

Hildebrandt, Tina

From: Ludwig, Nicole
Sent: Thursday, July 12, 2012 2:53 PM
To: Hildebrandt, Tina
Subject: FW: 3212 East Boulevard-Item 9 in the Public Hearing Agenda Reconvened tonight, July 12, 2012

From: Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office
Sent: Thursday, July 12, 2012 2:49 PM
To: David Cuan
Subject: RE: 3212 East Boulevard-Item 9 in the Public Hearing Agenda Reconvened tonight, July 12, 2012

Thank you for your comments.

All public comments submitted for the public hearing that are received not more than 15 minutes after the close of the speakers list for that public hearing will be distributed to members of Council for their consideration. The public comments must include the name of the writer.

In addition, these public comments will also be posted on the City's website (http://vancouver.ca/ctyclerk/councilmeetings/meeting_schedule.cfm).

Please note that your contact information will be removed from the comments, with the exception of the writer's name. Comments received after the start of the public hearing should not exceed 1500 words.

Public comments submitted for the public hearing that are received more than 15 minutes after the close of the speakers list, will not be distributed until after Council has made a decision regarding the public hearing application and the related bylaw is enacted, if applicable.

For more information regarding Public Hearings, please visit vancouver.ca/publichearings.

Thank you.

From: David Cuan s.22(1) Personal and Confidential
Sent: Thursday, July 12, 2012 2:38 PM
To: Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office
Subject: 3212 East Boulevard-Item 9 in the Public Hearing Agenda Reconvened tonight, July 12, 2012

Dear Mayor and Council,

On behalf of the Shaughnessy Heights Property Owners' Association, I write to oppose the proposed development of a four-plex under the Housing Demonstration Project Policy (HDPP) in the existing Single Family zoned 54' x130' site at 16th and East Boulevard. This type of housing is not new in the neighbourhood and the project should not be shepherded under the HDPP initiative.

If the proposal is approved, this will set a bad precedent for future redevelopments in the neighbourhood; The proposal neither complies with the HDPP goal of introducing new housing types to a neighbourhood nor with the Arbutus Ridge/Kerrisdale/Shaugnessy (ARKS) Community Vision which specifically rejected the four-plex as a new housing type (p.33 of the document).

Yours truly,
David Cuan
UJ RQC"Rt gukf gpv