

Yeung, Jessica

From: Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2016 1:46 PM
To: Public Hearing
Subject: FW: 155 East 37th Avenue (Little Mountain) Development and Queen Elizabeth Park

Importance: High

From: Borthwick, E.L. **s.22(1) Personal and Confidential**
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2016 12:12 PM
To: Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office
Subject: 155 East 37th Avenue (Little Mountain) Development and Queen Elizabeth Park
Importance: High

I am writing as a concerned citizen of the Little Mountain Neighborhood. The grounds that are currently being developed have stood empty for almost 10 years. All of these grounds and apartments were for low income housing. I note that a very small portion of the new construction will be social housing units. While this is unfortunate it is definitely a sign of the times.

The reason I am writing to you is that I have heard there may be changes to the property across from the development which is Queen Elizabeth Park! Currently the area directly across from the development is used on a daily basis by the community. Frisbee Golf is one of my concerns. While I do not actually play Frisbee Golf I love watching people getting out, getting terrific exercise and enjoying the park. I am extremely concerned that this may be removed from the park!!! This is a park for all people and not an "inconvenience" to new residents who will be paying a very high price for their units. It is no different than if they purchased a home on a busy street such as Main Street or Granville Street or anywhere else. Does this also mean that the park will have to have a fence around it for the convenience of the development? What about the duck ponds? Will traffic have to be rerouted because of the development? How about Nat Bailey Stadium? Will that be too noisy and will they have to cancel the firework?! Where does it end!!!!

I am a very concerned citizen that does not want ANY CHANGES to Queen Elizabeth Park for the convenience of a new development. The Park was there long before the development and the past residents of this area that were displaced had NO PROBLEMS with the park and it's usage.

Thank you for listening.

Regards,

Elaine Lenki Borthwick

Yeung, Jessica

From: Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office
Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2016 9:44 AM
To: Public Hearing
Subject: FW: Little Mountain rezoning- Public Hearing July 19

From: Beryl Wilson s.22(1) Personal and Confidential
Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2016 10:12 PM
To: Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office
Subject: Little Mountain rezoning- Public Hearing July 19

Although it's not your business/appointed task to ensure a developer makes an obscene profit, I do recognize that nor can we expect them to go broke on a project. Nevertheless, in spite of the 'community benefits' listed in the proposal I still think you/we could do better than have **only 282 social housing units** out of a total of 1573 units, Even the measles 20% we've comprised on (down from the longstanding 1/3) for a long time would result in **315**.

I urge you to push for 350 social housing units at a minimum. If the others are coming onstream as 'market housing' they too will be unaffordable for many

Beryl Wilson
Vancouver