

Dragnea, Irina

From: Matt Cavanaugh s.22(1) Personal and Confidential
Sent: Tuesday, July 10, 2018 5:15 PM
To: Public Hearing
Subject: 777 Pacific and NEFC Taller Towers

I am writing to voice my support for 777 Pacific and the Gateway towers in the NEFC plan. I am a homeowner and voter in the City of Vancouver.

Vancouver needs more living space and architectural pinnacles and these towers are well placed to serve both. While I am supporting taller towers, the architecture should be reflective of its prominent place in the city and be both bold and innovative.

Please do not bow to the vocal minority, the vast majority of Vancouverites support more housing options and a denser more vibrant city.

Matthew Cavanaugh.

Dragnea, Irina

From: Ian Robertson s.22(1) Personal and Confidential
Sent: Tuesday, July 10, 2018 5:59 PM
To: Public Hearing
Subject: Rezoning Application for 777 Pacific Boulevard - Sub-area 10C

Hello,

I'm supportive of the idea that the view cones not be considered sacrosanct ... but I'm not sure this building is good enough to be the exception to the rule.

The quality of the renders is quite poor, and I wish it was more clear what the building would actually look like, and what the materials would be, but in general I'm supportive of the project.

Regards

-Ian

--

IAN ROBERTSON m.arch.

s.22(1) Personal and Confidential



Dragnea, Irina

From: Mick Slivecko s.22(1) Personal and Confidential
Sent: Tuesday, July 10, 2018 5:29 PM
To: Public Hearing
Subject: July 10th - Public Hearing for 777 Pacific Blvd and 750 Pacific Blvd

To the Honourable Mayor and City Councillors,

Thank you for the opportunity to express my views on the development activity at 777 and 750 Pacific Blvd.

In conjunction with this activity, I am very interested in the overall NEFC plan so these hearings are critical in developing an approach. I appreciate the hard work you are doing to help come up what is best overall for people that live in this community.

I am an interested citizen and resident in the area close to where the new developments being reviewed stand.

In addition to specific points, I want to begin by expressing a very important principal that I feel we should consider as we approach any and all development of the remaining land areas in and around False Creek. *This principal is to look at the overall area holistically.* This would include lots Sub Areas 10C and 6B being reviewed today, but should also have in mind how this influences coming decisions for Sub Areas 6C, 7A, 6D and of course Lot 9, as a long promised park.

I have been to many open houses and city planning presentations and debates which usually involve topics associated with a given area, or a given use within that area lot. These discussions are all very valuable and important, but as we start to break ground on new buildings it is very important we consider the influence on what happens in adjacent lots. This should also compel city planners and developers to work towards an overall view in balance.

In particular, as we change the skyline of our beautiful city, there are places where we have already established locations where we have tall high rises and density. This would be on the Georgia corridor which is also a vibrant entertainment area, especially close to BC Place and Rogers Arena.

With this in mind, Sub Area 10C and the 777 Pacific Blvd development represents a good location to allow maximum height so the city can meet density requirements, which then should allow locations and other sub areas directly adjacent to the planned NEFC Park to have no more than 2 - 4 story development directly adjacent to park space. Further to this areas directly adjacent to waterfront should be limited also. This is important in Sub Area 6B and should be redesigned against the existing plan. Overall the design of 750 Pacific Blvd does strive to support lower rise close to the waters edge and this is a good thing. The terracing of the residential building will prevent a feeling of a wall against the park and public spaces. This is critical to minimize the feeling of being in a fjord of towers.

These Sub Areas(10C and 6B) are where we should see density and height. The tradeoff comes when we look to areas to the east of these sub areas so a holistic view needs to be maintained and tradeoffs need to be made when City Council along with planners are working with developers in those areas.

We should also consider how we make it attractive for developers to create structures directly adjacent to new and existing parks to be designed as "places and spaces" for citizens as well as retail lease space opportunities. The city has the ability to negotiate and make these tradeoffs for the benefit of citizens.

As part of supporting the development at 750 Pacific Boulevard (Sub-area 6B) and 777 Pacific Boulevard (site 10C), what is particularly commendable is the work to provide amenities such as the music presentation centre, the gardens, the public spaces (including access to the green rooftop), ice rink, community centre and day-care.

On a final point, when the sales open for foreign buyers, they should be limited to one suite only (the same as the locals offer). The pre-sales for local residents should include access to all units. This will allow for resident diversity and supports the idea that buyers of units will be actual residents of the area, if possible.

Thanks to all involved in these projects for the design and consideration for the community while working with all developers involved. This supports a vision for a beautiful city skyline as well as vibrant communities areas, beautiful and

spacious parks and waterfronts which will set a new standard for cities globally.

Best Regards,
Mick Slivecko