

MEMORANDUM

April 5, 2012

то:	Mayor and Council
FROM:	Y. McNeill, Rezoning Planner, Current Planning
COPY TO:	Penny Ballem, City Manager Sadhu Johnston, Deputy City Manager David McLellan, Deputy City Manager Janice Mackenzie, Acting City Clerk Michael Flanigan, Director of Real Estate Services Jim De Hoop, Managing Director of Social Development
SUBJECT:	CD-1 Rezoning - 228-246 East Broadway and 180 Kingsway - staff responses to economic questions

This memorandum brings forward staff responses to questions, asked by Council during the hearing proceedings, concerning economic matters about the above rezoning. Planning has compiled the responses with input from Real Estate Services and Social Infrastructure - Housing Policy.

- 1. Confirm the percentage of land lift which created the Community Amenity contribution (CAC) and confirm the proposed CAC allocation.
 - The offered CAC of \$6,250,000 represents approximately 79% of the land lift captured in the rezoning. The proposed allocation of the CAC is \$4,500,000 to Arts/Culture and \$1,750,000 to affordable housing.
- 2. Comment on the impact of reducing the parking to reduce project costs, to reduce density and height.
 - The recent experience through the City's STIR (Short Term Incentives for rental)
 Program illustrate that parking reductions certainly help lower overall project costs
 and will facilitate an increase in inventory of lower priced units (with no parking).
 Lower parking requirements however would only marginally translate into savings that
 could manifest in a lower density form and tower height.
 - Any reduction in parking may reduce the level of excavation and shoring costs, but any savings (±\$40,000 per unit) would likely be passed along to consumers in support of a successful sales program (the developer's profit margin essentially remains the same) and by lower rents.

- There is a fine balance in reducing parking and selling condos. Many owners and investors will still want parking included in their investment to ensure their exit strategy is maximized and they remain competitive with product on the market for resale (that included parking). Most developers will agree to optimize parking relaxations, but only to a certain extent.
- With regard to rental housing, we have seen with STIR that lower construction costs with reduced parking do contribute to lower rents. Parking is often priced separately in a lease and its cost is directly reflected in the rent. The more units a building can offer without parking, the more affordable those units are.
- 3. Do we have data on whether increasing the density in an area improves the impacts affordability of the area? Provide info from recent rezonings, or STIR projects Did increasing the density lead to an increased level of affordability in these areas? Compare average selling prices (or rental rates) before and after?
 - The answer to this question on density and affordability can be framed in three ways:
 1) at the building or per-unit cost level, 2) at the neighbourhood level in terms of impact, and 3) at the community-wide level in terms of supply/demand.
 - 1 In terms of the building or per-unit costs, the City does have available data from specialized consultant studies that measure the affect of added density on the cost of producing new housing supply. In those studies, it is concluded that for example:
 - a. A 25% increase in density can reduce the per-unit cost of a wood-frame multi-residential unit by \$15,000.
 - b. A 58% increase in density can reduce the cost by \$24,000 per unit on woodframe construction and \$35,000 on concrete multi-residential units.
 - 2) We are not aware of any research indicating that the development of highdensity housing has any impact one way or the other on affordability in the surrounding area. One could, theoretically, look at either assessed value or sales data before and after a new high-density development went into an area to see if there were changes. However, the problem with this approach is that it is extremely difficult to isolate the cause of increases and decreases in value or price, as the broader economy (i.e. employment, immigration, mortgage rates) has a much greater impact on house prices than whether or not a new development occurs in a neighbourhood.
 - 3) However there is a broader community-wide issue that can impact affordability. For example, the City's share of Metro Vancouver's growth to 2041 is in the range of 50,000 to 75,000 new households (at least 113,000 new residents) — the impact of retaining low densities in areas that are otherwise planned for new growth, would have a detrimental impact on affordability by constraining new supply.
 - The rezoning and development of one site cannot impact affordability in an area. The supply of existing housing stock and the supply of new units through recent development (of which there are many in Mount Pleasant) all contribute to the state of housing affordability in the area. It is noteworthy that the average price per sq. ft.

for housing in Mount Pleasant is around \$600, regardless of housing type (detached house, townhouse or apartment). Increasing the supply of smaller units, such as apartments, inherently increases affordability.

- Although density alone does not create affordability, the City cannot improve affordability without new supply. Both market and non-market housing types need opportunities to increase supply with increased density.
- 4. Is there any data on the relationship between building height and affordability (i.e. affordable rental units), or on the relationship between building height and economic viability?
 - The best industry data and analysis, that staff have, was commissioned by Metro Vancouver in two reports authored by Dale McClanaghan:

1) "Strategies for Increasing the Supply of Rental Housing" (6 pages), and

2) "Financial and Development Incentives for Encouraging New Rental Housing Construction" (46 pages).

These reports are attached for Council's reference. The topic is very complex, and the conclusions summarized in the reports provide much clarity not only on the relationship between density, affordability, and development economics, but also on unit mix, type of construction (wood vs. concrete) and ability to finance.

• As further background data and analysis, also attached is the Coriolis Study:

3) "Purpose-Built Rental Housing - Economics of New Supply" (134 pages).

This report was commissioned by Social Infrastructure - Housing Policy. It addresses head on the "Economic Viability Gap" in achieving increased supply of purpose-built rental housing.

NG

Yardløy McNeill Rezoning Planner Current Planning

5.1 ATTACHMENT 1

Strategies for Increasing the Supply of Rental Housing



October 2009

Rental housing represents an important part of the housing continuum and plays a central role in responding to the housing needs of households with low to moderate incomes.

Metro Vancouver continues to face a chronic shortfall in the number of new rental housing units created each year. The on-going shortage in new rental housing supply means fewer choices for renter households and on-going affordability pressure.

The Need for a Rental Housing Supply Strategy

Access to decent and affordable housing is central to the economic and social well-being of individuals and families across Canada. For many families and individuals with low to moderate incomes, the choices are becoming increasingly more constrained. Over the past ten years, purpose-built rental housing starts across Metro Vancouver have accounted for between 3% and 5% of total housing starts. At the same time, renter households account for approximately 35% of all households across the region. This strategy sets out a range of potential actions to encourage increased investment in new rental housing production including different strategies and initiatives which can be adopted at the federal, provincial, regional and municipal level.



Understanding the Pressures

In recent years, there have been a limited number of new purpose-built rental housing units constructed across Metro Vancouver. This has created pressure for some municipalities as they work to find ways to meet the needs of families and individuals in their communities. The low level of new rental housing construction has also meant increased competition for available units and upward pressure on rents.

Having a sound rental housing system has important implications for housing affordability over the longer term. Nationally, there are more than 900,000 renter households in core housing need. These are households that are unable to find housing in their community at a rent level that they can afford without spending 30% or more of their income on their housing costs. Across Metro Vancouver, there are 79,360 renter households in core housing need, including 31,290 spending at least 50% of their income on their housing costs. These are households which face extreme affordability challenges and which are at increased risk of homelessness.

In preparing the Regional Affordable Housing Strategy which was adopted by the Metro Vancouver Board in November, 2007, the Metro Vancouver Housing Committee grew increasingly concerned about the low levels of new rental housing construction both in terms of the economic and social impact on the region. In particular, the Metro Vancouver region faces the following challenges:

- High housing costs for both ownership and rental housing: Housing costs for both ownership and rental housing across Metro Vancouver are among some of the highest in Canada. The most recent CMHC rental market data reported average rents of \$1,159 per month for a 2-bedroom apartment. To carry this rent a household needs an annual income more than \$46,000. This is equal to 130% of the median income for renter households across the region. The ownership market has also moved out of the reach of many renter households with only 13% of renter households having an annual income of \$80,000 or more.
- **High levels of housing need:** The Metro Vancouver region also has a high level of housing need. Based on the most recent Census data, approximately 27% of all renter households are in core housing need. These are households that are unable to find housing in their community at a price that they can afford with the resources they have available. The most recent data (October 1, 2009) published by BC Housing also show that there are more than 7,700 households region-wide who are on the waiting list for social housing.
- **On-going erosion in the supply of affordable units:** Between 1996 and 2006, the number of units which rent at or below \$750 per month decreased by almost 35,000 units. This translates into an annual loss of approximately 3,500 units per year and is the result of on-going price inflation, as well as the demolition and conversion of the older more affordable housing stock. The on-going pressure on rents as well as the inability to create replacement units at this point on the housing continuum has important implications for the region's ability to address housing affordability over the longer term.

- A limited inventory of affordable rental housing stock: The Metro Vancouver region also has a limited inventory of affordable rental housing stock with a significant proportion of this housing being produced and operated through the private market. Available data suggests that the existing inventory of social housing accounts for approximately one-fifth of the rental housing stock across the Metro Vancouver region and approximately 40% of the units which rent for \$750 per month and which are affordable to households with annual incomes of \$30,000 or less. The remaining inventory of affordable units can be found in the existing inventory of purpose-built rental housing stock with the creation of these units being the result of previous government policies and decisions.
- Limited levels of new rental housing construction: The limited levels of new rental housing construction also have important implications for affordability over the longer term. Region-wide there have been approximately 3,200 new purpose-built rental housing starts in the past five years. This translates into an average of 640 starts per year and represents less than 10% of the estimated demand. Furthermore, while alternative sources of supply such as rented single detached homes, rented condo stock and secondary suites have helped to meet some of the demand, these alternatives alone are not enough to fill the gap.

Responding to the Pressures

The low levels of new rental housing production, coupled with the on-going erosion of affordability in the existing purpose-built rental housing stock represents a serious issue for the region -one that has long-term consequences and a direct impact on affordability. In 2008 Metro Vancouver commissioned a study to look at the economics of new rental housing supply to identify ways to encourage increased private investment in new rental housing construction.

The study looked at different possible measures or incentives which could improve the competitiveness of rental housing construction relative to other investments and help to reduce the overall economic viability gap. In general, the study found that the most effective measures tended to be in the form of partnerships across different levels of government and included free land, capital grants or other types of financial incentives.

The study also noted that municipal actions in the form of increased density or reduced parking requirements can also help to bring down the cost of new rental housing construction and improve the overall economic viability for some projects. However, there is general agreement that a sustained response will require changes in the Federal tax treatment of rental housing in order to improve the overall economic viability and attractiveness of new rental housing as an investment. There is also agreement that targeted strategies are needed to respond to the specific needs of low income households.

Setting a Course of Action

It is clear that there are challenges within the current rental housing system. Within the Metro Vancouver context, the market has been dominated by strata condo developments with rental housing developments typically being less able to compete for available land. Similarly changes in the Federal tax treatment of rental housing introduced in the late 1970's and early 1980's created conditions where the financial returns generated from rental housing were less attractive when compared with other investments.

Increasing the supply of purpose-built rental housing including housing that is affordable to households with low to moderate incomes is an important objective for the region. Not only does this housing play an important role in meeting the on-going housing needs across the region but having an adequate supply response is also an important determinant of affordability. Furthermore, while rented condo apartment units, rented secondary suites and rented single detached homes can help to fill some of the gap, alone they are not enough to meet the full demand.

In moving forward, this strategy seeks to identify the types of actions or incentives which could be implemented across all levels of government to increase the supply of rental housing that is available. This includes targeted initiatives such as tax-based measures or incentives which can help to improve the overall economic viability of new rental housing construction in order to encourage increased private investment. This is particularly important in markets such as Metro Vancouver where there is an on-going shortage in the supply of rental housing as well as on-going affordability pressures.

The strategies and actions set out in this section recognize that all levels of government have a role to play in creating the conditions needed to increase the supply of rental housing. To this end, the strategies and actions which have been identified focus on improving the effectiveness of the existing rental housing system including the identification of potential strategies for working together with the private sector as well as non-profit housing sector to optimize the effectiveness of public investments in housing.

Federal Actions

- 1.1 Provide increased Federal funding to expand the supply of affordable housing including one-time funding to expand the supply of rental housing in municipalities and urban centres experiencing:
 - i. Significant growth pressure;
 - ii. On-going tight rental market conditions;
 - iii. A high proportion of renter households in core housing need; and
 - iv. A chronic shortfall in the supply of affordable rental housing stock.
- 1.2 Make changes in Federal taxation policies including the use of tax-based measures or incentives to encourage increased private investment in new rental housing construction.
- 1.3 Work with the Federation of Canadian Municipalities (FCM) to to develop and implement a national housing strategy.

Provincial Actions

- 2.1 Continue to provide matching Provincial housing contributions as new Federal housing funding is announced.
- 2.2 Continue to deliver Provincial rental assistance programs such as SAFER (Shelter Aid for Elderly Renters), RAP (Rental Assistance for Working Families) and homeless rent supplement assistance to help address affordability issues among households living in rental housing in the private market.
- 2.3 Ensure that the income and rent ceilings used in the Provincial rental assistance programs are up-dated on a regular basis to take into account inflation and to better reflect local market conditions and housing needs.
- 2.4 Ensure that Provincial shelter allowances provided through income assistance as well as minimum wage rates are up-dated on a regular basis to reflect the cost of living and to better reflect local market conditions.
- 2.5 Ensure that the new Provincial HST initiative does not adversely impact housing affordability or act as a further barrier to new rental housing construction.

Regional Actions

- 3.1 Continue to support the implementation of the actions set out in the Regional Affordable Housing including establishing specific housing-related policies in the updated Regional Growth Strategy.
- 3.2 Continue to provide leadership at the regional level including the identification of opportunities to work in a coordinated way with other levels of government.
- 3.3 Identify opportunities or incentives for increasing the supply of affordable housing including:
 - i. Engaging in partnerships with other levels of government;
 - ii. Waiving regional development cost charges; and,
 - iii. Expanding the supply of affordable housing through intensifying the use of existing MVHC properties.

Municipal Actions

- 4.1 Continue to build support for affordable housing through the development of local Housing Action Plans and through engaging in partnerships with other levels of government as well as the non-profit and co-op housing sectors.
- 4.2 Explore incentives for reducing the overall cost of new rental housing construction including providing on-going flexibility in planning and zoning requirements such as:
 - i. Making use of density bonus provisions; and,
 - ii. Allowing for a reduction in parking requirements where feasible.
- 4.3 Explore the use of planning tools or measures which can provide a higher level of certainty or predictability for multi-unit residential developments.
- 4.4 Adopt strategies to encourage secondary suites, coach houses, laneway housing as well as other actions which can help to add to the overall supply of rental housing.

Moving Forward

Nationally approximately 3 in 10 households are renter households. Therefore, not only does a healthy rental housing sector play an important role in ensuring that the full continuum of housing choices is available to Canadians but rental housing also plays an important role in meeting the needs of households in different economic, social and life cycle stages. A healthy rental housing sector also plays an important role in contributing to local and regional economies as well as Canada's overall economic performance. This is accomplished through providing the housing needed to attract and retain workers to support regional and local economies as well as through direct job creation. Regionally, industry experts estimate that each housing start adds approximately 3 new jobs. Furthermore, at the time of the last Census, it was estimated that employment in construction and related trades accounted for approximately 60,000 jobs across the region. Taking these factors into consideration, it is clear that the social and economic contributions of rental housing are very significant.

In looking at some of the challenges in the current rental housing market, it is clear that there is the need for innovative partnerships at all levels of government as well as partnerships with the private and non-profit sectors. The directions and actions set out in this Strategy focus on possible measures and incentives for encouraging increased investment in new rental housing construction as well as targeted strategies for meeting the needs of households at the low end. In keeping with the general approach adopted by the Metro Vancouver Housing Committee, the proposed strategy focuses on potential opportunities to work together with other levels of government as well as other key partners to address gaps in the existing continuum of housing choices as well as to complement and support the actions of others.

Financial and Development Incentives for Encouraging New Rental Housing Construction

Presented To:

Metro Vancouver Policy and Planning Department 4330 Kingsway, Burnaby, BC, V5H 4G8

Contact:

Dale McClanaghan McClanaghan & Associates 4150 West 14th Ave Vancouver, B.C. V6R 2X5 Phone: (604) 644-9844 Email: dale_mcclan@telus.net

Introduction

Metro Vancouver's rental housing market faces significant pressure both in terms of supply and affordability. More than 3 in 10 households across Metro Vancouver are renters. A healthy rental housing sector is important to the region's long term sustainability objectives. This study was commissioned by Metro Vancouver to gain a better understanding of the financial and development incentives that could be applied to encourage increased private investment in rental housing construction.

The analysis set out in this report looks at different approaches which can be adopted across different levels of government to encourage increased investment in new rental housing construction. This report also establishes important baseline data for engaging other levels of government as well as industry representatives in exploring potential solutions.

This report is divided into a number of different sections:

- Section 1: Provides an overview of the current context and the importance of rental housing to the social and economic development of the region. This section also provides an overview of the current pressures and some of the solutions which have been proposed by industry representatives.
- Section 2: Outlines the research approach adopted including a discussion of the methodology and underlying assumptions used in evaluating the different measures identified. In looking at the different alternatives, the analysis focused on the extent to which each of the different measures contributed to a reduction in the overall economic viability gap for new rental housing construction as well as the extent to which each of the measures contributed to an improvement in the overall return on equity invested.
- Section 3: Provides an overview of the different financial and development incentives modeled. The measures which were examined included actions which could be taken across all levels of government. Lessons learned from other jurisdictions have also been included in this section including the U.S-based experience under the Low Income Housing Tax Credit and the more recent experience of the Australian government. Fourteen different measures were tested in this section and evaluated in terms of their contribution to reducing the overall economic viability gap for new rental housing as well as their contribution toward an improvement in the return on equity.
- Section 4: Provides a summary of the key findings and outlines a number of possible next steps.

The Current Context

The Importance of a Healthy Rental Housing Sector

Rental housing represents an important part of the social infrastructure in communities across Canada. Rental housing provides choice for households that do not have the resources needed to gain access to the ownership market and is an important alternative for households who are limited in the choices that they can make because of low income.

Key groups within society who frequently rely on rental housing include young families just starting out, single person households who are unable to carry the cost of ownership on their own, young adults leaving home for the first time and who are just starting on their housing careers, as well as new immigrant families and other households who are in transition. Seniors living alone as well as seniors living on a fixed income also represent an important segment of the rental housing market. These groups depend on a healthy rental housing system – one which provides both affordability and choice.

Recognizing the important role that access to stable affordable housing plays in supporting vibrant and complete communities and in promoting a high quality of life for the region's residents, the Regional Affordable Housing Strategy was adopted by the Metro Vancouver Board in November 2007. Key goals in the Regional Affordable Housing Strategy include identifying opportunities for increasing the supply and diversity of modest cost housing at key points along the housing continuum as well as strategies targeted specifically to meeting the needs of low income renters.

In moving forward on the implementation of the Regional Affordable Housing Strategy, a central priority for the Metro Vancouver Housing Committee in 2009 is to work with the development and finance sectors as well as other levels of government to identify potential fiscal tools and incentives for encouraging new rental housing construction.

The Economic and Social Benefits of Rental Housing

Rental housing also represents an important community asset for meeting the long-term housing needs of the Region's citizens. Not only does a healthy rental housing system ensure that there is adequate choice for households with low and low to moderate incomes but a healthy rental housing system also plays an important role in ensuring that there is the full range of housing choices available to meet the needs of households in different economic, social and life cycle stages.

Ensuring that appropriate strategies are in place to meet future housing demand including an adequate supply of housing (both rental and ownership) has been recognized as a key element in helping to reduce some of the current housing pressures across the region and in terms of helping to secure affordability over the longer term. In particular, Metro Vancouver is recognized as having some of the highest housing costs in Canada (both ownership and rental). Not only do high housing costs have a negative effect on the region's ability to attract and retain workers needed to support the local economy but high housing costs can also consume a significant percentage of a household's disposable income.

For households falling at the lower end of the housing and income scale, the high cost of housing can pose even greater challenges with an increasing number of households with low and low to moderate incomes being unable to find housing in their community at a price that they can afford without spending a significant proportion of their income on their housing costs. For some households, this can result in increased economic and financial vulnerability including an increased risk of homelessness.

There are also important economic benefits of rental housing both in terms of job creation and in terms of the contribution to the regional, provincial and national economy. Expenditures on new residential construction contribute to Canada's overall economic performance and GDP (gross domestic product). Housing construction is also an important economic and employment generator within the region with industry representatives suggesting that each housing start adds approximately three new jobs to the local and regional economy.

A healthy construction sector also has important direct and indirect benefits for the regional and Provincial economy. At the time of the last Census, employment in construction and related trades was estimated to account for approximately 60,000 jobs region-wide. This represents approximately 5% of the region's workforce. The Census also showed that approximately half of all workers engaged in construction-related employment across BC were living in the Metro Vancouver region.

Rental Housing Starts

Figure 1 shows the total housing starts across Metro Vancouver from 1990 to 2008. As shown in Figure 1, rental housing starts have continued to represent a small percentage of the total number of housing starts. Based on the data available, it is estimated that there have been approximately 10,800 new rental housing starts across the region in the last 10 years representing an average of 1,000 units per year or between 3% and 5% of total housing starts.

While rental housing was once seen as a critical element of the Canadian housing continuum and investment in rental housing was a preferred asset class for individual and institutional investors, the data shows that the current mix of housing production has been dominated by ownership scenarios¹. Furthermore, it is likely that the rental units which have been constructed in recent years are more likely to be targeted to 'high end' renters with the project economics for rental that is affordable to households with low and low to moderate incomes generally being more problematic to make work.



FIGURE 1:

Source: CMHC, Canadian Housing Observer, 2008-up-dated information, CMHC Housing Now, January 2009.

On-going Tight Rental Market Conditions

In a high cost and fast growing housing market such as Metro Vancouver, the rental segment on the housing continuum is under pressure in two ways. First, there continues to be strong demand for rental housing as the Metro Vancouver region continues to grow and attract new households for across the income spectrum. Secondly, there continues to be limited construction of new rental housing. This has created a chronic shortage in rental housing and upward pressure on rents. Figure 2 shows the average vacancy rates in the purpose-built rental housing stock across the region. Similarly, Figure 3 shows average rents for 1 bedroom, 2-bedroom and 3-bedroom units across the region including changes which have take place over time.

¹ Some industry analysts have observed that during the early 1970's (prior to some of the changes in Federal taxation policy) rental housing starts significantly above the levels reported today In the early 1970's nationally rental housing starts averaged 60,000 starts per year. However, by the mid to late 1990's this had dropped to an average of only 7,500 nationally (Lampert, 1999, 2).

As shown in Figure 2, the vacancy rates for the Metro Vancouver region in the purpose-built rental housing stock have continued to fall below 2.5% - the levels considered to be representative of a healthy rental market –one which provides consumers with a reasonable degree of choice and investors with a reasonable return on investment.



FIGURE 2:

Figure 3 also shows the impact that the tight rental market conditions have had on average rents across the region. As shown in Figure 3, average rents across the different unit sizes and types have increased by 29% or more in the last 10 years.



FIGURE 3:

Source: CMHC, Canadian Housing Observer and CMHC Rental Market Report

Source: CMHC, Canadian Housing Observer and CMHC Rental Market Report

Low Income Demand

The data in Figure 4 also shows that the market is not necessarily effective at matching demand with supply. As shown in Figure 4, only a small percentage of households with incomes falling at the lower end of the income spectrum have been successful in finding housing that rents at a level that is affordable based on the resources that they have available with the demand for units falling at the lower end of the rent scale exceeding the supply of units available by a factor of more than 2:1.



FIGURE 4

Source: Statistics Canada, custom data, 2006 Census.

While it is clear that targeted strategies are needed to address some of the affordability challenges which have been identified, industry representatives will also argue that ensuring that there are strategies in place to increase the supply of rental housing is an important determinant of affordability over the longer term. In addition, most industry representatives will suggest that changes in Federal taxation policies introduced in the late 1970's and early 1980's have had a dampening effect on new rental housing it is unlikely that there will be significant increases in the supply of new purpose-built rental housing.

Changes in Federal Taxation Policies

The following provides an overview of some of the changes in Federal taxation policies which industry representatives have identified:

- Changes in depreciation rates and CCA provisions for rental housing;
- Changes in taxation rates for rental housing for income tax purposes;
- Elimination of GST for new rental housing construction;
- Access to GST input tax credits for rental operation;
- Changes in the deductibility of soft costs;
- Limitations on the transferability and deductibility of rental losses;
- Deferral of capital gains or capital gains roll-over provisions.

Each of these different measures is discussed in more detail below. In addition, the following section begins to look more closely at the financial impact of the changes which have been identified.

In evaluating the potential impact of each of these different measures, it is important to consider whether the measure will contribute to an improvement in the overall economic viability of new rental housing construction or whether the measure is more likely to contribute to improved returns for existing rental housing operators. For example, some of the measures such as changes in the CCA provisions on their own, without any other changes, will result in a better return for existing building owners but would have no impact in creating the conditions needed to increase the supply.

Changes in CCA Provisions and Depreciation Rates for Rental Housing

The capital cost allowance is the depreciation rate that is allowed on fixed assets over the life of that asset. Under current taxation policies, CCA deductions allow depreciation to be treated as an expense for income tax purposes. Different depreciation methods or capital cost allowances can affect the timing of the expense recognition. Changes in the method of calculating the capital cost allowance of the rate of depreciation simply change the timing of the taxes payable. While depreciation rates have varied over time, the current depreciation rate is set at 4%. In addition, the Federal government has introduced a 'half year rule' which restricts the amount of depreciation that can be claimed in the first year of operation to 2%.

Changes in Taxation Rates for Rental Housing for Income Tax Purposes

Under current taxation rules, to qualify for a lower taxation rate, a business must employ more than five full-time employees. This means that many smaller landlords and building operators are taxed at a higher rate. The distinction is between whether you are an active or passive investor where small landlords are treated as a passive investor and therefore taxed at a higher rate. A reduction in corporate taxes would make the after tax return for rental housing more attractive. Similarly, eligibility for a small business deduction or the treatment of rental housing as active income would make rental housing more favourable as an investment and could attract more small investors to the sector.

Elimination of GST on New Rental Housing Construction

While the Federal government has reduced the effective rate of GST on new rental housing to be aligned with the GST requirements for home ownership, industry representatives have asked that the Federal government eliminate GST for rental housing. A decision to eliminate the GST for new rental housing would help to bring down the cost of construction and result in lower costs per unit. The effect of this change is modeled at a later point in this report.

Access to GST Input Tax Credits for Rental Operations

Residential rents do not include GST. As a result, building owners and operators of rental housing do not have the option of deducting the GST paid for building inputs from the GST that is collected. Furthermore, it should be noted that in many ways the GST treatment of rental housing operations is different from commercial rental and other business arrangements. As a result, unlike other small business owners and operators, rental landlords are unable to recover the GST that they pay for business inputs.

Changes in the Deductibility of Soft Costs

Soft costs are expenditures which are incurred in the development of a new rental project. Allowable soft costs include landscaping, financing fees, property taxes, marketing and promotion expenses as well as legal fees. In the late 1970's and early 1980's the Federal government introduced changes which restricted the types of soft cost deductions allowed. Under current taxation rules, investors are only allowed to capitalize soft costs rather than deduct them. A number of investors have argued that by allowing for the deductibility of soft costs, it would help to make new rental housing construction more viable.

Limitations on the Transferability and Deductibility of Losses

Companies with five or more full-time employees can deduct rental losses against other income. However, these same provisions do not apply to individual building owners. Earlier programs also allowed investors to pool rental losses. However, tax reforms in the early 1970's eliminated this option. Exploring opportunities to allow for greater transferability or deductibility of losses would be beneficial for new rental housing which frequently operates at a loss in the early years.

The changes in Federal taxation policy means losses incurred in the early years of a project cannot be applied to anything other than rental income. By restricting the ability to only deduct rental losses from rental income, the tax shield effect of rental housing is significantly diminished. Under the current provisions, the potential write-off of losses is limited to periods where the building is generating a positive income. By allowing for depreciation expenses as well as other expenses to be transferred and deducted against other income, it would have the effect of improving the after tax return on rental housing in the early years of a building's life. These types of measures would also allow the investor or owner to apply the expense (net loss) or "tax shield" to reduce income from other sources which is taxed at the higher marginal tax rate, thereby making it more attractive to an investor.

The introduction of these types of measures would also help to address losses which are frequently incurred during the early years of a building's operations. The deductibility of business losses or the "flow through of losses" to other business income or high income individuals is a topic of analysis and discussion within the tax policy literature. However, in looking at the Canadian experience in using tax-based programs as well as the experience in other jurisdictions, these types of mechanisms may warrant further consideration.

Deferral or Roll-over of Capital Gains Requirements

In the early 1970's, the Federal government introduced significant changes to the Income Tax Act which made rental properties subject to the payment of a capital gains tax at the time of sale. Industry representatives have argued that the introduction of this tax has disadvantaged rental housing relative to other investments which are not subject to the same measures. The limitations arising from the introduction of capital gains provisions has also limited the potential sale and reinvestment in rental housing assets. As a result, industry representatives have asked that the Federal government consider changes which would allow for the deferral or rollover of capital gains for rental housing provided the funds are reinvested in a similar asset type within a specified time frame. This type of approach is similar to the approach which has been adopted in the U.S.

Looking Forward...

To today's observer, the stock of multi-unit rental housing in Canada is largely composed of buildings that are 35 to 60 years old. These buildings are owned and operated by a wide variety of investors including individual building owners/operators, holding companies, pension funds and REITs (real estate investment trusts). The current inventory of purpose-built rental housing is a maturing asset class where one of the central issues from an owner/operator or investor perspective is the need to maintain a healthy after-tax cash flow to allow for the necessary up-keep and periodic replacement of aging systems.

To some extent, rental housing activity in Canada can be divided into two eras -pre-1980 which saw significant rental supply investment nation-wide and post -1980 which saw a gradual decline in purpose-built rental housing construction. Figure 5 below shows that approximately two-thirds of all renter households across Metro Vancouver (189,845) live in apartment structures (low rise and high rise units).

Of the inventory of units approximately 63 per cent were constructed prior to 1980. These units typically include the purpose-built rental housing stock, rented condo apartment units, as well as social housing units constructed under different government supply programs. The remaining 37 per cent constructed between 1980 and 2006 will include a larger percentage of rented condo apartment units.



Source: Census data, 2006

Examination of the Possible Measures

Using a pro-forma based model, this report determines the "economic viability gap" for new rental housing construction (wood frame and concrete) and explores the potential use of different possible measures or incentives to close the gap. In undertaking the analysis, the focus was on:

- Quantifying the gap between the project economics of today's development realities and the attendant sources of capital funding available;
- Modeling various factors which can affect the economics of new rental housing construction;
- Identifying the threshold rate of return on investment required to stimulate the creation of new rental supply; and,
- Modeling different potential incentive structures to better understand their impact on the desired outcomes.

In total, 14 different measures were modeled including:

- Elimination of GST on new rental housing
- A 2% reduction in interim construction financing costs
- A one-time capital grant (\$50,000 per unit)
- Waving development cost charges
- A 50% reduction in property taxes
- A 1% reduction in mortgage interest
- A reduction in mortgage insurance premiums
- An increase in rental revenue (approximately \$250 per unit per month)
- Free land
- A 1% increase in CCA rates
- Changes in capital gains requirements including rollover provisions
- Taxation at small business rates
- Transferability of losses against other income
- Deductibility of soft costs.

The research also looked at the potential contribution that individual municipal incentives could make including a 25% density bonus as well as a 50% reduction in parking requirements.

Evaluating the Alternatives

In evaluating the different measures, the following logic was applied:

- 1. Determine the capital cost of a typical market rental building of an appropriate scale for both concrete and wood frame construction.
- 2. Analyze the various components of the operating budget for each of the buildings to determine an appropriate market rent and a reasonable estimate of annual operating expenses.
- 3. Determine the *net operating income² (NOI)* for each of the hypothetical developments.
- 4. Determine the amount of mortgage financing available based on standard underwriting criteria. The difference between the amount of mortgage financing available and the estimated capital cost represents the required equity contribution.
- 5. Calculate the after tax net cash flow of the building and determine the cash-on-cash return on equity invested³.

The use of the net operating income (NOI) is an important measure of financial performance as it effectively represents the net cash flow from operations. The calculation of the net operating income is also essential for calculating the cash-on-cash pre-tax rate of return on capital invested or return on investment (ROI).

The return on investment (ROI), in turn, provides the best measure for comparing investment decisions across different asset classes (stocks, bonds, real estate, fine art...). Therefore, using the ROI as a measure of financial return, it is possible to establish a standardized approach which allows for the different effects of financial structure, interest costs, and depreciation and taxation provisions to be understood.

The use of the rate of return on investment also allows for comparisons to be made across different types of real estate assets both in terms of gaining a better understanding of the potential impact that different measures have on the overall economic viability of a project and in reaching the threshold rate of return required to prompt investment in this asset class.

² The net operating income is derived by taking the revenue less the operating expenses before the debt payment.

³ The cash-on-cash return on investment is calculated by taking the cash flow over the equity required.

Determining an Appropriate Rate of Return on Investment

There are two measures of profitability or return on investment for rental buildings; the "cap rate" which is derived by taking the net operating income divided by the purchase price of a building; and, the rate of return on equity which is derived by taking the amount of equity invested in the building divided by the net after-tax cash flow.

The Cap Rate

The cap rate is a short-hand used in the industry to compare the operating cash flow (pretax) to the building price. The calculation of the cap rate allows for easy comparisons across buildings or investment decisions without the complexity of income tax scenarios which vary widely by investor. For the purposes of this study, a cap rate of 4.5% is used as the threshold which represents a reasonable rate of return for new rental product. This rate of return is consistent with the level of return expected from buildings which are located in established neighbourhoods and which are in good condition. The threshold for a new rental building under the scenarios modeled suggests that a long term rate of return or cap rate should likely fall within the range of 5 % to 6% after adjusting for tax treatment arising from the various provisions modeled.

The Return on Equity

The return on equity is the other measure which is important. The return on equity takes into consideration both the financial structure (e.g. the amount of debt) as well as income tax considerations. The return on equity is sensitive to changes in the external operating environment including interest rates, mortgage underwriting criteria as well as changes in government policies. The return on equity is also the measure used to determine the point at which an investment decision is considered viable.

For the purposes of this study, in addition to modeling the pre-tax cash items, the study also examined the effects of policy levers that are "below the NOI line" but which can influence rental investment decisions. In fact these measures are equally important, but unfortunately inherently more complicated because they encompass the interaction between financial structure, lending practices, taxation policy and the net after-tax effects of different regulatory policies. Changes in Federal taxation measures fall within this category.

To gain a better understanding of the alternatives available for encouraging new rental housing construction, this report examined different financial, operating and development incentives. In some cases, the types of incentives modeled had a direct impact on the *net operating income* (pre-tax) while in other cases the incentives that were modeled were "below the NOI line." For these measures, the estimated return was adjusted to reflect the pre-tax rate of return at the NOI level. This approached allowed for comparisons to be made across the different measures by creating a standardized measure to allow for the potential reduction in the economic viability gap for new rental housing to be calculated and for the potential improvement in the return on equity to be measured.

Establishing the Base Case Scenarios

To "test" the potential impact of each measure, a base case scenario for both wood frame and concrete construction was established. To validate the capital cost assumptions, the base case scenarios were compared with the information presented at the UDI workshop on rental housing held on March 3, 2009. This comparison helped to ensure that there was a reasonable degree of alignment across the major variables and cost categories. The seminar materials were also used to validate the mortgage underwriting criteria used by CMHC as well as the operating cost scenarios used in this study.

Based Case Scenario -Concrete Development

Table 1, on the following page shows the base case scenario for a 171 unit concrete development. The proposed development includes a mix of 1-bedroom and 2-bedroom units which rent at between \$1,380 and \$1,760 per month. These rents are affordable to households with incomes which fall between 100 per cent and 125 per cent of the median income for the region. The estimated capital cost is \$58.6 million or an average of \$342,881 per unit. Under the scenario that was modeled the equity required for this development was equal to \$30.4 million and the economic viability gap was estimated to be \$2.5 million. An economic viability gap of \$2.5 million translates into a shortfall of approximately \$14,620 per unit and an estimated return on equity of 1.68%.

Base Case Scenario – 171 units concrete develo	opment
Description	171 units
Unit Mix	1-bedroom / 2-bedroom
Rental Revenue	\$1,380 (1-bedroom units) \$1,760 (2-bedroom units)
Estimated Construction Cost	\$58.6 million
Estimated Cost Per Unit	\$342,881
Equity Required	\$30.4 million
Expected Return on Equity	10%
Economic Viability Gap	\$2.5 million (annual)
Yield on Equity	1.68% (gap 8.32%)

TABLE 1:

Source: Calculated, pro-forma based analysis (January 2009)

Table 2 shows the base case scenario for an 80 units wood frame development. This scenario uses the same rent levels as the previous scenario (\$1,380 for a 1-bedroom unit and \$1,760 for a 2-bedroom unit). The estimated capital cost is \$20.6 million or an average of \$257,221 per unit. The equity required is \$6.05 million and the economic viability gap is equal to \$343,622 per year. This translates into a gap of approximately \$4,295 per unit. The estimated return on equity is approximately 4.32%.

Base Case Scenario – 80 units wood fi	rame development
Description	80 units
Unit Mix	1-bedroom / 2-bedroom
Rental Revenue	\$1,380 (1-bedroom units) \$1,760 (2-bedroom units)
Estimated Construction Cost	\$20.6 million
Estimated Cost Per Unit	\$257,221
Equity Required	\$6.05 million
Expected Return on Equity	10%
Economic Viability Gap	\$343,622(annual)
Yield on Equity	4.32% (gap 5.68%)

TABLE 2:

Source: Calculated, pro-forma based analysis (January 2009)

The analysis shows that there is an economic viability gap for both wood frame and concrete development. Based on the base case scenarios modeled, the concrete development provides a 1.68 per cent return on equity while the return on equity for the wood frame development (although somewhat better) is not within the threshold needed to generate a decision to "go forward". Therefore, typically, when a developer or investor gets to this stage in the pro forma exercise they conclude that the project, having failed to achieve an appropriate rate of return on equity, "does not pencil".

The following section begins to examine the different development and financial incentives available to help reduce the overall economic viability gap for new rental housing construction. However, prior to looking at the different measures and incentives, this section provides additional details on the estimated capital costs as well as related financial structure including the debt capacity, equity requirements as well as expected return on equity.

The Estimated Capital Costs

Table 3 sets out the estimated capital cost for wood frame and concrete under the scenarios modeled. This includes estimates for land, soft costs, construction as well as other costs including taxes, contingency etc). The scenarios set out in this report estimate land at approximately \$150 per square foot⁴. The sites are assumed to have one parking space per unit at an estimated cost of approximately \$30,000 per space.

	N	lood Frame	Concrete
		80 units	171 units
Land	\$	4,406,592	\$ 19,611,160
Soft Costs	\$	3,569,000	\$ 7,658,479
Construction	\$	12,016,000	\$ 30,461,828
Other	\$	586,120	\$ 901,266
Total Capital Costs	\$	20,577,712	\$ 58,632,733

TABLE 3:

Source: Estimated capital costs – wood frame and concrete, pro-forma analysis

⁴ Land costs are estimated at 150 per square foot and are consistent with the land costs reported in the UDI Rental Housing Workshop held in March 2009.

Equity Requirements and Debt Capacity

There are different types of borrowers and borrowing profiles including individuals, partnerships, corporations (private, public and non-profit). As well, there are different types of vehicles and holding companies including real estate investment trusts (REITs). In determining access to financing, CMHC evaluates the level of risk based on a number of factors including the net worth of the borrower, profitability, liquidity as well as their management history.

Most rental buildings use CMHC mortgage insurance to obtain and enhance access to mortgage finance. Under CMHC's lending criteria, the maximum insurable loan for a rental development is limited to 85% of the lending value or the amount of the loan that can be carried by the borrower at a debt coverage ratio (DCR) which fits CMHC's lending criteria. Based on current CMHC practice, CMHC requires a DCR of 1.3 for a mortgage with a term of 10 years or less and a DCR of 1.2 for a mortgage with a term of 10 years or more. The debt coverage ratio (DCR) (expressed as a ratio e.g. 1.2 or 1.3 is calculated as net operating income divided by interest expense). The amount of the debt coverage ratio required by CMHC can vary by the length of the term of the loan as well as an assessment of the stability of the rental revenue stream. Where interest rates in the short-term are at historic lows, CMHC has tended to adopt a higher DCR requirement. This has the effect of reducing the risk that investors will become over leveraged in the event that interest rates should increase. At the same, this requirement limits some of the potential benefits arising from the current lower interest rates. The scenarios modeled in this report assumed interest rates of 5%.

The loan to value ratio (LTV) is also an important measure for rental housing construction. The loan to value ratio is determined by the amount of equity in a project. When the equity in the project is less than 25%, the project is considered to have a high loan to value ratio and is considered higher risk from a lending perspective. Most high loan to value mortgages will require mortgage insurance. In addition, in some cases even when an LTV of 75% or less is achieved, a lender may still require insurance as a condition of obtaining financing.

CMHC's mortgage insurance premiums vary according to the type of financing required (new construction versus existing) as well as the amount of equity in a development. In determining the financing, for new rental housing construction, the lender (and CMHC) both have to establish a lending value based on applying a cap rate to the expected net operating income of the project. CMHCs mortgage insurance premiums therefore represent a one-time cost which is typically capitalized into the loan amount. By obtaining mortgage insurance, the borrower has the benefit of obtaining mortgage rates at close to Government

of Canada borrowing levels. The more favourable borrowing rates, in turn, can result in a net savings to the project.

