MIRHPP

Guidelines

Sample Rationale from 2019 Development Application for 3495 W $4^{\text {th }}$ Ave (Approved by Director of Planning 2020 under current RM-4 zoning)

"Much of the stretch of West $4{ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue in which our site is located is characterized by newer, 4-storey commercial or multiple dwelling developments with the top floor recessed from the street front."

No Design Rationale Provided by Applicant


General Guidelines for Additional Height and Density

|  | 100\% Secured Market Rental | Additional Height and Density for Projects that Include at Least 20\% of Residential Floor Area as Moderate Income Rental Housing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C-1 | Generally consider C-2 form | Over 4 and up to 6 storeys on arterial streets. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { C-2, C-2B, C-2C } \\ & \& \mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{C} 1 \end{aligned}$ | Up to 6 storeys | Over 6 and up to 14 storeys at arterial intersections. |
| C-3A | Consider additional density; adhere to existing height limits and generally to guidelines | Supportable height and density will vary depending on the site. |
| MC-1 | Consider modest increases in height and density | Over 6 and up to 14 storeys at arterial intersections. |
| CD-1 | Consider redevelopment of sites with no existing rental housing | Consider redevelopment of a limited number of highly underutilized sites (e.g. $<0.75$ FSR). Supportable height and density will vary depending on the site |
| RM zones | Consider redevelopment of sites with no existing rental housing | Consider redevelopment of a limited number of highly underutilized sites with a low number of existing tenants - buildings with a maximum of 3 existing rental units. <br> Up to 6 storeys on arterials. Consider higher forms at arterial intersections. On larger sites off-arterials, consider up to 6 storeys where appropriate. |
| RT zones | Refer to the Secured Rental Policy for eligibility | Over 4 and up 100 storeys on arterials. Consider higher forms at arterial intersections. On larger sites off-arterials, consider up to 6 storeys where appropriate. |
| RS zones |  |  |
| Downtown <br> District ODP (excluding areas A, B, C1, F, K1, K2 \& K3) | Consider development sites which allow for residential density where there are no conflicts with existing policies for social housing (e.g. the density bonus for social housing for small sites in the Downtown South). Consider additional density appropriate to context; adhere to existing height policies and limits. |  |
| Large developments | For large developments (on sites $\geq 8,000$ sq. m or that contain $\geq 45,000 \mathrm{sq}$. m of new floor area) in zones that qualify as per this table, supportable height and density will vary depending on the site. |  |

## Does Not Meet MIRHPP Policy Guidelines for RM Zones

- This site does not meet MIRHPP policy guidelines for the following reasons:

1. The site currently has 6 existing rental units rather than the permitted maximum of 3 .
2. There are an estimated 13 existing renters, which is not a "low number of existing tenants".

- Conclusion: Does not meet Counciladopted guidelines- no explanation given in Referral Report

Endorsed by Council Nov 26, $2019_{12}$

## Proposed Building Creates Smaller, More Expensive Moderate Income Units, and Loss of Low-Rent Sqft

- More Expensive Units: Currently, 3BR units are being rented at an average of $\$ 1,644 / \mathrm{mo}$. MIRHPP 3BR units may be rented for up to $\$ 2,000 / \mathrm{mo}$.
- Higher Price/Sqft: On a per square foot basis, MIRHPP units are 2 X more expensive (2.99/sqft vs 1.55/sqft)
- Loss of Low Rent Bedrooms: There are currently 18 low rent bedrooms on the site. MIRHPP at this site would reduce this to 11 available low rent bedrooms.
- Loss of Affordable Rental Sqft:

| Moderate Income Rental Units |  |  |  | Existing Site |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type | Sqft* | Price/Sqft | Average <br> Starting Rents | Price/Sqft | Rent <br> Equivalent at Existing Site** |
| Studio | 323 | \$2.94 | \$950 | \$1.55 | \$501 |
| 1 Bedroom | 402 | \$2.99 | \$1,200 | \$1.55 | \$623 |
| 2 Bedroom | 569 | \$2.81 | \$1,600 | \$1.55 | \$882 |
| 3 Bedroom | N/A | N/A | \$2,000 | \$1.55 | \$1,644 |

