

**Ludwig, Nicole**

---

**From:** Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 12, 2016 9:29 AM  
**To:** Public Hearing  
**Subject:** FW: Sign Bylaw Amendment, 24 May, 2014

**Categories:** Blue Category

---

**From:** John Murray s.22(1) Personal and Confidential  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 11, 2016 4:15 PM  
**To:** Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office  
**Subject:** Sign Bylaw Amendment, 24 May, 2014

Dear Mayor, Council and Staff:

As a long-time resident of the False Creek area and a frequent user of its waters and seawall, you have my complete approval for the "Sign By-law amendments that will clarify the scope of the By-law and the definition of a sign to include signs located on water within City boundaries, and that will add a definition and regulations for floating signs to restrict the size of a floating sign to 1 square meter". I'm sure the City was inundated with cries of protest from its citizens with the latest travesty that plied the waters temporarily with its 42' wide neon flashing billboards. The media certainly had a heyday with it. Not only is this an affront to one's eyes, but a barge being pulled back and forth from Science World out into English Bay merely for advertisements and that burns fossil fuels from 7 am till 11 pm seven days a week would not really be in keeping with Vancouver's vision of being the greenest of cities. As well, I believe there is an attempt to keep the waters east of Cambie Bridge for the use of primarily non-motorized vessels. Thank you for proposing to amend the bylaws to curtail this sort of atrocious activity.

Sincerely,  
John Murray

s.22(1) Personal and Confidential



## Ludwig, Nicole

---

**From:** Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 04, 2016 1:34 PM  
**To:** Public Hearing  
**Subject:** FW: Citizen's Comments, Refers to P.1 - Sign By-law Amendment - Floating Signs, Regular Council Mtg, Tuesday May 03, 2016

Citizen: Janice Wong

Contact Info: s.22(1) Personal and Confidential

Comments:

Dear Mayor + Council,

We wish to update you on the status of our Petition to Ban Commercial Advertising on Vancouver Waterways.

To date, with both an ONLINE and PAPER petition, we have collected 316 signatures. Our oldest petitioner, a 94-year-old woman, has taken the time to collect 48 of these signatures!

We will continue to collect signatures. We have also started a Facebook page to promote the petition.

We are pleased to hear the recent news, via CBC, regarding the City staff's recommendations for a bylaw related to floating billboard and commercial marine signage and hope that you will support and pass this bylaw next week.

Thank you for your attention.

Janice Wong, George Oud, Shigeko Nagashima & Mary Wong

**Ludwig, Nicole**

---

**From:** Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office  
**Sent:** Monday, May 16, 2016 4:30 PM  
**To:** Public Hearing  
**Subject:** FW: Sign By-law Amendment - Floating Signs

**From:** Tyler Anderson **s.22(1) Personal and Confidential**  
**Sent:** Monday, May 16, 2016 2:35 PM  
**To:** Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office  
**Subject:** Sign By-law Amendment - Floating Signs

Dear Sirs and Madams,

I am writing you today about the proposed changes to By-law No. 6510 regarding floating signs. I would like to first start off by saying that I fully understand the complaints residents have towards the signage on our water ways. I myself had similar thoughts when it first arrived. What I would like Your Honour and Councilmen to please take into consideration is the revelation that occurred to me. We have spent years ignoring the pollution and dumping in these water ways. Even still today we choose to blind eye to what happens on these waters until is then directly on our faces. Are we to take action on one subject while ignoring another simply because it is not visible? Are we to take action on this to simply save face while ignoring a much larger, a much more lasting, and a much more dangerous issue? If we are taking responsibility for these water ways for the simple matter of signage, we must also take responsibility of the clean up and maintenance of these water ways. The hypocrisy of this issue is glaring to anyone who chooses not to ignore the underling problem. We should not take action simply when it is convenient for us unless we are ready to take on what is the real need of the community. Please take these factors into consideration during your voting.

Thank you for your time,  
Tyler Anderson

**Ludwig, Nicole**

---

**From:** Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 05, 2016 2:38 PM  
**To:** Public Hearing  
**Subject:** FW: Floating Billboards

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Amy Cheng s.22(1) Personal and Confidential  
**Sent:** Saturday, April 30, 2016 7:53 PM  
**To:** Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office  
**Subject:** Re: Floating Billboards

Hello,

I would like to show concern for the amending the floating billboards in False Creek and the Burrard Inlet.

I would strongly vote against it because it creates more water traffic, as False Creek is not that big, and that it will raise our CO2 levels even more because the billboards will be running quite frequently from what I can presume. Unless the floating billboard company only runs around during non-peak hours. But even if they have allotted times to run the floating billboards, False Creek and the Burrard Inlet is not only small (space-wise) but it already has quite a lot of traffic from recreational sports and the aquabus services. Those who ride on the aqua bus are trying to enjoy the beautiful Vancouver nature but with a floating billboard, it hinders tourists from enjoying how beautiful Vancouver is.

Therefore, I feel that with floating billboards takes the nature out of False Creek because of the constant pollution, bright lights (if the billboards are out during the night), and water traffic will be heightened as water traffic is not entirely that smooth currently.

Already without the floating billboards, a lot of people are already using the creek for recreational purposes and aquabus services and the occasional boat cruises. In addition, there's quite a community of boat houses that would have to deal with the noise pollution of motors humming around at random hours.

I hope that my view on floating billboards will be heard.

Thank you.

Sincerely,  
Amy Cheng

## Ludwig, Nicole

---

**From:** Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 05, 2016 3:15 PM  
**To:** Public Hearing  
**Subject:** Citizen's Comments, Weber, M. Item: Sign By-law Amendment - Floating Signs, Referred to Public Hearing, May 24th

**Citizen:** Mr. Michael Weber

**Contact Info:** s.22(1) Personal and Confidential

### Comments:

I meant to register my complete about this but forgot. Please make it go away, it is horrific. Keep the city classy.