Unless a rental project is particularly strong, CMHC will look to a guarantee from the individual investor or corporate borrower. These guarantees vary by the degree of leverage (LTV) and the track record of borrower (capacity and competence). A general practice is to require a guarantee of between 30% and 50% depending on the level of leverage. The lender may also be more flexible if the borrower provides additional security or if the company has a demonstrated track record. It is also the case that a 3rd party guarantee is generally not required if the loan to value ratio is 60% or less.

This study uses CMHC's underwriting criteria and seeks to maximize the amount of mortgage that can be secured. The balance of the estimated capital costs are funded through investor equity. The underwriting example in this scenario focuses on the debt coverage ratio (DCR) which on a new rental building is typically the binding constraint, although rental stabilization periods, loan to value and borrower track records can play an important role in some circumstances.

Assuming a net operating income of \$2.2 million for a concrete development and \$1.1 million for a wood frame development, Table 4 shows the debt capacity calculation using a debt coverage ratio of 1.3. This calculation determines the maximum amount of mortgage debt that a development can carry as well as the residual earnings after providing for mortgage payments. These earnings, in turn, provide the basis for determining the potential return on equity.

Debt Capacity Calculation	Concrete Development	Woodframe Development
Net Operating Income	\$2,209,164	\$1,131,600
Maximum Debt Payment at 1.3 DCR	\$1,699,357	\$870,462
Earnings After Interest/Before Tax	\$509,807	\$261,138

TABLE 4:

Source: Debt capacity calculation - wood frame and concrete, pro-forma analysis (January 2009)

Estimated Return on Equity

As discussed above, the residual earnings amount, after providing for mortgage payments, is the return on equity. This is calculated by taking the established debt payment capacity that the project can carry and the amount of equity required. The calculation in Table 5 provides an example for the concrete development. Under the scenario modeled, the cash flow for the development allows for an annual mortgage payment of \$1,669,357 at an interest rate of 5% over a 35 year amortization period. Therefore at this debt capacity

level, the maximum mortgage amount is approximately \$28 million and the related equity is \$30.4 million with an associated capital and yield structure as follows:

Capital Structure and Yiel	I- Concrete Scen	ario		
Concrete Scenario	Amount	% capital	Payment / Return	Rate / Yield
Mortgage De	ot \$28,242,360	48.2%	\$1,699,357	5.00%
Equi	ty \$30,390,363	51.8%	\$509,807	1.68%
Total Capital (Cos	t) \$58,632,723	100.0%	\$2,209,164	
W	eighted ave. yield	1		3.28%

TABLE 5:

Source: Estimated return on equity calculation -concrete, pro-forma analysis (January 2009)

For the wood frame development, the capital cost structure and estimated return on equity is as follows:

Capital Structure and Yield- Woodframe Scenario					
Wood Frame Scenario		Amount	% capital	Payment / Return	Rate / Yield
	Mortgage Debt	\$14,530,107	70.6%	\$870,462	5.00%
	Equity	\$6,047,605	29.4%	<mark>\$261,138</mark>	4.32%
	Total Capital (Cost)	\$20,577,712	100.0%	\$1,131,600	
	weig	hted ave. yield			4.80%

TABLE 6:

Source: Estimated return on equity calculation –wood frame, pro-forma analysis (January 2009)

In looking at the two scenarios modeled, there are three important observations:

- First, the amount of equity is high, representing approximately 50% of the capital cost in the case of the concrete development and 30% of the capital cost in the case of the wood frame construction.
- Second, the rate of return on equity is very low with the return on equity invested for the concrete development calculated to be 1.68% while the return on equity invested for the wood frame development is 4.32% - both significantly below the expected return on equity which was established at 10%.
- Based on an expected return on equity at 10%, the analysis suggests that the concrete development has a shortfall of approximately 8.32% while the wood frame development has a shortfall of 5.68%.

Financial and Development Incentives Modeled

This section models different financial and development incentives in order to identify potential actions that could be taken to reduce the overall economic viability gap for new rental housing. The incentives modeled in this section include development incentives (measures which can help to reduce capital costs) as well as financial and operating incentives (measures that enhance revenue, reduce operating costs or increase the cash-on-cash return on investment).

The analysis set out in this section focuses on the potential improvement in the overall economic viability gap as well as the net improvement in the return on equity invested. In evaluating the different measures or incentives, the analysis focuses on the contribution that each of the different measures make in terms of improving or achieving the expected return on equity.

The following set out the specific development and financial incentives modeled in this section:

Development Incentives

- Elimination of GST on new rental housing
- Assistance with interim construction financing
- Reduction in CMHC mortgage insurance
- A one-time capital grant of \$50,000 per unit
- A reduction in parking requirements
- Density bonus provisions
- Reduction in municipal fees and charges
- Free land

Financial and Operating Incentives

- A 50% reduction in property taxes over 10 years
- A dedicated rent supplement (\$250 per unit)
- A reduction in mortgage interest
- Accelerated depreciation including transferability of losses
- Enhanced provisions for soft cost deductibility
- Exploration of the use of tax credit incentives

Development Incentives

In terms of the different development incentives that were tested:

- Elimination of GST on new rental housing;
- Assistance with interim construction financing;
- Reduction in CMHC mortgage insurance;
- A one-time capital grant (\$50,000 per unit); ;
- A reduction in parking requirements (50% reduction was modeled)
- A density bonus (25% was modeled)
- Reductions in municipal fees and charges including development cost charges
- Free land.

The measures modeled in this section focus on actions which can help to improve the overall cost profile for new rental housing construction. By lowering the cost of construction, it is also possible to reduce mortgage financing costs and reduce the amount of equity required. Depending on the cash flow of a development, these measures can also help to improve the rate of return on equity.

In evaluating each of the measures set out in this section, the analysis focused on:

- The potential contribution of each measure in terms of reducing the overall economic viability gap for new rental housing construction;
- The net impact of the measure in terms of the overall improvement on the return on equity; and,
- The level of government (Federal, Provincial or municipal) that has the specific authority or decision-making responsibility associated with each measure.

Possible Measure	Contribution	Financial Impact	Importance/ Impact on New Supply
Elimination of GST on new rental housing construction	Federal	Under the current Federal guidelines, rental housing receives a partial rebate on the GST paid up to a maximum of \$6,300 per unit. Under the scenario modeled, the potential impact of the elimination of GST on new rental housing construction was tested.	Based on the scenario modeled, the elimination of GST would result in a savings of \$5,442 per unit or a 2% reduction in capital costs. This translates into a 0.33% improvement in the return on equity.
Reduction in interim construction financing costs	Provincial	Costs incurred during construction can add to the overall cost of a unit. Under the scenario modeled, the potential impact of a 1% reduction in interim construction financing was tested.	This measure would result in a savings of \$1,683 per unit or a 1/2% reduction in capital costs. This translates into a 0.10% improvement in the return on equity.
Reduction in CMHC mortgage insurance premium	Federal	Developments seeking CMHC mortgage insurance pay a one-time fee. The amount of the premium is a percentage of the mortgage loan required. Under the scenario modeled, a 1% reduction in CMHC;s mortgage insurance premium was tested.	Under this scenario, a 1% reduction in CMHC's mortgage insurance premium would result in a savings of \$2,572 per unit or a 1% reduction in capital costs. This translates into a 0.15% improvement in the return on equity.
One-time capital grant	Federal Provincial	Under the Federal Affordable Housing Initiative capital funding is provided by the Federal government to address housing pressures. These programs typically require that the Provinces make a matching contribution. The Province of BC has taken advantage of these different Federal initiatives and has used the funding to advance a number of different Provincial priorities including <i>Independent Living BC (ILBC)</i> as well as the Provincial Homelessness Initiative.	Based on the scenario modeled, a \$50,000 capital grant represents a 20% reduction in capital costs. This translates into an improvement of 8.44% in equity and achieves the desired threshold return on investment ⁵ .

⁵ While proving to be more feasible, the scenario that was modeled is based on rent levels which fall at the higher end of the rent scale. Given the level of subsidy invested it would be necessary to adjust the rent levels to ensure that the units are provided to households falling at the mid to lower end of the income scale. To target households at a lower rent level could result in the need for a larger grant or operating subsidy in order to ensure that the project economics remain viable.

Possible Measure	Contribution	Financial Impact	Importance/ Impact on New Supply
Reduction in municipal fees and charges including development cost charges	Municipal/Regional	Municipal development cost charges average between \$12,000 and \$13,000 per units across Metro Vancouver. Industry representatives have argued that municipal fees and charges can affect affordability and can act as a barrier to new rental construction.	Based on the scenario modeled, a reduction in municipal development cost charges represents a 5% reduction in capital costs. This translates into a 0.81% improvement in the return on equity.
Reduction in municipal parking requirements	Municipal	It is estimated that parking can add between \$25,000 and \$50,000 in capital costs depending on the type of structure required. The capital cost estimates set out in this report assume 1 parking space per unit at a cost of \$30,000. Under the scenario modeled a 50% reduction in parking is tested.	Based on the scenario modeled, a 50% reduction in parking results in a savings of \$15,000 per unit or approximately 6% of capital costs. This translates into a 1.07% improvement in the return on equity.
Density bonus provisions	Municipal	Density bonus provisions granted through municipal rezoning processes can help to improve the overall economic feasibility of a site and contribute to a reduction in the per unit costs.	Under the scenario modeled, a 25% density bonus can reduce the average cost per unit by almost \$14,000 and translates into a 1% improvement in the return on equity.
Free land	Federal Provincial Municipal Regional	Under the wood frame scenario modeled, land costs represent approximately 21% of capital costs. This translates into a cost of approximately \$55,000 per door. Under the scenario modeled, the potential impact of free land was tested.	Access to free land represents a 21% reduction in capital costs and translates into an 11.60% improvement in the return on equity. However, the contribution of land represents a significant public subsidy and therefore would require some level of adjustment to the proposed rent levels in order to secure the necessary public benefit in the form of improved affordability. Exploring opportunities to intensify the use of existing sites, including the redevelopment of existing social housing stock also represents a potential strategy which could be considered. However, the cost of the replacement units would have to be factored into the analysis.

TABLE 7:

Source: Evaluation of development incentives, pro-forma analysis (January 2009)

		Eliminate GST on Ne	w Rental Housing	Construction		
	Woodframe Construction					
	Size:	80 units				
apital Cost Budget:		Base Case	Change	Total project cost	Cost per unit	Variance
	Land Cost	\$4,406,592		\$4,406,592	\$55,082	\$0
	Soft Costs	\$3,569,000		\$3,569,000	\$44,613	\$0
	Construction Costs	\$12,016,000	\$435,376	\$11,580,624	\$144,758	\$435,376
	Other (Taxes and Contingency)	\$586,120		\$586,120	\$7,327	\$0
	Total Capital Cost	\$20,577,712	\$435,376	\$20,142,336	\$251,779	\$435,376
inancing Structure						
	Equity	\$6,047,605	\$435,376	\$5,612,229		\$435,376
	Mortgage Debt	\$14,530,107		\$14,530,107		
		\$20,577,712	\$435,376	\$20,142,336		
perating Income Statement	ent				Per Unit/Month	
	Rental Revenue	\$1,469,520		\$1,469,520	\$1,531	\$0
	Total Operating Costs	\$337,920		\$337,920	\$352	\$0
	Net Operating income (NOI)	\$1,131,600		\$1,131,600	\$1,179	\$0
	Interest Expense	\$715,480		\$715,480	\$745	\$0
	Capital Cost Allowance (CCA)	\$646,845		\$646,845	\$674	\$0
	Net Income (Loss)	(230,725.00)		(230,725.00)	(240.34)	\$0
ash Flow	Net Operating income (NOI)	\$1,131,600		\$1,131,600	\$1,179	\$0
	add back CCA	646,845		\$646,845	\$674	\$0
	less principal repayment	154,982		154,982	\$161	\$0
	Net Cash Flow	261,138		261,138	\$272	\$0
ate of Return						
	Equity	\$6,047,605		\$5,612,229		
	Cash Flow	261,138		\$261,138	\$272	\$0
	Cash-on-Cash Return	4.32%		4.65%		0.33%

		Reduction in Interim	Construction Fina	incing		
	Woodframe Construction					
	Size:	80 units				
Capital Cost Budget:		Base Case	Change	Total project cost	Cost per unit	Variance
	Land Cost	\$4,406,592		\$4,406,592	\$55,082	\$0
	Soft Costs	\$3,569,000		\$3,569,000	\$44,613	\$0
	Construction Costs	\$12,016,000	\$134,667	\$11,881,333	\$148,517	\$134,667
	Other (Taxes and Contingency)	\$586,120		\$586,120	\$7,327	\$0
	Total Capital Cost	\$20,577,712	\$134,667	\$20,443,045	\$255,538	\$134,667
nancing Structure						
	Equity	\$6,047,605	\$134,667	\$5,912,938		\$134,667
	Mortgage Debt	\$14,530,107		\$14,530,107		
		\$20,577,712	\$134,667	\$20,443,045		
perating Income Statement	ent				Per Unit/Month	
	Rental Revenue	\$1,469,520		\$1,469,520	\$1,531	\$0
	Total Operating Costs	\$337,920		\$337,920	\$352	\$0
	Net Operating income (NOI)	\$1,131,600		\$1,131,600	\$1,179	\$0
	Interest Expense	\$715,480		\$715,480	\$745	\$0
	Capital Cost Allowance (CCA)	\$646,845		\$646,845	\$674	\$0
	Net Income (Loss)	(230,725.00)		(230,725.00)	(240.34)	\$0
ash Flow	Net Operating income (NOI)	\$1,131,600		\$1,131,600	\$1,179	\$0
	add back CCA	646,845		\$646,845	\$674	\$0
	less principal repayment	154,982		154,982	\$161	\$0
	Net Cash Flow	261,138		261,138	\$272	\$0
ate of Return						
	Equity	\$6,047,605		\$5,912,938		
	Cash Flow	261,138		\$261,138	\$272	\$0
	Cash-on-Cash Return	4.32%		4.42%		0.10%

		Reduction n Mortgag	e Insurance Prem	iums		
	Woodframe Construction					
	Size:	80 units				
Capital Cost Budget:		Base Case	Change	Total project cost	Cost per unit	Variance
	Land Cost	\$4,406,592		\$4,406,592	\$55,082	\$0
	Soft Costs	\$3,569,000	\$205,777	\$3,363,223	\$42,040	\$205,777
	Construction Costs	\$12,016,000		\$12,016,000	\$150,200	\$0
	Other (Taxes and Contingency)	\$586,120		\$586,120	\$7,327	\$0
	Total Capital Cost	\$20,577,712	\$205,777	\$20,371,935	\$254,649	\$205,777
inancing Structure						
	Equity	\$6,047,605	\$205,777	\$5,841,828		\$205,777
	Mortgage Debt	\$14,530,107		\$14,530,107		
		\$20,577,712	\$205,777	\$20,371,935		
perating Income Statement	ent				Per Unit/Month	
	Rental Revenue	\$1,469,520		\$1,469,520	\$1,531	\$0
	Total Operating Costs	\$337,920		\$337,920	\$352	\$0
	Net Operating income (NOI)	\$1,131,600		\$1,131,600	\$1,179	\$0
	Interest Expense	\$715,480		\$715,480	\$745	\$0
	Capital Cost Allowance (CCA)	646,845		646,845	\$674	\$0
	Net Income (Loss)	-230,725		-230,725	(240.34)	\$0
ash Flow	Net Operating income (NOI)	\$1,131,600		\$1,131,600	\$1,179	\$0
	add back CCA	646,845		\$646,845	\$674	\$0
	less principal repayment	154,982		154,982	\$161	\$0
	Net Cash Flow	261,138		261,138	\$272	\$0
ate of Return						
	Equity	\$6,047,605		\$5,841,828		
	Cash Flow	261,138		\$261,138	\$272	\$0
	Cash-on-Cash Return	4.32%		4.47%		0.15%
		One -time capital gra	nt (\$50,000 @ unit	t)		
------------------------	-------------------------------	-----------------------	---------------------	--------------------	----------------	-------------
	Woodframe Construction					
	Size:	80 units				
Capital Cost Budget:		Base Case	Change	Total project cost	Cost per unit	Variance
	Land Cost	\$4,406,592		\$4,406,592	\$55,082	\$0
	Soft Costs	\$3,569,000		\$3,569,000	\$44,613	\$0
	Construction Costs	\$12,016,000	\$4,000,000	\$8,016,000	\$100,200	\$4,000,000
	Other (Taxes and Contingency)	\$586,120		\$586,120	\$7,327	\$0
	Total Capital Cost	\$20,577,712	\$4,000,000	\$16,577,712	\$207,221	\$4,000,000
inancing Structure						
	Equity	\$6,047,605	\$4,000,000	\$2,047,605		\$4,000,000
	Mortgage Debt	\$14,530,107		\$14,530,107		
		\$20,577,712	\$4,000,000	\$16,577,712		
perating Income Statem	ent				Per Unit/Month	
	Rental Revenue	\$1,469,520		\$1,469,520	\$1,531	\$0
	Total Operating Costs	\$337,920		\$337,920	\$352	\$0
	Net Operating income (NOI)	\$1,131,600		\$1,131,600	\$1,179	\$0
	Interest Expense	\$715,480		\$715,480	\$745	\$0
	Capital Cost Allowance (CCA)	\$646,845		\$646,845	\$674	\$0
	Net Income (Loss)	(230,725.00)		(230,725.00)	(240.34)	\$0
ash Flow	Net Operating income (NOI)	\$1,131,600		\$1,131,600	\$1,179	\$0
	add back CCA	646,845		\$646,845	\$674	\$0
	less principal repayment	154,982		154,982	\$161	\$0
	Net Cash Flow	261,138		261,138	\$272	\$0
ate of Return						
	Equity	\$6,047,605		\$2,047,605		
	Cash Flow	261,138		\$261,138	\$272	\$0
	Cash-on-Cash Return	4.32%		12.75%		8.44%

		Waiving Developmen	t Cost Charges			
	Woodframe Construction					
	Size:	80 units				
apital Cost Budget:		Base Case	Change	Total project cost	Cost per unit	Variance
	Land Cost	\$4,406,592	0	\$4,406,592	\$55,082	\$0
	Soft Costs	\$3,569,000	\$960,000	\$2,609,000	\$32,613	\$960,000
	Construction Costs	\$12,016,000		\$12,016,000	\$150,200	\$0
	Other (Taxes and Contingency)	\$586,120		\$586,120	\$7,327	\$0
	Total Capital Cost	\$20,577,712	\$960,000	\$19,617,712	\$245,221	\$960,000
inancing Structure						
	Equity	\$6,047,605	\$960,000	\$5,087,605		\$960,000
	Mortgage Debt	\$14,530,107		\$14,530,107		
		\$20,577,712	\$960,000	\$19,617,712		
perating Income Statement					Per Unit/Month	
	Rental Revenue	\$1,469,520		\$1,469,520	\$1,531	\$0
	Total Operating Costs	\$337,920		\$337,920	\$352	\$0
	Net Operating income (NOI)	\$1,131,600		\$1,131,600	\$1,179	\$0
	Interest Expense	\$715,480		\$715,480	\$745	\$0
	Capital Cost Allowance (CCA)	\$646,845		\$646,845	\$674	\$0
	Net Income (Loss)	(230,725.00)		(230,725.00)	(240.34)	\$0
ash Flow	Net Operating income (NOI)	\$1,131,600		\$1,131,600	\$1,179	\$0
	add back CCA	646,845		\$646,845	\$674	\$0
	less principal repayment	154,982		154,982	\$161	\$0
	Net Cash Flow	261,138		261,138	\$272	\$0
ate of Return						
	Equity	\$6,047,605		\$5,087,605		
	Cash Flow	261,138		\$261,138	\$272	\$0
	Cash-on-Cash Return	4.32%		5.13%		0.81%

		Parking (50% reducti	on)			
	Woodframe Construction					
	Size:	80 units				
apital Cost Budget:		Base Case	Change	Total project cost	Cost per unit	Variance
	Land Cost	\$4,406,592		\$4,406,592	\$55,082	\$0
	Soft Costs	\$3,569,000		\$3,569,000	\$44,613	\$0
	Construction Costs	\$12,016,000	1,200,000	\$10,816,000	\$135,200	\$1,200,000
	Other (Taxes and Contingency)	\$586,120		\$586,120	\$7,327	\$0
	Total Capital Cost	\$20,577,712	\$1,200,000	\$19,377,712	\$242,221	\$1,200,000
inancing Structure						
	Equity	\$6,047,605	\$1,200,000	\$4,847,605		\$1,200,000
	Mortgage Debt	\$14,530,107		\$14,530,107		
		\$20,577,712	\$1,200,000	\$19,377,712		
perating Income Statem	ent				Per Unit/Month	
	Rental Revenue	\$1,469,520		\$1,469,520	\$1,531	\$0
	Total Operating Costs	\$337,920		\$337,920	\$352	\$0
	Net Operating income (NOI)	\$1,131,600		\$1,131,600	\$1,179	\$0
	Interest Expense	\$715,480		\$715,480	\$745	\$0
	Capital Cost Allowance (CCA)	646,845		646,845	\$674	\$0
	Net Income (Loss)	-230,725		-230,725	(240.34)	\$0
ash Flow	Net Operating income (NOI)	\$1,131,600		\$1,131,600	\$1,179	\$0
	add back CCA	646,845		\$646,845	\$674	\$0
	less principal repayment	154,982		154,981.54	\$161	\$0
	Net Cash Flow	261,138		261,138.46	\$272	\$0
ate of Return						
	Equity	\$6,047,605		\$4,847,605		
	Cash Flow	261,138		\$261,138	\$272	\$0
	Cash-on-Cash Return	4.32%		5.39%		1.07%

		Density Bonus of 25%	6			
	Woodframe Construction					
	Size:	80 units				
Capital Cost Budget:		Base Case	Change	Total project cost	Cost per unit	Variance
	Land Cost	\$4,406,592	\$1,101,648	\$3,304,944	\$41,312	\$1,101,648
	Soft Costs	\$3,569,000	\$0	\$3,569,000	\$44,613	\$0
	Construction Costs	\$12,016,000		\$12,016,000	\$150,200	\$0
	Other (Taxes and Contingency)	\$586,120		\$586,120	\$7,327	\$0
	Total Capital Cost	\$20,577,712	\$1,101,648	\$19,476,064	\$243,451	\$1,101,648
inancing Structure						
	Equity	\$6,047,605	\$1,101,648	\$4,945,957		\$1,101,648
	Mortgage Debt	\$14,530,107		\$14,530,107		
		\$20,577,712	\$1,101,648	\$19,476,064		
perating Income Statem	ent				Per Unit/Month	
	Rental Revenue	\$1,469,520		\$1,469,520	\$1,531	\$0
	Total Operating Costs	\$337,920		\$337,920	\$352	\$0
	Net Operating income (NOI)	\$1,131,600		\$1,131,600	\$1,179	\$0
	Interest Expense	\$715,480		\$715,480	\$745	\$0
	Capital Cost Allowance (CCA)	646,845		646,845	\$674	\$0
	Net Income (Loss)	-230,725		-230,725	(240.34)	\$0
ash Flow	Net Operating income (NOI)	\$1,131,600		\$1,131,600	\$1,179	\$0
	add back CCA	646,845		\$646,845	\$674	\$0
	less principal repayment	154,982		154,981.54	\$161	\$0
	Net Cash Flow	261,138		261,138.46	\$272	\$0
ate of Return						
	Equity	\$6,047,605		\$4,945,957		
	Cash Flow	261,138		\$261,138	\$272	\$0
	Cash-on-Cash Return	4.32%		5.28%		0.96%

		Free Land				
	Woodframe Construction					
	Size:	80 units				
apital Cost Budget:		Base Case	Change	Total project cost	Cost per unit	Variance
	Land Cost	\$4,406,592	\$4,406,592	\$0	\$0	\$4,406,592
	Soft Costs	\$3,569,000		\$3,569,000	\$44,613	\$0
	Construction Costs	\$12,016,000		\$12,016,000	\$150,200	\$0
	Other (Taxes and Contingency)	\$586,120		\$586,120	\$7,327	\$0
	Total Capital Cost	\$20,577,712	\$4,406,592	\$16,171,120	\$202,139	\$4,406,592
inancing Structure						
	Equity	\$6,047,605	\$4,406,592	\$1,641,013		\$4,406,592
	Mortgage Debt	\$14,530,107		\$14,530,107		
		\$20,577,712	\$4,406,592	\$16,171,120		
perating Income Stateme	nt				Per Unit/Month	
	Rental Revenue	\$1,469,520		\$1,469,520	\$1,531	\$0
	Total Operating Costs	\$337,920		\$337,920	\$352	\$0
	Net Operating income (NOI)	\$1,131,600		\$1,131,600	\$1,179	\$0
	Interest Expense	\$715,480		\$715,480	\$745	\$0
	Capital Cost Allowance (CCA)	\$646,845		\$646,845	\$674	\$0
	Net Income (Loss)	(230,725.00)		(230,725.00)	(240.34)	\$0
ash Flow	Net Operating income (NOI)	\$1,131,600		\$1,131,600	\$1,179	\$0
	add back CCA	646,845		\$646,845	\$674	\$0
	less principal repayment	154,982		154,982	\$161	\$0
	Net Cash Flow	261,138		261,138	\$272	\$0
ate of Return						
	Equity	\$6,047,605		\$1,641,013		
	Cash Flow	261,138		\$261,138	\$272	\$0
	Cash-on-Cash Return	4.32%		15.91%		11.60%

Financial and Operating Incentives

In addition to looking at the different development incentives, the following provides an overview of the different financial and operating incentives that were tested. They included:

- A reduction in property taxes (50% reduction over 10 years)
- A dedicated rent supplement (\$250 per unit)
- A reduction in mortgage interest (1% reduction)
- Accelerated depreciation (4% and transferability of losses)

Changes in the rules related to soft cost deductibility as well as the exploration of the use of tax credit incentives were also explored in this section.

Because the interaction between the financial structure, lending practices, taxation policy and the net after-tax effects of different policy decisions, these measures are inherently more difficult to model. Similarly, these elements are highly sensitive to changes in market conditions, interest rates, and lending criteria. Government policies and regulations including decisions which limit rent levels can also have an impact.

In terms of the approach adopted for this research, a discounted cash flow was used to allow for comparisons to be made across different investment decisions. To do this, the study calculated the "below the line NOI" items and then reflected them back into the calculation of the pre-tax rate of return. By adopting this approach, it was possible to measure the potential improvement in the expected return on equity and the extent to which each of these measures could contribute to the conditions needed to encourage increased investment in new rental housing construction.

Possible Measure	Contribution	Financial Impact	Importance/ Impact on New Supply
A 50% reduction in property taxes over 10 years	Municipal	Operating costs include property taxes, utilities, building management and building maintenance. In order to ensure that the operation of rental housing is economically viable building owners and operators seek to minimize operating costs. Under the scenario modeled a 50% reduction in municipal property taxes is tested.	A 50% reduction is property taxes, contributes to a reduction of approximately \$38,000 in annual operating expenses and translates into 0.64% improvement in the return on equity.
Dedicated rent supplements of \$250 per unit per month over a 10 year period.	Provincial	The provision of a dedicated rent supplement of \$250 per unit per month was modeled. This translates into an annual subsidy of approximately \$3,000 per unit per year. In the case of the proposed wood frame development, this translates into the equivalent of an operating grant of approximately \$240,000 per year.	Under the scenario modeled, a dedicated rent supplement of \$250 per unit per month would add \$240,000 to the operating statement and would mean an improvement of 3.97% in the overall project economics or a return on equity of approximately 8.29%.
A 1% reduction in mortgage interest	Market Based – Subject to inflation, Federal monetary policy and broad macro- economic forces	Interest rates can have a significant impact on the economic feasibility of new rental housing construction. The scenarios modeled in this report assume a 5% interest rate and a 35 year amortization period.	Assuming that it is possible to achieve a 1% reduction the interest rate, it would help to improve the overall return on equity by approximately 2.88%. This would move the expected return on equity from 4.32% to 7.20%.
Accelerated depreciation and transferability ⁶ of losses	Federal	The scenario assumes an increase in CCA provisions from 4% to 5% along with the transferability of losses at 4%.	A 1% increase in the depreciation rate as well as provisions to allow for a 4% transfer of losses, would result in an improvement of 1.75% in the estimated return on equity and would move the expected return on equity from 4.32% to 6.07%.

TABLE 8:

Source: Evaluation of financial and operating incentives, pro-forma analysis (January 2009)

⁶ As discussed at the outset of the report, changes in CCA provisions without allowing the for transferability of losses will only affect the timing of the expense recognition and will not improve the overall economic viability for new rental housing which generally operates at a loss in the early years.

		Reduction in propert	y Taxes			
	Woodframe Construction					
	Size:	80 units				
Capital Cost Budget:		Base Case	Change	Total project cost	Cost per unit	Variance
	Land Cost	\$4,406,592		\$4,406,592	\$55,082	\$0
	Soft Costs	\$3,569,000		\$3,569,000	\$44,613	\$0
	Construction Costs	\$12,016,000		\$12,016,000	\$150,200	\$0
	Other (Taxes and Contingency)	\$586,120		\$586,120	\$7,327	\$0
	Total Capital Cost	\$20,577,712		\$20,577,712	\$257,221	\$0
inancing Structure						
	Equity	\$6,047,605		\$6,047,605		\$0
	Mortgage Debt	\$14,530,107		\$14,530,107		
		\$20,577,712		\$20,577,712		
perating Income Statem	ent				Per Unit/Month	
	Rental Revenue	\$1,469,520		\$1,469,520	\$1,531	\$0
	Total Operating Costs	\$337,920	-\$38,724	\$376,644	\$392	-\$38,724
	Net Operating income (NOI)	\$1,131,600	-\$38,724	\$1,170,324	\$1,219	-\$38,724
	Interest Expense	\$715,480		\$715,480	\$745	\$0
	Capital Cost Allowance (CCA)	\$646,845		\$646,845	\$674	\$0
	Net Income (Loss)	(230,725.00)	(38,724.00)	(192,001.00)	(200.00)	-\$38,724
ash Flow	Net Operating income (NOI)	\$1,131,600	(38,724.00)	\$1,170,324	\$1,219	-\$38,724
	add back CCA	646,845		\$646,845	\$674	\$0
	less principal repayment	154,982		154,982	\$161	\$0
	Net Cash Flow	261,138	(38,724.00)	299,862	\$312	-\$38,724
ate of Return						
	Equity	\$6,047,605		\$6,047,605		
	Cash Flow	261,138		\$299,862	\$312	-\$38,724
	Cash-on-Cash Return	4.32%		4.96%		0.64%

		Increase Rental Reve	enue - Dedicated F	Rent supplements		
	Woodframe Construction					
	Size:	80 units				
Capital Cost Budget:		Base Case	Change	Total project cost	Cost per unit	Variance
	Land Cost	\$4,406,592		\$4,406,592	\$55,082	\$0
	Soft Costs	\$3,569,000		\$3,569,000	\$44,613	\$0
	Construction Costs	\$12,016,000		\$12,016,000	\$150,200	\$0
	Other (Taxes and Contingency)	\$586,120		\$586,120	\$7,327	\$0
	Total Capital Cost	\$20,577,712		\$20,577,712	\$257,221	\$0
inancing Structure						
	Equity	\$6,047,605		\$6,047,605		\$0
	Mortgage Debt	\$14,530,107		\$14,530,107		
		\$20,577,712		\$20,577,712		
perating Income Stateme	ent				Per Unit/Month	
	Rental Revenue	\$1,469,520	\$240,000	\$1,709,520	\$1,781	\$240,000
	Total Operating Costs	\$337,920		\$337,920	\$352	\$0
	Net Operating income (NOI)	\$1,131,600	\$240,000	\$1,371,600	\$1,429	\$240,000
	Interest Expense	\$715,480		\$715,480	\$745	\$0
	Capital Cost Allowance (CCA)	646,845		646,845	674	\$0
	Net Income (Loss)	-230,725	\$240,000	9,275	10	\$240,000
ash Flow	Net Operating income (NOI)	\$1,131,600	\$240,000	\$1,371,600	\$1,429	\$240,000
	add back CCA	646,845		\$646,845	\$674	\$0
	less principal repayment	154,982		154,982	\$161	\$0
	Net Cash Flow	261,138	\$240,000	501,138	\$522	\$240,000
ate of Return						
	Equity	\$6,047,605		\$6,047,605		
	Cash Flow	261,138		\$501,138	\$522	\$240,000
	Cash-on-Cash Return	4.32%		8.29%		3.97%

		Reduction in Mortgag	ge interest			
	Woodframe Construction					
	Size:	80 units				
Capital Cost Budget:		Base Case	Change	Total project cost	Cost per unit	Variance
	Land Cost	\$4,406,592		\$4,406,592	\$55,082	\$0
	Soft Costs	\$3,569,000		\$3,569,000	\$44,613	\$0
	Construction Costs	\$12,016,000		\$12,016,000	\$150,200	\$0
	Other (Taxes and Contingency)	\$586,120		\$586,120	\$7,327	\$0
	Total Capital Cost	\$20,577,712		\$20,577,712	\$257,221	\$0
inancing Structure						
	Equity	\$6,047,605		\$6,047,605		\$0
	Mortgage Debt	\$14,530,107		\$14,530,107		
		\$20,577,712		\$20,577,712		
perating Income Statem	ent				Per Unit/Month	
	Rental Revenue	\$1,469,520		\$1,469,520	\$1,531	\$0
	Total Operating Costs	\$337,920		\$337,920	\$352	\$0
	Net Operating income (NOI)	\$1,131,600		\$1,131,600	\$1,179	\$0
	Interest Expense	\$715,480	143,096	\$572,384	\$596	\$143,096
	Capital Cost Allowance (CCA)	646,845		646,845	\$674	\$0
	Net Income (Loss)	-230,725	143,096	-373,821	(389.40)	\$143,096
ash Flow	Net Operating income (NOI)	\$1,131,600	143,096	\$1,274,696	\$1,328	\$143,096
	add back CCA	646,845		\$646,845	\$674	\$0
	less principal repayment	154,982	30,997	123,985	\$129	\$30,997
	Net Cash Flow	261,138	174,093	435,231	\$453	\$174,093
ate of Return						
	Equity	\$6,047,605		\$6,047,605		
	Cash Flow	261,138		\$435,231	\$453	\$174,093
	Cash-on-Cash Return	4.32%		7.20%		2.88%

		Accelerated Deprecia				
	Woodframe Construction					
	Size:	80 units				
apital Cost Budget:		Base Case	Change	Total project cost	Cost per unit	Variance
	Land Cost	\$4,406,592		\$4,406,592	\$55,082	\$0
	Soft Costs	\$3,569,000		\$3,569,000	\$44,613	\$0
	Construction Costs	\$12,016,000		\$12,016,000	\$150,200	\$0
	Other (Taxes and Contingency)	\$586,120		\$586,120	\$7,327	\$0
	Total Capital Cost	\$20,577,712		\$20,577,712	\$257,221	\$0
inancing Structure						
	Equity	\$6,047,605		\$6,047,605		\$0
	Mortgage Debt	\$14,530,107		\$14,530,107		
		\$20,577,712		\$20,577,712		
perating Income Statem	ent				Per Unit/Month	
	Rental Revenue	\$1,469,520		\$1,469,520	\$1,531	\$0
	Total Operating Costs	\$337,920		\$337,920	\$352	\$0
	Net Operating income (NOI)	\$1,131,600		\$1,131,600	\$1,179	\$0
	Interest Expense	\$715,480		\$715,480	\$745	\$0
	Capital Cost Allowance (CCA)	646,845		646,845	\$674	\$0
	Net Income (Loss)	-230,725		-230,725	(240.34)	\$0
ash Flow	Net Operating income (NOI)	\$1,131,600		\$1,131,600	\$1,179	\$0
	add back CCA	646,845		\$646,845	\$674	\$0
	less principal repayment	154,982		154,981.54	\$161	\$0
	Net Cash Flow	261,138		261,138	\$272	\$0
	Effect of Deductiblility			\$106,134		
	Net Cash Flow + Tax effect			\$367,272		
ate of Return						
	Equity	\$20,577,712		\$20,577,712		
	Cash Flow	261,138		\$367,272	\$383	-\$106,134
	Cash-on-Cash Return	4.32%		6.07%		1.75%

Other Measures to Consider

Two other potential measures explored in this section, include changes in current tax provisions/requirements related to the deductibility of soft costs as well as the potential use of tax credits or tax-based incentives as a means of encouraging increased investment in rental housing construction. The potential contribution of each of these measures is discussed below:

Soft Cost Deductibility

As discussed earlier in this report, soft costs are expenditures which are incurred in the development of a new rental project. Allowable soft costs include landscaping, financing fees, property taxes, marketing and promotion expenses as well as legal fees. Under current taxation policies, investors are only allowed to capitalize soft costs rather than deduct them. Under the scenarios modeled in this report, soft costs represent between 13% and 17% of the cost of new construction. Similar to the other measures modeled in this report, measures which allow for soft costs to be deducted up-front along with a provision to allow for the transferability of losses would have the potential to improve the overall economic viability of new rental housing and enhance the attractiveness of new rental housing as an investment.

Exploration of the Use of Tax-Based Incentives

The U.S relies on a number of different tax expenditure measures to achieve public policy objectives. These include the use of municipal bonds which are tax exempt and which provide incentives for investment and reinvestment in various forms of community infrastructure. In the U.S, affordable housing falls into this category. Under the U.S. Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC), developers have access to a source of lower cost equity which can be used to support the development of new rental housing. In exchanges, for access to this funding there are certain requirements which must be met including:

- Rents must be affordable to person with incomes which fall under 60% of the median income for the area;
- The units which are developed must be self-contained;
- The housing that is developed must remain affordable for at least 15 years.

Appendix B provides information on the number of units which have been created through the Low Income Housing Tax Credit and other government supported programs since it was first introduced in 1986. Based on the data reported in Appendix B, there were approximately 45,400 subsidized units created through the LIHTC as well as other initiatives in 2007. This represents approximately 18% of all rental housing units created in that year.

Recent Initiatives Introduced in Australia

In July 2008, the Australian government launched a National Rental Housing Affordability Scheme (NRAS). This program was launched as part of a 2007 election commitment. Under the *National Rental Housing Affordability Scheme*, the Federal government provides an incentive of \$6,000 per dwelling unit per year over 10 years to encourage private investment in rental housing. This incentive is provided in the form of a refundable tax offset. Under the program, the State government also provides some additional funding equal to approximately \$2,000 per unit per year in direct or in-kind support. Rents for these properties are to be set at 20 per cent below the market rate for eligible tenants. The total cost of the program is approximately \$1.7 million per year. During the initial year of operation 3,899 incentives were offered.

Both of these examples are important in that the incentives are structured in a way which encourages investment from the private sector. Similarly, both of these strategies have been recognized as effective strategies for generating the necessary supply response.

The Canadian Experience

Canada, unlike the USA and other jurisdictions has made limited use of tax shelters or tax credit financing. Within the Canadian context, there are four (4) examples of the use of tax credits. These include:

- Funding for natural resource exploration (often called flow-through share financing),
- Film production tax credit,
- The scientific research tax credit (SRTC), and,
- The multi-unit residential building (MURB).

In examining the tax literature, the findings suggest that the Canadian experience with the use of tax credit strategies has received mixed reviews. The natural resource exploration tax credit has remained one of the more successful policy instruments. This program has been in place since the late 1980's and has been expanded in its scope to include Provincial mineral and mining strategies.

In examining the reviews in the taxation literature, the natural resource exploration tax credit has been characterized as a highly efficient method of attracting capital to higher risk endeavours. This program has also been successful in avoiding excessive transaction costs unlike some of the other programs which were reviewed. As well, there is a general sense that this program has been successful in achieving a reasonable balance of monitoring and compliance.

In looking at some of the other models which have been reviewed, the findings suggest that some of the other tax-based initiatives have not been as successful with poor program design or poorly targeted outcomes resulting in the cancelation of the programs. A particularly poorly structured and therefore short lived program was the scientific research tax credit. The MURB (multi-unit residential building) program which was in place in the 1970's and early 1980's has received similar criticisms. In particular, while it was recognized as being successful in terms of helping to attract investment and stimulate a supply response, the overall program outcomes where considered to be poorly targeted and the program was ended.

Learning from Experience

The successful implementation of programs in the U.S. and Australia as well as Canada's experience with the natural resource exploration tax credit suggests that it is possible to use tax-based incentives and market mechanisms to encourage increased investment in rental housing. In looking at potential opportunities to adapt these models to the current housing context, it would be possible to establish a model which builds on the strengths of existing models while learning from those that were less successful. Experience both within Canada and elsewhere suggests that this can be accomplished through good program design with the following being some of the critical factors to take into consideration:

- A clearly defined target group and program allocation mechanism;
- Clear monitoring, reporting and program compliance criteria;
- Transparency of investment expenditures and results;
- Alignment of incentives;
- Clear enforcement and compliance mechanisms.

It would also be beneficial to use established vehicles and financial instruments in order to take advantage of the expertise and experience which already exists in the delivery of these types of initiatives.

Conclusions and Next Steps

The economics of rental housing are challenging. Rental housing operates within a complex climate of macro-economic forces and government policies. Decisions related to rental housing investment or reinvestment therefore are not only tied to the cost of construction but also occur within the context of taxation policies, consumer protection legislation, the prevailing cost of capital and the efficiency of the rental market.

The analysis shows that there are a limited number of measures which on their own could reduce the overall economic viability gap for new rental housing construction. At the same time, it appears that local strategies adopted at the municipal level in combination with changes in Federal taxation measures as well as Provincial initiatives could be beneficial in terms of stimulating investment in new rental housing construction. Based on the examination of the alternatives considered in this report, the most promising measures are likely to include changes in current CCA provisions, deductibility of soft costs as well as the transferability or deductibility of losses against other income.

