

Tuerlings, Leslie

From: Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office
Sent: Friday, March 21, 2014 9:21 AM
To: Public Hearing
Subject: FW: LAPP

-----Original Message-----

From: Lance Burger s.22(1) Personal and Confidential
Sent: Friday, March 21, 2014 8:44 AM
To: Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office; s.22(1) Personal and Confidential
Subject: LAPP

Congratulations,

"For every complex problem, there is an answer that is clear, simple and wrong."

Social housing.....approving this plan.....

Regards

Lance Burger

s.22(1) Personal and Confidential



Tuerlings, Leslie

From: Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office
Sent: Friday, March 21, 2014 2:47 PM
To: Public Hearing
Subject: FW: Downtown Eastside Rejuvenation.

-----Original Message-----

From: s.22(1) Personal and Confidential
Sent: Friday, March 21, 2014 12:01 PM
To: Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office
Subject: Downtown Eastside Rejuvenation.

Do not destroy what is left of the historical character of the area.
Leave all designated Heritage Buildings standing.

Clean them up and use them!

Yours truly,
G. Manley

Tuerlings, Leslie

From: Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office
Sent: Monday, March 24, 2014 11:38 AM
To: Public Hearing
Subject: FW: DTES & Oakridge - Was Councillor Reimer misquoted?

From: Talayco s.22(1) Personal and Confidential
Sent: Sunday, March 23, 2014 1:40 PM
To: Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office
Subject: DTES & Oakridge - Was Councillor Reimer misquoted?

Mayor & Councillors:

The Vancouver Courier of March 19/2014 reports on Council's approval of the DTES and Oakridge mega-development plans. The relevant articles make reference to the DTES 2005 housing plan and the 2007 Oakridge policy statement, which are distinctly different from what's just been approved by Council.

On page A5 of this same March 19th Courier, Councillor Reimer is quoted as follows: "It's my turn to tell you what I am hostage of and that's a very strong, very deep overriding belief that people have an absolute right to plan a community plan for their own safe, healthy, affordable future regardless of their life circumstances, regardless of their income, regardless of where they live in the city."

There seems to be a disconnect between, on the one hand, Councillor Reimer's "deep overriding belief" in people's right to plan a community plan and, on the other hand, the development plans that Vision Vancouver councillors have now approved for the DTES and Oakridge.

Was Councillor Reimer misquoted?

We hope you can clarify our confusion.

Kelly & John Talayco, s.22(1) Personal and Confidential