Dragnea, Irina

From:

Reilly Wood s.22(1) Personal and Confidential

Sent:

Friday, September 14, 2018 11:02 PM

To:

Public Hearing

Subject:

Support for item 6 on Sep 18

Hello,

I would like to express my strong support for item number 6 at public hearing on September 18:

6. REZONING: Amendments to the Zoning and Development By-law for RT-7 and RT-8 Zones (Kitsilano) and RT-10 and RT-10N Zones (Kensington-Cedar Cottage) to Increase Housing Choice

I live on a block with many RT homes and I think the proposed changes will be a small step in the right direction that allow more people to live in this great city.

Best, Reilly Wood

Dragnea, Irina

From:

paul preto s.22(1) Personal and Confidential

Sent:

Sunday, September 16, 2018 8:32 PM

To:

Public Hearing

Subject:

Increasing Housing Choice: RT-7, RT-8 and RT-10

To Whom it May Concern,

I own a property in cedar cottage that will be affected by the zoning change. I support the proposal to change RT-10 to RT-11, primarily to address the low density of .6 FSR for owners of lots less than 50 feet wide. Changing it to allow laneways, larger duplexes and lockoff suites will provide homeowners with more options in the neighbourhood, and bring it more in line with recent zoning changes.

Paul Preto
22(1) Personal and Confidential

Dragnea, Irina

From:

Kellan Higgins s.22(1) Personal and Confidential

Sent:

Friday, September 14, 2018 12:59 PM

To:

Public Hearing

Subject:

I am FOR the development on Larch and 2nd Ave in Kits

Dear City Councillors,

I am very excited to see more density in Kits and fully support the moderate income housing initiative put forward to replace the Anglican church at Larch and 2nd. I've already lost friends to other cities because they haven't been able to afford anything here and have children. Vancouver is being gutted from the lack of options.

I am in my 30s and this neighbourhood is filled with NIMBYs that have "theirs" and will fight tooth and nail to keep any change out. I live on York and Maple, a short walk away from the project.

All their points will break down into "we haven't had enough consultation" or "we can't see how this will work for young people" or "this isn't adequate enough to suite us" or "it may decrease density" and it goes on and on and on. These are all excuses. They just don't want change.

Everything they throw your way will be designed to delay the project so they can keep their neighbourhood exactly as they found it. Without diversity of economic statuses.

This kind of project is PERFECT. It is designed for families, is affordable for all young people in any stage of their life, increases density greatly by replacing a church (which doesn't house anyone right now), is not a super tall tower so it won't loom over the area.

I fully support it and I believe those who would benefit the most from this project don't have the time to support it. We are all working to afford a place to rent or raising kids in small spaces. Those who are against it have all the time in the world because they own their homes and can take time to delay and distract.

Sincerely,

Kellan Higgins