

## Save our Neighbourhood. Stop Rezoning. No High Rise Tower.

Petition published by Concerned resident at 14th & Burrard on Oct 15, 2015

### Background (Preamble):

As you may be aware, the owners of the property located at 1755 W. 14th Ave. have once again initiated a proposal to build a 12 story high-density tower at the corner of 14th and Burrard.

There was a previous application in January, 2014. Shortly after that, our neighbourhood mobilized to oppose this proposal, and upwards of 95% of the residents within 4 blocks, who were asked to sign a petition, did so. We submitted the petition to City Hall. We received no response at all until the new proposal came to light several weeks ago. The new proposal includes the same tower. In fact, the only thing significantly different about it is the removal of townhomes that had been proposed, towards the eastern end of the block. This allows the developer to say that the proposal is "lower density."

We are opposed to this proposed tower. It is inappropriate to this neighbourhood, which consists mainly of residences and low rise apartment buildings. Parking is already at a premium, and traffic has been increasing dramatically on our streets.

### Petition Text:

We, the following residents and citizens of the City of Vancouver, hereby STRONGLY OPPOSE the proposed Rezoning Application (revised) - 1755 West 14th Avenue.

Total signatures 151 (Signature comments can be viewed in the Appendix of this document)

#	Title	FirstName	Surname	Email	Address	Town/City	S/C/P	Region	Zip/PC	Commen	Date
151	N/G	Heather	Notman	s.22(1) Personal and Confidential						<a href="#">View</a>	Jan 19, 2016
150	N/G	Darion	Jones							N/G	Jan 19, 2016
149	N/G	Hiral	Neale							N/G	Jan 16, 2016
148	N/G	Philip	Chisholm							<a href="#">View</a>	Jan 16, 2016
147	N/G	Chris	Yli-Luoma							N/G	Jan 11, 2016
146	Ms	Marnie	Thomson							N/G	Dec 14, 2015
145	Mr.	Edward	Horsey							<a href="#">View</a>	Dec 08, 2015
144	Ms	Natasha	Markovic-M.							N/G	Dec 06, 2015
143	N/G	J	McEwan							<a href="#">View</a>	Dec 05, 2015
142	N/G	Mehgan	Harvey							N/G	Dec 04, 2015

#	Title	FirstName	Surname	Email	Address	Town/City	S/C/P	Region	Zip/PC	Commen	Date
141	N/G	Penny	Dyer	s.22(1) Personal and Confidential						<a href="#">View</a>	Dec 04, 2015
140	MS	Brenda M	Knight							<a href="#">View</a>	Dec 04, 2015
139	Mr	Robert	Davidson							<a href="#">View</a>	Dec 04, 2015
138	Mr	Ryan	Grant							<a href="#">View</a>	Dec 03, 2015
137	N/G	Chloe	Hudicourt							<a href="#">View</a>	Dec 02, 2015
136	ms	C	Tuck							N/G	Dec 01, 2015
135	mrs.	clara	werner							N/G	Dec 01, 2015
134	Mr	David	Sinclair							N/G	Nov 30, 2015
133	N/G	Aralee	Buckland							N/G	Nov 29, 2015
132	Ms.	Christie	Denny							N/G	Nov 29, 2015
131	mr	john	belfie							N/G	Nov 29, 2015
130	Mr	Ed	Baran							N/G	Nov 29, 2015
129	N/G	Andrew	Forrest							<a href="#">View</a>	Nov 27, 2015
128	N/G	Don	Ross							N/G	Nov 26, 2015
127	Ms	Eve	Landers							<a href="#">View</a>	Nov 26, 2015
126	N/G	Cecillia	Colbeck							N/G	Nov 25, 2015
125	N/G	Ali	Tehrani							N/G	Nov 22, 2015
124	N/G	Charissa	Tehrani							N/G	Nov 22, 2015
123	Mr	Hamid	Safinia							N/G	Nov 22, 2015
122	N/G	Jane	Banfield							N/G	Nov 20, 2015
121	Ms	Alexandra	Mott							N/G	Nov 19, 2015
120	Mr	Graham	Abbott							N/G	Nov 19, 2015

