

Public Hearing Correspondence Case

Case number: 101013724380

Case created: 2020-01-28, 03:00:00 PM

Channel: WEB

Incident Location

Address: 311 UNADDRESSED LOCATION, VANCOUVER, VAN 311

Address2:

Location name:

Original Address: 311 UNADDRESSED LOCATION

Request Details

0. **Advise caller: Your name and comments will be made available publically as part of the official record for the hearing. Contact information will not be made public.**
True
1. **Subject (address if applicable):***
"s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential"
2. **Position:***
Oppose
3. **Comments:***
Please STOP the project on 3600/3680 E Hastings. It will be a tremendous impact with traffic and parking issues. The New Two Towers with aprox. 212 Units will offer less than a half of parking spots. Please considered all the issues that this project cause to many families around the area.
4. **Full name:***
Gladys Romero
5. **Organization you represent:**
6. **Which neighbourhood do you live in?**
Hastings-Sunrise
7. **Email:***
"s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential"
99. **Attachments**
0

Additional Details

Contact Details

Name: Gladys

Address: ,

Address2:

Phone:

Alt. Phone:

Email: "s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential"

Preferred contact method: Either

Case Notes

Photo

Choi, Rowena

From: Yongjun Kwai "s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential"
Sent: Tuesday, January 28, 2020 2:30 PM
To: Public Hearing
Subject: [EXTERNAL] 3680 and 3600 Hastings Rezone

City of Vancouver Cybersecurity WARNING: This is an external email. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Sir/Madam,

My family and I live in Hastings-Boundary area. We are opposed to this rezoning as this will cause devastating effects on our lives. New rental units already violate our parking on a daily basis. Bus system is always jammed near the bridge and we will not benefit from this rezone.

We are opposed to this. Please don't risk our lives.

Thank you,
Yongjun Kwai

Public Hearing Correspondence Case

Case number: 101013724087

Case created: 2020-01-28, 02:22:00 PM

Channel: WEB

Incident Location

Address: 311 UNADDRESSED LOCATION, VANCOUVER, VAN 311

Address2:

Location name:

Original Address: 311 UNADDRESSED LOCATION

Request Details

0. Advise caller: Your name and comments will be made available publically as part of the official record for the hearing. Contact information will not be made public.

True

1. Subject (address if applicable):*

3680 Hastings St.

2. Position:*

Oppose

3. Comments:*

I AM OPPOSED TO ITEM 5 - 3680 HASTINGS ST.

I have lived "s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential", for approximately 13 years ? I live "s. 22(1) Personal" from the proposed project at 3680 Hastings St. My building is height restricted - retail at street level plus 5 stories. I sit on strata and have done so for 13 years.

My commute to work in downtown Vancouver is often daunting ? up to six times a month. The worst part of the journey is getting from my home at Hastings and Esmond to the Cassiar Connector ? 4 to 5 blocks. If there is an accident on the Ironworks Bridge or there is inclement weather, the traffic is backed up for hours. Cars trucks and buses are gridlocked on every street in the area that feeds onto Hastings St. Buses are back to back and people have to get off and walk - trying to get - somewhere. The Kootney Loop is locked in. The only three lanes heading into Vancouver blocked with cars trying to get onto the bridge when all cars should be in the one lane only, turning onto the bridge. Commuters trying to get anywhere past the Cassiar Connector are going nowhere.

Building two,14 story buildings in this area would only add to the nightmare that already exists in terms of traffic and construction. Please see attached photos of me trying to get out of my parking garage entrance and exit on Esmond St. on one of the days I describe above. You cannot turn down the Street to Hastings and if you try and go up the hill, you are also met with gridlock. Both sides of Hastings are blocked with cars trying to find any possible way to get past the Cassiar Connector. Adding more people and more vehicles and add in construction to the problem makes no sense without better planning.

Building 14 story buildings in this stretch also makes no sense. You have a height restriction in Burnaby on the other side of Boundary Rd. and then a few blocks away, The PNE and buildings that are only a few stories high. This would be totally against the aesthetics of the view to the city.

Please present us with a plan that makes sense for this area.

