Dragnea, Irina

From:	David Garner s.22(1) Personal and Confidential
Sent:	Monday, September 03, 2018 11:20 PM
То:	Public Hearing
Subject:	Re: Speaker presentation file
Attachments:	Garner - Agenda Item 4.pdf
Hello City Clerk's Office,	
I detected a significant error in my Fr bottom of each page of the PDF).	iday submission, corrected here in the attached file (the respective dates are indicated at the
I hope it is possible to replace my ear	lier submission with this one.
I apologize for creating this hassle.	
Thank you.	
Cheers,	
Dave Garner	
> On 31-08-2018, at 4:00 PM, Public >	Hearing < PublicHearing@vancouver.ca > wrote:
> Hi Mr. Garner,	
> We will be distributing your comme	ents to the Councillors and Mayor, and they will also be posted online on Tuesday (of course
with any private information taken ou	
>	
> Kind regards,	
> City Clerk's Office	
> City of Vancouver	
> Email: <u>publichearing@vancouver.c</u>	
> Website: vancouver.ca/publichearin	igs
>Original Message	
> From: David Garner s.22(1) Personal	and Confidential
> Sent: Friday, August 31, 2018 3:59	PM
> To: Public Hearing	
> Subject: Speaker presentation file	
> > Hello,	
>	
> Attached please find my written con	nments re:
>	
	Comment on Agenda Item 4: REZONING: Amendments to the Zoning and Development By- icts for Townhouse Areas in Cambie Corridor and Grandview-Woodland
> Will you distribute this to the course	Ciliana an chaoild I Errail it to thous
> Will you distribute this to the counc >	anors, or should I Email it to them?
> Thank you.	
>	
> Sincerely,	

> Dave Garner >

Public Hearing, September 5, 2018

Comment on Agenda Item 4: REZONING: Amendments to the Zoning and Development By-law Regarding the RM-8A/8AN Districts for Townhouse Areas in Cambie Corridor and Grandview-Woodland

David Garner

This comment is focussed on "Draft RM-8A and RM-8AN GUIDELINES", Section 4.15.1 Acoustics (Page 13 of 16 of Appendix E of Policy Report dated July 6, 2018):

4.15 Acoustics

4.15.1 A development permit application for a dwelling use in the RM-8N District requires evidence in the form of a report and recommendations prepared by a licensed professional acoustical engineer, demonstrating that the noise levels in those portions of the dwelling units listed below, do not exceed the noise levels expressed in decibels set opposite such portions of the dwelling units. For the purposes of this section the noise level is the A-weighted 24-hour equivalent (Leq) sound level and will be defined simply as the noise level in decibels.

Portions of dwelling units	. 3484 13344	Noise levels (Decibels)			
Bedrooms		35			
Living, dining, recreation rooms	15.	40			
Kitchen, bathrooms, hallways		45			

This Guideline fails to set noise limit requirements for common or limited common outdoor living spaces such as children's play areas, decks, courtyards, gardens, balconies etc., despite the decades long existence of such Canadian and International guidelines. This is curious given that outdoor activity is a defining characteristic of life in Vancouver and given that RM-8A and RM-8AN zoning is targeted at families and children are known to be particularly negatively impacted by long term noise exposure.

This comment speaks to:

- (1) Health impacts of exposure to noise, especially for children
- (2) Summary of Canadian and International residential outdoor living area noise guidelines

- (3) Noise mitigation measures are available
- (4) Action Council should consider taking

Note: entries underlined in blue are hyperlinks to references on the internet

(1) Health impacts of exposure to noise:

Noise (unwanted sound) is *not* merely an annoyance. Toronto Public Health [Toronto-2017] recently prepared an extensive review of the evidence of negative health effects of long term exposure to noise. Table 1 summarizes recent review literature [Garner-2018 p62] and highlights the particular susceptibility of children to adverse health effects from long term exposure to traffic noise.