#	Title	FirstName	Surname	Email	Address	Town/City	S/C/P	Region	Zip/PC	Commen	Date
119	Ms	Nancy	Mott	s.22(1) Personal and Confidential						N/G	Nov 19, 2015
118	N/G	Anne	Kolodynski							<a href="#">View</a>	Nov 19, 2015
117	Mr.	Colin	Grady							<a href="#">View</a>	Nov 17, 2015
116	N/G	Viviane	Murilla							N/G	Nov 17, 2015
115	N/G	G	Hadfield							<a href="#">View</a>	Nov 16, 2015
114	N/G	Glenn	Knowles							<a href="#">View</a>	Nov 15, 2015
113	N/G	Kristina	Walcott							N/G	Nov 14, 2015
112	N/G	Kathleen	Cabral							N/G	Nov 14, 2015
111	Ms.	M. Susanne	Macdonald							N/G	Nov 13, 2015
110	Mr.	Gary	Lyons							<a href="#">View</a>	Nov 13, 2015
109	N/G	John	Taylor							N/G	Nov 13, 2015
108	Ms	Kristina	Pascal							N/G	Nov 12, 2015
107	N/G	Esther	Glottman							<a href="#">View</a>	Nov 12, 2015
106	Ms	Agnes Irene	Groundwater							N/G	Nov 11, 2015
105	Mr	Paul	Lovett							N/G	Nov 11, 2015
104	N/G	Esther	Vitalis							<a href="#">View</a>	Nov 10, 2015
103	ms	cynthia	coddington							N/G	Nov 10, 2015
102	N/G	derry	Iubell							N/G	Nov 09, 2015
101	Dr	Azar	Payvandi							<a href="#">View</a>	Nov 09, 2015
100	Mrs	Paula	Draghicescu							<a href="#">View</a>	Nov 08, 2015
99	Doctor	Robert	MacLean							N/G	Nov 08, 2015

#	Title	FirstName	Surname	Email	Address	Town/City	S/C/P	Region	Zip/PC	Commen	Date
98	N/G	Briony	Taylor	s.22(1) Personal and Confidential						N/G	Nov 08, 2015
97	ms	Alix	Bawlf							N/G	Nov 08, 2015
96	Mr	Ionut Alexandru	Draghicescu							N/G	Nov 08, 2015
95	mr	Noel	Genoway							<a href="#">View</a>	Nov 02, 2015
94	Ms	Kristen	Motkaluk							N/G	Nov 02, 2015
93	Dr	martin	Hollenberg							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 30, 2015
92	Ms.	Isobel	Farrell							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 28, 2015
91	Mr.	Maarten	Koorn							N/G	Oct 28, 2015
90	Mrs	Patricia	Gibson							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 28, 2015
89	Mr.	Mark Gibson	Gibson							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 28, 2015
88	N/G	Zoe	Read							N/G	Oct 27, 2015
87	Ms.	shelley	nissila							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 27, 2015
86	Mr.	Mark	Klagenberg							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 27, 2015
85	N/G	Catherine	Solski							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 27, 2015
84	Mrs	Lynn	Johnson							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 24, 2015
83	Mr.	Lea (Albert)	Johnson							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 24, 2015
82	N/G	Rachel	Toyen							N/G	Oct 24, 2015
81	N/G	Doug & Sandra	Howard							N/G	Oct 23, 2015
80	Mr	Fabien	Gaud							N/G	Oct 23, 2015
79	N/G	Natacha	Bagnard							N/G	Oct 23, 2015
78	N/G	Chelsea	Gardner							N/G	Oct 23, 2015
77	Dr. & Mrs	James	Anderson							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 23, 2015