In examining the different alternatives, it is clear that no single approach can solve the entire problem, nor will the intervention of only one party. Rather, an effective strategy calls for concerted action across all levels of government as well as partnerships with the private and non-profit sectors. Past experience within Canada and elsewhere suggests that it may be time to consider the use of incentive based strategies (including the use of tax incentives) to seek to create the conditions needed to enable new supply.

Selected References

Altus Group Limited. 1998. Understanding Private Rental Housing Investment in Canada. Prepared for CMHC.

CMHC. Fall, 2008. Rental Market Report: Vancouver and Abbotsford CMAs.

CMHC. 2007. Rental Market Report: Vancouver and Abbotsford CMAs.

Canadian Real Estate Association. 2008. Reinvestment in Real Property: The Canadian Real Estate Association's Submission on why Canada Needs Capital Gains Tax Deferrals.

Lampert, Greg. 1999. *Review of Recent Reports on the Rental Market in Canada*. Prepared for the Canadian Home Builders' Association.

Lampert, Greg and Pomeroy, Steve. 2002. *Options for Changes in Federal Taxes to Encourage New Rental Construction*. Prepared of Ontario Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing.

Ministry of Housing, Recreation and Consumer Services: Province of British Columbia. 1995. Rental Housing Trends in British Columbia.

Ontario Ministry of Housing. 2001. Affordable Rental Housing Supply: The Dynamics of the Market and Recommendations for Encouraging New Supply prepared for the Housing Supply Working Group.

Ontario Ministry of Housing. Creating a Positive Climate for Rental Housing Development through Tax and Mortgage Insurance Reforms: The Second Report of the Housing Supply Working Group.

Pomeroy, Steve. 2007. *Background Report: Assessing Design Options for an Affordable Housing Tax Credit.* Prepared for the Ontario Non-Profit Housing Association and the Canadian Housing and Renewal Corporation.

TD Economics. 2003. Affordable Housing in Canada: In Search of a New Paradigm.

TD Economics. 2004. Room for Rent: Outlook for Canada's Rental Housing Market.

Appendix A:

Factors Affecting New Rental Housing Construction

In looking at new rental housing construction, it is necessary to consider the following factors:

- a) the balance sheet;
- b) the income statement;
- c) financial structures;
- d) fees and levies including GST; and,
- e) the regulatory and policy context;

The Balance Sheet

Factors affecting the balance sheet for new rental housing construction include:

- capital costs,
- soft costs,
- design costs,
- development cost charges,
- municipal fees and permits,
- property taxes,
- interest costs during construction,
- GST.

The Income Statement

The income statement is affected by:

- a) rental rates;
- b) mortgage interest;
- c) operating expenses; and,
- d) net return on investment.

The elements which make up the balance sheet have an impact on the overall per unit costs while the elements that make up the income statement affect the net operating income and the overall return on investment.

From an investor perspective, investors are looking for stable cash flow and low risk.

Appendix B: The U.S. Based Experience

Units Produced Through the Low Income Tax Credit Program

		y		Condominiums		
				and	Subsidized and	
Year	Total	Unfurnished	Furnished	Cooperatives	Tax Credit*	Others
		Number	Number	Number	Number	Number
2007	253,000	103,700	1,200	93,400	45,400	9,300
2006	283,500	117,200	3,300	102,800	52,700	7,500
2005	258,000	111,900	5,400	81,800	45,200	13,600
2004	285,400	155,000	4,400	59,600	55,700	10,800
2003	261,000	167,800	2,200	41,900	37,800	11,300
2002	288,100	204,100	3,100	37,400	32,100	11,500
2001	281,000	193,100	4,500	45,700	26,700	11,100
2000	300,000	226,200	2,900	36,100	24,400	10,500
1999	291,800	225,900	7,700	34,200	13,600	10,400
1998	273,900	209,900	3,000	34,500	20,000	6,600
1997	247,100	189,200	3,000	35,800	14,100	5,000
1996	251,300	191,300	2,400	36,900	14,200	6,400
1995	212,400	155,000	1,600	36,400	13,700	5,700
1994	154,900	104,000	1,100	34,400	11,800	3,600
1993	124,800	77,200	2,700	32,000	7,700	5,200
1992	155,200	110,200	700	31,100	7,000	6,000
1991	216,500	165,300	2,800	35,300	9,600	3,500
1990	294,400	214,300	2,900	52,600	13,800	10,800
1989	337,900	246,400	4,900	59,700	19,800	7,200
1988	388,600	284,500	4,300	76,200	15,200	8,400
1987	474,200	345,600	7,900	92,300	17,000	11,300
1986	550,200	407,600	11,600	101,700	23,300	6,000
1985	533,300	364,500	7,400	135,800	12,000	13,700
1984	506,000	313,200	9,800	143,600	28,500	10,700
1983	370,700	191,500	4,700	111,800	47,700	15,100
1982	288,200	117,000	5,400	107,900	48,000	10,000
1981	332,500	135,400	6,000	112,600	66,100	12,500
1980	418,900	196,100	9,700	122,800	79,900	10,500
1979	439,300	241,200	12,100	91,800	87,500	6,700
1978	362,700	228,700	11,200	54,500	54,100	14,300
1977	289,400	195,600	16,200	43,000	26,000	8,700
1976	258,200	157,000	12,800	46,300	32,000	10,000
1975	371,400	223,100	11,100	84,600	38,900	13,800
1974	685,400	405,500	20,700	159,000	75,400	25,000
1973	774,800	531,700	36,200	98,100	82,000	26,800
1972	718,200	497,900	37,700	57,300	93,800	31,400
1971	583,400	334,400	32,200	49,100	104,800	63,000
1970	526,000	328,400	48,200	72,500	55,900	21,000

Source: U.S. Census Bureau: Table 8. Total Apartments Completed in Buildings With 5 or more units Note: Other includes time-sharing units, continuing care retirement units, and turnkey housing (privately-built for and sold to local public housing authorities upon completion)

Appendix C: Summary of Measures Evaluated

The following table shows the potential improvement in the return on equity for concrete and wood frame construction based on current development realities. The table includes information on the different incentives analyzed in the report including the estimated improvement in the return on equity as well as the remaining gap or shortfall to be filled.

Potential Reduction in the Economic Viability Gap (Co	ncrete Deve	lopment)	
	Base Case Return on Equity (%)	With Incentive (Return on Equity	Shortfall/ Surplus to Return on Equity of 10%
Elimination of GST on new rental housing	1.68	1.75	(8.25)
Lower interest rate during construction	1.68	1.71	(8.29)
One-time capital grant (\$50,000 per unit)	1.68	2.33	(7.67)
Waiving development cost charges	1.68	1.83	(8.17)
Reduction in property taxes	1.68	2.27	(7.73)
1% reduction in mortgage interest	1.68	2.8	(7.20)
Reduction in mortgage premiums	1.68	1.71	(8.29)
Increase in rental revenue (\$250 per unit per month)	1.68	3.37	(6.63)
Free land	1.68	4.73	(5.27)
CCA at 4%, transferability of losses against other income	1.68	3.77	(6.23)
Potential Reduction in the Economic Viability Gap (Wo	ood Develop	ment)	
	Base Case Return on Equity (%)	With Incentive (Return on Equity	Shortfall/ Surplus to Return on Equity of 10%
Elimination of GST on new rental housing	4.32	4.65	(5.35)
Lower interest rate during construction	4.32	4.42	(5.58)
One-time capital grant (\$50,000 per unit)	4.32	12.75	2.75
Waiving development cost charges			
	4.32	5.13	(4.87)
Reduction in property taxes	4.32 4.32	5.13 4.96	(4.87) (5.04)
Reduction in property taxes 1% reduction in mortgage interest	4.32 4.32 4.32	5.13 4.96 7.2	(4.87) (5.04) (2.80)
Reduction in property taxes 1% reduction in mortgage interest Reduction in mortgage premiums	4.32 4.32 4.32 4.32	5.13 4.96 7.2 4.47	(4.87) (5.04) (2.80) (5.53)
Reduction in property taxes1% reduction in mortgage interestReduction in mortgage premiumsIncrease in rental revenue (\$250 per unit per month)	4.32 4.32 4.32 4.32 4.32	5.13 4.96 7.2 4.47 8.29	(4.87) (5.04) (2.80) (5.53) (1.71)
Reduction in property taxes1% reduction in mortgage interestReduction in mortgage premiumsIncrease in rental revenue (\$250 per unit per month)Free land	4.32 4.32 4.32 4.32 4.32 4.32 4.32	5.13 4.96 7.2 4.47 8.29 15.91	(4.87) (5.04) (2.80) (5.53) (1.71) 5.90

Specialized Study 2D Purpose-Built Rental Housing ~ Economics of New Supply

November 2009

Prepared for: City of Vancouver

By: Coriolis Consulting Corp.

Table of Contents

1.0	Intro	duction		1
	1.1	Backg	round	1
	1.2	Approa	ach	2
2.0	Inter	views w	ith Developers and Institutional Investors	3
3.0	Fina	ncial Via	ability of Rental Housing Development	6
	3.1	Approa	ach	6
	3.2	Result	s of Analysis	7
	3.3	Sensit	ivity of Results to Changes in Major Assumptions	13
4.0	Illus	tration o	f the Financial Impact of Possible Policy Options	15
	4.1	Increa	sing the Revenue at New Rental Projects	
	4.2	Reduc	ing Operating Costs at New Rental Projects	
		4.2.1	Eliminating City of Vancouver Property Taxes	
		4.2.2	Eliminating GST and PST on Operating Costs	
	4.3	Reduc	ing Construction Costs for New Rental Projects	
		4.3.1	Eliminating Parking Requirements	
		4.3.2	Eliminating City Permit Fees and DCLs	22
		4.3.3	Eliminating GST and PST on New Rental Construction	
		4.3.4	Rental Housing Investment Tax Credit	
	4.4	Reduc	ing Land Acquisition Costs for New Rental Projects	
		4.4.1	Increasing Density for Rental-only Projects	
		4.4.2	Granting Bonus Rental Housing Density	30
		4.4.3	Rental Development on Single Family and Industrial Sites	30
		4.4.4	Making City Owned Land Available for Rental Development	31
	4.5	Requir	ing Rental Housing in New Strata Projects	31
	4.6	Summ	ary of Analysis	
5.0	Con	clusions	;	34
6.0	Арре	endix 1 -	- Case Study Financial Analysis	37

7.0

Appendix 2 - Summary of the Financial Impact of Policy Alternatives1	30
Selecting Capitalization Rates for the Rental Analysis	40
Major Assumptions	39
Approach	38

1.0 Introduction

1.1 Background

The City of Vancouver is completing a detailed market rental housing strategy. As input to the strategy, the City commissioned several specialized studies to assist staff with the development of policies and tools to encourage the preservation and expansion of the purpose-built rental housing stock.

Coriolis Consulting Corp. was retained to complete Study 2D: "Purpose-Built Rental Housing – Economics of New Supply". This study examines the financial gap between the cost of creating new market rental housing units and the market value of the new rental units to help identify and evaluate potential mechanisms to bridge this financial gap.

The regional development industry has been far more interested in the creation of new strata titled residential units than the creation of new rental housing stock. There are several reasons for this, including:

- Strata titled residential development supports higher land values than rental housing development (based on prevailing market conditions). In order to compete for development sites, developers have to pay the market price for land, which can then only be supported by strata titled projects.
- Strata development has (notwithstanding cyclical downturns including the current one) been very profitable for developers.
- Most developers want to build, sell, and move on to the next project. They are not interested in holding investment property. While there are some investors interested in acquiring rental housing projects, it is less profitable for developers to build for the rental investment market than for the unit sale market.
- Investor interest may be constrained by the risk of government intervention in the performance of the investment (controls on rent increases, limitations on evictions for renovation to increase rents, limitations on conversion to strata, limitations on demolition). Constrained apartment building investor interest leads to constrained developer interest.

The purpose of Study 2D is to evaluate the potential to close the financial gap between purposebuilt rental and strata residential development. This report documents our analysis and findings.

1.2 Approach

Our analysis included four main steps:

- 1. Interviews with selected developers and institutional investors regarding issues such as capital availability, investment strategies, government policies/regulations, and other factors that affect developer interest in creating rental housing stock.
- 2. Financial modeling of new rental housing and new strata title projects to identify the financial gap, in terms of supportable land value and in terms of developer profit. This modeling was done for a variety of cases to see how the gap varies by structure type (wood versus concrete) and local market conditions (e.g. the high, mid, or low price segments of the market) in different parts of the City.
- 3. Sensitivity testing to see what kinds of changes in different variables are needed to bridge the gap and to identify the most fertile areas for policy work.
- 4. Identification/evaluation of strategies and policies.

2.0 Interviews with Developers and Institutional Investors

We contacted seven senior representatives from local multifamily residential developers, institutional investors and commercial real estate brokers who are (or have been) active involved in the Vancouver rental housing market to discuss their perspectives on a wide range of issues that affect their company's interest in the Vancouver rental housing market, including:

- Availability of investment and lending capital for rental housing investment versus new strata title projects for sale.
- Level of interest in rental housing as an investment.
- Major concerns about rental housing development and investment and ways to address the concerns.

Comments that we received can be summarized as follows:

- Multifamily residential developers indicated that (in the absence of incentives) rental housing development in Vancouver is not financially attractive. The achievable rents (and value of the building) do not justify the cost and risk involved in creating the rental housing. If costs on rental housing could be reduced (through changes in development policies), all of the developers indicated that they would be very interested in building new rental housing in the City.
- 2. Pension fund investors indicated that annual returns on rental housing investment in Vancouver are low in comparison to other parts of Canada. They noted that this is because Vancouver rental apartment investors are typically willing to accept low annual yields due to the expectation that the overall investment return will benefit from higher long term capital gains than in other jurisdictions due to:
 - The expectation that rents in Vancouver will increase at a strong pace over time and occupancy will remain high in comparison to other markets.
 - The expectation that existing rental properties will appreciate due to long term opportunities for redevelopment.
 - Historic trends in rental building values, which have increased significantly in Vancouver over the long term.

Institutional investors usually require a minimum annual return (to fund pension or other ongoing annual obligations) so other Canadian rental housing markets (offering higher initial annual yields) are more attractive.

- 3. Policies and regulations that the City of Vancouver could examine that have the potential to improve the financial viability of rental housing development include (in no particular order):
 - Provide bonus density (on-site or transferable) for developers of rental projects. If the bonus is large enough, it will effectively reduce the average land cost for a developer,

potentially making rental housing development financially viable. It could be necessary to make bonus density for rental housing transferable in some cases if the bonus cannot be accommodated on-site.

- Significantly reduce parking requirements for new rental housing, particularly in locations that are well-served by transit. This will reduce the cost of rental housing construction.
- Streamline the approvals process for rental projects. This could reduce the land holding costs for rental developers and provide more certainty about approvals (reducing risk and the profit margin required by a developer).
- Create certainty about the City's incentives and the expected contributions (DCLs, fees, CACs) to developers. If incentives are short term or subject to change, they will be less effective at increasing the interest in rental housing development. Also, if rental housing development incentives are accompanied by an expectation that developers will make financial contributions (such as a CAC), it will reduce the effectiveness of any incentives.
- Eliminate or reduce the City's portion of property taxes while projects are used for rental. This will reduce operating costs and increase the net operating income from the new project, increasing the project's value.
- Eliminate or reduce the permitting fees and DCLs for new rental projects. This will reduce overall project creation costs if these reduced costs are not shifted to increase land value (which will be the case if the relaxations are not permitted for non-rental projects).
- Make City owned land available at low cost for rental development.
- Examine opportunities to allow rental residential in industrial areas as part of mixed use industrial/residential projects. If rental was the only form of housing that is permitted, this could help reduce land acquisition costs for new rental projects, improving project viability.
- 4. The Federal government should consider the following:
 - Eliminate GST on new rental buildings¹. Currently, a purchaser of a new rental building is required to pay GST on the value of the building when rentals in the new building commence (if the developer holds the building, they are required to pay the GST). There are no offsetting input tax credits as residential rents are GST exempt. Therefore, the overall cost to the investor from acquiring a new rental building is increased by the GST.
 - Allow for a capital gains rollover provision on rental housing investment. Most developers did not expect this to increase the financial viability of rental housing development, but they did expect it to increase the number of existing apartment building owners that would be interested in selling their buildings. This could increase the number of potential redevelopment properties available to accommodate new rental housing development.

¹ Some developers noted that GST also impacts strata residential projects. The new unit purchasers (not the developer) pay the GST, but this reduces the sales price that a strata developer could achieve in the absence of GST on new residential projects. Therefore, the financial performance of a new strata building is also affected by GST.

- Provided a tax credit or some other financial incentive to make rental development more attractive.
- 5. The Province should consider the following:
 - Eliminate rent control on new rental buildings.
 - Eliminate PST on construction of new rental buildings.
 - Provide a tax credit to make rental development more attractive.

3.0 Financial Viability of Rental Housing Development

In Vancouver, strata titled residential development supports significantly higher land values than rental housing development (based on prevailing market conditions). In order to compete for development sites, developers have to pay the market price for land which can then only be supported by strata titled projects. Therefore, for any given site, there is financial gap between developing a new rental housing project and a new strata titled housing project. This financial gap means that development of new purpose-built rental housing in Vancouver is not financially attractive for developers².

We completed detailed financial analysis on a wide variety of case study development sites (varying by location, size, zoning) in the City of Vancouver to analyze the viability of new rental housing development and the financial gap between rental housing and strata residential development. This section summarizes our approach and the results of our analysis.

3.1 Approach

To estimate the financial gap between new market rental housing development and strata titled development (or the most valuable use if it is not strata), we used the following approach:

- 1. We worked with the City to identify development scenarios to model on 19 different case study sites, examining a wide variety of locations, zoning districts and forms of housing development. The case studies include scenarios which examine rental housing development on:
 - Sites currently zoned for highrise apartment use (primarily on the West Side as there are few highrise zoned sites on the East Side of Vancouver).
 - Sites currently zoned for lowrise (4 storey or less) apartment use.
 - Sites currently zoned for mixed use apartment and retail development.
 - Sites currently zoned for attached housing or townhouse use.
 - Sites currently zoned for single family use. These cases examine the viability of building rental apartment projects on sites zoned for single family development. This would require rezoning to allow rental apartment on these sites. Single family sites near Skytrain

² Although strata titled development is more attractive from a financial perspective, developers have occasionally built new rental projects in the City. However, this has typically occurred when there is a downturn in the strata market and when site acquisition costs have been low. Typically, these buildings have been strata titled at the outset, but operated as rental, so the owner can elect to convert the building to condominiums at a later date. In addition, some rental buildings have been created as part of a rezoning agreement with the City for large projects that also include strata titled residential units.

Stations were selected for these scenarios on the basis that the City might consider densification near Skytrain Stations.

- Site currently zoned for industrial use. These cases examine the viability of building rental apartment projects on sites zoned for industrial development. This would require rezoning to allow rental apartment on these sites.
- For each scenario, we collected the market data needed to model rental and non rental development (usually strata titled development, but is some cases single family and in some cases mixed residential and commercial development). Information on our research sources is included in Appendix 1.
- 3. We constructed financial models to evaluate project performance at each site in a series of steps:
 - First, we estimated the value of the property under existing use (if it was improved with an existing building).
 - Next, we estimated the land value of the site under existing zoning, assuming redevelopment with a strata residential (or mixed-use) project.
 - The higher of these two estimates represents the market value of the property and is the land acquisition price used in the subsequent rental housing development scenario.
 - We then used our rental housing development proforma (with land acquisition cost from the previous step plus a 15% developer's profit margin allowance) to estimate the deficit that a rental housing developer would incur if they proceeded with rental development on the site. This deficit is the estimated financial gap that would need to be closed to make rental housing development financially attractive.

3.2 Results of Analysis

The detailed case study financial analysis is included in Appendix 1. Exhibit 1 summarizes the results of the analysis for each site. This analysis is based on existing City of Vancouver land use and development policies and fee schedules (with the exception of the short term rental incentive program that was recently introduced).

For each of the 19 case study sites, the exhibit shows the following information and estimates:

- 1. Whether the case study analysis assumes that the new rental project is woodframe or concrete construction. The type of construction was selected based on the kinds of projects that are typically being built in the site's neighbourhood.
- 2. The estimated market value of the site under existing use as an income producing building (or its existing use if it is not an income producing building).

- 3. The estimated market land value of the site for redevelopment under existing zoning. For two sites (sites 3 and 4, which are the only case study sites subject to the existing rate of change policy), this value was estimated under two different scenarios:
 - A land value estimate assuming the City's rate of change policy does not apply. The rate of change policy requires that any new development in the RM and FM Districts include the replacement of rental housing that is demolished as part of a redevelopment project that includes 6 units or more. Because this study is being completed to inform the City on the kinds of policies that could make rental development financially attractive, our analysis looks at development economics in the absence of the existing rate of change policy.
 - An estimate assuming the City's existing rate of change policy remains in place.

The rate of change policy does not apply to any of the other case studies because they do not include existing rental housing or are not in the applicable zoning districts (RM and FM).

- 4. The total estimated market of value of the site (the higher of the two preceding estimates) and the market value per square foot buildable. This is the cost that a developer would incur to acquire the site for rental housing development (or any other development).
- 5. The estimated land value supportable by the assumed rental housing development at the site (per square foot buildable). This column illustrates three important points:
 - If the land value supportable by rental housing (item 5) is lower than the estimated market value of the site (item 4), then rental housing development is not financially attractive at that site.
 - If the land value supportable by rental housing is positive, then rental housing is financially attractive if the site can be acquired at the estimated rental land value (or lower).
 - If the land value supportable by rental housing is negative, then rental housing is not financially attractive, even if the land has no cost.
- 6. The estimated deficit from developing a rental housing project (the financial gap), assuming the rental developer requires an industry standard developer's profit margin on the rental development and acquires the site at the estimated full market value (in most cases the land value supported by strata residential or mixed use development). This is the gap that needs to be closed in order to make rental development financially attractive.
- 7. The financial gap associated with new rental housing development expressed as a percentage of overall project creation costs (all project costs plus the assumed developer's profit). This figure is useful because the case study projects have different overall creation costs (total cost and per square foot cost). This figure provides a meaningful comparison between each case study and provides a sense of the magnitude of the financial gap in terms of overall project costs.

	Site	Zone	Description of Scenario	Woodframe or Concrete	Value Under Existing Use	Estimated Land Value	Estimated Property Value	Estimated Property Value (\$psfb)	Estimated Land Value for Rental	Estimated Deficit (\$psfb) for New Rental Project	Financial Gap as % of Rental Creation Cost
1	130 West Broadway	C-3A	Redevelopment of Existing Low Density Commercial Building to Highrise Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 3.0 FSR	concrete	\$19.0 million	\$16.6 million	\$19.0 million	\$121 psfb	\$14 psfb	-\$124 psfb	24%
2	2080 West Broadway	C-3A	Redevelopment of Existing Parking Lot to Highrise Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 3.0 FSR	concrete	vacant site	\$20.8 million	\$20.8 million	\$148 psfb	\$30 psfb	-\$137 psfb	25%
3	1002 West 10th Ave	RM-3	Redevelopment of Existing Older Walk up Apartment Building to Highrise Apartments	concrete	\$2.9 million	\$4.0 million	\$4.0 million	\$126 psfb	\$7 psfb	-\$138 psfb	27%
3ii	1003 West 10th Ave	RM-3	Redevelopment of Existing Older Walk up Apartment Building to Highrise Apartments	concrete	\$2.9 million	\$2.9 million ³	\$2.9 million	\$92 psfb	\$7 psfb	-\$99 psfb	21%
4	1981 West 10th Ave	RM-4	Redevelopment of Existing Older Walk up Apartment Building to Lowrise Apartment @ 1.45 FSR	woodframe	\$2.6 million	\$3.0 million	\$3.0 million	\$163 psfb	\$52 psfb	-\$129 psfb	27%
4ii	1982 West 10th Ave	RM-4	Redevelopment of Existing Older Walk up Apartment Building to Lowrise Apartment @ 1.45 FSR	woodframe	\$2.6 million	\$2.3 million ⁴	\$2.6 million	\$143 psfb	\$52 psfb	-\$108 psfb	24%

Exhibit 1: Summary of Case Study Financial Analysis

³ This land value estimate takes into account the existing rate of change policy that requires the replacement of existing rental units within any new development (of 6 or more units) in RM and FM Districts. The impact of the rate of change policy is a reduction in the market value of the land due to the requirement to include rental units in the new development. The impact is site specific as it depends on the amount of existing rental housing at the site.

⁴ This value takes into account the City's existing rate of change policy.

	Site	Zone	Description of Scenario	Woodframe	Value Under Existing Use	Estimated	Estimated Property Value	Estimated Property Value (Spsfb)	Estimated Land Value for Rental	Estimated Deficit (\$psfb) for New Rental Project	Financial Gap as % of Rental Creation Cost
5	2301 West 3rd Ave	RM-4	Redevelopment of Existing Duplex to Lowrise Apartment @ 1.45 FSR	woodframe	\$1.2 million	\$3.0 million	\$3.0 million	\$162 psfb	\$58 psfb	-\$118 psfb	25%
6	1524 West 71st Ave	RM-4	Redevelopment of Existing Detached Houses to Lowrise Apartment @ 1.45 FSR	woodframe	\$1.8 million	\$1.8 million	\$1.8 million	\$106 psfb	\$28 psfb	-\$90 psfb	23%
7	1880 Frances St	RM-4	Redevelopment of Existing House and Vacant Lot to Lowrise Apartment @ 1.45 FSR	woodframe	\$1.5 million	\$1.6 million	\$1.6 million	\$91 psfb	\$24 psfb	-\$60 psfb	16%
8	4464 Dunbar	C-2	Redevelopment of Existing Low Density Commercial Building to Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 2.5 FSR	concrete	\$2.9 million	\$5.4 million	\$5.4 million	\$140 psfb	\$57 psfb	-\$94 psfb	21%
9	3401 Cambie St	C-2	Redevelopment of Existing Low Density Commercial Building to Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 2.5 FSR	woodframe	\$5.8 million	\$6.1 million	\$6.1 million	\$135 psfb	\$64 psfb	-\$81 psfb	18%
10	5704 Fraser St	C-2	Redevelopment of Existing Low Density Commercial Building to Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 2.5 FSR	woodframe	\$1.1 million	\$2.4 million	\$2.4 million	\$67 psfb	\$21 psfb	-\$53 psfb	15%
11	4000 Main St	C-2	Redevelopment of Vacant Site to Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 2.5 FSR	woodframe	vacant site	\$4.0 million	\$4.0 million	\$92 psfb	\$46 psfb	-\$52 psfb	13%
12	1344 Kingsway	C-2	Redevelopment of Vacant Site to Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 2.5 FSR	woodframe	vacant site	\$1.5 million	\$1.5 million	\$48 psfb	\$17 psfb	-\$39 psfb	12%

						<u> </u>	-				
	Site	Zone	Description of Scenario	Woodframe or Concrete	Value Under Existing Use	Estimated Land Value	Estimated Property Value	Estimated Property Value (\$psfb)	Estimated Land Value for Rental	Estimated Deficit (\$psfb) for New Rental Project	Financial Gap as % of Rental Creation Cost
13	2054 Commercial Dr	C-2C1	Redevelopment of Existing Low Density Commercial Building to Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 3.0 FSR	woodframe	\$2.1 million	\$2.2 million	\$2.2 million	\$88 psfb	\$41 psfb	-\$53 psfb	14%
14	1206 East 22nd Ave	RM-1	Redevelopment of Old Detached Houses to Townhouse @ 1.2 FSR	woodframe	\$1.3 million	\$1.5 million	\$1.5 million	\$100 psfb	\$73 psfb	-\$40 psfb	11%
15	1122 East 22nd Ave	RT-10	Redevelopment of Old Detached Houses to Townhouse @ 0.75 FSR	woodframe	\$2.1 million	\$2.0 million	\$2.1 million	\$174 psfb	\$76 psfb	-\$109 psfb	26%
16	605 West 41st Ave	RS-1	Assumes Rezoning of RS1 site near Skytrain Station to Allow Redevelopment of Existing Houses to Lowrise Rental Apartment @ 1.45 FSR	woodframe	\$2.3 million	n/a	\$2.3 million	\$110 psfb	\$43 psfb	-\$76 psfb	19%
17	4021 Kamloops	RS-1	Assumes Rezoning of RS1 site near Skytrain Station to Allow Redevelopment of Existing Houses to Lowrise Rental Apartment @ 1.45 FSR	woodframe	\$1.5 million	n/a	\$1.5 million	\$90 psfb	\$1 psfb	-\$102 psfb	27%
18	Industrial Site	M2, I2 or I1	Assumes Rezoning of Industrial Site to Allow Lowrise Rental Apartment @ 1.45 FSR	woodframe	\$3.3 million	n/a	\$3.3 million	\$52 psfb	\$33 psfb	-\$21 psfb	6%
19	Industrial Site	M2, I2 or I2	Assumes Rezoning of Industrial Site to Allow Concrete Rental Apartment @ 3.0 FSR	concrete	\$3.3 million	n/a	\$3.3 million	\$25 psfb	-\$9 psfb	-\$39 psfb	10%

EXHIBIT FORTHING SUMMARY OF CASE STUDY FILL

All of these case studies show that rental housing development does not support enough land value to be financially viable. In all of the cases we analyzed, the value of the property under its existing use or as at redevelopment site for strata development is more valuable than the supportable land value for rental development.

However, it should be noted that in almost every case study (18 out of 19), the supportable land value from rental housing is greater than zero. Therefore, one important conclusion from this analysis is that rental housing development would be financially attractive throughout the City if land acquisition costs were lower. This suggests that policies which create rental housing development sites or density at a low cost to a developer would make rental development financially attractive (in the absence of any other policy changes).

However, rental developers currently need to pay full market value for development sites so (as shown in the exhibit) development of rental housing results in a financial gap in comparison to strata titled development (or existing use) on all of the case study sites. In some cases, the calculated financial gap is widened because the property is not yet a redevelopment candidate as the site is more valuable under its existing use is than as a development site (sites 1 and 15 illustrate this point).

For sites that are development candidates (i.e., the land value exceeds the value of the income stream generated by the existing building), the deficit varies depending on the location of the site and the type of development (lowrise woodframe versus concrete) because the economics of development vary by location and by form of development:

- 1. The financial gap for highrise (west side) rental development (sites 2 and 3) ranges from about 21% to 27% of total project creation cost. Rental development supports a land value in the range of \$7 to \$30 per sq.ft. buildable on these sites, while market land values are in the range of about \$120 to \$150 per sq.ft. buildable.
- 2. The financial gap for mixed-use rental and retail development on C-2 sites (sites 8 to 13) ranges from about 12% to 21% of total project creation cost. Rental development supports a land value in the range of \$57 to \$64 per sq.ft. buildable for the west side sites and \$17 to \$46 per sq.ft. buildable for the east side sites, while market land values are in the range of about \$65 to \$140 per sq.ft. buildable.
- 3. The financial gap for west side woodframe lowrise rental development (sites 4 and 5) ranges from about 24% to 27% of total project creation cost. Rental development supports a land value in the range of \$52 to \$58 per sq.ft. buildable on these sites, while market land values are in the range of about \$140 to \$160 per sq.ft. buildable.
- 4. The financial gap for east side and Marpole woodframe lowrise rental development (sites 6 and 7) ranges from about 16% to 23% of total project creation cost. Rental development supports a land value in the range of \$24 to \$28 per sq.ft. buildable on these sites, while market land values are in the range of about \$90 to \$100 per sq.ft. buildable.

- 5. The financial gap for east side rental townhouse development (site 14) ranges from about to 10% to 15% of total project creation cost. Rental development supports a land value in the range of \$70 to \$75 per sq.ft. buildable on these sites, while market land values are in the range of about \$100 to \$110 per sq.ft. buildable.
- 6. The financial gap for lowrise rental apartment development on sites currently zoned for single family use (sites 16 and 17) ranges from about 19% to 27% of total project creation cost. Rental development supports a lower land value than the value of the existing single family lots.
- 7. The financial gap rental for apartment development on sites currently zoned for industrial use (sites 18 and 19) ranges from about 6% to 10% of total project creation cost. Rental development supports a lower land value than the value of industrial land.

Under current market conditions and zoning, rental housing development is not financially attractive. However, the rental development financial gap varies by structure type. The gap is smallest (on a per sq.ft. buildable basis) for woodframe projects (townhouse and apartment). The gap is the largest for highrise rental projects because concrete projects have higher costs per square foot than woodframe, but do not generate significantly higher rents. The gap for mixed use rental and retail projects varies depending on how attractive/marketable the location is for retail development and whether the project is woodframe or concrete.

3.3 Sensitivity of Results to Changes in Major Assumptions

The case study analysis in Section 3.2 examines the comparative financial viability of developing new rental housing with developing new strata housing.

The analysis is based a variety of assumptions about project revenues (rental rates and strata prices), cap rates for rental buildings, construction costs and project financing costs. Almost all of the assumptions used in the analysis are common to both the rental and strata development scenarios (all land costs, construction costs, profit allowances and financing costs) so if there are changes in the marketplace, the changes would have a similar impact on both scenarios and they would not change the major conclusions of the analysis.

However, it is possible that changes in the marketplace could impact the revenue assumptions (rental rates, cap rates and strata unit prices) in the rental and strata scenarios differently. For example:

- If strata unit prices declined significantly, then land values could decline and the financial gap associated with rental development could decline.
- If cap rates for new rental projects declined, then the value of a new rental building would increase and the financial gap associated with rental development would decline.

- If the rental rate for new rental units increases, then the value of a new rental building would increase and the financial gap associated with rental development would decline.
- The opposite is also true for each of these points.

Our revenue assumptions are based on detailed analysis of new strata unit prices, rent rates for new (or newer) apartments and cap rates for apartment buildings in the City of Vancouver as of mid-2009 (outlined in Appendix 1). Over time, if the value of new strata and new rental housing units change in opposite directions, the financial gap associated with building new rental housing will change. However, it would require major changes in the relative value of new rental housing and new strata housing in the City of Vancouver to significantly reduce the estimated financial gap. For example, rents would need to rise by about 30% to 40% or more (with no change in strata prices) to eliminate the financial gap on many of the case study sites.
4.0 Illustration of the Financial Impact of Possible Policy Options

As shown in Section 3.2, all of the case studies indicated that there is a significant financial deficit associated with developing new rental housing. In order for private market rental housing to be financially attractive for a developer, this gap needs to be closed.

Therefore, we tested the sensitivity of the financial gap to changes in variables that may be policyamenable. Please note that we are not recommending these policies, just testing the financial impact of each option.

For this analysis, we tested the sensitivity of the estimated financial gap on six of the case study sites already examined in Section 3.2. These sites were selected as they represent a cross section of locations and zoning districts in the City and include analysis for both concrete and woodframe buildings. Exhibit 2 shows the sites selected for this sensitivity analysis and the base case estimate of the financial gap for each site in the absence of policies to improve financial performance (the base case gap ranges from \$60 to \$137 per sq.ft. buildable for these case studies). Because the gap ranges for different projects, the required policy changes to make development financially attractive will vary by project type and location.

	Site	Zoning	Description of Scenario	Woodframe or concrete development	Base Case Estimated Deficit (\$psfb) for New Rental Project
1	130 West Broadway	C-3A	Redevelopment of Existing Low Density Commercial Building to Highrise Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 3.0 FSR	concrete	-\$124 psfb
2	2080 West Broadway	C-3A	Redevelopment of Existing Parking Lot to Highrise Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 3.0 FSR	concrete	-\$137 psfb
4	1981 West 10th Avenue	RM-4	Redevelopment of Existing Older Walk up Apartment Building to Lowrise Apartment @ 1.45 FSR	woodframe	-\$129 psfb
7	1880 Frances Street	RM-4	Redevelopment of Existing House and Vacant Lot to Lowrise Apartment @ 1.45 FSR	Woodframe	-\$60 psfb
8	4464 Dunbar	C-2	Redevelopment of Existing Low Density Commercial Building to Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 2.5 FSR	Concrete	-\$94 psfb

Exhibit 2: Estimated Base Case Rental Housing Financial Gap

There are four general options for improving the financial viability of rental housing development and closing the financial gap. This includes policies aimed at:

- 1. Increasing the revenue (and increasing the value) of new rental housing.
- 2. Reducing the operating costs (and increasing the value) of new rental housing.
- 3. Reducing the cost of constructing new rental housing.
- 4. Reducing the cost of land acquisition for new rental housing.

This section examines policies and strategies that could be considered under each of these four options.

Section 4.5 also comments on additional strategies that have been suggested to increase the supply of new rental housing, but are not associated with improving the financial performance of new rental housing projects.

Changes to income tax legislation for rental housing (such as capital gains tax, recapture, and depreciation rates) could also improve the viability of new rental development. Any changes that reduce the cost of taxes associated with owning new rental housing will increase the value of new rental housing (by reducing the cap rate that buyer would require if their taxes were reduced) and should improve the economic viability of new rental construction.

However, it is important to note that the implications of changes to income tax legislation will vary significantly for different investors. For example, some rental investors (such as pension plans) do not typically pay income taxes so this type of investor would not have any incentive to pay more for any given rental building. Other types of investors may be able to off-set taxable gains/income from losses on other properties. Tax implications also vary significantly from property to property depending on total net income and the amount of any capital gain (which will likely vary depending on the holding period). Therefore, the impact of changes to capital gains taxes, recapture and depreciation rates on the economics of new rental housing development will vary widely depending on the building and the investor so this report does not examine the impact of changes to income tax rules associated with operating and holding a new rental apartment building. The implications of income tax regulations are being considered in a separate study that the City commissioned (Study 2C by the Altus Group).

4.1 Increasing the Revenue at New Rental Projects

Increasing the potential revenue at a new rental project will increase its market value and improve it's the financial viability of new rental development. There are two ways that the potential revenue at new rental projects could increase:

1. Market rents could increase. Rents will likely increase if the supply of rental housing is constrained. In addition, rents could rise if Provincial legislation controlling rent increases was eliminated.

2. Rent supplements could be offered. We estimated the minimum rent supplement that would be required to make rental development financially attractive at six of the case study sites⁵.

The results of our analysis are summarized in the following exhibit.

Exhibit 3 ⁻ Est	imated Required	Rent Supplemen	nt to Make Rental	Development	Financially Viable
	innaica ricquirca	rtent ouppienier	it to make i teritai	Development	T manolany viable

	Site	Zoning	Description of Scenario	Woodframe or concrete development	Base Case Estimated Deficit (\$psfb) for New Rental Project	Rent Supplement Needed to Eliminate Entire Gap
1	130 West Broadway	C-3A	Redevelopment of Existing Low Density Commercial Building to Highrise Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 3.0 FSR	concrete	-\$124 psfb	\$0.78 per sq.ft. per month or \$470 per unit per month
2	2080 West Broadway	C-3A	Redevelopment of Existing Parking Lot to Highrise Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 3.0 FSR	concrete	-\$137 psfb	\$0.85 per sq.ft. per month or \$510 per unit per month
4	1981 West 10th Avenue	RM-4	Redevelopment of Existing Older Walk up Apartment Building to Lowrise Apartment @ 1.45 FSR	woodframe	-\$129 psfb	\$0.69 per sq.ft. per month or \$410 per unit per month
7	1880 Frances Street	RM-4	Redevelopment of Existing House and Vacant Lot to Lowrise Apartment @ 1.45 FSR	Woodframe	-\$60 psfb	\$0.33 per sq.ft. per month or \$200 per unit
8	4464 Dunbar	C-2	Redevelopment of Existing Low Density Commercial Building to Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 2.5 FSR	Concrete	-\$94 psfb	\$0.59 per sq.ft. per month or \$355 per unit per month
11	4000 Main Street	C-2	Redevelopment of Vacant Site to Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 2.5 FSR	Woodframe	-\$52 psfb	\$0.33 per sq.ft. per month or \$200 per unit per month

As shown in the exhibit, rent supplements would need to range between about \$200 and \$510 per month (between about 15% and 35% of estimated rents) in perpetuity to make new rental apartment development financially viable. The high end of this range is for highrise concrete buildings and the lower end is for east side woodframe buildings.

⁵ Our analysis assumes that the increased rent (from the supplements) does not result in higher operating costs. For example, we assume that the higher revenue does not increase the assessed value of the property and increase the property taxes. If operating costs increase, the rent supplements would need to be higher.

4.2 Reducing Operating Costs at New Rental Projects

Reducing operating costs at a new rental project will increase its market value and improve its financial viability. There are two ways that the operating costs at new rental project could be reduced:

- 1. The City could eliminate or reduce its portion of property taxes.
- 2. GST and PST could be eliminated on rental building operating costs.

We analyze the potential impact of each of these policies separately. The results of our analysis are summarized in the following sections.

4.2.1 Eliminating City of Vancouver Property Taxes

The City could eliminate or reduce the City's share of annual property taxes on a new rental project. To demonstrate the maximum potential impact, our analysis assumed that the City's portion of property taxes would be eliminated in perpetuity.

The results of our analysis are summarized in the following exhibit.