Strength of Evidence of Association	Exposure to Road Traffic Noise Wellbeing or Health Outcome ^[references]					
Sufficient	Sleep Disturbance [1,2] Highly sleep disturbed Awakening	Annoyance In children ^[3] In adults ^[5]	Speech Intelligibility ^[9]	Cognitive Impairment In Children:[3] • Reading comprehension • Long-term memory • Standardized test performance		
Suggestive but insufficient	Sleep Disturbance • Highly sleep disturbed In children [3] In girls [4] • Awakening In girls [4]	Cardiovascular Disease • Hypertension [6] • Hypertension in children [3, 7] • Cardiovascular mortality [6] • Heart attack [6] • Stroke [8]	Auditory [3,10] • Noise induced hearing loss	Psycho-Social Effects In Adults: Unipolar Depression [6] In Children: [3] Hyperactivity Loss of sense of wellbeing	Pregnancy and Birth Outcomes: [11] Pre-term birth Small for gestational age	
Inadequate and insufficient		Other: ^[6] • Breast Cancer	Auditory: [10] • Tinnitus	Psycho-Social Effects In Children: [3] • Anxiety and depression	Pregnancy and Birth Outcomes: [12] Low birth weight	

Table 1

Wellbeing and health outcomes associated with exposure to road traffic noise and strength of evidence.

References:

1 [McGuire-2017]	5 [Guski-2017 open acc]	9 <u>[US EPA-1973]</u>
2 [Basner-2017]	6 [Seidler-2016]	10 [Kowalska-2017 open acc]
3 [Stansfeld-2015 open]	7 [Dzhambov-2017]	11 <u>[Nieuwen-2017</u> open acc]
4 [Weyde-2017 open acc]	8 [Pershagen-2017]	12 [Smith-2017 open acc]

(2) Summary of Canadian and International residential outdoor living area noise guidelines

Table 2 shows that although Canadian guidelines for noise at outdoor living areas of residences have been available for more than 35 years and World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines have been in place for about 20 years, the City of Vancouver fails to even reference these in its building development bylaws.

	City of Vancouver	CMHC/NRC (1981)	Ontario (2013)	UK BS 8233: 2014	WHO (1999)
	L _{Aeq,24h}	L _{Aeq,24h}	07:00 - 23:00 L _{Aeq,16h}	07:00 - 23:00 L _{Aeq,16h}	07:00 - 23:00 L _{Aeq,16h}
Outdoor Living Area		55	55	55	55*

Table 2

The City of Vancouver specifies noise requirements for residential developments based on CMHC guidelines [CMHC-1981] except that the CMHC requirement for outdoor living spaces such as children's play areas, patios, roof gardens, etc, is **not** specified by the City. Ontario [NPC-300], the UK [BSI-2014] and WHO [WHO-1999] p47] guidelines include outdoor living spaces and are based on 16 hour daytime equivalent continuous sound pressure levels.

*The WHO set an aspirational guideline for outdoor living areas of new developments at 40 dB(A)

Furthermore, the WHO guidelines are more adapted to family activity by having different noise limits at daytime versus nighttime. WHO has indoor living area impulse noise (eg. Air horns, Jacobs brakes, railcar coupling) guideline limits for nighttime and Ontario has both indoor and outdoor guideline impulse noise limits for both daytime and nighttime.

(3) Noise mitigation measures are available

Exposure to excessive noise in outdoor living areas of residential developments can usually be eliminated by careful building design that pays attention to this problem **[Garner-2018** p65]. Most of the proposed RM-8A/8AN Districts will be shielded by apartment forms lining the arterials, which should make it relatively easy to design

townhouses that meet Canadian outdoor living space noise guidelines; this may be slightly more challenging at a site on Grandview Highway and along w King Edward.

It should be noted that most (but not all) building designs that mitigate against road traffic noise are also effective at somewhat mitigating exposure to traffic related air pollution [Garner-2018 p73]

(4) Action Council should consider taking

- With respect to this specific zoning district, the CMHC/NRC outdoor living area noise limit of 55 dB(A) should be added to Section 4.15.1 Compliance with this guideline should not be onerous.
- More generally, the acoustic limits for existing residential zoning districts should be reviewed and updated. In 2005, the City of Vancouver commissioned a study [Garner-2018 p94, City of Vancouver FOI-1, FOI-2] of traffic noise along arterial streets outside the downtown peninsula. Of 35 sites studied, none had LAeq,24h < 59 dB(A), and 69% had LAeq,24h > 65 dB(A) which most people would experience as more than twice as loud as the CMHC/NRC guideline of 55 dB(A). Both zoning districts and rezonings to CD-1 located along arterial streets would be challenged to mitigate noise exposure, but it is far from impossible as has been shown in other jurisdictions.

The negative health impacts of long term exposure to noise at residences, especially on children, are unlikely to go away by just ignoring them.