#	Title	FirstName	Surname	Email	Address	Town/City	S/C/P	Region	Zip/PC	Commen	Date
76	Ms	Susan	Hall	s.22(1) Personal and Confidential						<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 22, 2015
75	Mrs	Zsuzsanna	Burak							N/G	Oct 22, 2015
74	Mr	Willem	Atsma							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 21, 2015
73	Mr	Simon	Lewis							N/G	Oct 21, 2015
72	Mr	Andrew	Hiscox							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 21, 2015
71	Ms	Pamela	Moore							N/G	Oct 21, 2015
70	N/G	Francis	Hart							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 21, 2015
69	Ms	Deborah	Tarantino							N/G	Oct 21, 2015
68	Mr	Martin	Wirick							N/G	Oct 21, 2015
67	Dr	Victoria	Savalei							N/G	Oct 21, 2015
66	N/G	Marsha	Udow							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 21, 2015
65	N/G	Elsa	Steiner							N/G	Oct 21, 2015
64	Mr	Kevin	Karaloff							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 21, 2015
63	Ms	Sonja	Bergen							N/G	Oct 21, 2015
62	Ms	Judith	Daughney							N/G	Oct 21, 2015
61	Mr	James	Brown							N/G	Oct 21, 2015
60	Mr	Michael	Hilson							N/G	Oct 21, 2015
59	Ms.	Marie	Pinton							N/G	Oct 21, 2015
58	Mr	Robert	Stanley							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 21, 2015
57	Dr	Richard	Gilsig							N/G	Oct 21, 2015
56	ms.	Mary	Portelance							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 21, 2015
55	Mr	Chris	Covell							N/G	Oct 21, 2015
54	Mrs	Mary Ann	Clark							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 21, 2015

#	Title	FirstName	Surname	Email	Address	Town/City	S/C/P	Region	Zip/PC	Commen	Date
53	N/G	Sheila	Wilson	s.22(1) Personal and Confidential						<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 21, 2015
52	N/G	Reza	Vaziri							N/G	Oct 21, 2015
51	Ms.	Rochelle	McKelvie							N/G	Oct 21, 2015
50	Mr	T	Adair							N/G	Oct 21, 2015
49	N/G	Dan	Quon							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 20, 2015
48	Ms	Virginia	Forrester							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 20, 2015
47	N/G	Joyce	Statton							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 20, 2015
46	N/G	Andrew	McLachlan							N/G	Oct 20, 2015
45	N/G	Rose	McLachlan							N/G	Oct 20, 2015
44	Mr	Phil	Miller							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 20, 2015
43	Ms	Caroline	Wigley							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 20, 2015
42	Mr	Jordan	Hamden							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 20, 2015
41	N/G	Daniel	Lagnaz							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 20, 2015
40	N/G	Frances	Lagnaz							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 20, 2015
39	N/G	Adam	Flynn							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 20, 2015
38	N/G	Isidora	Knezevic							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 20, 2015
37	Ms	Alys	Granados							N/G	Oct 20, 2015
36	Mrs.	Elke	Swantje							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 20, 2015
35	Mrs.	Margaret	Carter							N/G	Oct 20, 2015
34	Dr	Brian	Willoughby							N/G	Oct 20, 2015
33	Mr.	James	MacPherson							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 20, 2015
32	Ms.	Nomi	Kaplan							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 20, 2015
31	Dr.	Gershon	Grove							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 20, 2015

#	Title	FirstName	Surname	Email	Address	Town/City	S/C/P	Region	Zip/PC	Commen	Date
30	N/G	Cathy	Baker	s.22(1) Personal and Confidential						<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 20, 2015
29	Ms	Shawn	Kirchner							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 20, 2015
28	Ms	Carrie	Hallat							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 20, 2015
27	Mr	Adrian	Langford							N/G	Oct 20, 2015
26	Mr.	Jason	Kerr							N/G	Oct 19, 2015
25	N/G	Valerie	Hunter							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 19, 2015
24	Ms	Victoria	Liu							N/G	Oct 19, 2015
23	Ms.	Linda	Ashton							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 19, 2015
22	Ms	Lorna	Alvaro							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 19, 2015
21	Ms	Janet	Spitzner							N/G	Oct 19, 2015
20	N/G	Robert S.	Reynolds							N/G	Oct 19, 2015
19	Mrs	Louise	Zizka							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 19, 2015
18	Mrs	Roxsane	Hilson							N/G	Oct 19, 2015
17	Mr	Ali	Tehrani							N/G	Oct 19, 2015
16	Mr	Derek	Paterson							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 19, 2015
15	Mr	Brian	Mumby							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 19, 2015
14	N/G	Jane	Aitken							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 19, 2015
13	Mr	Paul	McClelland							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 18, 2015
12	Mr.	Aaron	Lubell							N/G	Oct 18, 2015
11	Ms	Shana	Saper							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 18, 2015
10	N/G	Susan	Bryan							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 18, 2015

