

Hildebrandt, Tina

From: Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office
Sent: Monday, March 10, 2014 10:28 AM
To: Public Hearing
Subject: FW: Safety concerns

Categories: Purple Category

From: s.22(1) Personal and Confidential
Sent: Sunday, March 09, 2014 11:43 AM
To: Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office
Cc: rhaynes3
Subject: Safety concerns

I write this email from South America so it will be brief. I am most disappointed I will not be able to appear in person regarding the proposed rezoning application to accommodate the point grey "private" hospital.

I believe SAFETY and LIVEABILITY are cornerstones of our Councils platform. As tough as it was to accept, the bike lanes will be seen in future as visionary and I applaud your courage. Rezoning to accommodate the expansion of PG private hospital is very different and is in conflict with our goals of living in a safe neighborhood. I urge you to reject the rezoning application. It has taken the planning department 3 YEARS to try to fit this square peg into a round hole and there still are many safety concerns. The rezoning will also displace residents of two rental apartment buildings slated to be demolished in order to accommodate this large private venture.

I am sure you will see photos of just how bad the traffic is in the back lane....rezoning and expansion will only exacerbate the present situation we now face. Just before leaving on this trip, a very large truck parked on balsam (wrong side of the street) blocking my entrance as he could not get into the lane due to other delivery congestion. Our building is primarily composed of seniors and some are frail and afraid of using the back door due to safety concerns. We must respect their needs...especially the 3 seniors that are vision impaired and disabled.

I urge you to listen carefully to the speakers as the neighborhood is very passionate about this issue and the last thing we need is more negativity. Please keep in mind that this is NOT a case of "nimby" as we have 2 of these private hospitals within a 3 block radius.... We all recognize the need for more care facilities but not at the expense of our goals of safety which would forever destroy a residential neighbourhood.

Sincerely,
Rob Haynes

PLEASE NOTE: This email is sent from my cel so is very brief and to the point. If it is reads "too direct" ... just insert a few LOL's and relax!!!

Hildebrandt, Tina

From: Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office
Sent: Friday, March 07, 2014 10:29 AM
To: Public Hearing
Subject: FW: Public Hearing 2405 - 2445 Cornwall Avenue (Point Grey Private Hospital) on March 13, 2014

From: Heather Kellerhals s.22(1) Personal and Confidential
Sent: Friday, March 07, 2014 10:28 AM
To: Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office
Cc: Kellerhals Rolf
Subject: Re: Public Hearing 2405 - 2445 Cornwall Avenue (Point Grey Private Hospital) on March 13, 2014

Dear Mayor and Council,

As nearby residents on York Avenue we would like to make some comments on the proposed amendments to the Zoning and Development By-law. The existing private hospital is small enough that it does fit in with the surrounding residential neighbourhood. However, the proposal for a much larger structure sets an unfavourable precedent that may well encourage the push for further commercial, or higher residential development in the area. The height proposal is excessive for the surrounding houses and apartments. Particularly worrisome is the fact that this is already a high volume traffic area with an adjoining park that sees very high use in summer and significant use year round. The proposal will only increase the parking and access problem for many people. The traffic along Cornwall Avenue is also a problem. On two occasions while waiting for the bus across from the hospital we have seen "escaped" patients dashing into the street, followed by concerned staff.

As the present hospital fits in reasonably well with the surrounding neighbourhood, we see no reason why a much larger development that does not conform with existing zoning should be allowed.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this proposal,

Rolf and Heather Kellerhals

s.22(1) Personal and Confidential

Hildebrandt, Tina

From: Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office
Sent: Monday, March 03, 2014 2:33 PM
To: Public Hearing
Subject: FW: Notification - Public Hearing 2405-2445 Cornwall Avenue (Point Grey Private Hospital)

From: Rezoning Centre
Sent: Monday, March 03, 2014 12:47 PM
To: Karen Cunningham
Cc: Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office
Subject: RE: Notification - Public Hearing 2405-2445 Cornwall Avenue (Point Grey Private Hospital)

Hello Karen,

Thank you for sending your comments regarding 2405-2445 Cornwall Avenue (Point Grey Private Hospital) to the Rezoning Centre at the City of Vancouver.

As this application has been referred to Public Hearing, any comments are received directly by mayorandcouncil@vancouver.ca, rather than the Rezoning Centre. By way of this email, I am forwarding your comments to the Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office.

