

Isfeld, Lori

From: Public Hearing
Sent: Monday, June 10, 2013 3:40 PM
To: Erich Herchen; Public Hearing
Subject: RE: 1396 Richards St.

Thank you for your comments.

All public comments submitted for the public hearing that are received not more than 15 minutes after the close of the speakers list for that public hearing will be distributed to members of Council for their consideration. The public comments must include the name of the writer.

In addition, these public comments will also be posted on the City's website (http://vancouver.ca/ctyclerk/councilmeetings/meeting_schedule.cfm). Please note that your contact information will be removed from the comments, with the exception of the writer's name. Comments received after the start of the public hearing should not exceed 1500 words.

Public comments submitted for the public hearing that are received more than 15 minutes after the close of the speakers list, will not be distributed until after Council has made a decision regarding the public hearing application and the related bylaw is enacted, if applicable.

For more information regarding Public Hearings, please visit vancouver.ca/publichearings.

Thank you.

City Clerk's Office
City of Vancouver
Phone: 604-829-4238
Email: publichearing@vancouver.ca
Website: vancouver.ca/publichearings

From: Erich Herchen s.22(1) Personal and Confidential
Sent: Friday, May 31, 2013 8:42 PM
To: Public Hearing
Subject: 1396 Richards St.

I live at 502 1238 Richards st. I just got the yellow card in the mail. I am very much in favor of this project. The present building at this site is an old warehouse. This new building would be bigger and more modern. I am proud of living in Vancouver when the city approves modern and functional buildings. This building will fill the needs of hundred of people. However, I strongly believe that the HEAT shelter in the neighborhood was very damaging and counter productive. I say yes to modern buildings for the future and no to slum like efforts.

Thank You

Erich

Isfeld, Lori

From: Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office
Sent: Tuesday, June 04, 2013 10:48 AM
To: s.22(1) Personal and Confidential
Subject: RE: Public Hearing for 1396 Richards Street

Thank you for your comments.

All public comments submitted for the public hearing that are received not more than 15 minutes after the close of the speakers list for that public hearing will be distributed to members of Council for their consideration. The public comments must include the name of the writer.

In addition, these public comments will also be posted on the City's website (http://vancouver.ca/ctyclerk/councilmeetings/meeting_schedule.cfm). Please note that your contact information will be removed from the comments, with the exception of the writer's name. Comments received after the start of the public hearing should not exceed 1500 words.

Public comments submitted for the public hearing that are received more than 15 minutes after the close of the speakers list, will not be distributed until after Council has made a decision regarding the public hearing application and the related bylaw is enacted, if applicable.

For more information regarding Public Hearings, please visit vancouver.ca/publichearings.

Thank you.

*Correspondence Group
City Clerk's Office
City of Vancouver
Email: mayorandcouncil@vancouver.ca*

From: Jerome Deis s.22(1) Personal and Confidential
Sent: Monday, June 03, 2013 10:10 PM
To: Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office
Cc: s.22(1) Personal and Confidential
Subject: Public Hearing for 1396 Richards Street

Good Day,

I don't understand why the City goes through this drawn out process of Public Hearing (expensive and time consuming for taxpayers, developers and the consumer when these properties are bought). This City government needs to be more proactive and rezone these sites to high density development prior to a developer making application – this would streamline the entire process. This happens too frequently to be efficient.

If the city (or the Mayor) is really concerned about affordable housing – what part is the City playing to help reduce the costs of housing?

Cutting the bureaucracy? Moving quickly on all development permits and building permits? All this costs the developers time and money and the consumer who buys the end product pays for it.

I fully support the development at 1396 Richards Street!

Please give my suggestions some thought – there is validity in them.

Thanks for reviewing my comments

Jerome Deis

s.22(1) Personal and
Confidential