#	Title	FirstName	Surname	Email	Address	Town/City	S/C/P	Region	Zip/PC	Commen	Date
9	N/G	David	Fernandes	s.22(1) Personal and Confidential						<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 18, 2015
8	N/G	susan	butt							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 18, 2015
7	Mr	Greg	Neale							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 18, 2015
6	N/G	Margaret	Brown							N/G	Oct 18, 2015
5	Mrs	Elizabeth	Beckmann							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 17, 2015
4	N/G	ADRIENNE	BURTON							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 17, 2015
3	N/G	Vivian	Hollenberg							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 17, 2015
2	N/G	Christine	Gauthier							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 17, 2015
1	Mr.	Chris	Johnson							<a href="#">View</a>	Oct 16, 2015

\* N/C - field not collected by the author

\* N/G - not given by the signer

\* S/C/P - State, County or Province

\* PC - Post Code

\* View - view comment



## Appendix: All signatures comments

### 151 Heather Notman

[Back to signature list](#)

my name is heather notman and I live at 1766 W.15th  
it is getting impossible to park on the street many days.  
I AM A WIDOW AND HAVE SOME HEALTH PROBLEMS  
AND CANNOT PARK TOO FAR AWAY.  
THERE ARE MANY REASONS THAT WE DO NOT NEED  
ANY MORE DENSITY ON AN ALREADY VERY BUSY AREA!!!!!!!

### 148 Philip Chisholm

[Back to signature list](#)

Don't do it

### 145 Edward Horsey

[Back to signature list](#)

Please don't rob us of our sky and daylight.

### 143 J McEwan

[Back to signature list](#)

If the city approves this development, it won't be long before this neighbourhood becomes just as crowded, overbuilt, and ugly as the West End.

The Zoning system was created to prevent overcrowding. Please respect the system and put a stop to the proposed development.

### 141 Penny Dyer

[Back to signature list](#)

As well as overcrowding an already busy neighbourhood, we'll lose more sunlight. My apartment is on the groundfloor, facing south and my garden cannot continue to lose sun!!! At the very least, a 4 story building would work in this area. STOP TURNING SOUTH GRANVILLE INTO ANOTHER WEST END!!!!

### 140 Brenda M Knight

[Back to signature list](#)

This neighborhood has a cohesive spectrum of families and individuals who range in age, culture, orientation and adjustment to disabilities. It serves the community most efficiently and kindly and to change the intensity of demand on the roads, walking and park areas would result in a loss to those of us who live here and support each other. This very small neighborhood is a gem in Vancouver and it would be very sad to change the tone and capacity for smooth flowing interactions and function. The parking issue is very important but the sustainability of community is most significant for me and my family.

### 139 Robert Davidson

[Back to signature list](#)

Proposed building is much too wide and tall for this location, being so close to the other high and wide monolith in the same block. Neighbours to the north (over shaded for half the year) and south (loss of mountain views) will certainly be impacted negatively. The increased density will add to traffic congestion in the area, a stop light will be needed at 14th, the stop & go traffic will create more noise/air pollution and the flow of traffic on Burrard Street will be impeded. A 4-storey lowrise makes much more sense if this land is going to be built on. The developer would lose out on the sale of highly profitable view suites but the supply of new units would not be much different.

### 138 Ryan Grant

[Back to signature list](#)

As a longtime resident of the area, I don't want anymore rezoning in my neighborhood. Please don't allow this tower to

be built.

**137 Chloe Hudicourt**

[Back to signature list](#)

No to high rises!

**129 Andrew Forrest**

[Back to signature list](#)

There are mostly smaller buildings west of Fir and south of 12th. Please no more big buildings.

**127 Eve Landers**

[Back to signature list](#)

This is too large a building with too many occupants for this neighbourhood.

**118 Anne Kolodynski**

[Back to signature list](#)

DO NOT ignore the residents of this community in favour of big money investors and destroy yet another part of Vancouver with ugly, disgusting out of place residences!! Tired of being ignored in favour of the profit margin only a few benefit from! Vancouver is losing all of its character and fast becoming an ugly concrete jungle with no style.