	I	Ĭ			Dava Cara	E at luce at a sl	
	Site	Zoning	Description of Scenario	Woodframe or concrete development	Base Case Estimated Deficit (\$psfb) for New Rental Project	Estimated Deficit with No City of Vancouver Property Taxes	Estimated Reduction in Financial Gap
1	130 West Broadway	C-3A	Redevelopment of Existing Low Density Commercial Building to Highrise Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 3.0 FSR	concrete	-\$124 psfb	-\$115 psfb	\$9 psfb
2	2080 West Broadway	C-3A	Redevelopment of Existing Parking Lot to Highrise Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 3.0 FSR	concrete	-\$137 psfb	-\$128 psfb	\$9 psfb
4	1981 West 10th Avenue	RM-4	Redevelopment of Existing Older Walk up Apartment Building to Lowrise Apartment @ 1.45 FSR	woodframe	-\$129 psfb	-\$118 psfb	\$11 psfb
7	1880 Frances Street	RM-4	Redevelopment of Existing House and Vacant Lot to Lowrise Apartment @ 1.45 FSR	Woodframe	-\$60 psfb	-\$50 psfb	\$10 psfb
8	4464 Dunbar	C-2	Redevelopment of Existing Low Density Commercial Building to Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 2.5 FSR	Concrete	-\$94 psfb	-\$86 psfb	\$8 psfb
11	4000 Main Street	C-2	Redevelopment of Vacant Site to Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 2.5 FSR	Woodframe	-\$52 psfb	-\$44 psfb	\$8 psfb

Exhibit 4: Impact of Eliminating City of Vancouver Property Taxes

As shown in the exhibit, the elimination of the City's portion of property taxes would have a small positive impact on the financial viability of rental housing development, but on its own, eliminating City property taxes would not make rental development financially attractive.

4.2.2 Eliminating GST and PST on Operating Costs

Sales taxes are not paid on property tax so this would not affect the portion of operating costs made up of property tax.

PST is paid on some operating costs and GST is paid on all operating costs (excluding taxes). We do not have detailed information on the amount of PST embedded in apartment building operating costs, but our understanding is that, combined, GST and PST typically account for between 7% and 8% of total (non property tax) apartment building operating costs. Therefore, if GST and PST were both eliminated it could reduce operating costs by about 7% to 8%. For a

new rental building, we estimate that operating costs would be about 20% of gross revenues. If costs declined by 7% to 8%, operating cost would total about 18.5% of gross revenues. This would have a small positive impact on the value of a new rental building.

The results of our analysis are summarized in the following exhibit.

Evhibit 1h.	Impost of	Eliminating	COT	and DOT	$\sim \sim \sim$	norotina	Conto
$E \times \Pi \cup \Pi = 4 \cup .$	IIIIDaci oi	Emminating	601		OH O	peranno	COSIS
	mpace of	Emmedanie			011 0	porading	000.0

	Site	Zoning	Description of Scenario	Woodframe or concrete development	Base Case Estimated Deficit (\$psfb) for New Rental Project	Estimated Deficit with No PST and GST on Operating Costs	Estimated Reduction in Financial Gap
1	130 West Broadway	C-3A	Redevelopment of Existing Low Density Commercial Building to Highrise Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 3.0 FSR	concrete	-\$124 psfb	-\$119 psfb	\$5 psfb
2	2080 West Broadway	C-3A	Redevelopment of Existing Parking Lot to Highrise Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 3.0 FSR	concrete	-\$137 psfb	-\$132 psfb	\$5 psfb
4	1981 West 10th Avenue	RM-4	Redevelopment of Existing Older Walk up Apartment Building to Lowrise Apartment @ 1.45 FSR	woodframe	-\$129 psfb	-\$123 psfb	\$6 psfb
7	1880 Frances Street	RM-4	Redevelopment of Existing House and Vacant Lot to Lowrise Apartment @ 1.45 FSR	Woodframe	-\$60 psfb	-\$54 psfb	\$6 psfb
8	4464 Dunbar	C-2	Redevelopment of Existing Low Density Commercial Building to Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 2.5 FSR	Concrete	-\$94 psfb	-\$89 psfb	\$5 psfb
11	4000 Main Street	C-2	Redevelopment of Vacant Site to Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 2.5 FSR	Woodframe	-\$52 psfb	-\$47 psfb	\$5 psfb

As shown in the exhibit, the elimination of PST and GST on operating costs would have a small positive impact on the financial viability of rental housing development. On its own, eliminating these sales taxes would not make rental development financially attractive.

4.3 Reducing Construction Costs for New Rental Projects

Reducing construction costs at a new rental project will improve its financial viability. There are four ways that the construction costs at new rental project could be reduced:

- 1. The City could eliminate or reduce parking requirements.
- 2. The City could eliminate or reduce permit fees and levies.
- 3. GST and PST could be eliminated on new rental buildings.
- 4. An income tax credit could be provided to investors of new rental development projects.

We analyze the potential impact of each of these policies separately. The results of our analysis are summarized in the following sections.

4.3.1 Eliminating Parking Requirements

The City could eliminate or reduce parking requirements. Construction costs for underground parking are currently in the range of \$35,000 to \$40,000 per stall. Our sensitivity tests assumed no residential parking would be required to illustrate the maximum potential impact of this change.

The results of our analysis are summarized in the following exhibit.

	Site	Zoning	Description of Scenario	Woodframe or concrete development	Base Case Estimated Deficit (\$psfb) for New Rental Project	Deficit with No Residential Parking	Estimated Reduction in Financial Gap
1	130 West Broadway	C-3A	Redevelopment of Existing Low Density Commercial Building to Highrise Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 3.0 FSR	concrete	-\$124 psfb	-\$87 psfb	\$37 psfb
2	2080 West Broadway	C-3A	Redevelopment of Existing Parking Lot to Highrise Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 3.0 FSR	concrete	-\$137 psfb	-\$99 psfb	\$38 psfb
4	1981 West 10th Avenue	RM-4	Redevelopment of Existing Older Walk up Apartment Building to Lowrise Apartment @ 1.45 FSR	woodframe	-\$129 psfb	-\$81 psfb	\$48 psfb
7	1880 Frances Street	RM-4	Redevelopment of Existing House and Vacant Lot to Lowrise Apartment @ 1.45 FSR	Woodframe	-\$60 psfb	-\$12 psfb	\$48 psfb
8	4464 Dunbar	C-2	Redevelopment of Existing Low Density Commercial Building to Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 2.5 FSR	Concrete	-\$94 psfb	-\$54 psfb	\$40 psfb
11	4000 Main Street	C-2	Redevelopment of Vacant Site to Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 2.5 FSR	Woodframe	-\$52 psfb	-\$6 psfb	\$46 psfb

Exhibit 5a:	Impact of	Eliminating	Parking	Requirements
Exhibit ou.	inipuot or	Linnaang	i unung	requiremento

As shown in the exhibit, the elimination of residential parking requirements could have a significant positive impact on the financial viability of rental housing development. On its own, eliminating parking would not make rental development financially attractive.

4.3.2 Eliminating City Permit Fees and DCLs

The City could eliminate or reduce permit fees and levies. Our sensitivity tests assumed elimination of application fees (building permit, development permit) and DCLs⁶ for a rental

⁶ At the time of our analysis, the City wide DCL was scheduled to be increased from \$6.00 to \$10.20 per sq.ft. (plus an inflation adjustment) as of January 2010. Our analysis assumes the DCL will be \$10.20 per sq.ft. However, the City is considering a delay in the full implementation of the increased DCL so our sensitivity analysis may overstate the impact of eliminating the DCL.

building to illustrate the maximum potential impact of this change. The results of our analysis are summarized in the following exhibit.

	Site	Zoning	Description of Scenario	Woodframe or concrete development	Base Case Estimated Deficit (\$psfb) for New Rental Project	Deficit with No DCLs or Application Fees	Estimated Reduction in Financial Gap
1	130 West Broadway	C-3A	Redevelopment of Existing Low Density Commercial Building to Highrise Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 3.0 FSR	concrete	-\$124 psfb	-\$112 psfb	\$12 psfb
2	2080 West Broadway	C-3A	Redevelopment of Existing Parking Lot to Highrise Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 3.0 FSR	concrete	-\$137 psfb	-\$125 psfb	\$12 psfb
4	1981 West 10th Avenue	RM-4	Redevelopment of Existing Older Walk up Apartment Building to Lowrise Apartment @ 1.45 FSR	woodframe	-\$129 psfb	-\$116 psfb	\$13 psfb
7	1880 Frances Street	RM-4	Redevelopment of Existing House and Vacant Lot to Lowrise Apartment @ 1.45 FSR	Woodframe	-\$60 psfb	-\$47 psfb	\$13 psfb
8	4464 Dunbar	C-2	Redevelopment of Existing Low Density Commercial Building to Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 2.5 FSR	Concrete	-\$94 psfb	-\$82 psfb	\$12 psfb
11	4000 Main Street	C-2	Redevelopment of Vacant Site to Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 2.5 FSR	Woodframe	-\$52 psfb	-\$39 psfb	\$13 psfb

Exhibit 5b: I	mpact of	Eliminating	Citv P	ermit Fees	and DCLs
			U ,		

As shown in the exhibit, the elimination of City fees and DCLs would have a small positive impact on the financial viability of rental housing development. On its own, eliminating fees and DCLs would not make rental development financially attractive.

4.3.3 Eliminating GST and PST on New Rental Construction

The Federal and Provincial governments could reduce or eliminate GST and PST on new rental buildings.

- A purchaser of a new rental building is required to pay GST on the value of the building when rentals in the new building commence (if the developer holds the building, the developer pays the GST). There are no offsetting input tax credits as residential rents are GST exempt. Therefore, the overall cost to the investor from acquiring a new rental building is increased by the GST. If new buildings were exempt from GST, then the purchaser could pay a higher amount for the building (the amount of the net GST) and still realize the same return on investment. The net GST on a new apartment building is currently 3.2% (5% less the 36% rebate). If the developer holds the building for income, the overall creation cost is reduced by the net GST.
- PST is currently charged on some of the inputs to a construction project (mainly materials, and tools plus some other items). Based on a review of available information on this topic, our understanding is that PST accounts for roughly 2% to 3% of all construction costs (all costs excluding land). Our analysis assumes that if new apartment buildings were exempt from PST, then project costs (excluding land related costs) would decline by about 2.5%.

The results of our analysis are summarized in the following exhibit.

	Site	Zoning	Description of Scenario	Woodframe or concrete development	Base Case Estimated Deficit (\$psfb) for New Rental	Deficit with Elimination of GST+PST on New Construction	Estimated Reduction in Financial Gap
1	130 West Broadway	C-3A	Redevelopment of Existing Low Density Commercial Building to Highrise Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 3.0 FSR	concrete	-\$124 psfb	-\$108 psfb	\$16 psfb
2	2080 West Broadway	C-3A	Redevelopment of Existing Parking Lot to Highrise Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 3.0 FSR	concrete	-\$137 psfb	-\$121 psfb	\$16 psfb
4	1981 West 10th Avenue	RM-4	Redevelopment of Existing Older Walk up Apartment Building to Lowrise Apartment @ 1.45 FSR	woodframe	-\$129 psfb	-\$114 psfb	\$15 psfb
7	1880 Frances Street	RM-4	Redevelopment of Existing House and Vacant Lot to Lowrise Apartment @ 1.45 FSR	Woodframe	-\$60 psfb	-\$45 psfb	\$15 psfb
8	4464 Dunbar	C-2	Redevelopment of Existing Low Density Commercial Building to Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 2.5 FSR	Concrete	-\$94 psfb	-\$80 psfb	\$14 psfb
11	4000 Main Street	C-2	Redevelopment of Vacant Site to Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 2.5 FSR	Woodframe	-\$52 psfb	-\$39 psfb	\$13 psfb

<u> </u>			
Exhibit 5c ⁻ Im	nact of Eliminating GST	and PST on New	Rental Development
	puol of Emminuting OOT		

As shown in the exhibit, the elimination of GST and PST would have a small positive impact on the financial viability of rental housing development. On its own, eliminating sales taxes would not make rental development financially attractive.

4.3.4 Rental Housing Investment Tax Credit

Tax credits are used in other countries to attract investment in new rental housing. For example, in the United States the tax credits have been a major factor in the development of affordable rental housing. In the US, the Federal government (and State governments) forego tax revenue in return for significant investments in qualifying rental housing projects. In Canada, similar programs are used to stimulate investment in mining exploration, oil and gas development and film production.

The Federal and Provincial governments could implement an income tax credit (or a tax deduction) to investors of new rental development projects. The impact that an investment tax credit would have on the viability of rental development will depend on the design of the tax credit. For example, would it be offered by both levels of government, who would be eligible, what share of costs of would be eligible, what rate would apply to the credit?

Without a specific definition and design for a rental housing tax credit, it is not possible to estimate the specific impact on the financial gap. However, for this analysis, we have made the following assumptions to illustrate the potential impact:

- The introduction of a rental housing income tax credit would help create a new pool of investment capital in the market available for financing rental housing development.
- The investors in the rental housing would realize a return on their investment from the tax credit and a share of operating income (or sales proceeds) from the rental building. Investors would not realize interest income on their investment.
- This would create a new funding source for rental development that would replace traditional borrowing so a new rental project would not incur any interest costs on project costs (construction and land costs).

Based on these assumptions, we examined the impact on the financial gap of eliminating all financing/interest costs associated with a new rental development project. It is possible that this analysis underestimates the impact if the design of the tax credit allowed other project costs (in addition to financing) to be off-set⁷.

The results of our analysis are summarized in the following exhibit.

⁷ This would require a mechanism that allowed the benefit of the tax credit to individual investors to flow back to the project to off-set overall project costs. For example, if the tax credit was large enough, investors may not need to recoup all of their original investment into the project from the income (or sales proceeds) generated by the project. Some of their investment could be left in the project to off-set costs.

	Site	Zoning	Description of Scenario	Woodframe or concrete development	Base Case Estimated Deficit (\$psfb) for New Rental Project	Deficit with No Financing Costs Due to Income Tax Credit	Estimated Reduction in Financial Gap
1	130 West Broadway	C-3A	Redevelopment of Existing Low Density Commercial Building to Highrise Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 3.0 FSR	concrete	-\$124 psfb	-\$91 psfb	\$33 psfb
2	2080 West Broadway	C-3A	Redevelopment of Existing Parking Lot to Highrise Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 3.0 FSR	concrete	-\$137 psfb	-\$100 psfb	\$37 psfb
4	1981 West 10th Avenue	RM-4	Redevelopment of Existing Older Walk up Apartment Building to Lowrise Apartment @ 1.45 FSR	woodframe	-\$129 psfb	-\$99 psfb	\$30 psfb
7	1880 Frances Street	RM-4	Redevelopment of Existing House and Vacant Lot to Lowrise Apartment @ 1.45 FSR	Woodframe	-\$60 psfb	-\$39 psfb	\$21 psfb
8	4464 Dunbar	C-2	Redevelopment of Existing Low Density Commercial Building to Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 2.5 FSR	Concrete	-\$94 psfb	-\$67 psfb	\$8 psfb
11	4000 Main Street	C-2	Redevelopment of Vacant Site to Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 2.5 FSR	Woodframe	-\$52 psfb	-\$30 psfb	\$22 psfb

Exhibit 5d. Im	nact of Providing	n Investment	Tax Credit _	Fliminating	Financing	Costs
EXHIBIT OUT III	πρασι οι πτονιαπί	JIIIVESUIIEIIL	Tax Cieuli –	⊂iiiiiiiaiiiy	Financing	00515

As shown in the exhibit, an income tax credit has the potential to make a significant positive impact on the financial viability of rental housing development. Our analysis indicates that, on its own, an investment tax credit would not make rental development financially attractive. However, this depends on the specific design and rules associated with the tax credit.

4.4 Reducing Land Acquisition Costs for New Rental Projects

Reducing land acquisition costs for a new rental project will improve the financial viability of new development. There are three ways that land acquisition costs at new rental project could be reduced:

1. The City could grant a higher density for full rental projects than can be obtained by strata projects at the same site.

- 2. The City could grant a rental density bonus (in addition the already permitted strata density).
- 3. The City could allow rental apartment development on sites currently zoned for single family or industrial development.
- 4. The City could provide City owned land to rental developers at the market land value that is supportable by rental development.

We analyzed the potential impact of each of these policies separately. The results of our analysis are summarized in the following sections.

4.4.1 Increasing Density for Rental-only Projects

The City could have two different permitted densities for a multifamily project at the same site. For example, a strata project could be built under the permitted density in the existing zoning district, but a full rental project could be granted a higher permitted density. With the aim of determining whether or not increasing the permitted density for a rental project will close the estimated financial gap significantly, our sensitivity tests assumed:

- An additional 2.0 FSR would be permitted on highrise zoned sites, meaning a site zoned for 3.0 FSR would be permitted to achieve a total of 5.0 FSR if it was all rental housing.
- An additional 0.5 FSR would be permitted on lowrise zoned sites (RM-4 in our case studies), meaning an increase from about 1.45 FSR to 1.95 FSR for a full rental project. This extra 0.5 FSR could probably be achieved by allowing these sites to go to 4 storeys (or perhaps 6 storeys as permitted in woodframe under the recent changes to the BC Building Code). It should be noted that on small sites, this bonus may be too small to create a marketable number of rental units to sell to an investor.
- An additional 1.0 FSR would be permitted on C-2 zoned case study sites, meaning a site zoned for 2.5 FSR would be permitted to achieve a total of 3.0 FSR if it was all rental housing. This would likely require an increase in permitted height from 4 storeys to 6 storeys or more.

For each site we also estimated the density that would be required to eliminate the financial gap (assume the entire building is rental housing). The results of our analysis are summarized in the following exhibit.

	Site	Zoning	Description of Scenario	Woodframe or concrete development	Base Case Estimated Deficit (\$psfb) for New Rental Project	Deficit with Increased Density: All Rental Building	Estimated FSR Needed for Rental to be Viable: All Rental Building	Estimated Reduction in Financial Gap
1	130 West Broadway	C-3A	Redevelopment of Existing Low Density Commercial Building to Highrise Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 3.0 FSR	concrete	-\$124 psfb	-\$71 psfb with a 2.0 FSR Increase	30+FSR	\$53 psfb
2	2080 West Broadway	C-3A	Redevelopment of Existing Parking Lot to Highrise Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 3.0 FSR	concrete	-\$137 psfb	-\$80 psfb with a 2.0 FSR Increase	30+FSR	\$57 psfb
4	1981 West 10th Avenue	RM-4	Redevelopment of Existing Older Walk up Apartment Building to Lowrise Apartment @ 1.45 FSR	woodframe	-\$129 psfb	-\$74 psfb with a 0.5 FSR Increase	not possible in wood (over 3.0 FSR)	\$55 psfb
7	1880 Frances Street	RM-4	Redevelopment of Existing House and Vacant Lot to Lowrise Apartment @ 1.45 FSR	Woodframe	-\$60 psfb	-\$32 psfb with a 0.5 FSR Bonus	not possible in wood (over 3.0 FSR)	\$28 psfb
8	4464 Dunbar	C-2	Redevelopment of Existing Low Density Commercial Building to Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 2.5 FSR	Concrete	-\$94 psfb	-\$49 psfb with a 1.0 FSR Increase	6.0 FSR	\$45 psfb
11	4000 Main Street	C-2	Redevelopment of Vacant Site to Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 2.5 FSR	Woodframe	-\$52 psfb	-\$25 psfb with a 1.0 FSR Increase	6.0 FSR	\$27 psfb

Exhibit 6a: Im	pact of Increasing	g Permitted Density	y for Rental-only	y Projects

As shown in the exhibit, increasing the permitted density at a rental project can make a significant positive impact on the financial viability of rental housing development. On its own, increasing the permitted density at a rental project will not make rental development financially attractive (in the absence of very large increases in permitted FSR, which are probably not physically achievable or acceptable).

4.4.2 Granting Bonus Rental Housing Density

The City could keep the densities permitted under existing multifamily zoning in place, but include an additional density bonus for strata projects that also include rental. For example, a strata developer could build up to the existing permitted density. However, a higher density could be obtained if the all of the additional density was used for rental housing.

As shown in Exhibit 1 in Section 3.2, rental development supports a positive land value on almost all of the sites that we analyzed. Therefore, rental housing development is viable if land has a low cost. If density bonuses are granted (in addition to the existing permitted strata density), development will be financially viable even if the entire bonus is required to be rental.

Therefore, this strategy can make rental development (in a mixed strata and rental project) financially attractive on its own in the absence of other policies and initiatives.

It should be noted that financial viability on its own will not ensure that a mixed strata and rental project will be attractive to developers. There are likely other issues that need to be resolved in a mixed strata and rental building, such as: the marketability of the rental component to investors (given that it could be small), the allocation of operating and maintenance costs between the strata and rental units, and any potential impacts on the marketability of strata units in a mixed strata and rental building.

Mixing rental and strata units will likely work best in larger projects.

4.4.3 Rental Development on Single Family and Industrial Sites

The City could allow rental apartment development on sites currently zoned for single family or industrial development. These sites would have a lower land acquisition cost than sites already zoned for strata residential use. The lower land acquisition cost would improve the viability of rental housing development.

Case studies 17 to 19 in Exhibit 1 in Section 3.2, examined the financial viability of development rental apartment projects on sites currently zoned for single family and industrial use. These case studies assumed that a rental project would be permitted at each site, but strata residential would not so the properties could be acquired by the rental developer based on each property's value under existing zoning, rather than the higher value that would be supported by strata development.

Each of these case studies indicated that rental housing is not financially attractive on single family sites or industrial sites (although the viability is closer than at sites already zoned for strata residential) in the absence of other policy initiatives to improve the performance of rental housing.

4.4.4 Making City Owned Land Available for Rental Development

The City could provide City owned land to rental developers at a price that is supportable by rental development.

As shown in Exhibit 1 in Section 3.2, rental development supports a positive land value on almost all of the sites that we analyzed. Therefore, rental housing development is viable if land has a low cost. If the City made land available to rental housing developers at a price that is supportable be rental development, rental development would be financially viable.

Therefore, this strategy can make rental development financially attractive on its own in the absence of other policies and initiatives.

If City owned land is made available at the value supported by rental development, the estimated financial gap associated with rental housing development will be eliminated.

It should be noted that, although this will make rental development financially attractive, this approach effectively results in the City subsidizing new rental development.

4.5 Requiring Rental Housing in New Strata Projects

One option that the City asked us to comment on is whether or not a requirement to include rental housing units as part of a project is financially viable.

Rental housing supports a lower land value than strata so any requirement to include rental (through zoning) as part of a new strata project will reduce the land value that a developer can afford to pay for a site (and still earn the required developer's profit). If a requirement is introduced to include rental housing in new strata projects, the primary impact will be to reduce the value of development sites. If sites can be acquired at an appropriate (lower land value), then new mixed strata and rental projects will be financially viable. However, if the rental requirement is high, development site values could be significantly reduced (as illustrated by the existing rate of change policy) which could change the highest and best use of a property from a multifamily residential development site to the existing use (or an alternative permitted use, such as retail, single family or duplex). Therefore, the overall impact of a requirement to include rental housing in new strata projects that projects that proceed due to a lack of sites that are financially attractive for redevelopment.

4.6 Summary of Analysis

The exhibit in Appendix 2 summarizes the analysis of each of the different policy options that we analyzed from a financial perspective. This section identifies the notable points.

There are some policy initiatives and strategies that could be considered which, on their own, have the potential to eliminate (or almost eliminate) the financial gap associated with rental housing development. Policies which appear to have the ability to eliminate the financial gap include:

- 1. Providing rent supplements to rental building owners. To make rental apartment development financially attractive, rent supplements in the range of \$200 to \$510 per unit per month (about 15% to 35% of estimated rent) would be required in perpetuity.
- 2. Providing bonus rental density (in addition to the already permitted strata density) to multifamily residential developers. This will make the development of mixed rental and strata projects financially attractive.
- 3. Making City owned land available to rental housing developers at the market value supported by rental development. Rental housing is viable if land acquisition costs are low enough so this strategy will make rental development viable (although it represents a significant subsidy from the City to rental developers).

There is a wide variety of initiatives and policies that could be considered by the City or other levels of government which would reduce the financial gap associated with rental housing development, but on their own, will not eliminate the gap. Therefore, a collection of these strategies would be required to make a new rental project financially attractive. A combination of the following options has the ability to eliminate the financial gap and make rental housing development viable:

- 1. Introducing an investment tax credit for new rental housing has the potential to make a significant positive impact on the financial viability of rental housing development. However, the magnitude of the impact depends on the specific design and rules associated with the tax credit (it may be possible to design a tax credit that eliminates the estimated financial gap).
- 2. Reducing the parking requirement for rental buildings can significantly reduce the rental housing development financial gap.
- 3. Eliminating City permit fees and DCLs will have a modest impact on reducing the estimated financial gap.
- 4. Increasing the permitted density for a new rental project can significantly reduce the rental housing development financial gap. However, to eliminate the gap, density increases would need to be so large that they are either not physically achievable (for woodframe projects) or are not likely be acceptable to the community.
- 5. Eliminating the City of Vancouver portion of property taxes also has a material positive impact on the viability of rental housing development, although the magnitude of the benefit is less than reduced parking and increased permitted density.

- 6. Eliminating GST and PST on new rental construction will have a modest impact on reducing the estimated financial gap.
- 7. Eliminating GST and PST on rental operating costs will have a modest impact on reducing the estimated financial gap.
- 8. Allowing rental housing development (but no strata development) on sites currently zoned for single family or industrial use will have a significant impact on reducing the estimated financial gap.

The City could also consider a requirement for new multifamily residential projects to include some rental housing. However, depending on the amount of rental housing required, this policy could reduce the number of multifamily projects that proceed due to a lack of sites that are financially attractive for redevelopment (as the rental requirement will change the highest and best use of development sites).

5.0 Conclusions

The City has three general policy directions that can be considered to ensure there is an ongoing inventory of rental housing. The City can:

- 1. Focus on policies that encourage the creation of new rental housing.
- 2. Focus on policies that protect the existing stock.
- 3. Do both.

This report focuses on identifying policies that could help create new rental housing stock (item 1 above). Policies that can encourage the retention of the existing rental stock are outlined in Study 2A "Inventory and Risk Analysis".

The main conclusions from our analysis are as follows:

- 1. Rental housing development is only financially viable under existing policies and regulations if land acquisition costs are low. Given competition from strata housing developers for the same types of development sites, there are limited (or no) opportunities for developers to acquire residentially zoned sites at prices that make rental housing viable.
- 2. The financial gap between strata and rental housing development is significant, but it varies depending on location and form of construction. Generally, the gap ranges from about 15% to 25% of total rental housing creation cost⁸, indicating that the cost of creating new rental housing needs to be reduced by about 15% to 25% to make it financially attractive (or the completed value needs to increase by a similar amount).
- 3. There are few single policy changes that, on their own, have the potential to make new rental housing development financially viable. The policy options that appear to (on their own) have the most potential to encourage new rental housing development are as follows:
 - Providing bonus rental density (in addition to the already permitted strata density) to multifamily residential developers. This will make the development of mixed rental and strata projects financially attractive.
 - Introducing a rental housing investment tax credit. The effectiveness of a tax credit will depend on its design.
 - Making City owned land available to rental housing developers at the market value supported by rental development. Rental housing is viable if land acquisition costs are low enough so this strategy will make rental development viable.
 - Providing rent supplements to rental building owners. To make rental apartment development financially attractive, minimum rent supplements in the range of \$200 to

⁸ Rental housing creation cost is defined as the sum of land costs plus all project construction costs (including professional fees, permits, financing, marketing, management) plus an industry standard profit margin for the rental housing developer.

\$510 per unit per month (about 15% to 35% of estimated market rents) would be required in perpetuity.

- Requiring new multifamily residential projects to include some rental housing. However, depending on the amount of rental housing required, this policy could reduce the number of multifamily projects that proceed due to a lack of sites that are financially attractive for redevelopment (as the rental requirement will change the highest and best use of development sites).
- Upzoning existing rental properties that are redevelopment candidates to allow the replacement of existing rental units in addition to strata units.
- Identifying existing rental properties where new infill development can occur (through an
 addition to an existing building or creation of new building) with relaxations to existing
 height limits or setback requirements to avoid demolition of an existing rental building (but
 without increasing permitted density).
- Allowing any unused existing development potential or rental bonus density to be transferable to another development site (similar to transferable density for heritage revitalization projects) because some sites will not be able to use all existing permitted density or accommodate a floorspace bonus (due to physical reasons, design issues, or neighbourhood concerns).
- 4. On their own, no other policy options appear to have the potential to make rental housing development financially attractive. However, there is a wide variety of initiatives and policies that could be considered by the City or other levels of government which would reduce the financial gap, so a collection of these strategies would need to be used to make new rental development financially attractive. A combination of the following options has the ability to eliminate the financial gap and make rental housing development viable:
 - Reducing the parking requirement for rental buildings can significantly reduce the rental housing development financial gap.
 - Eliminating City permit fees and DCLs will have a modest impact on reducing the estimated financial gap.
 - Increasing the permitted density for a new rental-only project will significantly reduce the rental housing development financial gap. However, to eliminate the gap, density increases would need to be so large that they are either not physically achievable (for woodframe projects) or are not likely be acceptable to the community.
 - Eliminating the City of Vancouver portion of property taxes will have a modest impact on reducing the estimated financial gap.
 - Eliminating GST and PST on new rental construction will have a modest impact on reducing the estimated financial gap.
 - Eliminating GST and PST on rental operating costs will have a modest impact on reducing the estimated financial gap.

• Allowing rental housing development (but no strata development) on sites currently zoned for single family or industrial use will have a significant impact on reducing the estimated financial gap.

6.0 Appendix 1 – Case Study Financial Analysis

Approach

This appendix includes financial analysis for 17 different case study sites in different locations and zoning districts in the City of Vancouver. For each site, we completed financial analysis for a variety of different scenarios so each case study sites includes more than one financial scenario:

- First, we estimated the value of the property under existing use (if it was improved with an existing building).
- Next, we estimated the value of the site under existing zoning assuming development as a strata titled residential (or mixed-use) project.
- The higher of these two estimates represents the market value of the property and is the land acquisition price assumed in the subsequent rental housing development scenario.
- We then used our rental housing development proforma (with land acquisition cost estimated from the previous step plus a 15% developer's profit margin allowance) to estimate the deficit that a rental housing developer would incur if they proceeded with rental development on the site. This deficit is the estimated financial gap that would need to be closed to make rental housing development financially attractive at each site.

For each scenario, we collected the market data needed to model rental and non rental development (usually strata titled development, but is some case single family and in some cases mixed residential and commercial development). All of our market data and construction cost data is based on market conditions as of mid-2009. Our research included the following sources:

- 1. For our new rental building revenue and operating assumptions, we relied on a combination of published rental market data (from CMHC and major commercial realty companies), actual operating data from a sample of newer and older rental housing projects, actual rental data from a wide sample of new investor owned condominium units, and discussions with developers about the likely achievable rents and operating costs for a new rental building.
- 2. Capitalization rate assumptions for the new rental building analysis are based on a review of cap rates for a large sample of recent apartment building sales (including some new rental projects in the Lower Mainland) and discussions with commercial realtors and developers who are (or have been) active in the rental housing market. More information on cap rate analysis and selection is contained in the following sections.
- 3. For our strata residential analysis, we relied on data from MPC Intelligence about sales prices at new condominium projects currently marketing in Vancouver, detailed MLS sales information for condominium sales prices at new projects in Vancouver, and discussions with multifamily residential developers about achievable sales prices for new product in Vancouver.
- 4. Construction costs assumptions for all scenarios are based on a combination of discussions with multifamily developers who are active in the Vancouver market and published information from different cost consultants (BDC Development Consultants, BTY Group and Altus Group). Our analysis also includes other creation cost assumptions (such as soft costs, City)

fees/DCLs, property taxes, marketing, financing, regional government levies, site servicing/landscaping) that are based on typical costs for new development projects and on the City's rates as of June 2009.

Major Assumptions

The detailed assumptions for all of our analysis are included in each of the proformas contained in this appendix. Some assumptions vary on a property by property basis (to reflect building form, and neighbourhood market conditions).

The major assumptions for our strata titled development financial analysis are as follows:

1. Average sales price assumptions vary by location and form of construction. The following table summarizes the range in average unit sales price assumptions.

	Concrete apartment	Woodframe apartment	Townhouse
West side	\$675 per sq.ft.	\$525 to \$625 per sq.ft.	n/a
East side	n/a	\$425 to \$495 per sq.ft.	\$400 per sq.ft.

- 2. Marketing and commissions are assumed to be 5% of sale revenue.
- 3. A demolition cost allowance is included. For most properties this allowance ranges from about \$25,000 to \$50,000 depending on the size of the existing building. This is not based on a detailed estimate of demolition costs, it is an allowance. If actual demolition costs vary slightly from this range, it will not have a significant impact on the results of the analysis. For a few buildings, the cost allowance is higher because the existing building is very large.
- 4. Hard residential construction costs are assumed to range from about \$160 to \$180 for woodframe apartment and townhouse projects and \$240 to \$250 per sq.ft. for concrete apartment projects. These costs include parking. For our sensitivity analysis that assumed a reduction in parking, we assumed that underground parking costs range from \$35,000 to \$40,000 per stall. The construction costs are based on information published by BDC Development Consultants, Altus Group, BTY Group and on discussions we had with developers who are active in the Vancouver multifamily residential market.
- 5. Soft costs (for project management, engineering, design, legal, survey, new home warranties and other professional fees) are 10% of hard costs.
- 6. A contingency allowance of 5% of hard and soft costs is included.
- 7. Interim financing is charged on all costs at 7% per year.
- The City wide DCL is assumed to be \$3.00 per sq.ft. for projects of 1.2 FSR or less and \$10.20 per sq.ft. for other projects. These are the rates scheduled to be implemented in 2010 (although this is under review).
- 9. The GVRD DCC is included.
- 10. Property taxes are based on 2009 mill rates and our own estimate of the assessed value during development.

11. Developer's profit is set at 15% of project value, which is a typical profit margin target for new multifamily development in Vancouver.

The major assumptions for our new rental housing development financial analysis are as follows:

1. Average monthly rental rates for new projects vary by location and structure type (which leads to a significant variation in the value of a new rental building by location and construction type). The following table summarizes the range in average monthly rental rate assumptions.

	Concrete apartment	Woodframe apartment	Townhouse
West side	\$2.50 per sq.ft.	\$2.15 to 2.40 per sq.ft.	n/a
East side	n/a	\$2.00 to \$2.25 per sq.ft.	\$1.85 per sq.ft.

- Operating costs (excluding property taxes) are assumed to equal 15% to 20% of revenues. This is based on a detailed analysis of actual operating costs at a wide variety of existing rental buildings (including some newer buildings). This generally works out to between \$3400 and \$3800 per rental apartment unit (plus taxes).
- 3. Property taxes are based on 2009 mill rates and our estimates of the value of the building upon completion.
- 4. To estimate overall project value, the net operating income is capitalized at 5.5%. This rate is based on a detailed analysis of capitalization rates for recent apartment building sales in Vancouver and on discussions with developers about cap rates for some of the newest rental buildings in the Lower Mainland. A more detailed explanation about cap rate selection is provided in the next section of this appendix.
- 5. All construction and demolition costs are the same as in the strata analysis, except hard costs are assumed to be \$20 per sq.ft. lower than the strata residential costs. This accounts for an assumed lower cost for finishing and fixtures in a rental building versus a strata building.
- 6. Developer's profit is set at 15% of project value.
- 7. Land acquisition cost is based on our estimate of the property's value under highest and best use.

Selecting Capitalization Rates for the Rental Analysis

To estimate the overall market value of the existing rental buildings in each case study, we capitalized the estimated net operating income. The assumed cap rates used in the case studies are based on a detailed analysis of capitalization rates for recent apartment building sales in Vancouver, taking into account that we have used market rents in the operating assumptions (so there is limited opportunity to increase rents).

We collected information about cap rates for rental building sales in Vancouver from 2006 to 2009, including the only recent sales of new apartment buildings in the region (one in North Vancouver and one in Coquitlam). The following table summarizes the sales of rental buildings in Vancouver and nearby municipalities for which cap rate information was available.

						Papartad	
Year	Address	Municipality	Sale Price	Suites	\$ / Suite	Cap Rate	Comments
2009	6712 Mckay Ave	Burnaby	\$4.275.000	28	\$152.679	4.30%	
2009	7428 6th Street	Burnaby	\$2,775,000	20	\$138,750	6.10%	
2009	6433 McKay Ave	Burnaby	\$4,700,000	36	\$130,556	3.80%	
2009	6822 Arcola Street	Burnaby	\$1,180,000	10	\$118,000	4.90%	
2009	1110 Howie	Coquitlam	\$3,351,750	36	\$93,104	5.60%	
2009	1200 Howie	Coquitlam	\$6,950,000	66	\$105,303	5.50%	
2009	535-555 Shaw Avenue	Coquitlam	\$11,950,000	111	\$107,658	5.30%	
2009	3091 Lincoln	Coquitlam	\$10,450,000	66	\$158,333	5.75%	new building
2009	436 Ash Street	New Westminster	\$1,315,000	14	\$93,929	5.40%	
2009	135 E. 19th	North Vancouver	\$3,338,000	23	\$145,130	5.50%	
2009	1630 Chesterfield	North Vancouver	\$1,925,000	11	\$175,000	4.60%	
2009	1169 E. 27th	North Vancouver	\$8,397,442	32	\$281,250	5.75%	new building
2009	1510 East 4th	Vancouver	\$2,600,000	16	\$162,500	5.30%	rents higher than typical market rent
2009	8655 Laurel Street	Vancouver	\$2,385,000	18	\$132,500	4.80%	
2009	1015 W. 13th	Vancouver	\$2,860,000	11	\$260,000	5.30%	was asking 4.8% cap rate
2009	825 SW Marine	Vancouver	\$2,288,000	17	\$134,588	4.50%	
2009	1578 W. 11th	Vancouver	\$2,885,000	16	\$180,313	3.70%	rents below market
2009	2275 W. 39th	Vancouver	\$2,530,000	10	\$253,000	4.30%	
2009	2355 W. 1st	Vancouver	\$4,000,000	17	\$235,294	4.30%	
2009	1766 West 11th Avenue	Vancouver	\$1,830,000	7	\$261,429	3.80%	
2009	2033 Beach Avenue	Vancouver	\$11,368,000	36	\$315,778	3.40%	rents below market
2009	2054 Comox Street	Vancouver	\$3,696,700	23	\$160,726	4.50%	
2007	2250 Dundas	Vancouver	\$1,700,000	18	\$94,444	4.20%	rents below market
2008	2067 Pandora	Vancouver	\$1,525,000	8	\$190,625	5.20%	
2008	5550 Yew Street	Vancouver	\$4,400,000	22	\$200,000	3.20%	rents well below market
2007	3010 Ontario	Vancouver	\$1,600,000	8	\$200,000	4.50%	strata building so potential to convert
late 200	520 N Nanaimo	Vancouver	\$2,475,000	20	\$123,750	5.00%	
2006	336 East 7th Ave	Vancouver	\$2,200,000	18	\$122,222	4.70%	
2006	3777 Cambie Street	Vancouver	\$3,675,000	25	\$147,000	4.60%	
2007	1876 West Broadway	Vancouver	\$3,000,000	21	\$142,857	4.70%	extensively renovated
2007	1626 West 12th Avenue	Vancouver	\$2,390,000	11	\$217,273	5.50%	
2007	8678 Osler	Vancouver	\$1,800,000	15	\$120,000	4.00%	rents significantly below market
2007	1225 West 13th Avenue	Vancouver	\$2,150,000	14	\$153,571	4.10%	rents significantly below market
2008	3122 Quebec	Vancouver	\$2,305,000	13	\$177,308	4.00%	well maintained - rents below market
2007	132 West 71st Avenue	Vancouver	\$7,475,000	54	\$138,426	4.60%	well maintained building
2008	234 East 14th	Vancouver	\$3,215,000	22	\$146,136	3.80%	
2006	1016 East 8th	Vancouver	\$4,180,000	35	\$119,429	4.60%	well maintained - numerous upgrades
2006	2255 Cypress	Vancouver	\$7,500,000	47	\$159,574	4.20%	well maintained - numerous upgrades
ate 200	1026 West 13th Avenue	Vancouver	\$1,768,000	10	\$176,800	4.90%	some units below market rents
2007	1171 West 12th Avenue	Vancouver	\$4,795,000	22	\$217,955	5.40%	renovated - rents at market

The following table summarizes information about cap rates for Vancouver rental buildings currently listed for sale.

List Date	Address	Municipality	List Price	Suites	\$ / Suite	List Cap Rate	Comments
2009	2143 Kingsway	Vancouver	\$4,600,000	14 + 4 CRUs		4.80%	5.3% cap rate on projected rents
2009	4141 Oak Street	Vancouver	\$2,100,000	12	\$175,000	4.30%	5.0% on projected rents
2009	1250 Nicola	Vancouver	\$3,480,000	14	\$248,571	3.80%	4.6% on projected rents
2009	222 East 15th	Vancouver	\$1,750,000	12	\$145,833	3.80%	5.2% on projected rents
2009	1440 West 71st Ave	Vancouver	\$1,500,000	10	\$150,000	2.70%	5.3% on projected rents
2009	935 Jervis	Vancouver	\$5,695,000	19	\$299,737	4.80%	cap rate based on projected rents
2009	1775 Pendrell	Vancouver	\$4,449,000	19	\$234,158	4.30%	

The cap rates for the older Vancouver buildings range from a low of about 3.2% to a high of about 5.5%. However, it is important to note that the cap rates are heavily influenced by a variety of factors, such as:

- 1. If a property has favourable financing in placed that can be assumed by the purchaser, it has a downward influence on the cap rate.
- 2. If there is potential to increase the existing rents in a building (i.e. rents are below market), it has a downward influence o the cap rate.
- 3. If a site has the potential for redevelopment in the future, it has a downward influence on the cap rate.
- 4. If a property is well maintained (and if it is concrete), there can be a downward influence on the cap rate.
- 5. If there is an opportunity to reduce operating costs, there can be a downward influence on the cap rate.

Controlling for these factors, our analysis indicates that cap rates for older buildings in Vancouver tend to range between about 4.6% and 5.3%.

