

Public Hearing 2020-Jul-07 - 2. 3350 Marine Way and 3565 Sawmill Crescent - Amendments to CD-1 (566) and East Fraser Lands Official Development Plan (OPPOSED)

Date Received	Time Created	Subject	Position	Content	Name	Organization	Contact Info	Neighbourhood	Attachment
07/03/2020	12:36	PH1 - 2. 3350 Marine Way and 3565 Sawmill Crescent - Amendments to CD-1 (566) and East Fraser Lands Official Development Plan	Oppose	As a new Resident in the River District, I am concerned over what a Energy Centre would look and sound like being built in Parcel 19 land. That parcel of land is the view and sightlines for over 5 high rise building towers and could have a negative impact to the daily living experience for these Residents, especially as Energy Centres tend to not look pretty and create noise pollution. With building the Energy Centre there, this could potentially also negatively affect home sale prices and rental income for all the surrounding buildings that would be adversely affected with building the Energy Centre there. The light, noise, and asthetic pollution may have an overall negative impact on the surrounding community and is also a major hub for City Residents to see upon entering the emerging River District. As a consideration, think putting the Energy Centre right on Marine Way and Boundary would make most sense. It would affect the least amount of Residents and would complement the Commercial/Industrial lands across the street from Burnaby's Commercial lands. Thank you for your consideration, on behalf of all existing and future Residents of the River District in South Vancouver.	Cecilia Ma		s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential	Victoria-Fraserview	No web attachments.
07/05/2020	13:32	PH1 - 2. 3350 Marine Way and 3565 Sawmill Crescent - Amendments to CD-1 (566) and East Fraser Lands Official Development Plan	Oppose	Re: Item 2 3350 Marine Way Dear Councillors/Mayor; It is still not to late to table/stop this item and get a proper independent report . While the development of River District started by previous City Council, this Council can put a stop on it to get proper development. Need way more affordable housing units. Need community facilities NOW not ten years from now. Need proper transit plan for all of S.E. Vancouver. The developer should pay toward a better Transit Plan. they do pay in West Vancouver, Coquitlam so why not here? yours truly Nathan Davidowicz	Nathan Davidowicz		s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential	Shaughnessy	No web attachments.
07/06/2020	13:22	PH1 - 2. 3350 Marine Way and 3565 Sawmill Crescent - Amendments to CD-1 (566) and East Fraser Lands Official Development Plan	Oppose	To the Vancouver City Council and Whom It May Concern: I am writing in regarding the amendments to CD-1(566) and East Fraser Lands Official Development Plan, prior to tonight?s hearing. I am opposed to the changes at parcel 19, namely that of a community energy Centre and building of rental housing/retail. The proposal will displace the 49- space childcare Centre from parcel 19 to an alternative location in the area. Childcare (or the limited amount of childcare) has been and continues to be an issue in Vancouver. The proposed amendment puts dollars ahead of quality of life and the community spirit that is the River District area. There are many young children in this area, and as more young families move in, there will be more difficulties finding childcare for all. Increasing density in the form of rental housing will only add to this. While there is mention of moving the childcare space to another area, there is no guarantee that this will be built; another amendment might be proposed to move the childcare Centre yet again, putting families and their children last. Parking in the area has also been an ongoing issue. Adding additional retail and housing without addressing parking will make it difficult for people to visit the River District area, whether it be friends/families of residents or to go shopping or enjoy the area itself. While it is important to look at the environmental impact and greener forms of transport are ideal, the reality is that there are limited transit options to the area and that many people still drive cars. I have concerns about the proposed community energy Centre. I feel that it being in such close proximity to residential buildings could become an issue in the future, especially if natural gas is involved. Thank you for your consideration. Regards, Celina Woo s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential	Celina Woo		s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential	Killarney	No web attachments.