**117 Colin Grady**

[Back to signature list](#)

Regardless of building configuration, the level of density must fit with existing site zoning and the local area plan.

**115 G Hadfield**

[Back to signature list](#)

I support low-rise condo/apt buildings, homes, and duplexes/townhomes. This is not a location for more high-rises in our community/neighbourhood, and would negatively affect the live-ability and reason we purchased here. We already have high density and some towers in the area. Keep further towers in already designated areas - Oakridge, Olympic Village, Canada Line at Marine, West End and on main transit hubs in Surrey, Burnaby, etc. Keep 'liveability' as a Vancouver priority - developers want profit, not community.

**114 Glenn Knowles**

[Back to signature list](#)

I strongly oppose this rezoning due to concerns about laneway access for traffic, parking from the laneway between 13th and 14th Avenues. Myself I have been involved in a car accident at the laneway at Burrard Street. At both ends of the laneway, there is not sufficient visibility for safe access, and speed of Burrard Street traffic further complicates an already bad situation. Greater density will add more vehicles to an already overly congested area.

**110 Gary Lyons**

[Back to signature list](#)

Thank you for organizing this petition. I am new to the neighborhood and the development would directly impact the enjoyment of my condo. It will effectively block all afternoon sun from my unit. The increased density would change the fabric of the area. It is not near a transit hub and does not fit in with the density plans for the city. I absolutely oppose it!!

**107 Esther Glotman**

[Back to signature list](#)

The building is not in keeping with the neighbourhood. Parking is already an issue. Many young children use the playground and more cars will only create more hazards for them.

**104 Esther Vitalis**

[Back to signature list](#)

We do not want a 12 story high-density tower in our neighborhood where the houses are either family homes or low rise

apartment buildings. We don't want developers 'creep' into our neighborhood. We already have enough parking problems here around.

**101 Azar Payvandi**

[Back to signature list](#)

We are adamantly against this proposal that will essentially lead to a significant densification in our neighbourhood, an area which has not been designed to handle a sudden increase in residents population.

**100 Paula Draghicescu**

[Back to signature list](#)

strongly oppose the proposed Rezoning Application at 1755 West 14th ave, vancouver

**95 Noel Genoway**

[Back to signature list](#)

The zoning of this high-density tower does not fit into the community. Parking will be an issue for all residents of the community. The tower zoning for this area does not fit in. Underground parking has to be part of any plan.

**93 martin Hollenberg**

[Back to signature list](#)

Lets not darken beautiful Vancouver with too many high rises

**92 Isobel Farrell**

[Back to signature list](#)

I am old enough to remember when they tore all of the houses down to build the present tower at 1755 W 15th. It was clear at that time that the City only allowed them to do that in return for saving the green space. This was the agreement. The Developer shouldn't be allowed out of this and the City shouldn't let them.

**90 Patricia Gibson**

[Back to signature list](#)

Parking is already an issue and there is no new parking for this building. In fact parking at the neighboring building will be reduced as part of the project.

**89 Mark Gibson Gibson**

[Back to signature list](#)

Neighbourhood of low rise homes is in jeopardy. The property is zoned for townhouses and this is how it should be used.

**87 shelley nissila**

[Back to signature list](#)

Clearly a decision for quantity over quality. not everyone wants to live in a neighbourhood full of towers

**86 Mark Klagenberg**

[Back to signature list](#)

Clearly a decision for quantity over quality. not everyone wants to live in a neighbourhood full of towers

**85 Catherine Solski**

[Back to signature list](#)

a more viable option would be to utilize the property in question for the building of townhouses as opposed to the high rise building. that would be more in keeping with the current height of properties and would keep density lower than with high rise.

**84 Lynn Johnson**

[Back to signature list](#)

I am strongly opposed to this tower.