* Unit size is based on plan as submitted
**Calculation is based on current square footage x existing rent. Current rental units are six $3 B R$ units.

| Proposed Under MIRHPP | $4,579 \mathrm{sqft}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Existing Low-Rent Space | $6,592 \mathrm{sqft}$ |
| Loss of affordable rental sqft | $(2,013 \mathrm{sqft})$ |

## No Room for Green Infrastructure

- Vancouver has prided itself in following urban design and livability principles which respect the transitions to neighbouring areas. This has also helped with advancing "Green Vancouver" sustainability objectives.
- The current rezoning application leaves little room for green space at the edges.
- For example, there will be only 6 feet between the balconies and the rear property line. This is not enough room to plant anything but small shrubs and certainly cannot address privacy and other issues.
- To the right, we show the rear setback for the neighbouring apartment building to the east, the Santa Barbara.
- Rear setbacks as provided in the RM-4 schedule should be followed. This will protect neighbourhood gardens and enable enough space for large trees which will also help address privacy issues.



## Mature Cedar Trees are in Danger

- The City Staff report expresses concern for viability of mature cedar trees for such a large development, deep excavation, and narrow setbacks at the site:
"Design development to ensure the feasibility of the retention of the three City trees at the northeast corner, by provision of further assurances by the arborist and discussion of the methods of protection, including an increased $4.6 \mathrm{~m}\left(15^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}\right)$ setback to the parkade wall."
"Note to Applicant: Retention of the three cedar trees provides scale, enhances the public realm, and represents an important neighbourhood natural amenity. Assurances that this is feasible
 should be provided by the arborist. Changes to the parkade will be required. (Refer to Urban Design Condition 1.2.)"
- Referral Report, Appendix B, Pg 6
- The arborist report prepared for the community recommends that 15foot setback on North side is not adequate for the survival of these mature cedar trees.

$110^{\prime}$ deep lowersel $\quad 3095 \mathrm{~W}$ sth Ave


## PROPOSED SITE

## Community Feedback So far

- Open house: Approximately 121 residents attended, majority of comments not in favour.
- Open house was held 3km away from the site at MacMillan Space Centre, and at the same date/time as another City-led open house - reduced ability for community to attend
- Total of 623 names on neighbourhood petition who oppose current form of development


## Comments:

- Too tall, bulky, un-neighbourly (there are plenty of good examples of density done well - livable, outdoor space etc.)
- Not against rental housing- already provides multi unit buildings, in shared homes, in secondary suites, etc
- Open house tries to be "sales pitch" but this pitch has been rejected by neighbourhood


## No Material Changes by Applicant in Response to Community Feedback:

- The Applicant has made no material change to the application in response to community feedback.
- According to letter from James Evans, June 19, 2020 to community association WeLoveKits, the applicant lists the following changes to the proposal in response to community feedback:
i. Increasing the amenity space onsite for residents, with indoor and outdoor options to encourage socialization and a greater sense of community amongst neighbours/ residents,
ii. Changes to the buildings exterior color to better reflect neighbourhood character,
iii. Enhance east boundary landscape treatment,
iv. Modify window placement to increase privacy for both our immediate neighbours, and future residents


## MIRHPP Policy

- Must meet rental housing objectives
- Must consider locational criteria, including:

1. Neighbourhood context
2. Respect transitions to surrounding areas and homes
3. Maximum of 3 existing rental units

## MIRHPP Locational Criteria

## "Neighbourhood context is an important consideration"

- Does not meet criteria.
- Neighbourhood (RM-4 and C-2) existing development is predominantly 3 - and 4storeys
- Example: New developments
- Development application at $4^{\text {th }}$ and Macdonald conforms to existing C-2 zoning
- Development application at $4^{\text {th }}$ and Collingwood conforms to RM-4
"Projects must consider and respect transitions to surrounding areas and homes".
- Does not meet criteria.
- No attempt at transition:
- Density more than twice neighbouring apartments (eg. Santa Barbara) and over 4 times RT-7 areas
- Setbacks have been decreased from 25 to 22 feet rather than increased (Setbacks lose another-6ft for balconies \& -10ft for the lane = 6 ft remaining)
- Height is almost $2 x$ the neighbouring RM-4 buildings ( 63.4 feet vs 35 feet)
- Over height floors exacerbate height problem


## Social Equity Issues

## Who benefits, who pays?

- MIRHPP states that height and density needs to be respected in recently approved community plans
- Unlevel playing field because all areas of the city not given opportunity to have an updated community plan
- City is asking neighbours to accept un-neighbourly building in exchange for a City- wide benefit. Some concession is acceptable and we support higher densities than permitted but impacts of the proposed building are far too severe for this to meet the tests of equity.


## Conclusion: A Failed Pilot Project

## Definition of a Pilot Project: Activity planned as a test or a trial

- There are both successful trials and unsuccessful trials;
- Through the pilot, the City learns from both its successes and failures;
- The site is too small, the building design is too inefficient, and building cannot be replicated in RM-4 zones - very few similar sites;
- Impacts are too severe on neighbourhood.
- The pilot does not comply with MIRHPP Guidelines.
- However, the small site size, equivalent to two 33-foot lots in area, makes this an excellent site to explore missing middle housing innovation.


## Better Solutions Are Possible: "The Balaclava Option"

- Good solutions emerge when an enlightened developer works with the community
- This collaboration is similar to recent community involvement on a solution for the development of 4575 Granville St rezoning application | Shape Your City Vancouver, beside the hospice which meets the needs of the applicant and the hospice, and fits in the surrounding neighbourhood.
- Our proposed alternate form of development, the "Balaclava Option", meets City objectives to provide secured market rental housing at a density that exceeds current zoning provisions, significantly improves build efficiency, and does not create negative impacts to the surrounding neighbourhood.


## "The Balaclava Option"

- $4 \frac{1}{2}$ stories ( $1 / 2$ story below grade)
- No parking garage - saves significant expense, carbon emissions and allows for MIRHPP below-market units
- 9 parking spaces at grade at rear
- Adequate setback \& transition, esp. on upper floors, with trees for privacy
- High urban design and livability values


Note that this image is included for illustration only and the actual building would be larger.

## Suggested Council Motion

In conclusion, we propose the following motion:

That Council:

- Reject the CD-1 Rezoning as proposed for 3084 West 4th Ave and 2010 Balaclava Street.
- Request staff to work with the community and the applicant to develop a more innovative secured rental housing form, which achieves higher building efficiency and achieves a minimum of approximately 2 FSR, and respects neighbourhood character and livability.
- Request staff to endeavour to make up time lost for this instruction in the related development review and approval process for the alternative housing form.


## Appendix 1 - Project Statistics

| STATISTICS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CIVIC ADDRESS | 2010 BALACLAVA STREET \& 3084 WEST 4TH AVENUE, VANCOUVER, BC |  |
| LEGAL DESCRIPTION | LOTS 21 \& 22, BLOCK 29 DISTRICT LOT 192, PLAN 4561 |  |
| SITE AREA | 684.4 sq.m. | 7,400 sq.ft. |
| TOTAL FLOOR AREA PROPOSED | 2,027.4 sq.m. | 21,823 sq.ft. |
| TOTAL FLOOR AREA PERMITTED UNDER CURRENT ZONING | 996.7 sq.m. | 10,730 sq.ft. |
|  |  |  |
|  | CURRENT ZONING | PROPOSED |
| ZONING | RM-4 | CD-1 |
| FSR | 1.45 | 2.95 |
| HEIGHT | $10.7 \mathrm{M} / 35.11 \mathrm{FT}$ | 6-STOREY (19.3 M / 63.4 FT) |
| NORTH SETBACK | 6.1 M / 20 FT | $4.5 \mathrm{M} / 15 \mathrm{FT}$ |
| EAST SETBACK | $2.1 \mathrm{M} / 6.88 \mathrm{FT}$ | 2.1 M / 6' 11" |
| SOUTH SETBACK (CL OF LANE) | $10.7 \mathrm{M}-3.05 \mathrm{M}=7.65 \mathrm{M} / 25 \mathrm{FT}$ | $6.7 \mathrm{M} / 22 \mathrm{FT}$ |
| WEST SETBACK (20\% OF WIDTH) | $4.33 \mathrm{M} / 14.2 \mathrm{FT}$ | $3.0 \mathrm{M} / 10 \mathrm{FT}$ |

