

## Dragnea, Irina

---

**From:** John Newman s.22(1) Personal and Confidential  
**Sent:** Monday, July 09, 2018 1:19 PM  
**To:** Public Hearing  
**Cc:** s.22(1) Personal and Confidential  
**Subject:** Comments/Petition - Rezoning Application at Hull St. and East 20th Ave.  
**Attachments:** IMG.pdf

Attached please find our petition against the application signed enthusiastically by 52 out of 53 of those who were asked. We polled only those neighbours immediately surrounding the site and therefore directly impacted by the project. Every household was canvassed with responses from all but 6 who were away on vacation or were otherwise unavailable.

**Petition to: Mayor and Council of the City of Vancouver**

We the undersigned immediate neighbours to the proposed project at 3560 – 3570 Hull Street and 2070 – 2090 20<sup>th</sup> Avenue demand that the rezoning application (as currently configured) be rejected. Please see attached letter for outline of the reasons.

Name	Address	Date	Signature
Anthony Lydyniuk	s.22(1) Personal and Confidential	July 9 2018	s.22(1) Personal and Confidential
Tina Lydyniuk		07.04.18	
Connie Scambra		"	
Caitlyn Lydyniuk		" "	
KATHERINE TENTA		"	
Jonathan Teita		" "	
Delaney Soumaray		July 04/2018	
RYAN DARRIS		July 04/18	
Jane McDonald		July 04/18	
Arianna Ardenne		June 04/18	
Fauna Johnson		July 5/18	
BARB CROMBIE		July 5/18	
Jennifer Muir		July 5/18	
J. LESLIE TENTA		July 6/18	
S. Robinson		July 6/18	
M. Robinson		July 6/18	
K. DeVisser		16 July	
Adrah Soudack		July 6th	
Josh Bowie		July 6th	
WING CHENG		July 6th	
Griffin Mancini		July 7th	
Parker Helwes		July 7th	
Kate Ralston		July 7th	

**Petition to: Mayor and Council of the City of Vancouver**

We the undersigned immediate neighbours to the proposed project at 3560 – 3570 Hull Street and 2070 – 2090 20<sup>th</sup> Avenue demand that the rezoning application (as currently configured) be rejected. Please see attached letter for outline of the reasons.

Name	Address	Date	Signature
CHU Yi Yu Betty	s.22(1) Personal and Confidential	July 7/18	s.22(1) Personal and Confidential
Matt Leblanc		July 7/18	
Christine Hill		July 7/18	
Marilou Barbosa		7/07/2018	
Doreen Wainwright		7/07/2018	
Eric Taylor		7/07/2018	
J.A. McDonald		7/07/2018	
E. McDonald		7/07/2018	
Kate Hughes		7/07/2018	
CRAIG McKIMM		7/07/2018	
KAVITHA PALANISAMY		07/07/2018	
DAVID DEVISSER		07/07/2018	
Marten Belvedere		07/07/2018	
Simon Lillis		07/07/2018	
B. BARBOSA		07/07/18	
Anika Petric		July 7/18	
Hargo Roopra		(July) 7/18	
Lajdeep Roopra		July 7/18	
MICHAEL CROMBIE		July 8/18	
Ally Gell		July 8/18	
Jared Janzen		08 Jul 2018	
Sam Janzen		July 8/18	
Maria Beatriz Bertalha		July 8/18	

18



We the undersigned immediate neighbours to the proposed project at 3560 – 3570 Hull Street and 2070 – 2090 East 20<sup>th</sup> Avenue demand that the rezoning application (as currently configured) be rejected.

During the consultation period our many serious concerns were not addressed. The concerns are as follows:

1. This project will exceed the limit of two rezonings to CD-1 within 10 blocks under the interim Affordable Housing Bylaw. Furthermore, it does not reflect the guidelines as set out on page 5, section 1.2 of the "Mayor's Task Force on Housing Affordability" (setbacks, community support, ground oriented forms to a maximum of 3.5 storeys, etc.).
2. According to the ironically titled "Tree Retention Plan" all but 2 of the 85 trees on the site will be removed. The complete destruction of this part of the urban forest is contrary to City policy and is unacceptable.
3. The financial impact in terms of lost property value and in some cases relocation costs will total in the millions of dollars.
4. The Applicant's offer to dedicate a north/south laneway to complete proper service access was rejected by the City! That laneway would have allowed for gentle densification through the development of laneway houses on some of the Marshall Street properties. The reasons Planning has offered for that decision, do not make sense. If the City does not need a lane to service this development then it should at least remove the 10' laneway designation from our rear yards on Marshall Street.
5. The project is aesthetically displeasing, with each iteration of the design worse than the previous one. The (so called) heritage retention of the Rosenberg House is incongruous with the rest of the project streetscape. Situating blank 40 ft. gable end walls within 5 ft. of the property line on the east elevation is unacceptable.
6. The canvassing of 'neighbours' to gauge public opinion appears intended to deceive Council, 800 cards were sent out to mostly non-affected Cedar Cottage residents. The rezoning report then shows a graph implying that because only 36 neighbours responded, the project is generally acceptable. Of course the responses from those residents directly impacted were generally negative. In fact this petition of the immediate neighbours will show an almost 100% negative response.