**83 Lea (Albert) Johnson**

[Back to signature list](#)

Keep the promise not to put a high rise there and keep it green. Such a building will create hazardous conditions in the neighbourhood. Already our neighbourhood is a parking lot and this will make it worse. It will limit families and children to use the park and will increase vehicle emissions with more cars in the area and more people driving around looking for parking spaces. Increased densification is contrary to a greenest city: security problems in case of a natural or anthropogenic event most fire and police personnel do not live in Vancouver, water problems and food security with just in time delivery. In the city people need vehicles to do business not just go to work. Build a community garden instead: food security, participants work together to improve the quality of life, neighbours consider and resolve a broadening range of community issues, lower anxieties, community harvesting and preparing their foods, consuming more fruits and vegetables, sustainable, neighbourhood is healthier, more self-reliant and more purposeful.

**77 James Anderson**

[Back to signature list](#)

As shown above, we very strongly oppose the building of a high rise tower on W 14th Avenue, especially since it is planned to build it exactly opposite to where we live--taking away light from our living room (amongst other rooms), and we are also very concerned about the increased parking that will take place on our street.

**76 Susan Hall**

[Back to signature list](#)

I am not opposed to increased density but it should be done in a thoughtful way. When people are not crammed into spaces, tensions rise and this can destroy neighbourhoods. Please consider a low rise building.

**74 Willem Atsma**

[Back to signature list](#)

I am mostly concerned with the increased traffic in our area and this not having been sufficiently addressed.

**72 Andrew Hiscox**

[Back to signature list](#)

Lack of parking creates major impact on parking and traffic on a street that already generously shares with residents, workers at the care home and Granville St. merchants, visitors, park users and shoppers. High rise form creates a wall of towers that does little to integrate into the 'fine grain' of the streetscape and imposes itself on the lower forms of multiple housing across Burrard St., across 14th Ave. and behind on 13th Ave. The scale of development pays little respect to the existing neighbourliness of the neighbourhood.

**70 Francis Hart**

[Back to signature list](#)

Opposed to the initial density in early 2014 proposal from developer.

Insulted that the developer has removed the townhouses and re-labelled proposal as "lower density".

Yet another 12 story tower is not required or requested.

The City of Vancouver continues to fail local neighbourhood residents by not listening to density concerns, pushing forward with set agendas over said concerns.

If this were a cycling initiative, it would have been bulldozed through, without question or second thought!

**66 Marsha Udow**

[Back to signature list](#)

This will ruin the character of the neighbourhood to the west > It will decrease property values and will add increased

traffic, noise and parking issues -

**64 Kevin Karaloff**

[Back to signature list](#)

- 1.This development will result in a loss of property value for the homes that surround this property.
- 2.This proposal will see the destruction of a large green space that was created as a requirement of the permit used to build the current 12 storey high rise at this location. If developers are able to make concessions to obtain permits, knowing they can renege on them years later then the entire permitting process becomes a sham.
- 3.The neighbourhood is characterised by large 2-3 story single to multi-family homes. While some high rise units exist, these have been limited to not destroy the neighbourhood look and feel. Approval of this development application will result in 3 high rises in a very tight area, tipping the balance from a residential neighbourhood to a high rise "downtown core" area.
- 4.This new building will occupy the "transition zone" which exists between the current towers and the current 2-3 storey homes. Eliminating this transition zone will be in contravention to good planning practice, and City policies.
- 5.This proposal will result in zone creep and destroy this iconic Vancouver neighbourhood which has helped make this one of the worlds best cities.

**58 Robert Stanley**

[Back to signature list](#)

We are strongly opposed to this rezoning application. The proposed high rise tower is completely inappropriate to the character and context of our neighbourhood.

**56 Mary Portelance**

[Back to signature list](#)

Don't spoil the neighborhood by building another high-rise.

**54 Mary Ann Clark**

[Back to signature list](#)

The parking situation in this area is already maxed out. Not knowing the makeup or size of the suites it is hard to understand if the parking spots within the building are sufficient to accommodate both residents and guests. The developers explanation of parking spots is vague to say the least. A twelve storey building will darken the area. Was a shadow study done? A twelve storey building is the start of a slippery slope to turning this section of Burrard into another Cambie corridor.

**53 Sheila Wilson**

[Back to signature list](#)

Vancouver is already over developed with no consideration for existing infrastructure that is unable to support it.

**49 Dan Quon**

[Back to signature list](#)

The existing apt building is big enough. We don't need another high rise that adds more congestion to the neighbourhood.

