From:

Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office

Sent:

Wednesday, July 15, 2015 3:28 PM

To:

Public Hearing

Subject:

FW: Current First Shaughnessy District Applications

-----Original Message----- 5.22(1) Personal and Confidential

From: Loy Leyland [mailto

Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2015 3:04 PM

To: Molaro, Anita: Greer, John

Cc: Affleck, George: Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office: Ballem, Penny: Ng. Louis

Subject: Current First Shaughnessy District Applications

Dear Anita.

I have listed below reasons why we are now requesting that Planning either issue DE permit approvals or issue refusals.

We can NOT revise our current applications to the 'new' rules. I would prefer to present our hardships to the B.O.V. and make an appeal.

For the last two years we have been instructed by Planning to either retain a house on a pre 1940 site, or apply for a new house on a post 1940 site or site without merit. We had followed all of these procedures in good faith.

Planning is acting unreasonably, disrespectful to the public and immoral by not instructing Council to 'grandfather' the applications which are currently in the City.

In all cases you are now recommending changes which will result in major hardships.

The following are my conclusions:

- 1.) Existing applications are compliant with current rules and regulations.
- 2.) Planning has taken an unreasonable amount of time to process these applications.
- 3.) Planning has purposely delayed and shelved processing of these applications in anticipation of changes which 'may' come in the future.
- 4.) Planning has used Advisory Design Panel and interest group opinions to dictate policy.
- 5.) Planning is recommending changes which were not made public and presented now to Council without sufficient time for professional evaluation and revisions.
- 6.) The proposed new regulations have many unresolved consequences, are punitive and do not respect the spirit of the current regulations.
- 7.) Planning has instructed applicants to follow specific directions and now is taking no responsibility for this and very lengthy delays and costs have and will occur.

Please give us refusals and cite the reasons regarding the following DEVELOPMENT PERMIT APPLICATIONS:

1.) 1263 Balfour DE418634

2.) 1837 W. 19th. DE419227

3.) 1390 Laurier DE418862

4.) 1341 Matthews DE419075

5.) 3688 Hudson DE418937

6.) 4033 Osler DE419114

Sincerely, Loy Leyland architect aibc

To whom it may concern

I disagree the Heritage Conservation Area Official Development Plan (HCA OOP) for First Shaughnessy.

I have owned my house, located in twenty years. The frame of this house has long been distorted despite my best efforts to prevent it from tilting.

I am getting old and planning to sell my shabby house and move to a smaller place (built after 1940).

From my point of view, it is a punishment from the city council to those who own a house built before 1940. I am the owner of this building and I pay decent property tax each year. Who is the Vancouver city council to decide the future of my property and the future of mine.

Thanks.

By the way, I am heading off to Taiwan to see my parents tonight. I will not be able to attend the public hearing dated on July 21, 2015 From the owner of the house Sophie Chen July 13, 2015

Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office Wednesday, July 15, 2015 5:25 PM Public Hearing FW: Heritage Action Plan From:

Sent:

To:

Subject:

s.22(1) Personal and Confidential

On Behalf Of Cora Wills

Sent: Wednesday, July 15, 2015 5:04 PM To: Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office

Subject: Heritage Action Plan

I **DO NOT** support the FC Heritage Action Plan.

Cora Wills

From:

From:

Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office Thursday, July 16, 2015 10:18 AM

Sent:

To:

Subject:

Public Hearing FW: Heritage Action Plan

s.22(1) Personal and Confidential

From: Chuck Wills [ma

Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2015 10:17 AM To: Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office

Subject: Fwd: Heritage Action Plan

I no longer support the FC Heritage Action Plan. Please disregard my previous email of support.

Thank you, Charles Wills.

From:

Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office

Sent:

Thursday, July 16, 2015 11:20 AM

To:

Public Hearing

Subject:

FW: Comment on HCA ODP

From: Peter Wong [mailto

Sent: Thursday, July 16, 2015 11:09 AM

To: Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office

Subject: Comment on HCA ODP

To: The Honourable Gregor Robertson and Members of the Vancouver City Council

Re. House at

We are the owner and resident of S22(1) Personal and Confidential We have lived in our home since since 1990s. As it is an old house, over the years we have looked forward to rebuild in order that we may get to enjoy the amenities of a new house. We love Vancouver and we want to rebuild our house in the spirit of this lovely city, which the Proposed By-Laws forbid. Thus we are not in favour of such a Heritage Conservation Area Official Development Plan that forbid First Shaughnessy owners aspiring to live in a rebuilt house.

Yours respectfully,

Peter and Jennifer Wong