February 10, 2021

## Email

## City of Vancouver

Mayor and Council
Dear Sirs and Mesdames:

## Re: $\quad 4^{\text {th }}$ Ave West and Balaclava Public Hearing - Statement of Opposition to Rezoning Application - Objection to Late Evidence and Request for Disclosure

I write further to the late submission to Mayor and Council of a Memorandum dated February 9, 2021 from Gil Kelley, General Manager, Planning, Urban Design and Sustainability where he states with respect to eligibility of the above noted proposed project:
"Under the MIRHP Program general guidelines, RM zones can, "Consider redevelopment of a limited number of highly underutilized sites with a low number of existing tenants - buildings with a maximum of 3 existing rental units. Up to 6 storeys on arterials. Consider higher forms at arterial intersections. On larger sites off-arterials, consider up to 6 storeys where appropriate".

The MIRHP program includes a distinction to limit RM zoned sites to redevelop where a building, rather than a development site, has a maximum of three rental units. This was an amendment brought forward by Council when the MIRHP Program was approved in 2017. Council's intent at the time was to emphasize protection of purpose-built rental housing, while exploring opportunities for redevelopment of secondary rental options in the RM zoning districts.

The subject site meets the MIRHP Program guidelines as it is located on the West 4th Avenue arterial and consists of three duplexes, each containing two rental units each. There are a total of six existing rental units in three separate buildings."

In response to the letter:

1. I object to the late disclosure of the letter as it does not afford me due process and an opportunity to consider the new argument raised in the letter. The City staff had ample opportunity to disclose Mr. Kelley's opinions contained within the report, well in advance of the hearing. I request that the letter be removed from the record before the Mayor and Council and they ought to put no reliance on it in rendering their decision.
2. I note that Mr. Kelley has had to use his own language (and not the actual language of the MIRHP) to ultimately reach his opinion. No reliance should be placed on his opinion as General Manager.
3. I request disclosure of what Mr. Kelley relies upon in stating Council's intent at the time of passing the MIRHP (including any documents) and his explanation as to the relevance of Council's intent at the time.

Finally, the local school capacity information provided for General Gordon is outdated (May 29, 2019). I am aware that the French Immersion Program at General Gordon was recently cancelled due to overcapacity. In 2020, I have direct knowledge of families that are already in the catchment area that could not register their children in General Gordon because there was no space for them.

Kindest regards,


Sarah D. Hansen


## COALITION OF VANCOUVER NEIGHBOURHOODS

http://coalitionvan.org

February 10, 2020
City of Vancouver Council
Dear Mayor Kennedy Stewart and Councillors,
Re: Public Hearing Item 3. CD-1 Rezoning: 3084. West 4th Avenue and 2010 Balaclava Street
Agenda: https://council.vancouver.ca/20210211/phea20210211ag.htm
Report: https://council.vancouver.ca/20210211/documents/phea3rr.pdf
The Coalition of Vancouver Neighbourhoods (CVN) joins the local community and West Kits Residents Association members in opposition to this rezoning proposal.