**48 Virginia Forrester**

[Back to signature list](#)

our neighbourhood is already too dense and we can not accommodate the increase in density that the tower would create. Parking on the street is very limited and additional suites on the block will make the parking a nightmare. We do not need to destroy the neighbourhood with the addition of a tower.

**47 Joyce Statton**

[Back to signature list](#)

Too high, too little parking for neighbourhood, plus with small size of units the bldg will contribute nothing to increasing affordable family housing. The area already has lots of 1 & 2 bedroom rentals.

**44 Phil Miller**

[Back to signature list](#)

I don't want to look at a building from my balcony.

**43 Caroline Wigley**

[Back to signature list](#)

Don't like that green space is being removed.

**42 Jordan Hamden**

[Back to signature list](#)

A building of this structure is not suitable for our neighborhood. Not only does it not fit in with the currently buildings but, our streets are already flooded with congestion and this would add greatly to that. A building of this size simply is not sensible in this area.

**41 Daniel Lagnaz**

[Back to signature list](#)

The proposed tower will block sun & light to the park & residences on the 1700 block of W. 15th Ave.

**40 Frances Lagnaz**

[Back to signature list](#)

The proposed tower will block sun & light to the park & residences on the 1700 block of W. 15th Ave.

**39 Adam Flynn**

[Back to signature list](#)

Keep this neighbourhood quiet!!

**38 Isidora Knezevic**

[Back to signature list](#)

There's barely any parking space as is. Plus nobody wants to listen to construction directly next to them for months on months. This area is known to be QUIET so let's keep it that way.

**36 Elke Swantje**

[Back to signature list](#)

According to Mayor Gregor Robertson Vancouver is striving to be the "GREENEST CITY ON THE PLANET". How can it then be that we are taking green space away in a residential area, not to mention increased traffic on already congested streets?

The concessions made by the developer is simply window dressing, namely not to crowd in additional town houses on the existing pool area to the east of the property.

**33 James MacPherson**

[Back to signature list](#)

Proposed building will cause traffic problems and there is no separate entrance proposed for underground parking.

**32 Nomi Kaplan**

[Back to signature list](#)

My main concern is the destruction of our road.

As it is now, there is much difficulty for average-sized cars to navigate when there is more than one car moving, and others parked nearby. Tenants, in my opinion, must be given underground parking in the proposed building, included in their rent. There must also be visitor parking.

**31 Gershon Growe**

[Back to signature list](#)

it will change this residential neighborhood for the worse as far as livability, with the marked increase in population and

traffic density.

**30 Cathy Baker**

[Back to signature list](#)

This is not appropriate for this neighborhood

**29 Shawn Kirchner**

[Back to signature list](#)

I oppose another tower being built in this neighbourhood. This area consists of low rise apartment buildings and residential houses. Parking will be a nightmare

**28 Carrie Hallat**

[Back to signature list](#)

It is inappropriate to this neighbourhood, which consists mainly of residences and low rise apartment buildings. Parking is already at a premium and traffic has been increasing dramatically on our streets.

**25 Valerie Hunter**

[Back to signature list](#)

Density and parking are big concerns I have for this proposal. Presently I believe with the single building there are 172 (?) parking spaces available. This will go down to 149 for BOTH BUILDINGS.

**23 Linda Ashton**

[Back to signature list](#)

Another high-rise in this residential neighbourhood is one too many.

**22 Lorna Alvaro**

[Back to signature list](#)

Due to limited parking and a town home/low-rise neighbourhood feel, I do not believe another high-rise on this 1755 property is acceptable. The space is currently green and was supposed to remain that way. I thought our Mayor was in favour of the environment. Let's keep some green space.

**19 Louise Zizka**

[Back to signature list](#)

I agree completely with the view that such a development would be contrary to the low density principles applicable to our wonderful and mostly quiet neighbourhood, and I am totally opposed to it

**16 Derek Paterson**

[Back to signature list](#)

Why now ? and this community / area does not warrant or need another West End.

**15 Brian Mumby**

[Back to signature list](#)

Tower is too high and footprint is too large. If allowed the Tower should be on east side of the existing building and no higher than the neighborhood trees (7 or 8 stories). The project should have scrapped the tower and left the townhouses in as rentals for families - that would fit the nature of the neighborhood and there are no family rental facilities anywhere near - there's already lots of small unit rentals for singles or couples, but not families and the size of the proposed units are definitely not targeted toward families.

