

**Kennett, Bonnie**

---

**From:** Joe Bednarczyk s.22(1) Personal and Confidential  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 01, 2019 7:48 PM  
**To:** Public Hearing  
**Subject:** Comments RE: 2202-2218 Main Street & 206 East 6th Avenue

Dear respected Council Members,

My family would like to express deep concern as to the proposed development at East 6th and Main street. Over the last three years living at s.22(1) Personal and Confidential 3 large residential buildings have been built in a 3 block radius. This has already had adverse consequences for which the current proposed development will undoubtedly compound, including but not limited to:

- extreme increases in motorized vehicle traffic and unsafe conditions in the small streets with very limited visibility for pedestrians, children, and pets
- due to the lack of a controlled intersection at east 6th and main, all of the occupants of the proposed development will be using the route to 5th and main to gain access to main (north or south) contributing to exponential increases in local traffic
- an increase in pets in the area without designated dog park in mount pleasant, contributing to degradation of limited green spaces in the area
- noise pollution from construction and daily leaf blowers hired by each residence management
- further degradation in the local skyline and lighting with yet another building on the corner of main and mount pleasant.

Respectfully,

Dr. Joseph Bednarczyk

**Joseph Bednarczyk**  
s.22(1) Personal and Confidential

## Dragnea, Irina

---

**From:** Aaron Petruic s.22(1) Personal and Confidential  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 07, 2019 9:21 PM  
**To:** Public Hearing  
**Subject:** 2202-2218 Main Street and 206 East 6th Avenue

Hello,

I would like to express my opinion on the rezoning proposed at 2202-2218 Main Street and 206 East 6th Avenue. While I support the additional housing supplied along Main Street I think the proposal is out of scale and proportion with its immediate context. The mass along main street seems too bulky and the building has no sensitivity to the development to the south. 20' seems like too close of a setback in this regard, especially when some areas are much less than that. The height seems too tall as well, especially when it appears that some minor tweaks, including lowering the floor to floor heights would bring it down closer to the 60' in the area plan and give it more of an appearance in keeping with the streetscape. Further articulation of the building above 4 floors would also probably help in appear less bulky at the street.

I also would express concern about the viability of the below grade retail, as well as the 'laneway'. I think it's important the retail spaces become part of the vibrant mount pleasant community, not areas that sit vacant because they are dark and have no connection to the street.

Thank you,

Aaron