The proposed building does not conform to MIRHPP policies because:

- there are presently more than 3 rental units already on the site;
- it does not transition to neighbouring residential properties
- it does not fit into the context of the area.

More specifically:

1. A sixth floor is not supportable in view of surrounding scale of development
2. Insufficient rear yard (only 6 feet after balconies) so that the six storey building will overshadow gardens in the Santa Barbara next door in late summer afternoons when people want to sit outside. The 6 -foot rear yard means that suggestions for trees and urban agriculture are unrealistic.
3, Balconies that protrude a full six feet rather than being inset into the building decrease the perceived depth of the rear yard, directly affecting neighbouring house overlook 2. Insufficient stepback on the top floor of only 4 feet which results in unusable private space for top floor units.

What we would prefer to see, in support of the work already undertaken by members of the neighbourhood, with Scot Hein, well-known urban designer, and the Owners, is to use this opportunity to build a collaborative process with the developer and owners of the site in order to create a secured rental project that fits into the area, helps meet the City wide goals of more rental housing, meets the financial viability test for the owners, and shows how alternate innovative designs can be used to provide rental housing in a project that will garner neighbourhood support.

This option will also:

- use a more favourable building typology which
- provides family oriented townhouses over a number of apartments in a more efficient building form
- provides more efficient design, as there is almost no unusable space since each unit would have its own entrance, and resulting in almost the same amount of livable floor area being provided in a three and a half storey, 2.1 FSR building
- would result in a much more Covid-friendly design without requiring shared elevators and stairwells and also uses less concrete and has a lower carbon footprint.
- This alternate design also means that the resulting family oriented units will actually be livable over the longer term for a family unlike the tiny two bedroom units being provided. We note that the so-called family units are mostly less than 600 square feet and only one is over 700 square feet ( 721 Sq.ft.)

We all have learned through the earlier 1805 Larch St. development permit process, that Council and the public should not rely on the development permit process to deal with the problems inherent in a proposal. And, we do not think that Councilors and the public should be trying to redesign a building in the midst of a Public Hearing. We have also learned that suggestions for further 'consideration' of possible improvements does not mean that this will happen.

These buildings, these proposals are not temporary things in our environment. They are going to last in our neighbourhoods for our lifetimes, and beyond. We should treat them as such, with due care and attention.

We strongly oppose this proposal.

Thank you,
Larry A. Benge, Co-chair
Dorothy Barkley, Co-chair
Coalition of Vancouver Neighbourhoods
Member Groups of the Coalition of Vancouver Neighbourhoods

Arbutus Ridge Community Association
Arbutus Ridge/ Kerrisdale/ Shaughnessy Visions
Cedar Cottage Area Neighbours
Downtown Eastside Neighbourhood Council
Dunbar Residents Association
Fairview/South Granville Action Committee
False Creek Residents Association
Grandview Woodland Area Council
Granville-Burrard Residents \& Business Assoc.
Greater Yaletown Community Association
Joyce Area Residents
Kitsilano-Arbutus Residents Association

Kits Point Residents Association
Marpole Residents Coalition NW Point Grey Home Owners Association Oakridge Langara Area Residents Residents Association of Mount Pleasant Riley Park/South Cambie Visions Shaughnessy Heights Property Owners Assoc.
Strathcona Residents Association
Upper Kitsilano Residents Association West End Neighbours Society
West Kitsilano Residents Association
West Point Grey Residents Association

```
kennedy.stewart@vancouver.ca,
adriane.carr@vancouver.ca,
melissa.degenova@vancouver.ca,
lisa.dominato@vancouver.ca,
pete.fry@vancouver.ca,
colleen.hardwick@vancouver.ca,
CLRkirby-yung@vancouver.ca
jean.swanson@vancouver.ca,
michael.wiebe@vancouver.ca,
rebecca.bligh@vancouver.ca,
christine.boyle@vancouver.ca,
Mayor Stewart and Councilors
City of Vancouver
453 West 12th Avenue
Vancouver, B.C. V5Y 1V4
```

RE: Rezoning for 3084 W 4th Ave \& 2010 Balaclava St.