**14 Jane Aitken**

[Back to signature list](#)

The one bedroom units proposed in this building are far too small and will just amount to the building having a high turn over of residents. The neighbourhood cannot take anymore vehicles - the site lines exiting the lane both east and west are dangerous already with cars/vans parked so close. Why no 3 bedroom units???

**13 Paul McClelland**

[Back to signature list](#)

With Vanc Tennis Club construction on one side and 1755W14 on the other, out street and 1700's W 14th would be swamped and unliveable.

**11 Shana Saper**

[Back to signature list](#)

The rezoning for high rise "market rental" development will create more congestion in the area, destroy a flourishing green space, and create more too expensive rental units.

What we need is more affordable housing of all sorts, but definitely not luxury condos and more ugly high-risers that already clutter our cityscape.

**10 Susan Bryan**

[Back to signature list](#)

I STRONGLY APOPOSE THE BUILDING OF A 12 STORY TOWER IN OUR NEIGHBOURHOOD.

**9 David Fernandes**

[Back to signature list](#)

New to the neighbourhood. Very concerned about the potential change to it's appearance and density. Please stop this plan.

**8 susan butt**

[Back to signature list](#)

No Highrise is wanted

**7 Greg Neale**

[Back to signature list](#)

There are already high traffic and excess street parking issues in this area.  
95% of the residents of this area have already voiced opposition to the first version this development. The city government has an obligation to listen to the citizens that elected them and who it represents.

**5 Elizabeth Beckmann**

[Back to signature list](#)

Not appropriate for this neighbourhood  
, Big parking problem for all

**4 ADRIENNE BURTON**

[Back to signature list](#)

I STRENUOUSLY OBJECT TO THIS TALL TOWER WHICH WILL DARKEN OUR OPPOSITE AREA, CAUSE PARKING PLACES TO BE EVEN MORE FILLED (IF THAT POSSIBLE), AND OBLITERATE OUR MINI VIEW OF MOUNTAINS, PRESENT GARDEN AT 1755 WEST 15TH.  
I AM A HANDICAPPED SENIOR WHO MUST PARK CLOSE TO MY CORNER HOME; ALREADY THE SOUTH (NO LIMIT) SIDE IS DAILY FILLED WITH CARS WHOSE OWNERS LEAVE THEM, USUALLY TO GO DOWNTOWN. WHAT ABOUT THOSE 4-5 HUGE OLD TREES ON BURRARD AT 14TH?  
PLEASE DO NOT SAY THAT THE RENTAL TENANTS OF PROPOSAL WILL BICYCLE OR USE 'TO GO' CARS. THIS IS A DREAM.  
RENTAL BUILDINGS ARE NOT CHARACTERISTICALLY AS WELL CARED FOR (ELEVATORS/CORRIDORS) REGARDING CLEANLINESS ESPECIALLY.  
THIS WILL SHOCK YOU BUT A FEW NEAR NEIGHBOURS PLAN TO TIE THEMSELVES TO THE AFOREMENTIONED TREES IF EXCAVATION GOES AHEAD, CALLING THE PRESS.  
WHAT'S THIS ABOUT A GREEN VANCOUVER?  
THE ENERGY NEEDED FOR SUCH A LARGE STRUCTURE, BOTH IN AND OUT, IS SURELY NOT "GREEN".



PLEASE, PLEASE, PLEASE, LEAVE THE MID-WEST PORTION OF THE 1700 BLOCK AS AESTHETIC AS IT IS.  
THANK YOU.

**3 Vivian Hollenberg**

[Back to signature list](#)

Many hundreds of VOTERS in the neighbourhood are opposed to yet ANOTHER TOWER GOING UP. PLEASE STOP IT.

**2 Christine Gauthier**

[Back to signature list](#)

inappropriate to this neighbourhood

**1 Chris Johnson**

[Back to signature list](#)

This building is far too tall for our neighbourhood and provides too much density. In addition, a lack of parking and traffic are already big issues here. The location is not close to transit. Lastly, there is plenty of rental housing already available in our neighbourhood.