Another important observation from our analysis is that cap rates have little variation by location. However, rental building values do have significant variation by location due differences in achievable rent by location.

Overall, we anticipate that a typical cap rate for an older rental building in Vancouver (controlling for the factors outlined above) would currently be about 5.0%.

We are aware of two recent sales of new rental apartment buildings Lower Mainland (both built by Polygon as part of rezoning agreements). Both of these reportedly traded at cap rates of 5.75% (on projected net income). We contacted Polygon to discuss the cap rate achieved at each building, the factors affecting the cap rates, and the potential implications for a new rental building in Vancouver. Vancouver likely has greater investor interest then the municipalities where these two buildings are located so these buildings likely represent an upper bound on the cap rate for a new building in Vancouver.

To select a capitalization rate to apply to our case studies for the new rental apartment buildings, we considered the following:

1. Owners of new rental apartment buildings face significant competition from the stock of investor owned strata units in new condominium projects in Vancouver. Investor condominiums target similar price points as a new rental project would target. The investor condo rental market is a large and growing segment of the rental market so a new rental project will likely face increasing competition from similar priced rental units over time. This has the potential to create higher vacant in new rental apartments (in comparison to older lower priced rental apartments) over time and constrain potential rental rate growth. This creates upward pressure on the cap rate that a new apartment building requires (in comparison to an older rental building).

- 2. Because new rental units target the highest price points in the rental market, there probably less ability to increase rents over time in a new building than in an older building. This creates upward pressure on the cap rate that a new apartment building requires (in comparison to an older rental building).
- 3. The acquisition price for a new rental building (particularly a large building) is high. Therefore, there are fewer potential purchasers of a large new apartment building than an older less valuable rental building. Given the smaller pool of potential purchasers, developers/vendors of new apartment buildings have less ability to increase the sales price of the building (and reduce the cap rate) during negotiations than vendors of an older less expensive building. This has an upward influence on cap rates for new buildings.
- 4. An investor who acquires a new rental building (that has not yet been occupied) from a developer/builder is required to pay GST on market value of the building. Because there GST is not charged on residential rents, there are no offsetting input tax credits that can be applied over time to recover the GST paid. Therefore, an investor who acquires a new building from a developer/builder prior to occupancy of the building incurs GST and will require a higher cap than an investor who acquires an older building.
- 5. Developers that we contacted who are active in the Vancouver multifamily market and are planning to build (or who have built) new rental apartment buildings indicated the cap rate for new buildings should be higher than cap rates for older rental buildings.

Overall, we think that a cap rate for a new rental building in Vancouver would be significantly higher than the cap rates indicated by the sales of the older buildings (about 5.0%) and less than the cap rate indicted by the sales of the two new apartment buildings located outside of Vancouver.

Therefore, our analysis assumes that the cap rate for a new rental building in Vancouver would be about 5.5%.

Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental Housing Study						
Estimate of the Market Value of Commerce	ial Building l	Jnder E	xisting Use	and Zoni	ng	
Case Study Number	1A					
Property Address	1130 West B	roadway				
Description	Moutain Equi	oment Co	o-op Building			
						_
Assumptions						
Existing Zoning	C3A					-
Permitted Maximum FSR	3.0	FSR				_
Site Size	52.324	sa.ft. or	412	bv	127	_
Existing Building						
Number of Storevs	1					
Existing Built FSR	1.10	FSR				
Total Gross Floorspace	57,800	sq.ft.				
Gross Office Floorspace	8,000	sq.ft.				
Gross Retail Floorspace	49,800	sq.ft.				
Net Rentable Office Floorspace	8,000	or	100%	of gross o	ffice floorspace	
Net Rentable Retail Floorspace	49,800	or	100%	of gross re	etail floorspace	
Office Lease Rate	\$22.00	per sq.ft.	per year net			
Retail Lease Rate	\$22.00	per sq.ft.	per year net			
Commercial Vacancy Allowance	5.0%					
Property Tax Allowance						
Business/Other Assessment	\$12,734,000					
Business/Other Tax Rate	1.982256%					
Commercial Property Taxes	\$252,420					
Commercial Operating Costs (excluding tax)	\$8.00	per sq.ft.	per year			
Analysis						
Revenues						
Commercial Gross Potential Rent (includes taxes)	\$1,986,420					
Commercial Vacancy	\$99,321					
Commercial Property Taxes	\$252,420					
Commercial Operating Costs (excluding tax)	\$398,400					
Commercial Net Operating Income	\$1,236,279					_
Capitalization Rate on Commercial Space	6.5%					
Capitalized Value of Commercial Space	\$19,019,677					_
Less Allowance for Deferred Maintenance	¢0					
Estimated Market Value	\$19 019 677					-
Value per Gross Square Foot of Space	\$329.06					
Value per Gross Square Foot of Site Area	\$363.50					-
Faide per Groop Oquare i dot di Otto Aroa	φυου.ου	1		1		

Case Study 1: 130 West Broadway

Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental Housing Study						
Estimate of the Market Land Value of Site Under Existing	n Zoning					
Case Study Number	1B					
Property Address	1130 West Broa	adway				
Description	Moutain Equipm	ent Co-op Building				
Site and Building Size						
Existing Zoning	C3A					
Permitted Maximum FSR	3.0	FSR				
Site Size	52,324	sg.ft. or	412	by	127	-
Assumed Density	3.00	FSR		,		
Total Gross Floorspace	156.972	sa.ft.				
Retail Space	25,000	sq.ft.				
Gross Residential Floorspace	131.972	sa.ft.				
Net Residential Floorspace	114,816	sg.ft. saleable		87%	of gross a	rea
Average Net Unit Size	750	sq.ft.			-	
Number of Units	153.0	units				
Number of Parking Stalls	1	per multifamily unit plus		153		
-	2.0	per 1000 sg.ft. of retail spa	ace or	50)	
		· · · ·		203	in total	
Revenue and Value						
Average Sales Price Per Sq. Ft. of Multifamily Residential Space	\$650.00	per sq.ft. of net saleable r	esidential	space		
Average Lease Rate for Retail Space	\$35.00	per sq.ft. net for shell spa	ce, no TI's			
Vacancy and Nonrecoverable Allowance on Commercial Space	7%					
Capitalization Rate for Retail Space	6.50%					
Value of Retail Space Upon Lease-up	\$500.77	per sq.ft. of leasable area				
Construction Costs						
Demolition Costs	\$200,000					
Permit Fees	\$239,000					
Site Servicing	\$314,024	assuming	\$2,500	per lineal	metre of f	rontage
Landscaping	\$5.00	per sq.ft. of site area on	0.0%	of site		
Building Construction Costs - Residential	\$200					
Building Construction Costs - Retail/Commercial	\$180	assuming shell space				
Parking Construction Costs	\$40,000	per stall				
Total Hard Construction Costs	\$249	per gross sq.ft. assuming u	Indergrour	nd parking	and retail a	at grade
Soft Costs	10%	of hard costs and parking				
Contingency on Costs	5%					
GVRD Sewer Levy	\$590.00	per apartment unit				
	\$0.443	per sq.ft. of retail space				
City Wide DCL's	\$10.20	per sq.ft. of building area				
Area Specific DCL's	\$0.00	per sq.ft. of building area				
Interim Financing on construction costs	7.0%	on 50% of construction cos	sts for	1.5	years	
Other Costs and Allowances						
Marketing and Commissions	5.0%	of gross residential reven	le			
	2.0%	of commercial value				
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$0	assuming one month of re	nt per exis	ting unit		
Assessed Value Year 1 - existing assessment	\$12,734,000					
Taxes during Year 1 - see existing building analysis	\$252,420					
Assessed Value Year 2	\$37,315,083	(50% of completed value)				
Property Tax Rate (blended residential and business)	0.670974%					
Taxes during Year 2	\$250,375					
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	15%	of gross revenue				

Analysis				
Revenue				
Gross Multifamily Sales Revenue	\$74,630,166			
Capitalized Value of Retail Space	\$12,519,231			
Total Sales Revenue	\$87,149,397			
Less Marketing and Commissions	\$3,981,893			
Net Sales Revenue	\$83,167,504			
	ć0			
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$0			
Demolition Costs	\$200,000			
Permit Fees	\$239,000			
Site Servicing	\$314,024		 	
Landscaping	\$0			
Hard Construction Costs	\$39,014,400			
Soft Costs	\$3,932,842			
Contingency on Hard and Soft Costs	\$2,185,013			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Residential	\$90,270			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Commercial	\$11,075			
City Wide DCL's	\$1,601,114			
Area Specific DCL's	\$0			
Property Taxes during approvals and construction	\$502,795			
Interim Financing	\$2,524,753			
Total Construction Costs	\$50,615,288			
Total Construction Costs per sq.ft.	\$322			
	642.072.440			
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	\$13,072,410			
Residual to Land and Land Carry	\$19,479,807			
Less interim financing on land for 24 months (7%)	\$2,479,248			
Less property purchase tax	\$338,011			
Residual Land Value	\$16,662,547			
Residual value per sq. ft. of site	\$318.45 \$455.45			
Residual Value per sq.ft. buildable	\$106.15			

Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental Housing	Study					
Base Case Rental Anartment Analysis						
Case Study Number	10					
Property Address	130 West Broadwa	W				
Description	Moutain Equipme	nt Co. on Building				
Description						
Cite and Building Cize						
Site and Building Size	C2 4					
	C3A					
Permitted Maximum FSR	3.0	FSR				
Site Size	52,324	sq.ft. or	412	by	127	
Assumed Density	3.00	FSR				
Total Gross Floorspace	156,972	sq.ft.				
Retail Space	25,000	sq.ft.				
Gross Residential Floorspace	131,972	sq.ft.				
Net Residential Floorspace	114,816	sq.ft. saleable		87%	of gross ar	ea
Average Net Unit Size	600	sq.ft.				
Number of Units	191.0	units				
Number of Parking Stalls	0.9	per multifamily unit plus		172		
	2.0	per 1000 sq.ft. of retail sp	ace or	50		
				222	in total	
Construction Costs					totai	
Demolition Costs	¢200.000					
Demolition Costs	\$200,000					
Permit Fees	\$239,000		40 - 00			
Site Servicing	\$314,024	assuming	Ş2,500	perlineal	metre of fi	rontage
Landscaping	\$5.00	per sq.ft. of site area on	0.0%	of site		
Building Construction Costs - Residential	\$185					
Building Construction Costs - Retail/Commercial	\$180	assuming shell space				
Parking Construction Costs	\$40,000	per stall				
Total Hard Construction Costs	\$241	per gross sq.ft. assuming u	undergroun	d parking a	and retail a	it grade
Soft Costs	10%	of hard costs and parking				
Contingency on Costs	5%					
GVRD Sewer Levy	\$590.00	per apartment unit				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$0.443	per sq.ft, of retail space				
City Wide DCI's	\$10.20	per sq ft of building area				
Area Specific DCI's	\$0.00	per sqift, of building area				
Interim Einancing on construction costs	7.0%	on EO% of construction co	etc for	1 5	voarc	
	7.0%		515 101	1.5	years	
Other Orestian Costs and Allowerses						
	ćo 00					
	Ş0.00					
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$0.00	assuming one month of re	ent per exis	ting unit		
Assessed Value Year 1 - existing assessment	\$12,734,000					
Taxes during Year 1 - see existing building analysis	\$252,420					
Assessed Value Year 2	\$31,458,519	(roughly 50% of complete	d value)			
Property Tax Rate (blended residential and business)	0.670974%					
Taxes during Year 2	\$211,079					
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	15%	of value				
Commission on Sale of Building	1%	of value				
Operating Revenue, Cost and Value Assumptions						
Average Lease Rate for Retail Space	\$35.00	per sg.ft. net for shell spa	ce, no TI's			
Market Rental Rates						
Residential Units (average)	\$2.50	per sa ft, per month or		\$1,500	per unit pe	r month
	\$0.00	per unit per month (in-suite)	1	<i></i>	por anic po	
Parking Perenue	¢0.00 \$100.00	per stall per month				
Residential Vacancy Allowance	φ100.00 1 0%					
	F.0%					
Commercial Vacancy Allowance	5.0%					
Property Tax Allowance	ALE 000 011					
	\$45,000,000					
Residential Tax Rate	0.422573%					
Residential Property Taxes	\$190,158					
Business/Other Assessment	\$11,000,000					
Business/Other Tax Rate	1.982256%					
Commercial Property Taxes	\$218,048					
Residential Operating Costs - excluding taxes (see notes)	20.0%	of effective gross residential	income			
Commercial Operating Costs (excluding tax)	\$8.00	per sq.ft. per year				

Analysis				
Net Operating Income and Value				
Revenues	* • • • • • • • •			
Commercial Gross Potential Rent (includes taxes)	\$1,293,048			
	\$64,652			
Commercial Property Taxes	\$218,048			
Commercial Operating Costs	\$200,000			
	\$810,348			
Capitalization Rate on Commercial Income	6.5%			
Capitalized Value of Commercial Space	\$12,466,886			
Anartment Cross Detential Dent	¢2 444 460			
Apartment Gross Potential Rent	\$3,444,409			
	\$200,400 ¢0			
	φ0 Φ0 050 000			
Apartment Vegeney	\$3,000,009			
Effortive Cross Apartment Payanus	\$30,509 \$2,614,261			
Ellective Gloss Apartment Revenue	\$3,014,301			
Residential Property Taxes	\$ 190, 158			
Residential Operating Expenses	\$722,872			
Net Operating Income	\$2,701,331			
Capitalization Rate on Residential Space	5.5%			
Capitalized Value of Residential Space	\$49,115,101			
Tatal Operitational Malue of Duilding	¢04 504 007			
Iotal Capitalized Value of Building	\$61,581,987			
	\$615,820			
Net Value	\$60,966,167			
	¢40.040.077			
Acquisition Costs	\$19,019,677			
PII	\$378,394			
Holding During Approvals and Construction	\$2,715,730			
	\$22,113,800			
Construction Costs				
	ŚŊ			
Tenant Relocation Costs	0Ç \$0			
Demolition Costs	ېږ ۵۵۵ ۵۵۵ ک			
Demontion Costs	\$200,000			
Site Senicing	\$235,000			
	\$0			
Hard Construction Costs	\$37 794 820			
Soft Costs	\$3,7,94,820 \$3,810,884			
Contingency	\$2,010,004			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Residential	\$112 690			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Commercial	\$11,075			
City Wide DCL's	\$1.601.114			
Area Specific DCL's	\$0			
Property Taxes during approvals and construction	\$463,499			
Interim Financing	\$2,449,915			
Total Construction Costs	\$49,114,959			
Total Construction Costs per sg.ft.	\$313			
and the sector of the				
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	\$9,237,298			
Total Creation Cost	\$80,466,057			
Deficit = Net Value less Total Creation Cost	-\$19,499,889			
Deficit per Square Foot of Gross Residential Space	-\$148			
Deficit per Square Foot of Total Gross Space	-\$124			

Case Study 2: 2080 West Broadway

Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental Housing Study						
Estimate of the Market L and Value of Site Under Existing	a Zonina					
Case Study Number	2A					
Property Address	2080 West Broa	adway				
Description	IGA Parking Lot	- Broadway and Manle				
Description	IO/ IT UIKING LOU	broadway and maple				
Site and Building Size						
Existing Zoning	C3A					
Permitted Maximum ESR	3.0	FSR				
Site Size	46 875	saft or	375	hv	125	
Assumed Density	3.00	FSR	0.0	2,	.20	
Total Gross Floorspace	140 625	sa ft				
Retail Space	21.486	sa.ft.				
Gross Residential Floorspace	119.139	sa.ft.				
Net Residential Floorspace	103 651	sg ft saleable		87%	of gross a	rea
Average Net Unit Size	770	sa.ft.			0.0000	
Number of Units	135.0	units				
Number of Parking Stalls	1	per multifamily unit plus		135		
	2.0) per 1000 sq ft of retail space or		43		
		P		178	in total	
Revenue and Value						
Average Sales Price Per Sg. Ft. of Multifamily Residential Space	\$700.00	per sq.ft, of net saleable i	residential	space		
Average Lease Rate for Retail Space	\$45.00	per sq.ft. net for shell spa	ce. no TI's			
Vacancy and Nonrecoverable Allowance on Commercial Space	7%					
Capitalization Rate for Retail Space	6.50%					
Value of Retail Space Upon Lease-up	\$643.85	per sq.ft. of leasable area				
Construction Costs						
Demolition Costs	\$0					
Permit Fees	\$220,000					
Site Servicing	\$285,823	assuming	\$2,500	per lineal	metre of f	rontage
Landscaping	\$5.00	per sq.ft. of site area on	0.0%	of site		
Building Construction Costs - Residential	\$200					
Building Construction Costs - Retail/Commercial	\$180	assuming shell space				
Parking Construction Costs	\$40,000	per stall				
Total Hard Construction Costs	\$248	per gross sq.ft. assuming	undergroun	d parking	and retail a	it grade
Soft Costs	10%	of hard costs and parking				
Contingency on Costs	5%					
GVRD Sewer Levy	\$590.00	per apartment unit				
	\$0.443	per sq.ft. of retail space				
City Wide DCL's	\$10.20	per sq.ft. of building area				
Area Specific DCL's	\$0.00) per sq.ft. of building area				
Interim Financing on construction costs	7.0%	on 50% of construction co	sts for	1.5	years	
Other Costs and Allowances						
Marketing and Commissions	5.0%	of gross residential reven	ue			
	2.0% of commercial value					
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$0) assuming one month of rent per existing unit				
Assessed Value Year 1 - existing assessment	\$19,200,000					
Taxes during Year 1 - see existing building analysis	\$380,593					
Assessed Value Year 2	\$36,277,826	(50% of completed value)				
Property Tax Rate (blended residential and business)	0.660876%					
Taxes during Year 2	\$239,751					
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	15%	of gross revenue				

Analysis						
Revenue						
Gross Multifamily Sales Revenue	\$72,555,651					
Capitalized Value of Retail Space	\$13,833,678					
Total Sales Revenue	\$86,389,329					
Less Marketing and Commissions	\$3,904,456					
Net Sales Revenue	\$82,484,873					
Construction Costs						
Construction Costs	ćo					
Demolition Costs	\$U					
Demonition Costs	\$U ¢220.000					
Permit Fees	\$220,000					
	\$285,823					
Landscaping	ېں دعم 110 م					
Hard Construction Costs	\$34,815,280					
Soft Costs	\$3,510,110					
Contingency on Hard and Soft Costs	\$1,941,561					
GVRD Sewer Levy - Residential	\$79,650					
GVRD Sewer Levy - Commercial	\$9,518					
	\$1,434,375					
Area Specific DCL's	\$U ¢cao 245					
Property Taxes during approvals and construction	\$020,345 \$2,252,425					
Interim Financing	\$2,253,125					
Total Construction Costs	\$45,109,787					
Iotal Construction Costs per sq.ft.	\$321					
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	\$12,958,399					
Residual to Land and Land Carry	\$24,356,687					
Less interim financing on land for 24 months (7%)	\$3,099,942					
Less property purchase tax	\$423,135					
Residual Land Value	\$20,833,610					
Residual Value per sq.ft. of site	\$444.45					
Residual Value per sq.ft. buildable	\$148.15					
Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental Housin	g Study					
---	---------------------	-------------------------------------	----------	------------------------	--------------	----------
Base Case Rental Apartment Analysis						
Case Study Number	2B					
Property Address	2080 West Broad	wav				
Description	IGA Parking Lot -	Broadway and Maple				
	J					
Site and Building Size						
Existing Zoning	C3A					
Permitted Maximum FSR	3.0	FSR				
Site Size	46,875	sq.ft. or	375	by	125	
Assumed Density	3.00	FSR		,		
Total Gross Floorspace	140,625	sq.ft.				
Retail Space	21,486	sq.ft.				
Gross Residential Floorspace	119,139	sq.ft.				
Net Residential Floorspace	103.651	sg.ft. saleable		87%	of gross ar	ea
Average Net Unit Size	600	sq.ft.			0	
Number of Units	173.0	units				
Number of Parking Stalls	0.9	per multifamily unit plus		156		
	2.0	per 1000 sg.ft. of retail space	or	43		
		P		199	in total	
Construction Costs				135		
Demolition Costs	\$0					
Permit Fees	\$220,000					
Site Senicing	\$285,800	assuming	\$2 500	nerlineal	metre of f	rontage
	\$5.00	ner so ft of site area on	0.0%	of site	inclic of f	ontage
Building Construction Costs - Residential			0.070	of site		
Building Construction Costs - Retail/Commercial	\$180	assuming shell snace				
Parking Construction Costs - Actal Commercial	\$40,000	ner stall				
Total Hard Construction Costs	\$2/1	per gross so ft assuming und	orgroup	d parking :	nd rotail a	t grado
Soft Costs	,7241 10%	of bard costs and parking	ergioun		inu retair a	it graue
Soft Costs						
CVRD Sower Low	ر د د م	por apartmont unit				
GVKD Sewel Levy	\$590.00 \$0.442	per apartment unit				
City Wide DCI 's	\$0.445 \$10.20	per sq.ft. of huilding area				
Area Crasifia DCL's	\$10.20	per sq.it. of building area				
Area specific DCL's	Ş0.00	per sq.it. of building area	ia.r	1 5		
Interim Financing on construction costs	7.0%	on 50% of construction costs i	or	1.5	years	
Other Crestian Costs and Allowances						
	¢0.00					
Tenent Beleastion Costs	\$0.00	accuming and month of yout		ing unit		
Assessed Velue Veer 1 evicting assessment	\$0.00	assuming one month of rent p	Jerexisi	ling unit		
Assessed value fear 1 - existing assessment	\$19,200,000					
Taxes during Year 1 - see existing building analysis	\$380,593	(nour-blue 500) of a small standard				
Assessed value year 2	\$28,155,809	(roughly 50% of completed va	aiue)			
Property Tax Rate (blended residential and business)	0.660876%					
Taxes during Year 2	\$186,075					
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	15%	of value				
Commission on Sale of Building	1%	of value				
Operating Revenue, Cost and value Assumptions	Ć45.00	and the set for the line of the				
Average Lease Rate for Retail Space	\$45.00	per sq.ft. net for snell space,	noirs			
	00.50	<u> </u>		0 4 5 00	.,	
Residential Units (average)	\$2.50	per sq.ft. per month or		\$1,500	per unit pe	r month
	\$0.00	per unit per month (in-suite)				
	\$100.00	per stall per month				
Residential Vacancy Allowance	1.0%					
Commercial Vacancy Allowance	5.0%					
Property Tax Allowance						
Residential Assessment	\$40,000,000					
Residential Tax Rate	0.422573%					
Residential Property Taxes	\$169,029					
Business/Other Assessment	\$11,000,000					
Business/Other Tax Rate	1.982256%					
Commercial Property Taxes	\$218,048					
Residential Operating Costs - excluding taxes (see notes)	20.0%	of effective gross residential inc	ome			
Commercial Operating Costs (excluding tax)	\$8.00	per sq.ft. per year				

CORIOLIS CONSULTING CORP.

Analysis				
Net Operating Income and Value				
Revenues	* (* * * * *			
Commercial Gross Potential Rent (includes taxes)	\$1,356,806			
Commercial Vacancy	\$67,840			
Commercial Property Taxes	\$218,048			
Commercial Operating Costs	\$171,888			
Commercial Net Operating Income	\$899,030			
Capitalization Rate on Commercial Income	6.5%			
Capitalized Value of Commercial Space	\$13,831,226			
Apartment Gross Potential Rent	\$3,109,528			
Parking Revenue	\$187,200			
Laundry Revenue	\$0			
Total Gross Potential Revenue	\$3,296,728			
Apartment Vacancy	\$32,967			
Effective Gross Apartment Revenue	\$3,263,761			
Residential Property Taxes	\$169,029			
Residential Operating Expenses	\$652,752			
Net Operating Income	\$2,441,979			
Capitalization Rate on Residential Space	5.5%			
Capitalized Value of Residential Space	\$44,399,624			
Total Capitalized Value of Building	\$58,230,850			
Less Sales Commissions	\$582,308			
Net Value	\$57,648,541			
Land Costs				
Acquisition Costs	\$20,833,610			
PTT	\$414,672			
Holding During Approvals and Construction	\$2,974,760			
Total Land Costs	\$24,223,042			
Construction Costs				
Initial Lease Up Costs	\$0			
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$0			
Demolition Costs	\$0			
Permit Fees	\$220,000			
Site Servicing	\$285,823			
Landscaping	\$0			
Hard Construction Costs	\$33,868,195			
Soft Costs	\$3,415,402			
Contingency	\$1,889,471			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Residential	\$102,070			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Commercial	\$9,518			
City Wide DCL's	\$1,434,375			
Area Specific DCL's	\$0			
Property Taxes during approvals and construction	\$566,668			
Interim Financing	\$2,194,055			
Total Construction Costs	\$43,985,577			
Total Construction Costs per sq.ft.	\$313			
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	\$8,734,627			
Total Creation Cost	\$76,943,247			
Deficit = Net Value less Total Creation Cost	-\$19,294,706			
Deficit per Square Foot of Gross Residential Space	-\$162			
Deficit per Square Foot of Gross Floorspace	-\$137			

Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental	Housing Stu	dv				
Estimate of the Market Value of Rental Bu	uildina Under	Existing	Use and Z	onina		
Case Study Number	3a					
Property Address	1002 West 1	Oth Avenu	<u> </u>			
Description	Existing old r	ental anar	c tment huilding	ו ר		
Description		crital apar		9		
Assumptions						
Assumptions	DM3					
Existing Zohing Permitted Maximum ESP		ESD				
Site Size	18 750		150	by	125	
Existing Building	10,750	3q.it. 0i	150	by	125	
Number of Storevs	3					
Existing Built FSR	0.64	FSR				
Total Gross Floorspace	11.922	sa.ft.				
Gross Residential Floorspace	11,922	sq.ft.				
Gross Commercial Floorspace	0	sq.ft.				
Net Rentable Residential Floorspace	10,134	or	85%	of gross rea	sidential floo	orspace
Net Rentable Commercial Floorspace	0	or	100%	of gross co	mmercial flo	oorspace
Total Number of Residential Units	13	units				
Average Net Residential Unit Size	917	sq.ft. net				
Number of Residential Parking Stalls	10	stalls				
Market Rental Rates				• · · ·		
Residential Units (average)	\$1.75	per sq.ft. p	per month or	\$1,605	per unit pe	r month
Laundry Revenue	\$15.00	per unit pe	er month			
Parking Revenue	\$50.00	per stall pe	er month			
Commercial Space		persq.it. p	er year net			
	0.0%					
Property Tax Allowance	0.076					
Residential Assessment	\$4 501 400					
Residential Tax Rate	0 422573%					
Residential Property Taxes	\$19.022	2				
Business/Other Assessment	\$0					
Business/Other Tax Rate	1.982256%					
Commercial Property Taxes	\$0)				
Residential Operating Costs (see notes)	25.0%	of effective	gross residen	tial income		
Commercial Operating Costs (excluding tax)	\$8.00	per sq.ft. p	ber year			
Analysis						
Revenues						
Commercial Gross Potential Rent (includes taxes)	\$0)				
Commercial Vacancy	\$0)				
Commercial Property Taxes	\$0	1				
Commercial Operating Costs (excluding tax)	\$0					
Commercial Net Operating Income	\$0	1				
Capitalization Rate on Commercial Space	5.5%					
Capitalized Value of Commercial Space	\$0					
Apartment Cross Retantial Pant	¢010.000	1				
	φ212,000 \$6.000					
	\$0,000	1				
Total Gross Potential Revenue	\$221 148	1				
Apartment Vacancy	\$2 211	, 				
Effective Gross Apartment Revenue	\$218,936	;				
Residential Operating Expenses and Property Taxes	,					
Residential Property Taxes	\$19,022	2				
Residential Operating Expenses	\$54,734					
Total Operating Expenses and Property Taxes	\$73,756	i				
Net Operating Income on Residential	\$145,180)				
Capitalization Rate on Residential	5.0%					
Capitalized Value of Residential Space	\$2,903,609)				
Total Capitalized Value	\$2,903,609					
Less Allowance for Deferred Maintenance	\$0	 				
Estimated Market Value	\$2,903,609					
value per Uloss Square F001 01 Space	\$243.55 \$272.255					
	UZZJ. JUL					

Case Study 3: 1002 West 10th Avenue

Financial Analysis for Vancouver Dontal Housing Chudy						
Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental Housing Study	lin ei					
Estimate of the Market Land Value of Site Under Existing Zon	ing					
Case Study Number	30	A				
Property Address	1002 west 10th	Avenue				
Description	Existing old rent	al apartment building				
	Assumed redeve	elopment to 12 Storey Ap	artment			
Site and Building Size						
Existing Zoning	RM3					
Permitted Maximum FSR	1.80	FSR				
Site Size	18,750	sq.ft. or	150	by	125	
Assumed Density	1.70	FSR				
Total Gross Floorspace	31,875	sq.ft.				
Retail Space	0	sq.ft.				
Gross Residential Floorspace	31,875	sq.ft.				
Net Residential Floorspace	27,731	sq.ft. saleable		87%	of gross a	ea
Average Net Unit Size	750	sq.ft.				
Number of Units	37.0	units				
Number of Parking Stalls	1.0	per multifamily unit plus		37		
	2.0	per 1000 sq.ft. of retail spa	ace or	0		
				37	in total	
Revenue and Value						
Average Sales Price Per Sq. Ft. of Multifamily Residential Space	\$675.00	per sq.ft. of net saleable r	esidential s	pace		
Average Lease Rate for Retail Space	\$0.00	per sq.ft. net for shell spa	ce, no TI's			
Vacancy and Nonrecoverable Allowance on Commercial Space	7%					
Capitalization Rate for Retail Space	6.50%					
lue of Retail Space Upon Lease-up \$0.00 pe		per sq.ft. of leasable area				
Construction Costs						
Demolition Costs	\$20,000					
Permit Fees	\$66,000	includes demo permit				
Site Servicing	\$114,329	assuming	\$2,500	per lineal	metre of f	rontage
Landscaping	\$5.00	per sq.ft. of site area on	50.0%	of site		
Building Construction Costs - Residential	\$200					
Building Construction Costs - Retail/Commercial	\$180	assuming shell space				
Parking Construction Costs	\$40,000	per stall				
Total Hard Construction Costs	\$246	per gross sq.ft. assuming u	undergroun	d parking a	and retail a	t grade
Soft Costs	10%	of hard costs and parking				
Contingency on Costs	5%					
GVRD Sewer Levy	\$590.00	per apartment unit				
	\$0.443	per sq.ft. of retail space				
City Wide DCL's	\$10.20	per sq.ft. of building area				
Area Specific DCL's	\$0.00	per sq.ft. of building area				
Interim Financing on construction costs	7.0%	on 50% of construction co	sts for	1.5	years	
Other Costs and Allowances						
Marketing and Commissions	5.0%	of gross residential reven	ue			
	2.0%	of commercial value				
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$11,000	assuming one month of re	ent per exist	ing unit		
Assessed Value Year 1 - existing assessment	\$4,501.400			J .		
Taxes during Year 1 - see existing building analysis	\$19.022					
Assessed Value Year 2	\$9.359.297	(50% of completed value)				
Property Tax Rate (blended residential and business)	0.422573%					
Taxes during Year 2	\$39.550					
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	15%	of gross revenue				
,		<u> </u>				

Analysis			
Revenue			
Gross Multifamily Sales Revenue	\$18,718,594		
Capitalized Value of Retail Space	\$0		
Total Sales Revenue	\$18,718,594		
Less Marketing and Commissions	\$935,930		
Net Sales Revenue	\$17,782,664		
Construction Costs		 	
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$11,000	 	
Demolition Costs	\$20,000	 	
Permit Fees	\$66,000	 	
Site Servicing	\$114,329		
Landscaping	\$46,875		
Hard Construction Costs	\$7,855,000	 	
Soft Costs	\$801,620	 	
Contingency on Hard and Soft Costs	\$445,741	 	
GVRD Sewer Levy - Residential	\$21,830	 	
GVRD Sewer Levy - Commercial	\$0		
City Wide DCL's	\$325,125		
Area Specific DCL's	\$0		
Property Taxes during approvals and construction	\$58,572		
Interim Financing	\$512,720		
Total Construction Costs	\$10,278,812		
Total Construction Costs per sq.ft.	\$322	 	
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	\$2,807,789	 	
Peeidual to Land and Land Correr	\$4 606 062	 	
Loss interim financing on land for 24 months (7%)	¢4,050,003		
	\$70,068	 	
Peridual Land Value	\$79,908	 	
Residual Land Value	ቅ4,010,414		
Residual Value per sq.ft. of site	\$214.32		
Residual Value per sq.ft. buildable	\$126.07		

Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental Housing	a Study					
Base Case Rental Anartment Analysis	9 9					
Case Study Number	20					
Case Study Number						
Property Address	1002 West 10th F	wenue				
Description	Existing old renta	apartment building				
	Assumed redevel	opment to 12 Storey Apa	rtment			
Site and Building Size						
Existing Zoning	RM3					
Permitted Maximum FSR	1.80	FSR				
Site Size	18,750	sq.ft. or	150	by	125	
Assumed Density	1.70	FSR				
Total Gross Floorspace	31,875	sq.ft.				
Retail Space	0	sq.ft.				
Gross Residential Floorspace	31.875	sa.ft.				
Net Residential Floorspace	27 731	sa ft saleable		87%	of gross ar	ea
Average Net Linit Size	600	sa ft		0,,,0	er gross ur	
Number of Units	46.0	unite				
Number of Darking Stalls	40.0	nor multifamily unit pluc		41		
	0.9	per multifainity unit plus		41		
	2.0	per 1000 sq.ft. of retail sp	ace or	0		
				41	in total	
Construction Costs						
Demolition Costs	\$20,000					
Permit Fees	\$66,000	includes demo permit				
Site Servicing	\$114,329	assuming	\$2,500	per lineal	metre of fr	ontage
Landscaping	\$5.00	per sq.ft. of site area on	50.0%	of site		
Building Construction Costs - Residential	\$180					
Building Construction Costs - Retail/Commercial	\$180	assuming shell space				
Parking Construction Costs	\$40.000	per stall				
Total Hard Construction Costs	\$231	ner gross so ft assuming	indergroup	d narking a	and retail a	t grade
Soft Costs	10%	of hard costs and parking	andergroun			C Bruue
Contingonay on Costs	E0/	or nara costs and parking				
	۵/۵ ۵۲۵۵ ۵۵					
GVRD Sewer Levy	\$590.00	per apartment unit				
	\$0.443	per sq.ft. of retail space				
City Wide DCL's	\$10.20	per sq.ft. of building area				
Area Specific DCL's	\$0.00	per sq.ft. of building area				
Interim Financing on construction costs	7.0%	on 50% of construction co	sts for	1.5	years	
Other Creation Costs and Allowances						
Initial Lease Up Costs	\$0.00					
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$11,000.00	assuming one month of re	ent per exis	ting unit		
Assessed Value Year 1 - existing assessment	\$4,501,400					
Taxes during Year 1 - see existing building analysis	\$19,022					
Assessed Value Year 2	\$4.852.969	(roughly 50% of complete	d value)			
Property Tax Bate (blended residential and business)	0 422573%	(,			
Taxes during Year 2	\$20 507					
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	15%	ofvalue				
Commission on Salo of Building	10/	of value				
	1/0	UI Value				
Operating Revenue, Cost and Value Assumptions	<u> </u>					
Average Lease Rate for Retail Space	ŞU.UU	per sq.ft. net for shell spa	ce, no Irs			
Market Rental Rates						
Residential Units (average)	\$2.50	per sq.ft. per month or		\$1,500	per unit per	month
Laundry Revenue	\$0.00	per unit per month (in-suite))			
Parking Revenue	\$100.00	per stall per month				
Residential Vacancy Allowance	1.0%					
Commercial Vacancy Allowance	5.0%					
Property Tax Allowance						
Residential Assessment	\$11,000,000					
Residential Tax Rate	0.422573%					
Residential Property Taxes	\$46 483					
Business/Other Assessment	\$0,100					
Business/Other Tax Rate	1 982256%					
Commercial Property Taxes	1.002200 % ¢0					
Peeidential Operating Costs evaluding taxon (and pates)	پې ۵0 مې	of effective gross residential	incomo			
Commorphial Operating Costs - excluding taxes (see Hotes)	20.0%	or enective gross residential	Income			
commercial Operating Costs (excluding tax)	\$8.00	per sq.it. per year				

Analysis				
Net Operating Income and Value				
Revenues			 	
Commercial Gross Potential Rent (includes taxes)	\$0			
Commercial Vacancy	\$0			
Commercial Property Taxes	\$0			
Commercial Operating Costs	\$0		 	
Commercial Net Operating Income	\$0			
Capitalization Rate on Commercial Income	6.5%			
Capitalized Value of Commercial Space	\$0			
Anartment Gross Potential Pent	\$831.038			
	\$40,200			
	φ - 9,200 ¢0			
Total Gross Potential Revenue	φ0 \$881 138			
	\$8,811			
Effective Gross Apartment Revenue	\$872 326			
Residential Property Taxes	\$46,483			
Residential Operating Expenses	\$174 465			
Net Operating Income	\$651 378			
Capitalization Rate on Residential Space	5.5%			
Capitalized Value of Residential Space	\$11 843 234			
	φ11,0+0,20+			
Total Capitalized Value of Building	\$11 843 234			
	\$118 432			
Net Value	\$11 724 802			
	¢11,721,002			
Land Costs				
Acquisition Costs	\$4 018 414			
PTT	\$78,368			
Holding During Approvals and Construction	\$573.550			
Total Land Costs	\$4.670.332			
	1 /			
Construction Costs				
Initial Lease Up Costs	\$0			
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$11,000			
Demolition Costs	\$20,000			
Permit Fees	\$66,000			
Site Servicing	\$114,329			
Landscaping	\$46,875			
Hard Construction Costs	\$7,377,500			
Soft Costs	\$753,870			
Contingency	\$419,479			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Residential	\$27,140			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Commercial	\$0			
City Wide DCL's	\$325,125			
Area Specific DCL's	\$0			
Property Taxes during approvals and construction	\$39,529			
Interim Financing	\$483,044			
Total Construction Costs	\$9,683,892			
Total Construction Costs per sq.ft.	\$304			
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	\$1,776,485			
Total Creation Cost	\$16,130,709			
Defecit = Net Value less Total Creation Cost	-\$4,405,908			
Defecit per Square Foot of Gross Residential Space	-\$138			

Case Study 3ii: 1002 West 10th Avenue - with Rate of Change

		e nange			1	1
Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental Housing Stu	ay 					
Estimate of the Market Land Value of Site Under Exist	ing Zoning					
Case Study Number	3ii	-				
Property Address	1002 West 10th					
Description	Existing old rent	al apartment building				
	Assumed redev	elopment to 12 Storey Ap	artment			
Site and Building Size						
Existing Zoning	RM3					
Permitted Maximum FSR	1.80	FSR				
Site Size	18,750	sq.ft. or	150	by	125	
Assumed Density	1.70	FSR				
Total Gross Floorspace	31,8/5	sq.ft.				
Replacement Rental Units	13		COO			
	690	sq.ft. or	600	sq.ft. net		
Gross Rental Floorspace	8,970	sq.ft.				
Net Rental Residential Florospace	7,804	sq.ft.				
Gross Strata Residential Floorspace	22,905	sq.ft.		070/	6	
Net Strata Residential Floorspace	19,927	sq.ft. saleable		8/%	of gross a	rea
Average Net Unit Size	850	sq.tt.				
Number of Units	23.0	units				
Number of Parking Stalls	1.0	per multifamily unit plus		23		
	0.9	per rental unit		12	in total	
Devenue and Value				35	In total	
Revenue and value		nor or ft. of not colooble .	ا منظم مغنما			
Average Sales Price Per Sq. Ft. of Multifamily Residential Space	\$075.00 \$2.50	per sq.it. of net saleable i	esidentials	space		
Average Rental Rate for New Rental Onits	\$2.50	per sq.rt. per month				
Operating costs and taxes for New Pental Units	1.00%					
Operating costs and taxes for New Rental Onits	25.00%					
Value of Pental Units Unon Completion	5.50%	non on ft. of not routel are				
	\$405.04	per sq.rt. of het rental are	d			
Construction Costs						
Demolition Costs	\$20,000					
Permit Fees	\$66,000	includes demo permit				
Site Servicing	\$114,329	assuming	\$2,500	per lineal	metre of f	rontage
Landscaping	\$5.00	per so ft, of site area on	50.0%	of site		
Building Construction Costs - Strata Residential	\$200		00.070	or once		
Building Construction Costs - Rental	\$180					
Parking Construction Costs	\$40.000	per stall				
Total Hard Construction Costs	\$238	per gross solft, assuming	undergroun	d parking	and retail a	at grade
Soft Costs	10%	of hard costs and parking		- p		
Contingency on Costs	5%					
GVRD Sewer Levy	\$590.00	per apartment unit				
	\$0.443	per sq.ft. of retail space				
City Wide DCL's	\$10.20	per sq.ft. of building area				
Area Specific DCL's	\$0.00	per sq.ft. of building area				
Interim Financing on construction costs	7.0%	on 50% of construction co	sts for	1.5	vears	
					1	
Other Costs and Allowances						
Marketing and Commissions	5.0%	of gross residential reven	ue			
-	2.0%	of rental value				
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$13.000	assuming one month of re	ent per exis	ting unit		
Assessed Value Year 1 - existing assessment	\$4.501.400					
Taxes during Year 1 - see existing building analysis	\$13,705					
Assessed Value Year 2	\$8.300.450	(50% of completed value)				
Property Tax Rate (blended residential and business)	0.304464%	(
Taxes during Year 2	\$25,272					

Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental Ho	using Study					
Base Case Rental Apartment Analysis						
Case Study Number	3iib					
Property Address	1002 West 10th 4	Avenue				
Description	Existing old renta	Lapartment building				
Beeenpaen	Assumed redevel	coment to 12 Storev Ana	rtment			
Site and Building Size	, bouined redevel					
Existing Zoning	RM3					
Permitted Maximum ESP	1.80	FSD				
Site Size	19 750		150	b.	125	
Assumed Density	1 70		150	Dy	125	
Total Gross Elegerspace	21 075	r sit				
Potal Gross Floorspace	51,6/3	sy.rt.				
	0	sq.rt.				
Gross Residential Floorspace	31,8/5	sq.ft.		070/	6	
Net Residential Floorspace	27,731	sq.ft. saleable		8/%	of gross ar	ea
Average Net Unit Size	600	sq.ft.				
Number of Units	46.0	units				
Number of Parking Stalls	0.9	per multifamily unit plus		41		
	2.0	per 1000 sq.ft. of retail sp	ace or	0		
				41	in total	
Construction Costs						
Demolition Costs	\$20,000					
Permit Fees	\$66,000	includes demo permit				
Site Servicing	\$114,329	assuming	\$2,500	per lineal	metre of f	rontage
Landscaping	\$5.00	per sq.ft. of site area on	50.0%	of site		
Building Construction Costs - Residential	\$180					
Building Construction Costs - Retail/Commercial	\$180	assuming shell space				
Parking Construction Costs	\$40,000	per stall				
Total Hard Construction Costs	\$231	per gross sq.ft. assuming	undergroun	d parking a	and retail a	t grade
Soft Costs	10%	of hard costs and parking	0.0			0
Contingency on Costs	5%					
GVRD Sewer Levy	\$590.00	per apartment unit				
	\$0.443	per so ft, of retail space				
City Wide DCI's	\$10.20	per sq ft of building area				
Area Specific DCL's	\$10.20	per sq.ft. of building area				
Interim Einancing on construction costs	, JO. 00 7 .00/	on EOV of construction co	ctc for	1 5	voore	
	7.0%		515101	1.5	years	
Other Orestian Ocets and Allowerses						
Uther Creation Costs and Allowances	ćo. 00					
Initial Lease Up Costs	\$0.00					
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$11,000.00	assuming one month of re	ent per exis	ting unit		
Assessed Value Year 1 - existing assessment	\$4,501,400					
Taxes during Year 1 - see existing building analysis	\$19,022					
Assessed Value Year 2	\$4,852,969	(roughly 50% of complete	d value)			
Property Tax Rate (blended residential and business)	0.422573%					
Taxes during Year 2	\$20,507					
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	15%	of value				
Commission on Sale of Building	1%	of value				
Operating Revenue, Cost and Value Assumptions						
Average Lease Rate for Retail Space	\$0.00	per sq.ft. net for shell spa	ice, no Tl's			
Market Rental Rates						
Residential Units (average)	\$2.50	per sq.ft. per month or		\$1,500	per unit pe	r month
Laundry Revenue	\$0.00	per unit per month (in-suite))			
Parking Revenue	\$100.00	per stall per month				
Residential Vacancy Allowance	1.0%					
Commercial Vacancy Allowance	5.0%					
Property Tax Allowance						
Residential Assessment	\$11,000,000					
Residential Tax Rate	0 422573%					
Residential Property Taxes	¢16 102					
Rusiness/Other Assessment	φ 4 0,403					
Business/Other Tay Date	φU 1.0922569/					
Commercial Property Taxos	1.962230%					
Decidential Operating Costs	\$0	of offentium groups and desired	lineer			
Residential Operating Costs - excluding taxes (see notes) 20.0%	or effective gross residentia	income			
Commercial Operating Costs (excluding tax)	\$8.00	per sq.π. per year				

Analysis				
Net Operating Income and Value				
Revenues				
Commercial Gross Potential Rent (includes taxes)	\$0			
Commercial Vacancy	\$0			
Commercial Property Taxes	\$0			
Commercial Operating Costs	\$0			
Commercial Net Operating Income	\$0			
Capitalization Rate on Commercial Income	6.5%			
Capitalized Value of Commercial Space	\$0			
Apartment Gross Potential Rent	\$831,938			
Parking Revenue	\$49,200			
Laundry Revenue	\$0			
Total Gross Potential Revenue	\$881,138			
Apartment Vacancy	\$8,811			
Effective Gross Apartment Revenue	\$872,326			
Residential Property Taxes	\$46,483			
Residential Operating Expenses	\$174,465			
Net Operating Income	\$651,378			
Capitalization Rate on Residential Space	5.5%			
Capitalized Value of Residential Space	\$11,843,234			
Total Capitalized Value of Building	\$11,843,234			
Less Sales Commissions	\$118,432			
Net Value	\$11,724,802			
Land Costs				
Acquisition Costs	\$2,948,725			
PTT	\$56,974			
Holding During Approvals and Construction	\$420,798			
Total Land Costs	\$3,426,497			
Construction Costs				
Linitial Lagra Lin Costs	ćο			
Tenant Polocation Costs	ېں 11 000			
Demolition Costs	\$11,000			
Demontion Costs	\$20,000			
Site Senicing	\$00,000			
	\$114,323			
Hard Construction Costs	\$7 377 500			
Soft Costs	\$753 870			
Contingency	\$419 479			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Residential	\$27,140			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Commercial	\$0			
City Wide DCL's	\$325.125			
Area Specific DCL's	\$0			
Property Taxes during approvals and construction	\$39.529			
Interim Financing	\$483,044			
Total Construction Costs	\$9,683,892			
Total Construction Costs per sq.ft.	\$304			
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	\$1,776,485			
_				
Total Creation Cost	\$14,886,874			
Defecit = Net Value less Total Creation Cost	-\$3,162,073			
Defecit per Square Foot of Gross Residential Space	-\$99			

Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental	Housing Stu	dy				
Estimate of the Market Value of Rental Bu	uilding Under	Existing	Use and Z	oning		
Case Study Number	4A					
Property Address	1981 West 1	Oth Avenue	;			
Description	Old Rental A	partment B	uilding			
Assumptions						
Existing Zoning	RM4					
Permitted Maximum FSR	1.45	FSR			107	
Site Size	12,500	sq.ft. or	100	by	125	
Existing Building						
Existing Built ESR	0.79	FSR				
Total Gross Floorspace	9 841	saft				
Gross Residential Floorspace	9.841	sa.ft.				
Gross Commercial Floorspace	0	sq.ft.				
Net Rentable Residential Floorspace	8,365	or	85%	of gross re	sidential floo	orspace
Net Rentable Commercial Floorspace	0	or	100%	of gross co	ommercial flo	oorspace
Total Number of Residential Units	12	units				
Average Net Residential Unit Size	820	sq.ft. net				
Number of Residential Parking Stalls	7	stalls				
Market Rental Rates						
Residential Units (average)	\$1.75	per sq.ft. p	er month or	\$1,435	per unit pe	r month
Laundry Revenue	\$15.00	per unit per	month			
Parking Revenue	\$50.00	per stall pe	r montn			
Commercial Space Residential Vacancy Allowance	\$0.00 1.0%	per sq.it. p	er year net			
	5.0%					
Property Tax Allowance	0.070					
Residential Assessment	\$2,943,100					
Residential Tax Rate	0.422573%					
Residential Property Taxes	\$12,437	•				
Business/Other Assessment	\$0					
Business/Other Tax Rate	1.982256%					
Commercial Property Taxes	\$0					
Residential Operating Costs (see notes)	20.0%	of effective	gross resident	tial income		
Commercial Operating Costs (excluding tax)	\$8.00	per sq.ft. p	er year			
Aug N 1 -						
Revenues	¢0					
	\$U \$0					
Commercial Property Taxes	\$0 \$0					
Commercial Operating Costs (excluding tax)	\$0					
Commercial Net Operating Income	\$0					
Capitalization Rate on Commercial Space	6.5%					
Capitalized Value of Commercial Space	\$0					
Apartment Gross Potential Rent	\$175,662					
Parking Revenue	\$4,200					
Laundry Revenue	\$2,160					
Total Gross Potential Revenue	\$182,022					
Apartment Vacancy	\$1,820					
Effective Gross Apartment Revenue	\$180,202					
Residential Operating Expenses and Property Taxes	¢10.407	,				
Residential Cherating Expenses	\$12,437					
Total Operating Expenses and Property Taxes	\$48 477					
Net Operating Income on Residential	\$131 725					
Capitalization Rate on Residential	5.0%					
Capitalized Value of Residential Space	\$2,634,491					
	, ,					
Total Capitalized Value	\$2,634,491					
Less Allowance for Deferred Maintenance	\$0					
Estimated Market Value	\$2,634,491					
Value per Gross Square Foot of Space	\$267.71					
Value per Unit	\$219,541					

Case Study 4: 1981 West 10th Avenue

Financial Analysis for Vancouver Bantal Housing Study						
Financial Analysis for vancouver Rental Housing Study						
Estimate of the Market Land Value of Site Under Existing Zor	ling					
Case Study Number	48	A				
Property Address	1981 West 10th	Avenue				
Description	Old Rental Apar	tment Building				
	Assumes Redev	elopment to 3 Storey woo	odframe ap	artment		
Site and Building Size						
Existing Zoning	RM4					
Permitted Maximum FSR	1.45	FSR				
Site Size	12,500	sq.ft. or	100	by	125	
Assumed Density	1.45	FSR				
Total Gross Floorspace	18,125	sq.ft.				
Retail Space	0	sq.ft.				
Gross Residential Floorspace	18,125	sq.ft.				
Net Residential Floorspace	15,406	sq.ft. saleable		85%	of gross a	rea
Average Net Unit Size	750	sq.ft.				
Number of Units	21.0	units				
Number of Parking Stalls	1.0	per multifamily unit plus		21		
	2.0	per 1000 sq.ft. of retail spa	ice or	0		
				21	in total	
Revenue and Value						
Average Sales Price Per Sq. Ft. of Multifamily Residential Space	\$625.00	per sq.ft. of net saleable r	esidential s	pace		
Average Lease Rate for Retail Space	\$0.00	per sq.ft. net for shell space	ce, no TI's			
Vacancy and Nonrecoverable Allowance on Commercial Space	7%					
Capitalization Rate for Retail Space	6.50%					
Value of Retail Space Upon Lease-up	\$0.00	per sq.ft. of leasable area				
Construction Costs						
Demolition Costs	\$20,000					
Permit Fees	\$42,000	includes demo permit				
Site Servicing	\$76.220	assuming	\$2.500	oer lineal	metre of f	rontage
Landscaping	\$5.00	per sg.ft. of site area on	50.0%	of site		
Building Construction Costs - Residential	\$130					
Building Construction Costs - Retail/Commercial	\$180	assuming shell space				
Parking Construction Costs	\$40.000	per stall				
Total Hard Construction Costs	\$176	per gross sq.ft, assuming u	Inderground	d parking a	and retail a	at grade
Soft Costs	10%	of hard costs and parking				
Contingency on Costs	5%					
GVBD Sewer Levy	\$590.00	per apartment unit				
	\$0.443	per so ft of retail snace				
City Wide DCI's	\$10.20	per sq.ft. of huilding area				
Area Specific DCL's	\$0.00	per sq.ft. of building area				
Interim Financing on construction costs	7.0%	on 50% of construction cos	ts for	1 25	Vears	
	7.070	011 30/0 01 construction cos	101	1.25	years	
Other Costs and Allowances						
Marketing and Commissions	E 0%	of gross residential reven	10			
	3.0%	of commercial value	Je			
Tonant Delegation Costs	¢12.0%	or commercial value	nt nor ovict	ing unit		
	\$12,000	assuming one month of re	in per exist	ing unit		
Assessed value rear 1 - existing assessment	\$2,943,100					
Taxes during Year 1 - see existing building analysis	\$12,437					
Assessed value rear 2	\$4,814,453	(50% of completed value)				
Property Tax Rate (blended residential and business)	0.422573%					
Taxes during Year 2	\$20,345					
Developer's Protit Margin Allowance	15%	of gross revenue				

Analysis		
Revenue		
Gross Multifamily Sales Revenue	\$9,628,906	
Capitalized Value of Retail Space	\$0	
Total Sales Revenue	\$9,628,906	
Less Marketing and Commissions	\$481,445	
Net Sales Revenue	\$9,147,461	
Construction Costs		
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$12,000	
Demolition Costs	\$20,000	
Permit Fees	\$42,000	
Site Servicing	\$76.220	
Landscaping	\$31,250	
Hard Construction Costs	\$3,196,250	
Soft Costs	\$330,372	
Contingency on Hard and Soft Costs	\$185,405	
GVRD Sewer Levy - Residential	\$12,390	
GVRD Sewer Levy - Commercial	\$0	
City Wide DCL's	\$184,875	
Area Specific DCL's	\$0	
Property Taxes during approvals and construction	\$32,781	
Interim Financing	\$180,405	
Total Construction Costs	\$4,303,947	
Total Construction Costs per sq.ft.	\$237	
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	\$1,444,336	
Residual to Land and Land Carry	\$3,399,178	
Less interim financing on land for 21 months (7%)	\$378,545	
Less property purchase tax	\$58,413	
Residual Land Value	\$2,962,220	
Posidual Value per sent of site	\$236.08	
Peeidual Value per sq.it. Of Site	φ230.30 ¢162.42	
residual value per sq.it. bulldable	৯ 10১.4১	

Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental Housing	Study					
Pass Cass Pontal Anartmont Analysis	Joludy					
Case Study Number	10					
Case Study Nulliber		A				
Property Address	1981 West Tuth A	Avenue				
Description		hent Building	16			
	Assumes Redeve	elopment to 3 Storey woo	dframe ap	artment		
Site and Building Size						
Existing Zoning	RM4					
Permitted Maximum FSR	1.45	FSR				
Site Size	12,500	sq.ft. or	100	by	125	
Assumed Density	1.45	FSR				
Total Gross Floorspace	18,125	sq.ft.				
Retail Space	0	sq.ft.				
Gross Residential Floorspace	18,125	sq.ft.				
Net Residential Floorspace	15,406	sq.ft. saleable		85%	of gross a	rea
Average Net Unit Size	600	sq.ft.				
Number of Units	26.0	units				
Number of Parking Stalls	0.9	per multifamily unit plus		23		
	2.0	per 1000 sq.ft. of retail sp	ace or	0		
				23	in total	
Construction Costs						
Demolition Costs	\$20,000					
Permit Fees	\$42,000	includes demo permit				
Site Servicing	\$76,220	assuming	\$2 500	ner lineal	metre of f	rontage
Landscaping	\$5.00	ner so ft of site area on	50.0%	of site	incuc or r	lontage
Building Construction Costs Residential	\$120		50.070	orsite		
Building Construction Costs - Residential	\$120	accuming shall space				
Building Construction Costs - Retail/Commercial	¢40,000	assuming shell space				
Faiking Construction Costs	\$40,000			المعادلة معال	م المعلمة الم	
	\$1/1	per gross sq.rt. assuming	undergrour	id parking a	and retail a	it grade
Soft Costs	10%	of hard costs and parking				
Contingency on Costs	5%					
GVRD Sewer Levy	\$590.00	per apartment unit				
	\$0.443	per sq.ft. of retail space				
City Wide DCL's	\$10.20	per sq.ft. of building area				
Area Specific DCL's	\$0.00	per sq.ft. of building area				
Interim Financing on construction costs	7.0%	on 50% of construction co	sts for	1.25	years	
Other Creation Costs and Allowances						
Initial Lease Up Costs	\$0.00					
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$12,000.00	assuming one month of re	ent per exis	ting unit		
Assessed Value Year 1 - existing assessment	\$2,943,100					
Taxes during Year 1 - see existing building analysis	\$12,437					
Assessed Value Year 2	\$2,696,094	(roughly 50% of complete	d value)			
Property Tax Rate (blended residential and business)	0.422573%					
Taxes during Year 2	\$11,393					
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	15%	of value				
Commission on Sale of Building	1%	of value				
Operating Revenue, Cost and Value Assumptions						
Average Lease Rate for Retail Space	\$0.00	per sq.ft. net for shell spa	ice, no Tl's			
Market Rental Rates						
Residential Units (average)	\$2.40	per sq.ft. per month or		\$1,440	per unit pe	r month
Laundry Revenue	\$0.00	per unit per month (in-suite)			
Parking Revenue	\$75.00	per stall per month				
Residential Vacancy Allowance	1.0%					
Commercial Vacancy Allowance	5.0%					
Property Tax Allowance						
Residential Assessment	\$6,000,000					
Residential Tax Rate	0.422573%					
Residential Property Taxes	\$25 354					
Business/Other Assessment	φ <u>2</u> 0,004 ¢Ω					
Business/Other Tax Rate	1 982256%					
Commercial Property Taxes	\$0022307					
Residential Operating Costs - evoluting taxes (see notes)	20.0%	of effective gross residentia	lincome			
Commercial Operating Costs (excluding tax)	\$2.070 \$2.00	ner sa ft, ner vear				
	φd.00	por sq.ii. per year				

Analysis				
Net Operating Income and Value				
Revenues				
Commercial Gross Potential Rent (includes taxes)	\$0			
Commercial Vacancy	\$0			
Commercial Property Taxes	\$0			
Commercial Operating Costs	\$0			
Commercial Net Operating Income	\$0			
Capitalization Rate on Commercial Income	6.5%			
Capitalized Value of Commercial Space	\$0			
Apartment Gross Potential Rent	\$443,700			
Parking Revenue	\$20,700			
Laundry Revenue	\$0			
Total Gross Potential Revenue	\$464,400			
Apartment Vacancy	\$4,644			
Effective Gross Apartment Revenue	\$459,756			
Residential Property Taxes	\$25,354			
Residential Operating Expenses	\$91,951			
	\$342,450			
Capitalization Rate on Residential Space	5.5%			
Capitalized Value of Residential Space	\$6,226,371			
Tatal Oraștalia ad Maluz, af Duildian	¢0.000.074			
	\$0,220,371			
Less Sales Commissions	\$02,204 \$6 164 109			
	\$0, 104, 108			
Land Costs				
	\$2,062,220			
PTT	\$2,902,220 \$57.244			
Holding During Approvals and Construction	\$369 884			
Total Land Costs	\$3 389 349			
	\$3,303,313			
Construction Costs				
Initial Lease Up Costs	\$0			
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$12,000			
Demolition Costs	\$20,000			
Permit Fees	\$42,000			
Site Servicing	\$76,220			
Landscaping	\$31,250			
Hard Construction Costs	\$3,095,000			
Soft Costs	\$320,247			
Contingency	\$179,836			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Residential	\$15,340			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Commercial	\$0			
City Wide DCL's	\$184,875			
Area Specific DCL's	\$0			
Property Taxes during approvals and construction	\$23,830			
Interim Financing	\$175,026			
Total Construction Costs	\$4,175,623			
Total Construction Costs per sq.ft.	\$230			
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	\$933,956			
Total Creation Cost	\$8,498,928			
Deficit = Net Value less Total Creation Cost	-\$2,334,820			
Defecit per Square Foot of Gross Residential Space	-\$129			

Case Study 4ii: 1981 West 10th Avenue - with Rate of Change

,		lange				
Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental Housing Study						
Estimate of the Market Land Value of Site Under Existing Zoni	ng					
Case Study Number	4ii					
Property Address	1981 West 10th	Avenue				
Description	Old Rental Apar	tment Building				
	Assumes Redev	velopment to 3 Storey wo	odframe a	partment		
Site and Building Size						
Existing Zoning	RM4					
Permitted Maximum FSR	1.45	FSR				
Site Size	12,500	sq.ft. or	100	by	125	
Assumed Density	1.45	FSR				
Total Gross Floorspace	18,125	sq.ft.				
Replacement Rental Units	8	units				
Gross Rental Unit Size	700	sq.ft. or	595	sq.ft. net		
Gross Rental Floorspace	5,600	sq.ft.				
Net Rental Residential Florospace	4,760	sq.ft.				
Gross Strata Residential Floorspace	12,525	sq.ft.				
Net Strata Residential Floorspace	10,646	sq.ft. saleable		85%	of gross an	ea
Average Net Unit Size	850	sq.ft.				
Number of Units	13.0	units				
Number of Parking Stalls	1.0	per multifamily unit plus		13		
	0.9	per rental unit		7		
				20	in total	
Revenue and Value						
Average Sales Price Per Sq. Ft. of Multifamily Residential Space	\$625.00	per sq.ft. of net saleable i	residential	space		
Average Rental Rate for New Rental Units	\$2.40	per sq.ft. per month				
Vacancy and Non Recoverable Allowance	1.00%					
Operating costs and taxes for New Rental Units	25.00%					
Capitalization Rate for Rental Apartment Space	5.50%					
Value of Rental Units Upon Completion	\$387.49	per sg.ft. of net rental are	a			
Construction Costs						
Demolition Costs	\$20,000					
Permit Fees	\$42,000	includes demo permit				
Site Servicing	\$76,220	assuming	\$2,500	per lineal	metre of f	rontage
Landscaping	\$5.00	per sq.ft. of site area on	50.0%	of site		_
Building Construction Costs - Strata Residential	\$130					
Building Construction Costs - Rental	\$120					
Parking Construction Costs	\$40,000	per stall				
Total Hard Construction Costs	\$171	, per gross sq.ft. assuming	undergroun	d parking a	and retail a	it grade
Soft Costs	10%	of hard costs and parking				
Contingency on Costs	5%					
GVRD Sewer Levy	\$590.00	per apartment unit				
	\$0.443	per sq.ft. of retail space				
City Wide DCL's	\$10.20	per sq.ft. of building area				
Area Specific DCL's	\$0.00	per sq.ft. of building area				
Interim Financing on construction costs	7.0%	on 50% of construction co	sts for	1.25	vears	
					,	
Other Costs and Allowances						
Marketing and Commissions	5.0%	of gross residential reven	ue			
	2.0%	of rental value	-			
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$8,000	assuming one month of re	ent per exis	ting unit		
Assessed Value Year 1 - existing assessment	\$2 943 100					
Taxes during Year 1 - see existing building analysis	\$8,620					
Assessed Value Year 2	\$4 249 181	(50% of completed value)				
Property Tax Rate (blended residential and business)	0.292887%	(solv of completed value)				
Taxes during Year 2	\$17 <i>L</i> /15					
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	15%	of gross revenue				

Analysis			
Revenue			
Gross Multifamily Sales Revenue	\$6,653,906		
Capitalized Value of Rental Units	\$1,844,457		
Total Sales Revenue	\$8,498,363		
Less Marketing and Commissions	\$369,584		
Net Sales Revenue	\$8,128,779		
Construction Costs			
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$8,000		
Demolition Costs	\$20,000		
Permit Fees	\$42,000		
Site Servicing	\$76,220		
Landscaping	\$31,250		
Hard Construction Costs	\$3.108.250		
Soft Costs	\$321.572		
Contingency on Hard and Soft Costs	\$180,365		_
GVRD Sewer Levy - Residential	\$7,670		
GVRD Sewer Levy - Commercial	\$4,720		
City Wide DCL's	\$184,875		
Area Specific DCL's	\$0		
Property Taxes during approvals and construction	\$21,065		
Interim Financing	\$175,262		
Total Construction Costs	\$4,181,248		
Total Construction Costs per sq.ft.	\$231		
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	\$1,274,754		
Residual to Land and Land Carry	\$2,672,776		
Less interim financing on land for 21 months (7%)	\$297,650		
Less property purchase tax	\$45,503	 	
Residual Land Value	\$2,329,623		
Residual Value per sq.ft. of site	\$186.37		
Residual Value per sq.ft. buildable	\$128.53		

Einancial Analysis for Vancouver Pental Housi	na Study					
	ng Study					
Base Case Rental Apartment Analysis						
Case Study Number	4iib					
Property Address	1981 West 10th A	Avenue				
Description	Old Rental Apartr	ment Building				
	Assumes Redeve	elopment to 3 Storey woo	dframe apa	rtment		
Site and Building Size						
Existing Zoning	RM4					
Permitted Maximum ESR	1 45	ESR				
Site Size	12 500	og ft. or	100	by.	125	
Accurate d Density	12,500		100	by	120	
	1.45	ror.				
Iotal Gross Floorspace	18,125	sq.ft.				
Retail Space	0	sq.ft.				
Gross Residential Floorspace	18,125	sq.ft.				
Net Residential Floorspace	15,406	sq.ft. saleable		85%	of gross ar	ea
Average Net Unit Size	600	sq.ft.				
Number of Units	26.0	units				
Number of Parking Stalls	0.9	per multifamily unit plus		23		
	20	per 1000 sq ft. of retail sp	ace or	0		
	2.0	per 2000 squarer er reaan op		23	in total	
Construction Costs				23	mitotai	
	¢20.000					
Demolition Costs	\$20,000					
Permit Fees	\$42,000	includes demo permit				
Site Servicing	\$76,220	assuming	\$2,500	per lineal	metre of fi	rontage
Landscaping	\$5.00	per sq.ft. of site area on	50.0%	of site		
Building Construction Costs - Residential	\$120					
Building Construction Costs - Retail/Commercial	\$180	assuming shell space				
Parking Construction Costs	\$40,000	per stall				
Total Hard Construction Costs	\$171	per gross so ft, assuming	underground	d narking a	and retail a	t grade
Soft Costs	10%	of hard costs and narking	and engineering	a partang c	ind retail a	e Braac
Contingency on Costs	E0/					
	۵/۵ در ۲۵۵ م					
GVRD Sewel Levy	\$590.00	per apartment unit				
	\$0.443	per sq.ft. of retail space				
City Wide DCL's	\$10.20	per sq.ft. of building area				
Area Specific DCL's	\$0.00	per sq.ft. of building area				
Interim Financing on construction costs	7.0%	on 50% of construction co	sts for	1.25	years	
Other Creation Costs and Allowances						
Initial Lease Up Costs	\$0.00					
Tenant Belocation Costs	\$12,000,00	assuming one month of re	ent ner exist	ing unit		
Assessed Value Vear 1 - existing assessment	\$2 943 100	ussuming one month of th	ine per exist	ing unit		
Assessed value real 1 - existing assessment	φ2,943,100					
Taxes during fear 1 - see existing building analysis	φ12,437					
Assessed value year 2	\$2,696,094	(roughly 50% of complete	d value)			
Property Tax Rate (blended residential and business)	0.422573%					
Taxes during Year 2	\$11,393					
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	15%	of value				
Commission on Sale of Building	1%	of value				
Operating Revenue, Cost and Value Assumptions						
Average Lease Rate for Retail Space	\$0.00	per sq.ft, net for shell spa	ce, no Tl's			
Market Rental Rates	çoroo	per squareeror shen spe				
Posidential Linite (average)	¢2.40	por og ft, por month or		¢1 440	nor unit no	r month
	φ2.40	per sq.it. per month (in auita		φ1,440	per unit pe	monun
	\$0.00	per unit per month (in-suite)			
Parking Revenue	\$75.00	per stall per month				
Residential Vacancy Allowance	1.0%					
Commercial Vacancy Allowance	5.0%					
Property Tax Allowance						
Residential Assessment	\$6,000,000					
Residential Tax Rate	0.422573%					
Residential Property Taxes	\$25,354					
Business/Other Assessment	¢20,004 ¢∩					
	φU 1.0000500/					
	1.902230%					
	\$0					
Residential Operating Costs - excluding taxes (see notes)	20.0%	or effective gross residentia	Income			
Commercial Operating Costs (excluding tax)	\$8.00	per sq.ft. per year				

Analysis				
Net Operating income and value				
Commercial Gross Potential Rent (includes taxes)	\$0			
	\$0			
Commercial Property Taxes	\$0			
Commercial Operating Costs	\$0			
	\$U 0 F9(
	0.5%			
Capitalized value of Commercial Space	Ф О			
Anartment Gross Potential Pent	\$443 700			
	\$20,700			
	\$0			
Total Gross Potential Revenue	\$464 400			
Apartment Vacancy	\$4 644			
Effective Gross Apartment Revenue	\$459.756			
Residential Property Taxes	\$25,354			
Residential Operating Expenses	\$91,951			
Net Operating Income	\$342.450			
Capitalization Rate on Residential Space	5.5%			
Capitalized Value of Residential Space	\$6,226,371			
Total Capitalized Value of Building	\$6,226,371			
Less Sales Commissions	\$62,264			
Net Value	\$6,164,108			
Land Costs				
Acquisition Costs	\$2,634,491			
РТТ	\$50,690			
Holding During Approvals and Construction	\$328,935			
Total Land Costs	\$3,014,116			
Construction Costs				
Initial Lease Up Costs	\$0			
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$12,000			
Demolition Costs	\$20,000			
Permit Fees	\$42,000			
Site Servicing	\$76,220			
Landscaping	\$31,250			
Hard Construction Costs	\$3,095,000			
Soft Costs	\$320,247			
Contingency	\$179,836			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Residential	\$15,340			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Commercial	ېU 104 075			
Aroa Specific DCL's	\$104,875 ¢0			
Broporty Taxos during approvals and construction	نې مده ددې			
Interim Einancing	\$25,830			
	\$175,020			
Total Construction Costs per sg.ft.	\$230			
	φ 2 50			
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	\$933,956			
	<i>ç,,,,,,,</i> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
Total Creation Cost	\$8.123.694			
	, ,			
Deficit = Net Value less Total Creation Cost	-\$1,959,587			
Defecit per Square Foot of Gross Residential Space	-\$108			

Case Study 5: 2301 West 3rd Avenue

Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental Housing Study						
Estimate of the Market L and Value of Site Under Existing 7	oning					
Case Study Number	5a					
Property Address	2301 West 3rd	Δνεημε				
Description	Older duplex up	its				
Description	Assumes Rede	velopment to 4 Storey woo	dframe an	artment		
Site and Building Size	/ bounder tode		aname ap	aramone		
Existing Zoning	DMA					
Permitted Maximum ESP	1.45	FSP				
Site Size	7.090	saft or	50	by	120	
Assumed Density	1.20	SQ.IL. OI	SP due to	sot backs)	120	
Total Gross Eleorspace	8.406	co ft	Sit uue to	SELDACKS		
Potal Gross Houspace	0,450	sq.rt.				
Grace Pacidantial Elegerenace	8 406	sq.rt.				
Net Pesidential Floorspace	0,490	sq.rt.		85% of	gross are:	2
	7,222	sq.it. saleable		65% 01	giuss alea	a
Average Net Onit Size	10.0	sy.n.				
Number of Darking Stalls	10.0	units ner multifamily unit plus		10		
Number of Parking Stans	1.0	per multinaminy unit plus		10		
	2.0	per 1000 sq.rt. of retail space	Le or	10 :	4-4-1	
Pavanua and Value				10 IN	total	
Revenue and Value	écar oo	nor on ft of n-tlbl	ا - : + م م ام ام			
Average Sales Price Per Sq. Ft. of Multifamily Residential Space	\$625.00	per sq.ft. of net saleable re	sidential s	pace		
Average Lease Rate for Retail Space	\$0.00	per sq.ft. net for shell space	e, no II's			
Vacancy and Nonrecoverable Allowance on Commercial Space	/%					
Lapitalization Rate for Retail Space	6.50%	6 G U				
Value of Retail Space Upon Lease-up	\$0.00	per sq.ft. of leasable area				
Construction Costs						
Demolition Costs	\$10,000					
Permit Fees	\$20,000					
Site Servicing	\$44,970	assuming	\$2,500	per lineal me	etre of fro	ntage
_andscaping	\$5.00	per sq.ft. of site area on	50.0%	of site		
Building Construction Costs - Residential	\$130					
Building Construction Costs - Retail/Commercial	\$180	assuming shell space				
Parking Construction Costs	\$40,000	per stall				
Total Hard Construction Costs	\$177	per gross sq.ft. assuming ur	nderground	d parking and	d retail at g	grade
Soft Costs	10%	of hard costs and parking				
Contingency on Costs	5%					
GVRD Sewer Levy	\$590.00	per apartment unit				
	\$0.443	per sq.ft. of retail space				
City Wide DCL's	\$10.20	per sq.ft. of building area				
Area Specific DCL's	\$0.00	per sq.ft. of building area				
Interim Financing on construction costs	7.0%	on 50% of construction cost	s for	1.25 ye	ars	
Other Costs and Allowances						
Marketing and Commissions	E 00/	of gross residential revenue				
	3.0%	of commercial value	L			
Fonant Balacation Costs	2.0%	or commercial value	t por ovist	ingunit		
Accessed Value Vear 1 - existing assessment	\$U \$1 042 000	assuming one month of rer	it per exist	ing unit		
hood during Voor 1 - can avisting building applying	\$1,943,000					
Taxes utiling teal 1 - see existing building didiysis	\$8,211 \$2,256,750	(EO% of completed value)				
Assessed value fedi Z	\$2,250,750	(50% of completed value)				
Property Tax Rate (Diended residential and business)	0.4225/3%					
raxes uuring rear Z	\$9,536	-6				
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	15%	or gross revenue				

Analysis		
Revenue		
Gross Multifamily Sales Revenue	\$4,513,500	
Capitalized Value of Retail Space	\$0	
Total Sales Revenue	\$4,513,500	
Less Marketing and Commissions	\$225,675	
Net Sales Revenue	\$4,287,825	
Construction Costs		
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$0	
Demolition Costs	\$10,000	
Permit Fees	\$20,000	
Site Servicing	\$44 970	
Landscaping	\$17,700	
Hard Construction Costs	\$1,504,480	
Soft Costs	\$156,715	
Contingency on Hard and Soft Costs	\$87,693	
GVRD Sewer Levy - Residential	\$5,900	
GVRD Sewer Levy - Commercial	\$0	
City Wide DCL's	\$86,659	
Area Specific DCL's	\$0	
Property Taxes during approvals and construction	\$17,747	
Interim Financing	\$85,394	
Total Construction Costs	\$2,037,258	
Total Construction Costs per sq.ft.	\$240	
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	\$677,025	
Residual to Land and Land Carry	\$1.573.542	
Less interim financing on land for 21 months (7%)	\$175,235	
Less property purchase tax	\$25,966	
Residual Land Value	\$1,372,341	
Posidual Value per senti of site	\$103.93	
Posidual Value per sq. ft. buildable	\$153.03	
residual value per sq.it. bulldable	\$101.55	

Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental Housing	Study					
Base Case Pontal Apartment Analysis	olady					
Case Study Number	<i>C</i> h					
Property Address	2301 West 3rd Av	/enue				
Description	Older duplex units	3				
	Assumes Redeve	elopment to 4 Storey woo	dframe apa	artment		
Site and Building Size						
Existing Zoning	RM4					
Permitted Maximum FSR	1.45	FSR				
Site Size	7,080	sq.ft. or	59	by	120	
Assumed Density	1.20	FSR (can only achieve 1.2 of	due to setb	ack require	ements)	
Total Gross Floorspace	8,496	sq.ft.				
Retail Space	0	sq.ft.				
Gross Residential Floorspace	8,496	sq.ft.				
Net Residential Floorspace	7.222	sg.ft. saleable		85%	of gross ar	rea
Average Net Unit Size	600	sa.ft.			0	
Number of Units	12.0	units				
Number of Parking Stalls	0.9	per multifamily unit plus		11		
	2.0	per 1000 sq ft_of retail sp:	ace or	0		
	2.0	per 1000 3q.rt. or retail spe		11	in total	
Construction Costs				11	iii totai	
Construction Costs	¢10.000					
Demolitori Costs	\$10,000					
Permit Fees	\$20,000		40 - 00			
Site Servicing	\$44,970	assuming	\$2,500	per lineal	metre of f	rontage
Landscaping	\$5.00	per sq.ft. of site area on	50.0%	of site		
Building Construction Costs - Residential	\$120					
Building Construction Costs - Retail/Commercial	\$180	assuming shell space				
Parking Construction Costs	\$40,000	per stall				
Total Hard Construction Costs	\$172	per gross sq.ft. assuming u	undergroun	d parking a	and retail a	it grade
Soft Costs	10%	of hard costs and parking				
Contingency on Costs	5%					
GVRD Sewer Levy	\$590.00	per apartment unit				
	\$0.443	per sq.ft. of retail space				
City Wide DCL's	\$10.20	per sq.ft. of building area				
Area Specific DCL's	\$0.00	per sq.ft. of building area				
Interim Financing on construction costs	7.0%	on 50% of construction co	sts for	1.25	vears	
Other Creation Costs and Allowances						
Initial Lease Up Costs	\$0.00					
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$0.00	assuming one month of re	ent per exis	ting unit		
Assessed Value Year 1 - existing assessment	\$1,943,000	j				
Taxes during Year 1 - see existing building analysis	\$8,211					
Assessed Value Year 2	\$1,263,780	(roughly 50% of complete	d value)			
Property Tax Bate (blended residential and business)	0 422573%	(,)	,			
Tayes during Vear 2	\$5.340					
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	15%	of value				
Commission on Sale of Building	10/	of value				
	170					
Operating Revenue, Cost and Value Assumptions						
Average Lease Rate for Retail Space	\$0.00	persa ft. pet for shell spa	co no Tl's			
Market Bental Bates	Ş0.00	per sq.rt. net for shen spa	ce, 110 11 3			
Desidential Units (suprase)	¢0.50	nor og fil nor month or		¢1 500	nor unit no	r month
	\$2.50 \$0.00	per sq.it. per month (in suite)		φ1,500	per unit pe	rmonun
Laundry Revenue	\$0.00	per unit per month (in-suite)				
	\$75.00	per stall per month				
Residential Vacancy Allowance	1.0%					
Commercial Vacancy Allowance	5.0%					
Property Tax Allowance						
Residential Assessment	\$3,000,000					
Residential Tax Rate	0.422573%					
Residential Property Taxes	\$12,677					
Business/Other Assessment	\$0					
Business/Other Tax Rate	1.982256%					
Commercial Property Taxes	\$0					
Residential Operating Costs - excluding taxes (see notes)	20.0%	of effective gross residential	income			
Commercial Operating Costs (excluding tax)	\$8.00	per sq.ft. per year				

Analysis				
A11a1y515				
Not Operating Income and Value				
Revenues	¢0			
	\$U			
	\$0			
Commercial Property Taxes	\$0			
	\$0			
Commercial Net Operating Income	\$0			
Capitalization Rate on Commercial Income	0.5%			
Capitalized Value of Commercial Space	\$0			
Apartment Gross Potential Pont	\$216.648			
	\$2,0,040			
	φ9,900 Φ0			
Tatal Cross Detential Devenue	ΦU Φ026 548			
Apartment Vacancy	\$220,040 \$2,265			
Effective Crees Apertment Perenus	¢2,200			
Enective Gross Apartment Revenue	\$224,203			
Residential Property Taxes	\$12,077			
	\$44,607			
Net Operating Income	\$100,749			
Capitalization Rate on Residential Space	5.5%			
Capitalized Value of Residential Space	\$3,031,797			
	* 0.004.707			
	\$3,031,797			
	\$30,318			
Net Value	\$3,001,479			
	* 4 0 7 0 044			
Acquisition Costs	\$1,372,341			
	\$25,447			
Holding During Approvals and Construction	\$1/1,229			
Total Land Costs	\$1,569,016			
Construction Costs				
	ćo			
Targent Balagetian Costs	\$U \$0			
Demolitien Costs	ŞU ¢10.000			
Demolition Costs	\$10,000			
Permit Fees	\$20,000			
Site Servicing	\$44,970			
	\$17,700			
Hard Construction Costs	\$1,459,520			
Soft Costs	\$152,219			
Contingency	\$85,220			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Residential	\$7,080			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Commercial	\$0			
City Wide DCL's	\$86,659			
Area Specific DCL's	\$0			
Property Taxes during approvals and construction	\$13,551			
Interim Financing	\$82,990			
Total Construction Costs	\$1,979,909			
Total Construction Costs per sq.ft.	\$233			
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	\$454,770			
Total Creation Cost	\$4,003,695			
	•			
Detecit = Net Value less Total Creation Cost	-\$1,002,216			
Defecit per Square Foot of Gross Residential Space	-\$118			

Case Study 6: 1524 West 71st Avenue

Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental Housing Study						
Estimate of the Market Land Value of Site Under Existing Zor	ning					
Case Study Number	6a					
Property Address	1524 to 1540 W	est 71st Avenue (plus 870	4 SW Mar	ine)		
Description	Older single det	ached houses		,		
	Assumes Redev	velopment to 4 Storey woo	odframe ap	partment		
Site and Building Size						
Existing Zoning	RM4					
Permitted Maximum FSR	1.45	FSR				
Site Size	11.880	sa.ft. or	99	bv	120	
Assumed Density	1.45	FSR		.,		
Total Gross Floorspace	17,226	sq.ft.				
Retail Space	0	sq.ft.				
Gross Residential Floorspace	17,226	sq.ft.				
Net Residential Floorspace	14,642	sg.ft. saleable		85%	of gross a	rea
Average Net Unit Size	750	sq.ft.			Ū	
Number of Units	20.0	units				
Number of Parking Stalls	1.0	per multifamily unit plus		20	1	
	2.0	per 1000 sg.ft. of retail spa	ce or	0	1	
		· · · ·		20	in total	
Revenue and Value						
Average Sales Price Per Sq. Ft. of Multifamily Residential Space	\$525.00	per sq.ft. of net saleable re	esidential s	space		
Average Lease Rate for Retail Space	\$0.00	per sq.ft. net for shell space	ce, no Tl's			
Vacancy and Nonrecoverable Allowance on Commercial Space	7%					
Capitalization Rate for Retail Space	6.50%					
Value of Retail Space Upon Lease-up	\$0.00	per sq.ft. of leasable area				
Construction Costs						
Demolition Costs	\$10,000					
Permit Fees	\$20,000					
Site Servicing	\$75,457	assuming	\$2,500	per lineal	metre of f	rontage
Landscaping	\$5.00	per sq.ft. of site area on	50.0%	of site		
Building Construction Costs - Residential	\$130					
Building Construction Costs - Retail/Commercial	\$180	assuming shell space				
Parking Construction Costs	\$40,000	per stall				
Total Hard Construction Costs	\$176	per gross sq.ft. assuming u	Indergroun	d parking	and retail a	at grade
Soft Costs	10%	of hard costs and parking				
Contingency on Costs	5%					
GVRD Sewer Levy	\$590.00	per apartment unit				
	\$0.443	per sq.ft. of retail space				
City Wide DCL's	\$10.20	per sq.ft. of building area				
Area Specific DCL's	\$0.00	per sq.ft. of building area				
Interim Financing on construction costs	7.0%	on 50% of construction cos	ts for	1.25	years	
Other Costs and Allowances						
Marketing and Commissions	5.0%	of gross residential revenue	le			
	2.0%	of commercial value				
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$0	assuming one month of re	nt per exis	ting unit		
Assessed Value Year 1 - existing assessment	\$2,013,000					
Taxes during Year 1 - see existing building analysis	\$8,506					
Assessed Value Year 2	\$3,843,551	(50% of completed value)				
Property Tax Rate (blended residential and business)	0.422573%					
Taxes during Year 2	\$16,242					
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	15%	of gross revenue				

