



Mayor and Council Feedback

s.22(1) Personal and Confidential



Contact Details

Name: allan harris

Address: ,

Address2:

Phone:

Email: s.22(1) Personal and Confidential

Alt. Phone:

Preferred contact method: Either

Request Details

1. Describe details (who, what, where, when, why):

Hi Council members and Greg. Please respond as Cynthia has not. I am prepared to meet with council in person as Coopers Lookout is my home. I await your actions to assist. thx.

Hi Cynthia, I have not received a response from you from my query quite some time ago. Please reply as I have respectfully requested.

Hi Cynthia, I was not able to attend the above open house however I have a few questions that I hope you can help me with or can advise, who can at City Hall.

I live and own at Coopers Lookout, s.22(1) Personal and Confidential s.22(1) Personal and Confidential I have read all the details provided by your website regarding this project, it looks generally quite fantastic from my view.

However I have a few questions regarding

this projects affect on Coopers Lookout for cars, bicycles and foot traffic into and outof Coopers Lookout. I have attached a markup of two pages from the website information that I am wondering about and have indicated these areas as "A" and "B". Please see attached.

Re area "A"

- during the years of construction for this project, it it being contemplated to use area "A" driveway and sidewalk area as a construction driveway for any vehicles, trucks, workers, etc. Access to our underground parking is presently marginal for providing vision when exiting and drivers are obliged by strata rules to stop when exiting until the security gate has fully closed.

- after the project is complete, is it being contemplated to use this same area on an ongoing basis as access to and from the new project by either cars, trucks, bicycles or pedestrians? Security of our underground parking is also a second concern for our strata, hence avoiding exposure is a better plan for Coopers Lookout owners such as myself.

Quite frankly, using area "A", when it passes under the south part of Coopers Lookout seems like very odd and poor idea.

Re Area "B"

- What is this shading showing? Is it a deadend driveway accessible only from the east end, a dead end walkway, a delivery area for the new building or otherwise.

I also have concerns about Cooperage Way between Marinaside Cres. and Smithe Street but I will followup with my concers once you can comment on my concerns expressed above.

I have copied my email to Francis Yeung who is and has been the Coopers Lookout Building Services manager since Coopers Lookout opened.

Hopefully you can get back to me in a week or so, thx a bunch.

"s.22(1) Personal and Confidential"



Map and Photo

- no picture -

2 July 2018

City of Vancouver
City Clerk's Office
453 West 12th Avenue, Third Floor
Vancouver, BC, V5Y 1V4

Re: Rezoning Application - 750-772 Pacific Boulevard (Northeast False Creek Sub-area 6B — Plaza of Nations)

Via email to: publichearing@vancouver.ca

Dear Council,

I have been following the development of the Northeast False Creek as it interacts with my own home at s.22(1) Personal and Confidential overlooking Coopers' Park. I will be in Ontario the week of July 9th and will be unable to speak, in person, at the Public Hearing on site 6b but would provide the following comments/recommendations:

1) I want to reiterate that the lack of any off-leash dog park space on site 6b will only further strain Coopers' Park off-leash dog park with increased use from the 10,000 to 12,000 new residents expected to make the site their home.

A 2013 Ipsos poll found that 32% of Canadian households own a dog; that will mean there could be between 3,200 and 3,840 new dogs descending on Coopers' Park. Even if that poll is flawed and we use, conservatively, 10%, that still means between 1,000 to 1,200 additional dogs on the existing Coopers' Park site.

The developer of site 6b has a responsibility to provide a space for these dogs, and if unable to, should provide maintenance dollars to support off-leash dog parks utilized by residents who take up homes in the condos they build.

2) I want to reiterate my belief that the developer should have an additional condition applied to the re-zoning application that requires them to dedicate a fund for 'maintenance' of the Coopers' Park off-leash dog park via a one-time cash Community Amenity Contribution (CAC) of \$25,000;

3) I would urge councillors to ask whether the 'terraces' envisioned for the buildings will permit public access (and if so, how many terraces), and, that an additional condition be applied to the re-zoning application that requires the developer to dedicate one of the public terraces as an off-leash dog park via an in-kind CAC based the principles laid out in the City's "People, Parks & Pets" strategy.

4) As City departments and the Parks Board act in silos (I have been told too many times from City/Parks Board staff that 'that isn't my responsibility'), I want to ask whether there is any strategy/vision for the NEFC sites regarding off-leash dog parks, and if larger, off-leash dog park(s) are being planned for the developments in NEFC sites 6c and/or 8.

5) I echo concerns already noted in the report about the massing of the western-most building on the lot and urge the conditions noted in the report be enforced.

Thank you for taking the time to read my concerns, comments and recommendations. I have included some pictures of Coopers' Park below by way of a visual.

Sincerely,

Brent Page

s.22(1) Personal and Confidential



Coopers' Park (dust bowl in summer) May 2018



Coopers' Park (mud pit rest of the year) March 2015

Dragnea, Irina

From: Tom Baldigara [REDACTED] s.22(1) Personal and Confidential"
Sent: Monday, July 02, 2018 5:18 PM
To: Public Hearing
Subject: Rezoning Application for 750 Pacific Boulevard - Sub-area 6B - Plaza of Nations

Re: NEFC rezoning app July 10, 2018 meeting.

Am away on holiday, unable to attend in person to represent our community.

Still much concern in our Neighbourhood-Flagship/ [REDACTED] s.22(1) Personal and Confidential"

[REDACTED] s.22(1) Personal and Confidential" 490 voters?) Many of our residents have been voicing our concerns at your City meetings and

to the City Parks Board regarding the condition of our neighbourhood Coopers Landing Off-Leash dog Park.

Overused, terrible maintenance, just embarrassing to the downtown neighbourhood.

Now you are adding 10-12,000 residents. New dogs 1000? Could be up to 2000 according to statistics Canada figures.

Where do I find the new Developers Contribution to maintain the neighbourhood park? I don't see an additional dog park in NEFC?

The Parks Board has spent all sorts of time creating the People's, Parks and Pets Strategy yet a huge new development has no Dog Park component?

Our concerns are unaddressed in this development proposal.

Thank you. Tom Baldigara. [REDACTED] s.22(1) Personal and Confidential"

Dragnea, Irina

From: Chartrand, Patricia <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, July 05, 2018 8:59 AM
To: Public Hearing
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: 777 Pacific Boulevard and 750 Pacific Boulevard

Dear Sirs/Medames:

My correspondence is with regards to the public hearing for the development of 777 Pacific Boulevard to be held on July 10th, and the re-zoning of 750 Pacific Boulevard.

With three proposed towers on the horizon for BC Place Stadium, I urge that there be substantive consideration given to designs that will preserve the views of the mountains from North East False Creek. The mountain views define Vancouver and I am positive that we can experience progress in a manner that preserves the soul of our city for the residents of the Creek who face South, and those from Chinatown.

Further, regarding the rezoning application for the lands that house the Plaza of Nations, my belief is that the redevelopment will add to our community, especially in light of the fact the space will have enhanced public recreational facilities and much needed child day care. Given the continued movement towards increased density, useful public spaces are of primary importance.

Your consideration of my comments is appreciated.

Best regards,
Patricia

[REDACTED]