

Kennett, Bonnie

From: Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office
Sent: Tuesday, July 28, 2015 10:59 AM
To: Public Hearing
Subject: FW: I do not support the proposal for First Shaughnessy

s.22(1) Personal and Confidential

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, July 28, 2015 10:34 AM
To: Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office
Subject: I do not support the proposal for First Shaughnessy

Dear Mayor and Council,

I disagree and do not support the proposed new rules and regulations for First Shaughnessy. And I do not want Shaughnessy to be a special heritage conservation area. I understand that the Plan is set to protect buildings built before 1940, but I think the blanket proposal is unfair to the house owners and it is also impractical and uneconomical! Obviously, a building that is not allowed to be demolished will decrease property value and would make a future sale more difficult, and renovating an old house is a money pit. So I ask that Council consider implementing the non demolition clause on a case by case basis by evaluating the current condition of the property, and the design of the proposed new dwelling. If the proposed design is appropriate for the neighbourhood, demolition should be permitted. I feel the owner should have the option to rebuild which will uphold the standards of characteristics of 1st Shaughnessy neighbourhood.

Regards,
Vivian Xu

s.22(1) Personal and Confidential

Kennett, Bonnie

From: Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office
Sent: Tuesday, July 28, 2015 10:59 AM
To: Public Hearing
Subject: FW: I do not support the proposal for 1st Shaughnessy

From: Christopher Lee s.22(1) Personal and Confidential
Sent: Tuesday, July 28, 2015 10:45 AM
To: Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office
Subject: I do not support the proposal for 1st Shaughnessy

Dear Mayor and Council,

I disagree and do not support the proposed new rules and regulations for First Shaughnessy. And I do not want Shaughnessy to be a special heritage conservation area. I understand that the Plan is set to protect buildings built before 1940, but I think the blanket proposal is unfair to the house owners and it is also impractical and uneconomical! Obviously, a building that is not allowed to be demolished will decrease property value and would make a future sale more difficult, and renovating an old house is a money pit. So I ask that Council consider implementing the non demolition clause on a case by case basis by evaluating the current condition of the property, and the design of the proposed new dwelling. If the proposed design is appropriate for the neighborhood, demolition should be permitted. I feel the owner should have the option to rebuild which will uphold the standards of characteristics of 1st Shaughnessy neighborhood.

Regards,
Chris Lee

--
Christopher Lee

s.22(1) Personal and Confidential