Analysis		
Revenue		
Gross Multifamily Sales Revenue	\$7,687,103	
Capitalized Value of Retail Space	\$0	
Total Sales Revenue	\$7,687,103	
Less Marketing and Commissions	\$384,355	
Net Sales Revenue	\$7,302,747	
Construction Costs		
Tenant Belocation Costs	ŚO	
Demolition Costs	\$10,000	
Permit Fees	\$20,000	
Site Servicing	\$75.457	
Landscaping	\$29,700	
Hard Construction Costs	\$3,039,380	
Soft Costs	\$314,454	
Contingency on Hard and Soft Costs	\$174,450	
GVRD Sewer Levy - Residential	\$11,800	
GVRD Sewer Levy - Commercial	\$0	
City Wide DCL's	\$175,705	
Area Specific DCL's	\$0	
Property Taxes during approvals and construction	\$24,748	
Interim Financing	\$169,562	
Total Construction Costs	\$4,045,256	
Total Construction Costs per sq.ft.	\$235	
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	\$1,153,065	
Residual to Land and Land Carry	\$2.104.426	
Less interim financing on land for 21 months (7%)	\$234.357	
Less property purchase tax	\$35,401	
Residual Land Value	\$1,834,668	
Desiduel Velue ner er fil ef site	\$454.42	
Residual Value per sq.rt. or site	\$154.43	
Residual value per sq.ft. buildable	\$106.51	

Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental Housing	Study					
Base Case Rental Apartment Analysis						
Case Study Number	6b					
Property Address	1524 to 1540 Wes	st 71st Avenue (plus 8704	4 SW Marin	ne)		
Description	Older single detag	ched houses		,		
	Assumes Redeve	elopment to 4 Storey woo	dframe apa	artment		
Site and Building Size			an anno ape			
Existing Zoning	RM4					
Permitted Maximum ESR	1.45	ESR				
Site Size	11 880	saft or	90	by	120	
Assumed Density	1.45	FSR	00	<i>by</i>	120	
Total Gross Floorspace	17 226	sa ft				
Retail Shace	17,220	sq.rt.				
Gross Residential Electronace	17 226	sq.rt.				
Not Residential Floorspace	17,220	sq.rt.		0E0/	of groce or	00
	14,042	sq.rt. saleable		63%	or gross ar	ea
Average Net Official	24.0	sy.rt.				
Number of Onits	24.0			22		
Number of Parking Stans	0.9	per multinariny unit plus		22		
	2.0	per 1000 sq.rt. of retail spa	ace or	0		
				22	în total	
	ć40.000					
Demonition Costs	\$10,000					
Permit Fees	\$20,000					
Site Servicing	\$75,457	assuming	\$2,500	per lineal	metre of fr	ontage
Landscaping	\$5.00	per sq.ft. of site area on	50.0%	of site		
Building Construction Costs - Residential	\$120					
Building Construction Costs - Retail/Commercial	\$180	assuming shell space				
Parking Construction Costs	\$40,000	per stall				
Total Hard Construction Costs	\$171	per gross sq.ft. assuming u	undergroun	d parking a	and retail a	t grade
Soft Costs	10%	of hard costs and parking				
Contingency on Costs	5%					
GVRD Sewer Levy	\$590.00	per apartment unit				
	\$0.443	per sq.ft. of retail space				
City Wide DCL's	\$10.20	per sq.ft. of building area				
Area Specific DCL's	\$0.00	per sq.ft. of building area				
Interim Financing on construction costs	7.0%	on 50% of construction co	sts for	1.25	years	
Other Creation Costs and Allowances						
Initial Lease Up Costs	\$0.00					
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$0.00	assuming one month of re	ent per exis	ting unit		
Assessed Value Year 1 - existing assessment	\$2,013,000					
Taxes during Year 1 - see existing building analysis	\$8,506					
Assessed Value Year 2	\$2,562,368	(roughly 50% of complete	d value)			
Property Tax Rate (blended residential and business)	0.422573%					
Taxes during Year 2	\$10,828					
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	15%	of value				
Commission on Sale of Building	1%	of value				
Operating Revenue, Cost and Value Assumptions						
Average Lease Rate for Retail Space	\$0.00	per sq.ft. net for shell spa	ce, no Tl's			
Market Rental Rates						
Residential Units (average)	\$2.15	per sg.ft. per month or		\$1,290	per unit per	month
Laundry Revenue	\$0.00	per unit per month (in-suite))			
Parking Revenue	\$75.00	per stall per month				
Residential Vacancy Allowance	1.0%					
Commercial Vacancy Allowance	5.0%					
Property Tax Allowance	2.070					
Residential Assessment	\$5.000.000					
Residential Tax Rate	0 422573%					
Residential Property Taxes	\$21 120					
Rusiness/Other Assessment	φ2 1, 129 ¢∩					
Rusiness/Other Tax Rate	φυ 1 982256%					
Commercial Property Taxes	1.302230 %					
Residential Operating Costs - evoluting taxes (see notes)	ېں 20 0%	of effective gross residential	income			
Commercial Operating Costs (evoluting taxes (See HULES)	20.0%	ner sa ft, per vear	moome			
Commercial Operating Costs (Excluding Lax)	φ0.00	por ognin per year				

Analysis				
Net Operating Income and Value				
Revenues				
Commercial Gross Potential Rent (includes taxes)	\$0			
Commercial Vacancy	\$0			
Commercial Property Taxes	\$0			
Commercial Operating Costs	\$0			
Commercial Net Operating Income	\$0			
Capitalization Rate on Commercial Income	6.5%			
Capitalized Value of Commercial Space	\$0			
Anartment Cross Detential Pont	¢277 766			
Parking Poronuo	\$377,700			
	\$19,000 ¢0			
Total Gross Retential Revenue	φ0 \$307 566			
	\$3.97,500			
Effective Gross Apartment Revenue	\$393 591			
Residential Property Taxes	\$21 129			
Residential Operating Expenses	\$78,718			
Net Operating Income	\$203 744			
Capitalization Rate on Residential Space	φ200,744 5.5%			
Capitalized Value of Residential Space	\$5 340 796			
	ψ0,040,700			
Total Capitalized Value of Building	\$5,340,796			
Less Sales Commissions	\$53,408			
Net Value	\$5 287 388			
	\$0,201,000			
Land Costs				
Acquisition Costs	\$1,834,668			
PTT	\$34,693			
Holding During Approvals and Construction	\$228.997			
Total Land Costs	\$2.098.359			
Construction Costs				
Initial Lease Up Costs	\$0			
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$0			
Demolition Costs	\$10,000			
Permit Fees	\$20,000			
Site Servicing	\$75,457			
Landscaping	\$29,700			
Hard Construction Costs	\$2,947,120			
Soft Costs	\$305,228			
Contingency	\$169,375			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Residential	\$14,160			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Commercial	\$0			
City Wide DCL's	\$175,705			
Area Specific DCL's	\$0			
Property Taxes during approvals and construction	\$19,334			
Interim Financing	\$164,766			
Total Construction Costs	\$3,930,846			
Total Construction Costs per sq.ft.	\$228			
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	\$801,119			
Total Creation Cost	\$6,830,324			
Defecit = Net Value less Total Creation Cost	-\$1,542,936			
Defecit per Square Foot of Gross Residential Space	-\$90			

Case Study 7: 1880 Frances Street

Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental Housing Study						
Estimate of the Market Land Value of Site Under Existing Zon	ning					
Case Study Number	7A					
Property Address	1880 Frances a	nd 603 Victoria Drive				
Description	Old Duplex plus	vacant lot				
	Assumes Redev	velopment to 4 Storey woo	odframe ap	artment		
Site and Building Size						
Existing Zoning	RM4					
Permitted Maximum FSR	1.45	FSR				
Site Size	11,834	sq.ft. or	97	by	122	
Assumed Density	1.45	FSR				
Total Gross Floorspace	17,159	sq.ft.				
Retail Space	0	sq.ft.				
Gross Residential Floorspace	17,159	sq.ft.				
Net Residential Floorspace	14,585	sq.ft. saleable		85%	of gross a	rea
Average Net Unit Size	750	sq.ft.				
Number of Units	19.0	units				
Number of Parking Stalls	1.0	per multifamily unit plus		19		
	2.0	per 1000 sq.ft. of retail spa	ce or	0		
				19	in total	
Revenue and Value						
Average Sales Price Per Sq. Ft. of Multifamily Residential Space	\$495.00	per sq.ft. of net saleable re	esidential s	pace		
Average Lease Rate for Retail Space	\$0.00	per sq.ft. net for shell space	e, no TI's			
Vacancy and Nonrecoverable Allowance on Commercial Space	7%					
Capitalization Rate for Retail Space	6.50%					
Value of Retail Space Upon Lease-up	\$0.00	per sq.ft. of leasable area				
Construction Costs						
Demolition Costs	\$5,000					
Permit Fees	\$30,000					
Site Servicing	\$73,933	assuming	\$2,500	per lineal	metre of f	rontage
Landscaping	\$5.00	per sq.ft. of site area on	50.0%	of site		
Building Construction Costs - Residential	\$130					
Building Construction Costs - Retail/Commercial	\$180	assuming shell space				
Parking Construction Costs	\$40,000	per stall				
Total Hard Construction Costs	\$174	per gross sq.ft. assuming u	nderground	d parking a	and retail a	at grade
Soft Costs	10%	of hard costs and parking				
Contingency on Costs	5%					
GVRD Sewer Levy	\$590.00	per apartment unit				
	\$0.443	per sq.ft. of retail space				
City Wide DCL's	\$10.20	per sq.ft. of building area				
Area Specific DCL's	\$0.00	per sq.ft. of building area				
Interim Financing on construction costs	7.0%	on 50% of construction cos	ts for	1.25	years	
Other Costs and Allowances						
Marketing and Commissions	5.0%	of gross residential revenu	ie			
	2.0%	of commercial value				
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$0	assuming one month of re	nt per exist	ing unit		
Assessed Value Year 1 - existing assessment	\$1,658,500					
Taxes during Year 1 - see existing building analysis	\$7,008					
Assessed Value Year 2	\$3,609,888	(50% of completed value)				
Property Tax Rate (blended residential and business)	0.422573%					
Taxes during Year 2	\$15,254					
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	15%	of gross revenue				

Analysis		
Revenue		
Gross Multifamily Sales Revenue	\$7,219,775	
Capitalized Value of Retail Space	\$0	
Total Sales Revenue	\$7,219,775	
Less Marketing and Commissions	\$360,989	
Net Sales Revenue	\$6,858,787	
Construction Costs		
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$ <u>0</u>	
Demolition Costs	\$5,000	
Permit Fees	\$30,000	
Site Servicing	\$73,933	
Landscaping	\$29,585	
Hard Construction Costs	\$2,990,709	
Soft Costs	\$309,423	
Contingency on Hard and Soft Costs	\$171,932	
GVRD Sewer Levy - Residential	\$11,210	
GVRD Sewer Levy - Commercial	\$0	
City Wide DCL's	\$175,025	
Area Specific DCL's	\$0	
Property Taxes during approvals and construction	\$22,263	
Interim Financing	\$167,085	
Total Construction Costs	\$3,986,164	
Total Construction Costs per sq.ft.	\$232	
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	\$1,082,966	
Peeidual to Land and Land Corry	¢1 700 656	
Residual to Land and Land Carly	\$1,705,050	
	\$199,303	
Less property purchase tax	\$29,807	
	ə1,560,546	
Residual Value per sq.ft. of site	\$131.87	
Residual Value per sq.ft. buildable	\$90.94	

Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental Housing	l Study					
Base Case Rental Apartment Analysis						
Case Study Number	7B					
Property Address	1880 Frances an	d 603 Victoria Drive				
Description	Old Duplex plus	/acant lot				
	Assumes Redeve	elopment to 4 Storey woo	dframe apa	artment		
Site and Building Size						
Existing Zoning	RM4					
Permitted Maximum FSR	1.45	FSR				
Site Size	11.834	sa.ft. or	97	bv	122	
Assumed Density	1.45	FSR		,		
Total Gross Floorspace	17.159	sa.ft.				
Retail Space	0	sa.ft.				
Gross Residential Floorsnace	17 159	sa ft				
Net Residential Floorspace	14 585	sa ft saleable		85%	of gross a	rea
Average Net Unit Size	1-,505	sq.ft		0370	01 81033 0	
Number of Units	24.0	unite				
Number of Darking Stalls	24.0	nor multifamily unit plus		22		
	0.9	per multifainity unit plus		22		
	2.0	per 1000 sq.ft. of retail sp	ace or	0	•	
				22	in total	
Construction Costs	4					
Demolition Costs	\$5,000					
Permit Fees	\$30,000					
Site Servicing	\$73,933	assuming	\$2,500	perlineal	metre of f	rontage
Landscaping	\$5.00	per sq.ft. of site area on	50.0%	of site		
Building Construction Costs - Residential	\$120					
Building Construction Costs - Retail/Commercial	\$180	assuming shell space				
Parking Construction Costs	\$40,000	per stall				
Total Hard Construction Costs	\$171	per gross sq.ft. assuming	undergroun	d parking	and retail a	at grade
Soft Costs	10%	of hard costs and parking				
Contingency on Costs	5%					
GVRD Sewer Levy	\$590.00	per apartment unit				
	\$0.443	per sq.ft. of retail space				
City Wide DCL's	\$10.20	per sq.ft. of building area				
Area Specific DCL's	\$0.00	per sq.ft. of building area				
Interim Financing on construction costs	7.0%	on 50% of construction co	sts for	1.25	vears	
					,	
Other Creation Costs and Allowances						
Initial Lease Up Costs	\$0.00					
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$0.00	assuming one month of re	ent ner exis	ting unit		
Assessed Value Year 1 - existing assessment	\$1 658 500	assuming one month of re	ent per exis			
Tayes during Vear 1 - see existing building analysis	\$7,008					
Accord Value Year 2	\$7,000 \$2,552,446	(roughly EO% of complete	dyalua)			
Assessed value feal 2	\$2,002,440	(roughly 50% of complete	u value)			
Trues during Year 2	0.422573%					
Taxes during Year 2	\$10,786					
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	15%	of value				
Commission on Sale of Building	1%	of value				
Operating Revenue, Cost and Value Assumptions						
Average Lease Rate for Retail Space	\$0.00	per sq.ft. net for shell spa	ice, no Tl's			
Market Rental Rates						
Residential Units (average)	\$2.25	per sq.ft. per month or		\$1,350	per unit pe	er month
Laundry Revenue	\$0.00	per unit per month (in-suite))			
Parking Revenue	\$75.00	per stall per month				
Residential Vacancy Allowance	1.0%					
Commercial Vacancy Allowance	5.0%					
Property Tax Allowance						
Residential Assessment	\$5,000,000					
Residential Tax Rate	0.422573%					
Residential Property Taxes	\$21 120					
Rusiness/Other Assessment	ψ2 1, 120 ¢∩					
Business/Other Tay Pata	− 1 0922560/					
Commercial Property Taxos	1.902230%					
	\$U	of officitive groce regidentin	Lincomo			
	20.0%	or ellective gross residentia	rincome			PACE 80
commercial Operating Costs (excluding tax)	\$8.00	per sq.π. per year				

Analysis				
Net Operating Income and Value				
Revenues				
Commercial Gross Potential Rent (includes taxes)	\$0			
Commercial Vacancy	\$0			
Commercial Property Taxes	\$0			
Commercial Operating Costs	\$0			
Commercial Net Operating Income	\$0			
Capitalization Rate on Commercial Income	6.5%			
Capitalized Value of Commercial Space	\$0			
Apartment Gross Potential Rent	\$393,806			
Parking Revenue	\$19,800			
Laundry Revenue	\$0			
Total Gross Potential Revenue	\$413,606			
Apartment Vacancy	\$4,136			
Effective Gross Apartment Revenue	\$409,470			
Residential Property Taxes	\$21,129			
Residential Operating Expenses	\$81,894			
Net Operating Income	\$306,447			
Capitalization Rate on Residential Space	5.5%			
Capitalized Value of Residential Space	\$5,571,768			
Takal Oswikaliand Malus of Duildian	¢5 574 700			
Iotal Capitalized Value of Building	\$5,571,768			
	\$55,718			
	\$5,516,051			
Land Casta				
	¢4 560 546			
	ຈ 1,500,540 ເວລ 211			
Holding During Approvals and Construction	\$29,211			
Total Land Costs	\$1,74,743			
	Ş1,704,302			
Construction Costs				
Initial Lease Up Costs	\$0			
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$0			
Demolition Costs	\$5.000			
Permit Fees	\$30,000			
Site Servicing	\$73,933			
Landscaping	\$29,585			
Hard Construction Costs	\$2,939,116			
Soft Costs	\$304,263			
Contingency	\$169,095			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Residential	\$14,160			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Commercial	\$0			
City Wide DCL's	\$175,025			
Area Specific DCL's	\$0			
Property Taxes during approvals and construction	\$17,794			
Interim Financing	\$164,411			
Total Construction Costs	\$3,922,383			
Total Construction Costs per sq.ft.	\$229			
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	\$835,765			
Total Creation Cost	\$6,542,650			
Deficit = Net Value less Total Creation Cost	-\$1,026,600			
Deficit per Square Foot of Gross Residential Space	-\$60			

Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental I	Housing Stud	dy			
Estimate of the Market Value of Commerc	ial Building l	Jnder E	xisting Use a	and Zonir	ng
Case Study Number	8a				
Property Address	4464 Dunbar				
Description	single storey	comme	rcial building wi	th surface	parking
Assumptions					
Existing Zoning	C2				
Permitted Maximum FSR	2.5	FSR			
Site Size	15,400	sq.ft. or	140	by	110
Existing Building					
Number of Storeys	1				
Existing Built FSR	0.45	FSR			
Total Gross Floorspace	6,926	sq.ft.			
Gross Office Floorspace	0	sq.ft.			
Gross Retail Floorspace	6,926	sq.ft.			
Net Rentable Office Floorspace	0	or	100%	of gross off	ice floorspace
Net Rentable Retail Floorspace	6,926	or	100%	of gross ret	tail floorspace
Office Lease Rate	\$0.00	per sq.ft.	. per year net		
Retail Lease Rate	\$30.00	per sq.ft.	. per year net		
Commercial Vacancy Allowance	5.0%				
Property Tax Allowance					
Business/Other Assessment	\$4,986,800				
Business/Other Tax Rate	1.982256%				
Commercial Property Taxes	\$98,851				
Commercial Operating Costs (excluding tax)	\$8.00	per sq.ft	. per year		
Analyzia					
Revenues	¢262.020				
	\$302,039				
	\$18,102				
Commercial Property Taxes	\$98,851				
Commercial Operating Costs (excluding tax)	\$55,408				
Commercial Net Operating Income	\$189,678				
Capitalization Rate on Commercial Space	0.5%				
Capitalized value of Commercial Space	\$2,918,124				
Less Allowance for Deferred Maintenance	\$0				
Estimated Market Value	\$2,918.124				
Value per Gross Square Foot of Space	\$421.33				

Case Study 8: 4464 Dunbar

Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental Housing Stu	dy					
Estimate of the Market Land Value of Site Under Exist	ing Zoning					
Case Study Number	8b					
Property Address	4464 Dunbar					
Description	single storey co	mmercial building with sur	face park	ing		
	Assumes Rede	velopment to 4 Storey mix	ed use wo	odframe r	esidential	
Site and Building Size						
Existing Zoning	C2					
Permitted Maximum FSR	2.5	FSR				
Site Size	15.400	sa.ft. or	140	by	110	
Assumed Density	2.50	FSR		.,		
Total Gross Eloorspace	38 500	sa ft				
Retail Space	5.400	sa.ft.				
Gross Besidential Floorspace	33,100	sa ft				
Net Residential Floorspace	28 135	sq.ft. saleable		85%	of gross ar	еа
Average Net Unit Size	750	sa.ft.		0070	<u>8</u> . 000 ul	
Number of Units	38.0	units				
Number of Parking Stalls	1 0	per multifamily unit plus		28		
	2.0	per 1000 sq ft of retail sna	ice or	11		
	2.0	per 1000 sq.rt. or retain spa		11	in total	
Revenue and Value				+9		
Average Sales Price Per So. Et. of Multifamily Residential Space	\$600.00	ner so ft of net saleable r	esidential	snace		
	\$000.00 \$35.00	nersa ft net for shell snow		space		
Vacancy and Nonrecoverable Allowance on Commercial Space	په ر	per sq.rt. het for shell spat				
Capitalization Rate for Retail Space	6 500/					
	\$500.77	nor ca ft, of loocable area				
value of Ketali space opon Lease-up	\$300.77	per sq.rt. or leasable area				
Construction Costs						
Demolition Costs	\$50,000)				
Permit Fees	\$65,000)				
Site Servicing	\$106,707	assuming	\$2,500	per lineal	metre of fi	rontage
Landscaping	\$5.00	per sq.ft. of site area on	0.0%	of site		
Building Construction Costs - Residential	\$130)				
Building Construction Costs - Retail/Commercial	\$180	assuming shell space				
Parking Construction Costs	\$40,000	per stall				
Total Hard Construction Costs	\$188	per gross sq.ft. assuming u	Indergrour	d parking	and retail a	t grade
Soft Costs	10%	of hard costs and parking	0			0
Contingency on Costs	5%					
GVRD Sewer Levy	\$590.00	per apartment unit				
,	\$0.443	per sq.ft. of retail space				
City Wide DCL's	\$10.20	per sq.ft. of building area				
Area Specific DCL's	\$0.00	per sq.ft. of building area				
Interim Financing on construction costs	7.0%	on 50% of construction cos	sts for	1.25	years	
Uther Costs and Allowances	E 09/	of gross residential revers	10			
	3.0%	of commercial value	JC			
Tenant Relocation Costs	2.0%	assuming one month of re	nt nor ovic	ting unit		
Accord Value Var 1 avisting according	\$4.096.000	assuming one month of re	in per exis	ung unit		
Assessed value rear 1 - existing assessment	\$4,986,800	·				
Taxes during rear 1 - see existing building analysis	\$98,851					
Assessed value fear 2	\$8,440,500	(50% of completed value)				
Property Tax Rate (blended residential and business)	0.641334%					
Taxes during year 2	\$54,132					
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	15%	of gross revenue				

Analysis				
Revenue				
Gross Multifamily Sales Revenue	\$16,881,000			
Capitalized Value of Retail Space	\$2,704,154			
Total Sales Revenue	\$19,585,154			
Less Marketing and Commissions	\$898,133			
Net Sales Revenue	\$18,687,021			
Construction Costs				
Construction Costs	ćo			
Demolition Costs	ېں در مور			
Demolition Costs	\$50,000			
Permit Fees	\$65,000			
Site Servicing	\$106,707	_		
Landscaping	\$U			
Hard Construction Costs	\$7,235,000			
Soft Costs	\$734,171			
Contingency on Hard and Soft Costs	\$409,544			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Residential	\$22,420			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Commercial	\$2,392			
City Wide DCL's	\$392,700			
Area Specific DCL's	\$0			
Property Taxes during approvals and construction	\$152,983			
Interim Financing	\$401,228			
Total Construction Costs	\$9,572,145			
Total Construction Costs per sq.ft.	\$249			
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	\$2 937 773			
	<i>42,331,113</i>			
Residual to Land and Land Carry	\$6,177,103			
Less interim financing on land for 21 months (7%)	\$687,905			
Less property purchase tax	\$107,784			
Residual Land Value	\$5,381,414			
Peridual Value per conft. of site	6240 44			
Residual Value per sq.it. Of site	ə349.44			
Residual value per sq.ft. buildable	\$139.78			

Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental Housing	g Study					
Base Case Rental Apartment Analysis						
Case Study Number	8c					
Property Address	4464 Dunbar					
Description	single storey com	mercial building with surf	ace parkin	a		
	Assumes Redeve	elopment to 4 Storey mixe	duse wor	9 odframe re	sidential	
Site and Building Size					oraorraa	
Existing Zoning	C2					
Permitted Maximum ESP	25	ECD				
	2.5		440	h	440	
Sile Size	15,400	SQ.IL. OF	140	Dy	110	
Assumed Density	2.50	FSR				
Total Gross Floorspace	38,500	sq.rt.				
Retail Space	5,400	sq.ft.				
Gross Residential Floorspace	33,100	sq.ft.				
Net Residential Floorspace	28,135	sq.ft. saleable		85%	of gross are	ea
Average Net Unit Size	600	sq.ft.				
Number of Units	47.0	units				
Number of Parking Stalls	0.9	per multifamily unit plus		42		
	2.0	per 1000 sq.ft. of retail spa	ace or	11		
				53	in total	
Construction Costs						
Demolition Costs	\$50,000					
Permit Fees	\$65,000					
Site Servicing	\$106.707	assuming	\$2.500	perlineal	metre of fr	ontage
Landscaping	\$5.00	per so ft, of site area on	0.0%	of site		
Building Construction Costs - Residential	\$120		0.070	or once		
Building Construction Costs - Residential	\$120	accuming chall chace				
Building Construction Costs - Retail/Commercial	\$100 ¢40,000	assuming shell space				
Tatel Lland Construction Costs	\$40,000	per stall		ما بو مراد م	بما يمغمنا مع	
	\$183	per gross sq.rt. assuming t	undergroun	id parking a	and retail at	grade
Soft Costs	10%	of hard costs and parking				
Contingency on Costs	5%					
GVRD Sewer Levy	\$590.00	per apartment unit				
	\$0.443	per sq.ft. of retail space				
City Wide DCL's	\$10.20	per sq.ft. of building area				
Area Specific DCL's	\$0.00	per sq.ft. of building area				
Interim Financing on construction costs	7.0%	on 50% of construction co	sts for	1.25	years	
Other Creation Costs and Allowances						
Initial Lease Up Costs	\$0.00					
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$0.00	assuming one month of re	ent per exis	ting unit		
Assessed Value Year 1 - existing assessment	\$4,986,800		•			
Taxes during Year 1 - see existing building analysis	\$98.851					
Assessed Value Year 2	\$5,868,625	(roughly 50% of complete	d value)			
Property Tax Rate (blended residential and business)	0 641334%	(roughly boys of complete	a falac,			
Tayes during Vear 2	\$27 627					
Davelopar's Profit Margin Allowance	150/	ofvalue				
Commission on Solo of Building	10/	of value				
	1/0					
On a mating David way and Malue Assumptions						
Average Loace Date for Date I Grace	60F 00	porce ft matter-b-ll	00 K - TU-			
Average Lease Rate for Retail Space	\$35.00	per sq.ft. net for shell spa	ce, no Trs			
Market Rental Rates						
Residential Units (average)	\$2.40	per sq.ft. per month or		\$1,440	per unit per	month
Laundry Revenue	\$0.00	per unit per month (in-suite)				
Parking Revenue	\$75.00	per stall per month				
Residential Vacancy Allowance	1.0%					
Commercial Vacancy Allowance	5.0%					
Property Tax Allowance						
Residential Assessment	\$10,000,000					
Residential Tax Rate	0.422573%					
Residential Property Taxes	\$42.257					
Business/Other Assessment	\$2,000,000					
Business/Other Tax Rate	1 982256%					
Commercial Property Taxes	\$30 6/5					
Residential Operating Costs - excluding taxes (see notes)	20.0%	of effective aross residential	income			
Commercial Operating Costs - excluding taxes (see holes)	\$2.07%	ner sa fti ner vear				
Commondar Operating Obels (Excluding lax)	φο.00	אסי שליוני אבו אבטו				

Analysis					
Net Operating Income and Value					
Revenues					
Commercial Gross Potential Rent (includes taxes)	\$271,845				
Commercial Vacancy	\$13,592				
Commercial Property Taxes	\$39,645				
Commercial Operating Costs	\$43,200				
Commercial Net Operating Income	\$175,408				
Capitalization Rate on Commercial Income	6.5%				
Capitalized Value of Commercial Space	\$2,698,581				
Apartment Gross Potential Rent	\$810,288				
Parking Revenue	\$37,800				
Laundry Revenue	\$0				
Total Gross Potential Revenue	\$848,088				
Apartment Vacancy	\$8,481				
Effective Gross Apartment Revenue	\$839,607				
Residential Property Taxes	\$42,257				
Residential Operating Expenses	\$167,921				
Net Operating Income	\$629,428				
Capitalization Rate on Residential Space	5.5%				
Capitalized Value of Residential Space	\$11,444,153				
Total Capitalized Value of Building	\$14,142,733				
Less Sales Commissions	\$141,427				
Net Value	\$14,001,306				
Land Costs					
Acquisition Costs	\$5,381,414				
PTT	\$105,628				
Holding During Approvals and Construction	\$672,163				
Total Land Costs	\$6,159,205				
Construction Costs					
Initial Lease Up Costs	\$0				
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$0				
Demolition Costs	\$50,000				
Permit Fees	\$65,000				
Site Servicing	\$106,707				
Landscaping	\$0				
Hard Construction Costs	\$7,064,000				
Soft Costs	\$717,071				
Contingency	\$400,139				
GVRD Sewer Levy - Residential	\$27,730				
GVRD Sewer Levy - Commercial	\$2,392				
City Wide DCL's	\$392,700				
Area Specific DCL's	\$0				
Property Taxes during approvals and construction	\$136,489				
Interim Financing	\$392,097				
Total Construction Costs	\$9,354,325				
Total Construction Costs per sq.ft.	\$243				
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	\$2,121,410				
Total Creation Cost	\$17,634,941				
Deficit = Net Value less Total Creation Cost	-\$3,633,635				
Deficit per Square Foot of Gross Residential Space	-\$110				
Dench per Square Foot of Gross Floor Space	-\$94				
Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental	Housing Stud	dy			
--	----------------------------------	---------------------------	----------------	-------------	------------------
Estimate of the Market Value of Commerce	cial Building l	Jnder Ex	cisting Use	and Zoni	ng
Case Study Number	9a				
Property Address	3401 Cambie	9			
Description	Single Storev	Roval Ba	ank Building w	ith Surfac	e Parking
			J		
Assumptions					
Existing Zoning	C2				
Permitted Maximum FSR	2.5	FSR			
Site Size	18,000	sq.ft. or	150	by	120
Existing Building				,	
Number of Storevs	1				
Existing Built FSR	0.65	FSR			
Total Gross Floorspace	11,700	sq.ft.			
Gross Office Floorspace	0	sq.ft.			
Gross Retail Floorspace	11,700	sq.ft.			
Net Rentable Office Floorspace	0	or	100%	of gross of	ffice floorspace
Net Rentable Retail Floorspace	11,700	or	100%	of gross re	tail floorspace
Office Lease Rate	\$0.00	per sq.ft.	per vear net	Ū	•
Retail Lease Rate	\$35.00) per sq.ft. per year net			
Commercial Vacancy Allowance	5.0%				
Property Tax Allowance					
Business/Other Assessment	\$5,432,200				
Business/Other Tax Rate	1.982256%				
Commercial Property Taxes	\$107,680				
Commercial Operating Costs (excluding tax)	\$8.00	per sq.ft.	per year		
Analysis					
Revenues					
Commercial Gross Potential Rent (includes taxes)	\$610,780				
Commercial Vacancy	\$30,539				
Commercial Property Taxes	\$107,680				
Commercial Operating Costs (excluding tax)	\$93,600				
Commercial Net Operating Income	\$378,961				
Capitalization Rate on Commercial Space	6.5%				
Capitalized Value of Commercial Space	\$5,830,169				
Lass Allowance for Deferred Maintenance	¢0				
Estimated Market Value	\$5 830 160				
	φ3,630,109 ¢400 24				
Value per Gross Square Foot of Site Area	φ 1 30.31 \$222.00				
value per Gross Square Foot of Site Area	და∠3.90				

Case Study 9: 3401 Cambie Street

Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental Housing Stu	dv					
Estimate of the Market Land Value of Site Under Exist	ina Zonina					
Case Study Number	9b					
Property Address	3401 Cambie					
Description	Single Storev R	oval Bank Building with Su	Irface Parl	kina		
	Assumes Rede	velopment to 4 Storev mix	ed use wo	odframe r	esidential	
Site and Building Size						
	C2					
Permitted Maximum ESR	2.5	FSR				
Site Size	18 000	soft or	150	hv	120	
Assumed Density	2 50		100	by	120	
Total Gross Elegrando	45.000	r sit				
Potal Gross Floorspace	43,000	sy.n.				
Cross Desidential Floorence	29,300	sq.nt.				
	30,700	sq.it.		050/	of groce or	~~~
	52,695	sq.it. saleable		63%	or gross ar	ea
Average wet Unit Size	/50	sy.it.				
Number of Units	44.0	units				
Number of Parking Stalls	1.0	per multifamily unit plus		44		
	2.0	per 1000 sq.ft. of retail spa	ice or	13		
				57	in total	
Revenue and Value						
Average Sales Price Per Sq. Ft. of Multifamily Residential Space	\$575.00	per sq.ft. of net saleable re	esidential	space		
Average Lease Rate for Retail Space	\$40.00	per sq.ft. net for shell space	ce, no TI's			
Vacancy and Nonrecoverable Allowance on Commercial Space	7%					
Capitalization Rate for Retail Space	6.50%					
Value of Retail Space Upon Lease-up	\$572.31	per sq.ft. of leasable area				
Construction Costs						
Domolition Costo	¢E0.000					
Dermit Food	\$30,000					
Site Conjuing	\$75,000	accuming	62 E00	norlingal	motro of f	ontogo
	\$114,325	dssuming	\$2,500 0.00/	per inear	metre of n	ontage
Landscaping	\$5.00	per sq.rt. of site area on	0.0%	orsite		
Building Construction Costs - Residential	\$130	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Building Construction Costs - Retail/Commercial	\$180	assuming shell space				
Parking Construction Costs	\$40,000	perstall				
Total Hard Construction Costs	\$188	per gross sq.ft. assuming u	indergrour	id parking	and retail a	t grade
Soft Costs	10%	of hard costs and parking				
Contingency on Costs	5%					
GVRD Sewer Levy	\$590.00	per apartment unit				
	\$0.443	per sq.ft. of retail space				
City Wide DCL's	\$10.20	per sq.ft. of building area				
Area Specific DCL's	\$0.00	per sq.ft. of building area				
Interim Financing on construction costs	7.0%	on 50% of construction cos	sts for	1.25	years	
Other Costs and Allowances						
Marketing and Commissions	5.0%	of gross residential revenu	Je			
	2.0%	% of commercial value				
Tenant Relocation Costs		assuming one month of re	nt per exis	ting unit		
Assessed Value Year 1 - existing assessment	\$5 432 200					
Taxes during Year 1 - see existing building analysis	\$107 680					
Assessed Value Year 2	¢0 //57 212	(50% of completed value)				
Property Tax Rate (blended residential and business)	0 6/00200/	(Som of completed value)				
Taxes during Vear 2	0.040329%					
Taxes uuting teat 2	Ş00,615	of gross royanus				
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	15%	or gross revenue				

Analysis				
Revenue				
Gross Multifamily Sales Revenue	\$18,914,625			
Capitalized Value of Retail Space	\$3,605,538			
Total Sales Revenue	\$22,520,163			
Less Marketing and Commissions	\$1,017,842			
Net Sales Revenue	\$21,502,321			
Construction Costs				
Construction Costs	ćo			
Demolition Costs	ېں در مور			
Demolition Costs	\$50,000			
Permit Fees	\$75,000			
Site Servicing	\$114,329			
Landscaping	\$U			
Hard Construction Costs	\$8,445,000			
Soft Costs	\$855,933			
Contingency on Hard and Soft Costs	\$477,013			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Residential	\$25,960			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Commercial	\$2,791			
City Wide DCL's	\$459,000			
Area Specific DCL's	\$0			
Property Taxes during approvals and construction	\$168,295			
Interim Financing	\$466,958			
Total Construction Costs	\$11,140,279			
Total Construction Costs per sq.ft.	\$248			
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	\$3 378 025			
beveloper at folit margin Allowance	\$3,370,023			
Residual to Land and Land Carry	\$6,984,018			
Less interim financing on land for 21 months (7%)	\$777,766			
Less property purchase tax	\$122,125			
Residual Land Value	\$6,084,127			
	¢000.04			
Residual value per sq.π. of site	\$338.01			
Residual Value per sq.ft. buildable	\$135.20			

Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental Housing	g Study					
Base Case Rental Apartment Analysis						
Case Study Number	9c					
Property Address	3401 Cambie					
Description	Single Storev Rov	al Bank Building with Sur	face Parki	na		
	Assumes Redeve	elopment to 4 Storev mixe	d use woo	dframe re	sidential	
Site and Building Size						
Existing Zoning	C2					
Permitted Maximum ESR	2.5	FSR				
Site Size	18 000	saft or	150	by	120	
Assumed Density	2 50	FSR	100	by	120	
Total Gross Floorsnace	45.000	sa ft				
Retail Space	43,000	sq.rt.				
Gross Posidential Electropice	28 700	sq.rt.				
Net Desidential Floorspace	38,700	sy.it.		050/	of ano on an	
	32,895	sq.it. saleable		85%	or gross are	20
Average Net Unit Size	600	sq.it.				
Number of Units	55.0	units		50		
Number of Parking Stalls	0.9	per multifamily unit plus		50		
	2.0	per 1000 sq.ft. of retail spa	ce or	13		
				63	in total	
Construction Costs						
Demolition Costs	\$50,000					
Permit Fees	\$75,000					
Site Servicing	\$114,329	assuming	\$2,500	per lineal	metre of fr	ontage
Landscaping	\$5.00	per sq.ft. of site area on	0.0%	of site		
Building Construction Costs - Residential	\$120					
Building Construction Costs - Retail/Commercial	\$180	assuming shell space				
Parking Construction Costs	\$40,000	per stall				
Total Hard Construction Costs	\$184	per gross sq.ft. assuming u	and retail at	grade		
Soft Costs	10%	of hard costs and parking		-		
Contingency on Costs	5%					
GVRD Sewer Levy	\$590.00	per apartment unit				
	\$0.443	per sq.ft. of retail space				
City Wide DCI's	\$10.20	per sq.ft. of building area				
Area Specific DCL's	\$0.00	per sqift of building area				
Interim Financing on construction costs	7.0%	on 50% of construction cos	ts for	1 25	vears	
	7.070	on som of construction cos	13101	1.25	years	
Other Creation Costs and Allowanses						
	\$0.00					
Tenant Beleastion Costs	\$0.00	accuming one month of re	nt nor ovic	tingunit		
	\$0.00 ¢5 400 000	assuming one month of re	ni per exis	ting unit		
Assessed value Year 1 - existing assessment	\$5,432,200					
Taxes during Year 1 - see existing building analysis	\$107,680	() FOO(())				
Assessed Value Year 2	\$6,859,125	(roughly 50% of completed	d value)			
Property Tax Rate (blended residential and business)	0.640929%					
Taxes during Year 2	\$43,962					
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	15%	of value				
Commission on Sale of Building	1%	of value				
Operating Revenue, Cost and Value Assumptions						
Average Lease Rate for Retail Space	\$40.00	per sq.ft. net for shell space	e, no TI's			
Market Rental Rates						
Residential Units (average)	\$2.40	per sq.ft. per month or		\$1,440	per unit per	month
Laundry Revenue	\$0.00	per unit per month (in-suite)				
Parking Revenue	\$75.00	per stall per month				
Residential Vacancy Allowance	1.0%					
Commercial Vacancy Allowance	5.0%					
Property Tax Allowance						
Residential Assessment	\$12,000,000					
Residential Tax Rate	0 422573%					
Residential Property Taxes	\$50 700					
Rusiness/Other Assessment	\$3,000,000					
Rusiness/Other Tay Rate	1 0822560/					
Commercial Property Taxes	1.902200%					
Decidential Operating Costs - avaluding taxes (ass notes)	پري 20,000	of effective areas residential	income			
Commercial Operating Costs - excluding taxes (see Hotes)	20.0%	or enective gross residential	ncome			
commercial Operating Costs (excluding tax)	\$8.00	per sq.it. per year				