Dear Mayor \& Council,

I oppose the current form of development for the following DESIGN reasons:

- Too tall and out of scale. The proposal is requesting double the height and double the density of what is allowed in the RM-4 Zone;
- No neighbourhoud context;
- Building design is poor, a "box design" ;
- Setbacks are too small and inconsistent with neighbouring buildings. No room for trees in the meagre setbacks which impacts privacy of neighbours;
- Negative impacts include overlooking and shadowing;
- It sets a terrible precedent for the area.
-No 3 bedroom suites proposed to encourage families to grow and stay in Kitsilano instead of eventually moving to the suburbs. We are losing a tax base.

I also oppose the current form of development for the following COMMUNITY reasons:
-The COV should not be giving money away in the form of DCL and CAC to developers (for profit enterprises) when the City of Vancouver needs that money to develop community amenities. When was the last time the west end (west of city hall) got a new community center or library? Yet the west end pay the highest property taxes in Vancouver. At least the east side (east of city hall) recently got Sunset community center and Hillcrest community center incl. indoor and outdoor swimming pools. In the west end, (west of City Hall)
(a) Where are the new libraries with computers for our children?
(b) What about a new indoor swimming pool and skating rink for us in the west end (west of city hall)?
(c) Both Kerrisdale, West Point grey and Kitsilano community centers need upgrade.
(d) Where is a new skate park for our youth to gather and a really cool playground for our younger children?

Yours Truly,
Virag Vizis

Mayor Stewart and Councillors
City of Vancouver
453 West $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue
Vancouver, BC V5Y 1V4

Dear Mayor \& Council,

## RE: Oppose the rezoning of 3084 W. 4th and 2010 Balaclava Street in Vancouver, BC.

I oppose this project for the following reasons:
When I first reviewed this application and referral report for this project it sounded great. It is replacing 6 units with 35 units of secured rental and 8 of those units will be moderate-income tenants. Excellent I thought until a look closer.

The unfortunate truth is that the proposed MIRHPP building will be worse for the moderate-income renter - rents will go up and unit sizes will go down, essentially increasing the price per square foot.

The current site has 6, 2-3 bedrooms units and houses 13 people at a moderateincome rental rate of approximately $\$ 1650$ for an 1100 square foot unit. This is below the stated MIRHPP rates.

The current site has a minimum of 12 bedrooms and a maximum of 18 , while the proposed MIRPP building only provides for 11 total bedrooms. So there is a loss, at a minimum, of 1 bedroom.

Currently, renters at this location pay approximately $\$ 530$ a month for a shared 1100 square foot apartment. If approved the MIRHPP building renters will pay approximately $\$ 800$ for a smaller space. This MIRHPP project will create a loss of affordability at this site.

As well, in total, the newly proposed MIRHPP units will be approximately 2000 square feet less of useable, livable space than what currently exists.

So, upon closer look, it is clear that the MIRHPP units are essentially a net negative in the number of bedrooms and affordability. So, my question is: If there is actually a net negative benefit to moderate-income renters on this site, why is there consideration of so many leniencies and allowances?

The following design allowances and financial waivers that are being considered for this project because it is claiming MIRHPP status should be reconsidered and not approved since the MIRHPP benefit is null.

## Urban Design Context: Height

The proposed rezoning is asking for 2 additional stories to what is currently zoned for at this site. This has a multitude of ramifications for both the site and the surrounding neighbourhood.

This will be the only 6-story building on the 4th Avenue corridor between MacDonald and Alma. Even newly proposed buildings on the corridor are 4 -stories, and this even includes proposals at the main intersection of 4th Avenue and MacDonald where one would expect a 6 story building.