Regards,

Linda Gillan

City of Vancouver
Planning Department | Rezoning Centre
T 604.873.7038 | F 604.873.7060
rezoning@vancouver.ca

From: Karen Cunningham s.22(1) Personal and Confidential
Sent: February 20, 2014 4:54 PM
To: Rezoning Centre
Subject: Fwd: Notification - Public Hearing (February 13, 2014) - 2405-2445 Cornwall Avenue (Point Grey Private Hospital)

I dont want any expansion on the water front and I dont want to attend any more meetings where you try and persuade us - why do you keep on?

karen cunningham

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Rezoning Centre <rezoning@vancouver.ca>
Date: Thu, Feb 20, 2014 at 4:28 PM
Subject: Notification - Public Hearing (February 13, 2014) - 2405-2445 Cornwall Avenue (Point Grey Private Hospital)
To:

You are in receipt of this email as a person who has expressed interest in the Rezoning and Application for **2405-2445 Cornwall Avenue (Point Grey Private Hospital)**

February 22, 2014.

+ Council

G ROBINSON

re: Pt. Grey Hospital expansion.

It's about a year since I wrote you, objecting to this expansion. Since then, I am aware that in totality, development permit revenue to the City is a formidable player in the approval process. I wonder how much has been spent to date to push this initiative forward, and where that money comes from. I remind you that an unrelated inappropriate approval of re-development at 6th and Alma has a four unit townhouse still unsold, after six years on the market.

Our Board of Directors compares the size of this expansion, 170 beds, to that of the Richmond General Hospital. I went there to get an appreciation of that facility, which has a five story parkade adjacent, to accommodate facility traffic. The Pt. Grey proposal offers a handful of vehicle parking, by comparison. Neighbours must endure spillover parking on their streets, with each employee shift change.

At the latest public session, which presented a revised expansion plan, I spoke to a Coastal Health representative who seemed unaware the previous Pt. Grey expansion took over two years, during which the hospital was covered in a blue tarp. Beneath that tarp, it was business as usual for those in care, paying to endure two years of construction noise. Similarly, the current proposal will subject those in care to a similar bleak fate. Institutional living is depressing enough without doing so in a construction zone. The Pt. Grey expansion offers no improvement in quality of life for patients, the premise of expansion is more profit. The City is aware that Coastal Health must now acknowledge a duty to accommodate a "community living" setting in new development proposals for its residents as an antidote to greater institutionalization.

I remind you Grant, that two of the properties subject to the wrecking ball are zoned residential for a reason. The surrounding infrastructure is designed to service residential sites which presently cannot now adequately service the added requirements of the previous expansion. Large service trucks to the hospital cannot not continue west in the laneway and negotiate a direction change from Balsam to Larch Streets because of their size. Instead they must back up to do so, in a narrow laneway, to be frequented by considerable increases in bike traffic due to the new bike routes.

A precedent has occurred with the recent closure of Pt. Grey Road west of Macdonald Street. If the City deems that residential in perpetuity, so must it deem lower Pt. Grey Road residential in perpetuity. Previous City Councils have negotiated purchases of local waterfront properties for public parks to extend and further environmental benefit to all. The Pt. Grey expansion will benefit only the principals that will profit. Previous Councils have recognized the sanctity of existing zoning to designate it for what it is, a modest buffer between ocean, parks and residents. An expanded hospital will be the largest private property assembly on Pt. Grey Road. The City would better enforce existing complaints against the current hospital than endorse its expansion.

Sincerely,

G NALINKO

s.22(1) Personal and Confidential

Hildebrandt, Tina

From: Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office
Sent: Tuesday, February 25, 2014 9:53 AM
To: Public Hearing
Subject: FW: 2405-2445 Cornwall Avenue

From: Wright, Ian s.22(1) Personal and Confidential
Sent: Tuesday, February 25, 2014 9:30 AM
To: Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office
Subject: 2405-2445 Cornwall Avenue

I am opposed to the zoning and development bylaw changes requested by the owners of 2405-2445 Cornwall Avenue for the same reason that I am opposed to any changes in my area (or any other) where the sole reason for the requested changes is to increase the profit for the owner/owners. If owners are permitted changes to zoning or development bylaws for the sole motive that more profit is made by them, then this sets a very dangerous precedent. I might well like to increase the footprint and height of our residence as it would then be more valuable.

There may well be a good reason for some changes if, for instance, benefits to the community are realized or all affected property owners agree to the changes requested. Thus, I might agree with the proposal if the owners would set aside n. spaces for people who need care but cannot afford it.

Sincerely

Ian Wright

s.22(1) Personal and
Confidential