4. Full name:*

Patricia Acthim

5. Organization you represent:

6. Which neighbourhood do you live in?

I do not live in Vancouver

7. Email:*

"s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential"

99. Attachments

2

Additional Details

Contact Details

Name: Patricia Acthim

Address: ,

Address2:

Phone:

Email: "s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential"

Alt. Phone:

Preferred contact method: Either

Case Notes

Photo

- no picture -

Choi, Rowena

From: Arash P. "s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential"
Sent: Tuesday, January 28, 2020 2:15 PM
To: Public Hearing
Subject: [EXTERNAL] 3680/3600 East Hastings Street Rezoning - Opposed

City of Vancouver Cybersecurity WARNING: This is an external email. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

The councillors and mayor have not heard from ordinary residents of this area. We are opposed to this rezoning application. We lack the parking, public transport infrastructure, facilities required to support such projects and this will set a horrendous precedent for generations to come. The traffic is horrible here and there is no way it won't be way worse with these developments.

Corporate lobbying should not take priority over people, you are our officials so please listen to us and our needs.

thanks,
Arash Piroozfar

- no picture -

Choi, Rowena

From: Ellie Renzuli; s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential
Sent: Tuesday, January 28, 2020 2:08 PM
To: Public Hearing
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Opposed: 3680 Hastings St (and 3600 Hastings St)

City of Vancouver Cybersecurity WARNING: This is an external email. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Honourable Mayor/Councillors,

I am a resident s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential area. After watching the stream of the public hearing on Jan 21st, I firmly believe the city needs to reject or at least postpone the vote on these two applications in order to pursue real due diligence which is lacking at this moment.

It's evident that nobody from opposing residents was invited to three traffic meetings mentioned by City Staffer (I have asked around the neighbourhood) and our concerns during Open House was never reflected on staffers' report and presentation. We are afraid, the "**lobbying**" effort by the developer might have **compromised** the process:

- The staffer report did not even mention all the outcry from the residents regarding the size of the proposed development and even went further by claiming it was a point of satisfaction from us!!!
- The staffer claimed there are similar tall towers in Burnaby; he hid the fact that those towers were built 30 years ago on complete blocks each in an area 8 times bigger than the proposed sites for these applications, all include parking even for visitors and guests!
- The staffer claimed there will be no meaningful impact on congestion; as the sites are small, the developer will need to block massive parts of Hastings St and Boundary road for the entirety of the development; so the staffer did not give the council the entire truth at this point either.
- The supporting letters to publichearing@vancouver.ca all had similar sentence structure as if they all came from the same source, this was mentioned by one of the speakers too, what was the due diligence process to avoid abuse of the online system?

The embarrassing display of some of the "resident" supporters of the project who knew the developer on a first name basis but did not know that the B99 bus does not even operate in Hastings added more insult to the injury.

The fact that the Public Hearing went long and many councillors left without hearing from the public is another huge concern. We need proper times to give our concerns, we are working-class people with jobs, we cannot attend if the hearing is at 3 PM on a working day!!!

I invite the honourable mayor and all city councillors to visit this area and talk to real residents before making this decision which will affect our lives permanently; hear from us who will lose our only pub in the neighbourhood. Please think about us:

- who already struggle to commute due to congestion from Ironworkers Bridge and this project construction will block our major arteries for a few years.
- who don't even have a market nearby and have been neglected for a very long time.
- who have been struggling to find parking spots as is and can't afford to have more demand added to non-existing supply.
- who struggle every year in winter as busses can't go up the hills in the area.
- who have children; the developer is insulting medium-income families by giving the units that nobody would rent otherwise; no window, no standard sizing, no proper amenities. Why would the City give them levy exemption, allow them to build 10 storeys more than allowed without having any infrastructure and then get insulting offers for its residents?
- who will have to deal with more and more applications using these as precedence to build more unlivable towers.

Do not allow the profits of a wealthy developer to crush our lives, we are already a marginalized neighbourhood. The developer has rigged our system; abused it using their unlimited resources and is getting a deal that means victory for them and loss for the city and its residents. They would happily build a 6-storey building and rent it out (as that's the best ROI in the current market) and that is still 2-storey above what they are legally allowed.

Ellie Renzuli