This is in direct contradiction to Section 3.3 of the MIRHPP requirements for Neighbourhood Context. It states:
"Supportable height and density will vary with site context, adjacencies and other factors. For projects located in RS and RT zones, existing precedents for higher buildings in the surrounding area will be considered (eg. where buildings similar in height to the proposed project are located within 200 meters)." There is no other building within 200meters at this height

This mid-corridor increase in height could have a ripple effect of rezoning resulting in the tearing down of perfectly good buildings that are of newer construction, within the last 20-30 years not old-timers, and this would be wasteful. As well, many of these buildings are full of affordable rentals that are well below the City designated limits of what is deemed affordable.

This proposed MIRHPP building could eventually, lead to a decrease in affordability in the area.

## Urban Design Context: Setbacks

The lack of setbacks on the proposed building encroaches into the public realm and disrupts the cohesive feel of the neighbourhood. All the current residential buildings of this corridor have significant setbacks to facilitate a green buffer between the street and the building, and the surrounding neighbours. This project does not have a setback buffer consistent with the existing neighbourhood.

There is significant concern about the setbacks and scale of the building in regard to the mature cedar trees adjacent to the site. Cedars are evergreen and cycle CO2 all year long unlike deciduous trees that are only active in the summer months. These large evergreen trees are much needed for our climate emergency. Everything should be done to save these large, mature evergreen trees in our City including going above and beyond the minimum expected to save them. They are a neighbourhood treasure, provide significant habitat, and are needed by the City as a whole.

As well, on the Site Plan page of the City of Vancouver's website for this project the tree canopies are underrepresented. This should be further investigated with a second and third opinion.

Both the lack of setbacks plus the increased building height will cast afternoon shadows and drastically impact many of the back gardens at the adjacent Santa Barbara. Plus, the increased height will be visible from most houses in the area reducing privacy and blocking views.

## Urban Design Context: Neighbourhood Heritage/Character Zoning

This building disrespects the contextual zoning of the RT-7 \& 8 neighbourhood. Many building owners and developers in this neighbourhood have gone through costly and time-consuming renovations being upheld to the stringent historical and character standards of this zoning designation. This building style and massing in the middle of this area is a real slap in the face.

This proposed building does not achieve MIRHPP policy objectives to consider and respect transitions to the surrounding areas and homes.

## Social Context - Neighbourhood School and Existing Rentals

As mentioned earlier, the social and the economic viability of this site as a MIRHPP project does not make sense.

This MIRHPP project potentially will supply family dwellings, which definitely does not make sense since General Gordon; the local catchment school is FULL with a lottery waiting list. If approved this would be the second MIRHPP building in this catchment and the 3rd in the neighbourhood. The referral report stated 6 kids were turned away in 2017. That number has significantly increased with 17 in 2019. On a map it may seem like there are other schools close by that have space but if any of you have kids you know what it's like to get your kid to school and then to work. Unfortunately taking the bus isn't always that convenient and more than likely a car will be purchased adding to the parking pressures in this neighbourhood since limited will be supplied on site. Increasing density above and beyond what is currently zoned should happen in school catchments that are not full.

Kitsilano is known for its diversity and range of rentals in both unit composition and price. The referral report states and compares this project to average rents for the Westside and this is a gross exaggeration of what is a reality in Kitsilano. This fact alone is a case in point for the need for neighbourhood-based planning to know what is needed in each neighbourhood. This MIRHPP project is not really filling a need in this neighbourhood.

## Conclusion

This project does not add moderate rentals to this site or the neighbourhood. What is gained is a number of secured market rentals and specifically the top 2 floors of luxury rentals that will have unobstructed views of the mountains because the building is two stories taller than any other building in the area.

This net negative gain in affordable rentals will have little impact on the housing crisis and a huge impact on the urban design of a heritage class neighbourhood which already houses a lot of low and moderate-income people. Is this trade-off really worth it?

Let's pivot the plan together. There has been a willingness of the neighbours, architect, and developer to work together to come up with a mutually beneficial solution. I hope the City of Vancouver will jump on board and not be a blocker in this collaborative process.

Sincerely,
Sheryl Webster
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