Analysis				
Net Operating Income and Value				
Revenues				
Commercial Gross Potential Rent (includes taxes)	\$361,868			
Commercial Vacancy	\$18,093			
Commercial Property Taxes	\$59,468			
Commercial Operating Costs	\$50,400			
Commercial Net Operating Income	\$233,907			
Capitalization Rate on Commercial Income	6.5%			
Capitalized Value of Commercial Space	\$3,598,563			
Apartment Gross Potential Rent	\$947,376			
Parking Revenue	\$45,000			
Laundry Revenue	\$0			
Total Gross Potential Revenue	\$992,376			
Apartment Vacancy	\$9,924			
Effective Gross Apartment Revenue	\$982,452			
Residential Property Taxes	\$50,709			
Residential Operating Expenses	\$196,490			
Net Operating Income	\$735,253			
Capitalization Rate on Residential Space	5.5%			
Capitalized Value of Residential Space	\$13,368,237			
Total Capitalized Value of Building	\$16,966,800			
Less Sales Commissions	\$169,668			
Net Value	\$16,797,132			
Land Costs				
Acquisition Costs	\$6,084,127			
PTT	\$119,683			
Holding During Approvals and Construction	\$759,967			
Total Land Costs	\$6,963,777			
Construction Costs				
Initial Lease Up Costs	\$0			
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$0			
Demolition Costs	\$50,000			
Permit Fees	\$75,000			
Site Servicing	\$114,329			
Landscaping	\$0			
Hard Construction Costs	\$8,298,000			
Soft Costs	\$841,233			
Contingency	\$468,928			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Residential	\$32,450			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Commercial	\$2,791			
City Wide DCL's	\$459,000			
Area Specific DCL's	\$0			
Property Taxes during approvals and construction	\$151,642			
Interim Financing	\$459,085			
Total Construction Costs	\$10,952,458			
Total Construction Costs per sq.ft.	\$243			
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	\$2,545,020			
Total Creation Cost	\$20,461,255			
Deficit = Net Value less Total Creation Cost	-\$3,664,123			
Deficit per Square Foot of Gross Residential Space	-\$95			
Deficit per Square Foot of Gross Floor Space	-\$81			

Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental	Housing Stud	dy			
Estimate of the Market Value of Commerce	ial Building l	Jnder E	Existing Use	and Zon	ing
Case Study Number	10a				
Property Address	5704 Fraser				
Description	Older Restau	irant - C	hurch's Chicke	n Site	
Assumptions					
Existing Zoning	C2				
Permitted Maximum FSR	2.5	FSR			
Site Size	14,400	sq.ft. or	120	by	120
Existing Building				,	
Number of Storeys	1				
Existing Built FSR	0.17	FSR			
Total Gross Floorspace	2,500	sq.ft.			
Gross Office Floorspace	0	sq.ft.			
Gross Retail Floorspace	2,500	sq.ft.			
Net Rentable Office Floorspace	0	or	100%	of gross of	office floorspace
Net Rentable Retail Floorspace	2,500	or	100%	of gross r	etail floorspace
Office Lease Rate	\$0.00	per sq.ft	. per year net		
Retail Lease Rate	\$30.00	per sq.ft	. per year net		
Commercial Vacancy Allowance	5.0%				
Property Tax Allowance					
Business/Other Assessment	\$2,765,600				
Business/Other Tax Rate	1.982256%				
Commercial Property Taxes	\$54,821				
Commercial Operating Costs (excluding tax)	\$8.00	per sq.ft	. per year		
Analysis					
Revenues					
Commercial Gross Potential Rent (includes taxes)	\$149,821				
Commercial Vacancy	\$7,491				
Commercial Property Taxes	\$54,821				
Commercial Operating Costs (excluding tax)	\$20,000				
Commercial Net Operating Income	\$67,509				
Capitalization Rate on Commercial Space	6.5%				
Capitalized Value of Commercial Space	\$1,038,599				
Less Allowance for Deferred Maintenance	¢∩				
Estimated Market Value	φυ \$1.038.500				
Value per Gross Square Foot of Space	\$415 44				
Value per Gross Square Foot of Site Area	¢70 10				
value per Gross Square i OUL OF Sile Ared	φιΖ.ΙΖ				

Case Study 10: 5704 Fraser Street

Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental Housing Stud	dv.					
Estimate of the Market Land Value of Site Under Existi	na Zonina					
Case Study Number	10b					
Property Address	5704 Fraser					
Description	Older Restaura	nt - Church's Chicken Site	•			
	Assumes Rede	velopment to 4 Storev mix	ed use wo	odframe r	esidential	
Site and Building Size						
	C2					
Permitted Maximum ESR	2.5	FSR				
Site Size	14 400	saft or	120	by	120	
Assumed Density	2.50	FSR	.20	2)	.20	
Total Gross Floorspace	36,000	sa ft				
Retail Snace	5 100	sq.ft				
Gross Residential Floorsnace	30,900	sa ft				
Net Residential Floorspace	26 265	sa ft saleable		85%	of gross ar	63
	750	sq.ft		03/0	01 51055 01	cu
Number of Units	25.0	units				
Number of Parking Stalls	1.0	ner multifamily unit plus		25		
	1.0	per 1000 sq ft of retail cos	ice or	10		
	2.0	per 1000 sq.rt. of retail spa		10	in total	
Povenue and Value				43	muutai	
Nevenue and Value	É450.00	porce ft of pot colooble r	ocidontial			
	\$450.00 \$25.00	per sq. it. of het saleable f		space		
	\$35.UL	per sq.rt. het for shell space	le, no n's			
Vacancy and Nonrecoverable Anowance on Commercial Space	/%					
	6.50%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
value of Retail Space Opon Lease-up	\$500.77	per sq.rt. of leasable area				
Construction Costs						
Demolition Costs	\$10,000)				
Permit Fees	\$60,000)				
Site Servicing	\$91,463	assuming	\$2,500	per lineal	metre of f	ontage
Landscaping	\$5.00	per sq.ft. of site area on	0.0%	of site		
Building Construction Costs - Residential	\$130)				
Building Construction Costs - Retail/Commercial	\$180	assuming shell space				
Parking Construction Costs	\$40.000	per stall				
Total Hard Construction Costs	\$187	per gross solft, assuming u	Indergrour	d parking	and retail a	t grade
Soft Costs	10%	of hard costs and parking				0
Contingency on Costs	5%					
GVRD Sewer Levy	\$590.00	per apartment unit				
	\$0.443	per sq.ft. of retail space				
City Wide DCL's	\$10.20	per sq.ft. of huilding area				
Area Specific DCI's	\$0.00	per sq ft, of huilding area				
Interim Financing on construction costs	7.0%	on 50% of construction cos	sts for	1.25	years	
Other Costs and Allowances	E 00/					
warketing and commissions	5.0%	% of gross residential revenue				
	2.0%	or commercial value				
	ŞC	assuming one month of re	nt per exis	ung unit		
Assessed value Year 1 - existing assessment	\$2,765,600					
Taxes during year 1 - see existing building analysis	\$54,821					
Assessed Value Year 2	\$5,909,625	(50% of completed value)				
Property Tax Rate (blended residential and business)	0.643528%					
Taxes during Year 2	\$38,030	-				
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	15%	of gross revenue				

Analysis				
Revenue				
Gross Multifamily Sales Revenue	\$11,819,250			
Capitalized Value of Retail Space	\$2,553,923			
Total Sales Revenue	\$14,373,173			
Less Marketing and Commissions	\$642,041			
Net Sales Revenue	\$13,731,132			
Construction Costs				
Construction Costs	ćo			
Demolition Costs	ŞU 610.000			
Demolition Costs	\$10,000			
Permit Fees	\$60,000			
Site Servicing	\$91,463			
Landscaping	\$U			
Hard Construction Costs	\$6,735,000			
Soft Costs	\$682,646			
Contingency on Hard and Soft Costs	\$378,955			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Residential	\$20,650			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Commercial	\$2,259			
City Wide DCL's	\$367,200			
Area Specific DCL's	\$0			
Property Taxes during approvals and construction	\$92,851			
Interim Financing	\$369,295			
Total Construction Costs	\$8,810,321			
Total Construction Costs per sq.ft.	\$245			
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	\$2 155 976			
	<i><i><i>ϕ</i>₂<i>j</i>₂<i>s</i>₃<i>j</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>_{3,<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>_{3,<i>i</i>₃<i>i</i>_{3,<i>i</i>_{3,<i>i</i>_{3,<i>i</i>_{3,<i>i</i>_{3,<i>i</i>_{3,<i>i</i>_{3,<i>i</i>_{3,<i>i</i>_{3,<i>i</i>_{3,<i>i</i>_{3,<i>i</i>}}}}}}}}}}}}}</i></i>			
Residual to Land and Land Carry	\$2,764,835			
Less interim financing on land for 21 months (7%)	\$307,902			
Less property purchase tax	\$47,139			
Residual Land Value	\$2,409,795			
Posidual Value per se ft of site	¢167.25			
Desidual Value per sq.ft. buildable	φ107.35 ¢cc.04			
Residual value per sq.it. bulluable	əbb.94			

Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental Housing	l Studv					
Base Case Rental Anartment Analysis						
Case Study Number	10c					
Property Address	5704 Eraser					
Description	Older Restaurant	- Church's Chicken Site				
Description		alonment to 4 Storey mixe	d use woo	dframe re	sidential	
Site and Building Size	Assumes Redeve				Siderillar	
Site and building Size	C2					
Existing Zoning	02					
Site Size	14.400		100	by c	120	
Sile Size	14,400		120	by	120	
Assumed Density	2.50					
Patell Gross Floorspace	36,000	sq.n.				
Retail Space	5,100	sq.tt.				
Gross Residential Floorspace	30,900	sq.ft.				
Net Residential Floorspace	26,265	sq.ft. saleable		85%	of gross ar	rea
Average Net Unit Size	600	sq.ft.				
Number of Units	44.0	units				
Number of Parking Stalls	0.9	per multifamily unit plus		40		
	2.0	per 1000 sq.ft. of retail spa	ice or	10		
				50	in total	
Construction Costs						
Demolition Costs	\$10,000					
Permit Fees	\$60,000					
Site Servicing	\$91,463	assuming	\$2,500	per lineal	metre of f	rontage
Landscaping	\$5.00	per sq.ft. of site area on	0.0%	of site		
Building Construction Costs - Residential	\$120					
Building Construction Costs - Retail/Commercial	\$180	assuming shell space				
Parking Construction Costs	\$40,000	per stall				
Total Hard Construction Costs	\$184	4 per gross sq.ft. assuming underground parking and retail at				
Soft Costs	10%	6 of hard costs and parking				
Contingency on Costs	5%					
GVRD Sewer Levy	\$590.00	per apartment unit				
	\$0.443	per sq.ft. of retail space				
City Wide DCL's	\$10.20	per sq.ft, of building area				
Area Specific DCL's	\$0.00	per sq.ft. of building area				
Interim Financing on construction costs	7.0%	on 50% of construction cos	sts for	1 25	vears	
	7.070		101	1.25	years	
Other Creation Costs and Allowanses						
	¢0.00					
Tenant Beleastion Costs	\$0.00 ¢0.00	accuming one month of re	nt nor ovic	tingunit		
	\$0.00	assuming one month of re	in per exis	ting unit		
Assessed value Year 1 - existing assessment	\$2,765,600					
Taxes during Year 1 - see existing building analysis	\$54,821	(markly FOX) of an analytic				
Assessed value year 2	\$5,488,875	(roughly 50% of complete	d value)			
Property Tax Rate (blended residential and business)	0.643528%					
Taxes during Year 2	\$35,322	<u>с</u> , ,				
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	15%	of value				
Commission on Sale of Building	1%	of value				
Operating Revenue, Cost and Value Assumptions						
Average Lease Rate for Retail Space	\$35.00	per sq.ft. net for shell spa	ce, no Tl's			
Market Rental Rates						
Residential Units (average)	\$2.00	per sq.ft. per month or		\$1,200	per unit pe	r month
Laundry Revenue	\$0.00	per unit per month (in-suite)				
Parking Revenue	\$50.00	per stall per month				
Residential Vacancy Allowance	1.0%					
Commercial Vacancy Allowance	5.0%					
Property Tax Allowance						
Residential Assessment	\$8,000,000					
Residential Tax Rate	0.422573%					
Residential Property Taxes	\$33.806					
Business/Other Assessment	\$2.000.000					
Business/Other Tax Rate	1.982256%					
Commercial Property Taxes	\$39.645					
Residential Operating Costs - excluding taxes (see notes)	20.0%	of effective aross residential	income			
Commercial Operating Costs (excluding tax)	\$8.00	per so.ft. per vear				
	\$0.00					

Analysis				
Net Operating Income and Value				
Revenues				
Commercial Gross Potential Rent (includes taxes)	\$258,945			
	\$12,947			
Commercial Property Taxes	\$39,645			
Commercial Operating Costs	\$40,800			
Commercial Net Operating Income	\$165,553			
	6.5%			
Capitalized Value of Commercial Space	\$2,546,965			
Anortment Cross Detential Dent	¢620.260			
Apartment Gloss Potential Rent	\$030,300 ¢24,000			
Parking Revenue	\$24,000			
Laundry Revenue	\$U ¢CE4.260			
Apartment Vegeney	\$004,300 \$6 544			
Effective Cross Apartment Bevenue	\$0,044 \$647.916			
Enective Gross Apartment Revenue	\$047,010 \$22,906			
Residential Property Taxes	\$33,800 ¢100,560			
Residential Operating Expenses	\$129,503			
Net Operating Income	\$484,447			
Capitalization Rate on Residential Space	5.5%			
Capitalized value of Residential Space	\$8,808,132			
Tatal Operitational Malue of Duilding	¢44.055.000			
	\$11,355,098			
Less Sales Commissions	\$113,551			
Net Value	\$11,241,547			
Land Oasta				
	¢0.400.705			
Acquisition Costs	\$2,409,795			
P11 Helding During Approvals and Construction	\$46,196			
Holding During Approvals and Construction	\$300,859			
	\$2,756,849			
Construction Costs				
	ŚŊ			
Tenant Belocation Costs	0Ç ()			
Demolition Costs	ېن 10 000			
Demontion Costs	\$10,000			
Site Servicing	\$00,000			
	\$71,403 \$0			
Hard Construction Costs	ېر ۵۵۵ کې کې			
Soft Costs	\$671 7/6			
Contingency	\$372.960			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Residential	\$372,300			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Commercial	\$2,500			
City Wide DCI's	\$367,200			
Area Specific DCL's	\$0			
Property Taxes during approvals and construction	\$90 144			
Interim Financing	\$363,901			
Total Construction Costs	\$8 681 634			
Total Construction Costs per so ft	\$741			
	Υ ² ΤΙ	1		
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	\$1,703,265			
	<i>~1</i> ,,205			
Total Creation Cost	\$13,141,748			
Deficit = Net Value less Total Creation Cost	-\$1,900,201			
Deficit per Square Foot of Gross Residential Space	-\$61			
Deficit per Square Foot of Gross Floor Space	-\$53			

Case Study 11: 4000 Main Street

					1	1
Financial Analysis for vancouver Rental Housing Study	7					
Estimate of the Market Land Value of Site Under Existing						
Case Study Number	11A					
Property Address	4000 Main					
Description	Vacant Site - for	mer gas station				
	Assumes Rede	velopment to 4 Storey mix	ed use wo	odframe i	residential	
Site and Building Size						
Existing Zoning	C2					
Permitted Maximum FSR	2.5	FSR				
Site Size	17,666	sq.ft. or	146	by	121	
Assumed Density	2.50	FSR				
Total Gross Floorspace	44,165	sq.ft.				
Retail Space	6,200	sq.ft.				
Gross Residential Floorspace	37,965	sq.ft.				
Net Residential Floorspace	32,270	sq.ft. saleable		85%	of gross a	rea
Average Net Unit Size	750	sq.ft.				
Number of Units	43.0	units				
Number of Parking Stalls	1.0	per multifamily unit plus		43		
	2.0	per 1000 sq.ft. of retail spa	ace or	12		
				55	in total	
Revenue and Value						
Average Sales Price Per Sq. Ft. of Multifamily Residential Space	\$485.00	per sq.ft. of net saleable residential space				
Average Lease Rate for Retail Space	\$40.00	per sq.ft. net for shell spa				
Vacancy and Nonrecoverable Allowance on Commercial Space	7%					
Capitalization Rate for Retail Space	6.50%					
Value of Retail Space Upon Lease-up	\$572.31	per sg.ft. of leasable area				
Construction Costs						
Demolition Costs	\$0					
Permit Fees	\$75,000					
Site Servicing	\$111,280	assuming	\$2,500	per lineal	metre of f	rontage
Landscaping	\$5.00	per sq.ft. of site area on	0.0%	of site		
Building Construction Costs - Residential	\$130					
Building Construction Costs - Retail/Commercial	\$180	assuming shell space				
Parking Construction Costs	\$40,000	per stall				
Total Hard Construction Costs	\$187	per gross sq.ft. assuming u	Indergroun	d parking	and retail a	at grade
Soft Costs	10%	of hard costs and parking				
Contingency on Costs	5%					
GVRD Sewer Levy	\$590.00	per apartment unit				
	\$0.443	per sq.ft. of retail space				
City Wide DCL's	\$10.20	per sg.ft. of building area				
Area Specific DCL's	\$0.00	per sq.ft. of building area				
Interim Financing on construction costs	7.0%	on 50% of construction cos	sts for	1.25	years	
					ŕ	
Other Costs and Allowances						
Marketing and Commissions	5.0%	of gross residential reven	he			
	2.0%	of commercial value				
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$0	assuming one month of re	nt per exis	ting unit		
Assessed Value Year 1 - existing assessment	\$4,538,000	_		-		
Taxes during Year 1 - see existing building analysis	\$89,955					
Assessed Value Year 2	\$7.825.536	(50% of completed value)				
Property Tax Rate (blended residential and business)	0.641525%	, p				
Taxes during Year 2	\$50.203					
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	15%	of gross revenue				

Analysis				
Revenue				
Gross Multifamily Sales Revenue	\$15,651,071			
Capitalized Value of Retail Space	\$3,548,308			
Total Sales Revenue	\$19,199,379			
Less Marketing and Commissions	\$853,520			
Net Sales Revenue	\$18,345,859			
Construction Costs				
Tonant Palacation Costs	ćn			
Demolition Costs	50 ¢0			
Demontion Costs	ېں د جو ۱۹۵۵			
Site Servicing	\$75,000			
	\$111,200			
Hard Construction Costs	\$8 251 /50			
Soft Costs	\$826 272			
Contingency on Hard and Soft Costs	\$463,700			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Residential	\$25,370			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Commercial	\$2,747			
City Wide DCI's	\$450 483			
Area Specific DCL's	\$0			
Property Taxes during approvals and construction	\$140,158			
Interim Financing	\$453.095			
Total Construction Costs	\$10.809.556			
Total Construction Costs per sq.ft.	\$245			
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	\$2,879,907			
Residual to Land and Land Carry	\$4,656,396			
Less interim financing on land for 21 months (7%)	\$518,553			
Less property purchase tax	\$80,757			
Residual Land Value	\$4,057,086			
Residual Value per so ft of site	\$229.66			
Residual Value per soft, buildable	\$91.86			

Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental Housing	g Study				
Base Case Rental Apartment Analysis					
Case Study Number	11B				
Property Address	4000 Main				
Description	Vacant Site - form	ner das station			
Becomption	Assumes Redeve	elonment to 4 Storey mixe	ed use woo	dframe re	sidential
Site and Building Size					
Existing Zoning	C2				
Permitted Maximum ESP	2.5	EQD			
	2.0		440	h	101
	17,000	sq.n. or	140	by	121
Assumed Density	2.50	FSR			
Iotal Gross Floorspace	44,165	sq.ft.			
Retail Space	6,200	sq.ft.			
Gross Residential Floorspace	37,965	sq.ft.			
Net Residential Floorspace	32,270	sq.ft. saleable		85%	of gross area
Average Net Unit Size	600	sq.ft.			
Number of Units	54.0	units			
Number of Parking Stalls	0.9	per multifamily unit plus		49	
	2.0	per 1000 sq.ft. of retail sp	ace or	12	
				61	in total
Construction Costs					
Demolition Costs	\$0				
Permit Fees	\$75,000				
Site Servicing	\$111.280	assuming	\$2,500	perlineal	metre of frontage
Landscaping	\$5.00	per so, ft, of site area on	0.0%	of site	
Building Construction Costs - Residential	\$120		0.070	0.0.00	
Building Construction Costs - Retail/Commercial	\$180	assuming shell snace			
Barking Construction Costs	¢40.000	nor stall			
Total Hard Construction Costs	\$40,000	per stall	undorgroup	d parking	and rotail at grade
	\$104	per gross sq.rt. assuming t	undergroun	u parking a	and retail at grade
	10%	of hard costs and parking			
Contingency on Costs	5%				
GVRD Sewer Levy	\$590.00	per apartment unit			
	\$0.443	per sq.ft. of retail space			
City Wide DCL's	\$10.20	per sq.ft. of building area			
Area Specific DCL's	\$0.00	per sq.ft. of building area			
Interim Financing on construction costs	7.0%	on 50% of construction co	sts for	1.25	years
Other Creation Costs and Allowances					
Initial Lease Un Costs	\$0.00				
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$0.00	assuming one month of re	nt ner evis	ting unit	
Assessed Value Vear 1 - existing assessment	\$4,538,000	assuming one month of re	int per exis	ting unit	
Assessed value real 1 - existing assessment	\$4,536,000 \$00,000				
Accessed Value Value 2	\$09,900 #C 700,004	(noughly EOO/ of complete	ا میں امیں ا		
Assessed value fear 2	\$0,732,294 0.0445359/	(roughly 50% of complete	u value)		
Property Tax Rate (blended residential and business)	0.641525%				
Taxes during Year 2	\$43,189				
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	15%	of value			
Commission on Sale of Building	1%	of value			
Operating Revenue, Cost and Value Assumptions					
Average Lease Rate for Retail Space	\$40.00	per sq.ft. net for shell spa	ce, no TI's		
Market Rental Rates					
Residential Units (average)	\$2.20	per sa.ft. per month or		\$1.320	per unit per month
Laundry Revenue	\$0.00	per unit per month (in-suite))		
Parking Revenue	\$50.00	per stall per month			
Residential Vacancy Allowance	1.0%				
	F.0%				
	5.0 %				
Property Tax Allowance	010 000 000				
	\$10,000,000				
Residential Tax Rate	0.422573%				
Residential Property Taxes	\$42,257				
Business/Other Assessment	\$2,500,000				
Business/Other Tax Rate	1.982256%				
Commercial Property Taxes	\$49,556				
Residential Operating Costs - excluding taxes (see notes)	20.0%	of effective gross residential	lincome		
Commercial Operating Costs (excluding tax)	\$8.00	per sq.ft. per year			

Analysis				
Net Operating Income and Value				
Commorcial Cross Potential Pont (includes taxes)	\$347 156			
Commercial Vacanov	¢347,130 \$17,359			
	\$17,556			
	\$49,550			
	\$230.642			
Conitalization Bate on Commercial Income	\$250,042 6 E9/			
Capitalization Rate on Commercial Income	0.0% ¢2 E49 241			
	\$3,540,541			
Anartmont Gross Potential Pont	\$951.035			
	\$001,930 \$20,400			
	\$29,400 ¢0			
Lauridiy Revenue	Φ001 225			
	000,000 010			
Effortive Cross Apartment Payonup	¢0,013			
Desidential Dreparty Taxon	¢072,321			
Residential Property Taxes	\$42,237 \$174 EQ4			
	\$174,304 \$655,760			
Capitalization Data on Desidential Space	\$000,700 E.E%			
Capitalization Rate on Residential Space	\$11,022,004			
Capitalized Value of Residential Space	φ11,922,904			
Total Capitalizad Value of Building	¢15 471 045			
	\$15,471,245			
Less Sales Commissions	\$104,712			
	\$15,310,532			
Land Casta				
Land Costs	¢4.057.090			
	\$4,057,080			
Holding During Approvals and Construction	\$79,142			
Total Land Costs	\$300,088			
	94,042,310			
Construction Costs				
Initial Lease Up Costs	\$0			
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$0			
Demolition Costs	\$0			
Permit Fees	\$75,000			
Site Servicing	\$111,280			
	\$0			
Hard Construction Costs	\$8,111,800			
Soft Costs	\$822.308			
Contingency	\$456.019			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Residential	\$31.860			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Commercial	\$2.747			
City Wide DCL's	\$450.483			
Area Specific DCL's	\$0			
Property Taxes during approvals and construction	\$133,144			
Interim Financing	\$446,016			
Total Construction Costs	\$10,640,657			
Total Construction Costs per sq.ft.	\$241			
· · ·				
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	\$2,320,687			
· · ·				
Total Creation Cost	\$17,604,260			
Deficit = Net Value less Total Creation Cost	-\$2,287,727			
Deficit per Square Foot of Gross Residential Space	-\$60			
Deficit per Square Foot of Gross Floor Space	-\$52			

Case Study 12	: 1344 Kingsway
---------------	-----------------

Einancial Analysis for Vancouver Rental Housing Study						
Estimate of the Market Land Value of Site Under Existing	7 Zoning					
Case Study Number	120					
Case Study Nulliber	12d	2011/01/				
Description	1344 IU 1350 Kil	igsway				
Description	Vacant Site	valanment to 4 Storay min		odfromo	acidantial	
Otto and Duilding Oteo	Assumes Rede	elopment to 4 Storey mb	keu use wo	ounamen	esidential	
Site and Building Size						
Existing Zoning	02	500				
Permitted Maximum FSR	2.5	FSR			400	
Site Size	12,078	sq.π. or	99	ру	122	
Assumed Density	2.50	FSR				
Iotal Gross Floorspace	30,195	sq.ft.				
Retail Space	4,200	sq.ft.				
Gross Residential Floorspace	25,995	sq.ft.				
Net Residential Floorspace	22,096	sq.ft. saleable		85%	of gross ar	еа
Average Net Unit Size	750	sq.ft.				
Number of Units	29.0	units				
Number of Parking Stalls	1.0	per multifamily unit plus		29		
	2.0	per 1000 sq.ft. of retail sp	ace or	8		
				37	in total	
Revenue and Value						
Average Sales Price Per Sq. Ft. of Multifamily Residential Space	\$425.00	per sq.ft. of net saleable r	esidential s	space		
Average Lease Rate for Retail Space	\$30.00	per sq.ft. net for shell spa	ce, no Tl's			
Vacancy and Nonrecoverable Allowance on Commercial Space	7%					
Capitalization Rate for Retail Space	6.50%					
Value of Retail Space Upon Lease-up	\$429.23	per sq.ft. of leasable area				
Construction Costs						
Demolition Costs	\$0					
Permit Fees	\$50,000					
Site Servicing	\$75,457	assuming	\$2,500	per lineal	metre of f	rontage
Landscaping	\$5.00	per sq.ft. of site area on	0.0%	of site		
Building Construction Costs - Residential	\$130					
Building Construction Costs - Retail/Commercial	\$180	assuming shell space				
Parking Construction Costs	\$40,000	per stall				
Total Hard Construction Costs	\$186	per gross sq.ft. assuming	undergroun	d parking	and retail a	t grade
Soft Costs	10%	of hard costs and parking				
Contingency on Costs	5%					
GVRD Sewer Levy	\$590.00	per apartment unit				
	\$0.443	per sq.ft. of retail space				
City Wide DCL's	\$10.20	per sq.ft. of building area				
Area Specific DCL's	\$0.00	per sq.ft. of building area				
Interim Financing on construction costs	7.0%	on 50% of construction co	sts for	1.25	years	
Other Costs and Allowances						
Marketing and Commissions	5.0%	of gross residential reven	ue			
	2.0%	of commercial value				
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$0	assuming one month of re	ent per exis	ting unit		
Assessed Value Year 1 - existing assessment	\$1,964,000					
Taxes during Year 1 - see existing building analysis	\$38,932					
Assessed Value Year 2	\$4,695,347	(50% of completed value)				
Property Tax Rate (blended residential and business)	0.639518%					
Taxes during Year 2	\$30,028					
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	15%	of gross revenue				

Analysis				
Revenue				
Gross Multifamily Sales Revenue	\$9,390,694			
Capitalized Value of Retail Space	\$1,802,769			
Total Sales Revenue	\$11,193,463			
Less Marketing and Commissions	\$505,590			
Net Sales Revenue	\$10,687,873			
Or an adversa til and Or a da				
	do			
lenant Relocation Costs	\$0			
Demolition Costs	\$0 \$0			
Permit Fees	\$50,000			
Site Servicing	\$75,457		 	
Landscaping	\$0			
Hard Construction Costs	\$5,615,350			
Soft Costs	\$569,081			
Contingency on Hard and Soft Costs	\$315,494			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Residential	\$17,110		 	
GVRD Sewer Levy - Commercial	\$1,861			
City Wide DCL's	\$307,989			
Area Specific DCL's	\$0			
Property Taxes during approvals and construction	\$68,959			
Interim Financing	\$307,182			
Total Construction Costs	\$7,328,483			
Total Construction Costs per sq.ft.	\$243			
Developende Desfét Manufa Allevienes	¢1 (70 010			
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	\$1,679,019			
Residual to Land and Land Carry	\$1,680,370			
Less interim financing on land for 21 months (7%)	\$187,132			
Less property purchase tax	\$27,865			
Residual Land Value	\$1,465,373			
Residual Value per sq.ft. of site	\$121.33			
Residual Value per sq.ft. buildable	\$48.53			

Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental Housing	l Studv					
Base Case Rental Anartment Analysis	, ,					
Case Study Number	12h					
Property Address	1344 to 1350 Kind	vewer				
Property Address	Vacant Site	Joway				
Description		lonmont to 4 Storov mixe	d use wee	dframa ra	aidontial	
Older and Duilding Circ	Assumes Redeve	elopment to 4 Storey mixe	u use woo	ullame re	sidentiai	
Site and Building Size						
	02					
Permitted Maximum FSR	2.5	FSR				
Site Size	12,078	sq.ft. or	99	by	122	
Assumed Density	2.50	FSR				
Total Gross Floorspace	30,195	sq.ft.				
Retail Space	4,200	sq.ft.				
Gross Residential Floorspace	25,995	sq.ft.				
Net Residential Floorspace	22,096	sq.ft. saleable		85%	of gross an	ea
Average Net Unit Size	600	sq.ft.				
Number of Units	37.0	units				
Number of Parking Stalls	0.9	per multifamily unit plus		33		
	20	per 1000 sq.ft. of retail sp:	ace or	8		
	2.0	per 2000 sqirti or retail spi		41	in total	
Construction Costs					mitotai	
Domolition Costs	¢Ω					
Demointion Costs	ېن د عد موم					
Permit Fees	\$75,000		40 - 00			
Site Servicing	\$75,457	assuming	\$2,500	perlineal	metre of f	rontage
Landscaping	\$5.00	per sq.ft. of site area on	0.0%	of site		
Building Construction Costs - Residential	\$120					
Building Construction Costs - Retail/Commercial	\$180	assuming shell space				
Parking Construction Costs	\$40,000	per stall				
Total Hard Construction Costs	\$183	per gross sq.ft. assuming u	undergroun	id parking a	and retail a	t grade
Soft Costs	10%	of hard costs and parking				
Contingency on Costs	5%					
GVRD Sewer Levy	\$590.00	per apartment unit				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$0.443	per sq.ft, of retail space				
City Wide DCI's	\$10.20	per sq ft of building area				
Area Specific DCI's	\$0.00	per sqift, of building area				
Interim Einancing on construction costs	,00.00 7.00∕	on EO% of construction con	tc for	1 25	voarc	
	7.0%		515 101	1.23	years	
Other Creation Costs and Allowances	<u> </u>					
Initial Lease Up Costs	\$0.00					
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$0.00	assuming one month of re	nt per exis	ting unit		
Assessed Value Year 1 - existing assessment	\$1,964,000					
Taxes during Year 1 - see existing building analysis	\$38,932					
Assessed Value Year 2	\$4,601,756	(roughly 50% of complete	d value)			
Property Tax Rate (blended residential and business)	0.639518%					
Taxes during Year 2	\$29,429					
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	15%	of value				
Commission on Sale of Building	1%	of value				
Operating Revenue, Cost and Value Assumptions						
Average Lease Rate for Retail Space	\$30.00	per sq.ft, net for shell spa	ce, no Tl's			
Market Rental Rates	çsoloo	per squar net for shen spa				
Pesidential Linits (average)	\$2.00	per sa ft, per month or		\$1.200	ner unit ne	r month
	φ2.00 ¢0.00	per sq.it. per month (in quite)		φ1,200	per unit pe	monun
	\$0.00	per unit per month (in-suite)				
	\$50.00	per stall per month				
Residential Vacancy Allowance	1.0%					
Commercial Vacancy Allowance	5.0%					
Property Tax Allowance						
Residential Assessment	\$6,500,000					
Residential Tax Rate	0.422573%					
Residential Property Taxes	\$27,467					
Business/Other Assessment	\$1,500,000					
Business/Other Tax Rate	1.982256%					
Commercial Property Taxes	\$29,734					
Residential Operating Costs - excluding taxes (see notes)	20.0%	of effective gross residential	income			
Commercial Operating Costs (excluding tax)	\$8.00	per sq.ft. per year				

Analysis				
Not Onerating Income and Value				
Net Operating income and value				
Revenues	¢400.004			
Commercial Gross Potential Rent (includes taxes)	\$189,334			
	\$9,467			
	\$29,734			
Commercial Operating Costs	\$33,600			
Commercial Net Operating Income	\$116,533			
Capitalization Rate on Commercial Income	6.5%			
Capitalized Value of Commercial Space	\$1,792,820			
Apartment Gross Potential Rent	\$530,298			
	\$19,800			
	\$0			
Iotal Gross Potential Revenue	\$550,098			
Apartment Vacancy	\$5,501			
Effective Gross Apartment Revenue	\$544,597			
Residential Property Taxes	\$27,467	 		
Residential Operating Expenses	\$108,919			
Net Operating Income	\$408,210			
Capitalization Rate on Residential Space	5.5%			
Capitalized Value of Residential Space	\$7,422,007			
Total Capitalized Value of Building	\$9,214,827			
Less Sales Commissions	\$92,148			
Net Value	\$9,122,679			
Land Costs				
Acquisition Costs	\$1,465,373			
PTT	\$27,307			
Holding During Approvals and Construction	\$182,853			
Total Land Costs	\$1,675,534			
Construction Costs				
Initial Lease Up Costs	\$0			
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$0			
Demolition Costs	\$0			
Permit Fees	\$75,000			
Site Servicing	\$75,457			
Landscaping	\$0			
Hard Construction Costs	\$5,515,400			
Soft Costs	\$559,086			
Contingency	\$311,247			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Residential	\$21,830			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Commercial	\$1,861			
City Wide DCL's	\$307,989			
Area Specific DCL's	\$0			
Property Taxes during approvals and construction	\$68,361			
Interim Financing	\$303,460			
Total Construction Costs	\$7,239,690			
Total Construction Costs per sq.ft.	\$240			
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	\$1,382,224			
Total Creation Cost	\$10,297,449			
Deficit = Net Value less Total Creation Cost	-\$1,174,770			
Deficit per Square Foot of Gross Residential Space	-\$45			
Deficit per Square Foot of Gross Floor Space	-\$39			

Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental	Housing Stud	dy			
Estimate of the Market Value of Commer	cial Building l	Jnder E	Existing Use	and Zoni	ng
Case Study Number	13A				
Property Address	2054 Comme	ercial Dr	ive		
Description	Single Storey	/ Retail			
Assumptions					
Existing Zoning	C2C1				
Permitted Maximum FSR	3.0	FSR			
Site Size	8,500	sq.ft. or	100	by	85
Existing Building					
Number of Storeys	1				
Existing Built FSR	0.60	FSR			
Total Gross Floorspace	5,083	sq.ft.			
Gross Office Floorspace	0	sq.ft.			
Gross Retail Floorspace	5,083	sq.ft.			
Net Rentable Office Floorspace	0	or	100%	of gross of	fice floorspace
Net Rentable Retail Floorspace	5,083	or	100%	of gross re	tail floorspace
Office Lease Rate	\$0.00	per sq.ft	. per year net		
Retail Lease Rate	\$30.00	per sq.ft	. per year net		
Commercial Vacancy Allowance	5.0%				
Property Tax Allowance					
Business/Other Assessment	\$2,765,600				
Business/Other Tax Rate	1.982256%				
Commercial Property Taxes	\$54,821				
Commercial Operating Costs (excluding tax)	\$8.00	per sq.ft	. per year		
Analysis					
Commercial Gross Potential Rent (includes taxes)	\$247 975				
Commercial Vacancy	\$12,399				
Commercial Property Taxes	\$54,821				
Commercial Operating Costs (excluding tax)	\$40,664				
Commercial Net Operating Income	\$140.091				
Capitalization Rate on Commercial Space	6.5%				
Capitalized Value of Commercial Space	\$2,155,250				
Less Allowance for Deferred Maintenance	\$0				
	\$2,155,250				
Value per Gross Square Foot of Space	\$424.01				
value per Gross Square Foot of Site Area	\$253.56				

Case Study 13: 2054 Commercial Drive

Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental Housing Study						
Estimate of the Market Land Value of Site Under Existing	g Zoning					
Case Study Number	13B					
Property Address	2054 Commerc	ial Drive				
Description	Single Storey Re	etail				
	Assumes Redev	velopment to 4 Storey mix	ked use wo	odframe i	residential	
Site and Building Size						
Existing Zoning	C2C1					
Permitted Maximum FSR	3.0	FSR				
Site Size	8,500	sq.ft. or	100	by	85	
Assumed Density	3.00	FSR				
Total Gross Floorspace	25,500	sq.ft.				
Retail Space	3,000	sq.ft.				
Gross Residential Floorspace	22,500	sq.ft.				
Net Residential Floorspace	19,125	sq.ft. saleable		85%	of gross a	rea
Average Net Unit Size	750	sq.ft.				
Number of Units	26.0	units				
Number of Parking Stalls	1.0	per multifamily unit plus		26		
	2.0	per 1000 sg.ft. of retail sp	ace or	6		
	2.0	,	= = .	32	in total	
Revenue and Value						
Average Sales Price Per So. Et. of Multifamily Residential Space	\$495.00	ner so ft of net saleable i	esidential	snace		
Average Lease Rate for Retail Space	\$35.00	per sq.ft. of fiet saledble i	ce no Tl's	puce		
Vacancy and Nonrecoverable Allowance on Commercial Space	7%	per sq.re. net for shen spu	cc, no mo			
Canitalization Rate for Retail Space	6 50%					
Value of Retail Space Upon Lease-up	\$500.77	per ca ft, of lessable area				
Value of Netal space opon Lease-up	\$500.77	per sq.nt. of leasable area				
Construction Costs						
Demolition Costs	\$10,000					
Permit Fees	\$50,000					
Site Servicing	\$76,220	assuming	\$2,500	per lineal	metre of f	rontage
Landscaping	\$5.00	per sq.ft. of site area on	0.0%	of site		
Building Construction Costs - Residential	\$130					
Building Construction Costs - Retail/Commercial	\$180	assuming shell space				
Parking Construction Costs	\$40,000	per stall				
Total Hard Construction Costs	\$186	per gross sq.ft. assuming	undergroun	d parking	and retail a	at grade
Soft Costs	10%	of hard costs and parking				
Contingency on Costs	5%					
GVRD Sewer Levy	\$590.00	per apartment unit				
	\$0.443	per sq.ft. of retail space				
City Wide DCI's	\$10.20	per sq ft, of building area				
Area Specific DCL's	\$0.00	per sq ft of building area				
Interim Financing on construction costs	7.0%	on 50% of construction co	sts for	1 25	vears	
	7.070		515101	1.23	years	
Other Costs and Allowances						
Marketing and Commissions	5.0%	of gross residential reven	ue			
	2.0%	of commercial value				
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$0	assuming one month of re	ent per exis	ting unit		
Assessed Value Year 1 - existing assessment	\$2,765,600		per exis			
Taxes during Year 1 - see existing building analysis	\$54 821					
Assessed Value Year 2	\$4 732 138	(50% of completed value)				
Property Tax Rate (blended residential and business)	0 606065%	(Sove of completed value)				
Taxes during Year 2	\$78 688					
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	15%	of gross revenue				

Analysis				
Revenue				
Gross Multifamily Sales Revenue	\$9,466,875			
Capitalized Value of Retail Space	\$1,502,308			
Total Sales Revenue	\$10,969,183			
Less Marketing and Commissions	\$503,390			
Net Sales Revenue	\$10,465,793			
Or an adversa til and Or a da				
	40			
lenant Relocation Costs	\$0			
Demolition Costs	\$10,000			
Permit Fees	\$50,000			
Site Servicing	\$76,220			
Landscaping	\$0			
Hard Construction Costs	\$4,745,000			
Soft Costs	\$482,122			
Contingency on Hard and Soft Costs	\$268,167			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Residential	\$15,340			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Commercial	\$1,329			
City Wide DCL's	\$260,100			
Area Specific DCL's	\$0			
Property Taxes during approvals and construction	\$83,509			
Interim Financing	\$262,141			
Total Construction Costs	\$6,253,927			
Total Construction Costs per sq.ft.	\$245			
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	¢1 64E 277			
Developer's Front margin Anowance	\$1,045,577			
Residual to Land and Land Carry	\$2,566,488			
Less interim financing on land for 21 months (7%)	\$285,813			
Less property purchase tax	\$43,613			
Residual Land Value	\$2,237,061			
Pasidual Value par or ft of site	¢000.40			
residual value per sq.π. of site	¢203.18			
Residual value per sq.ft. buildable	\$87.73			

Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental Housing	n Study					
Page Case Bental Apartment Apalysis	Joudy					
Dase Case Rental Apartment Analysis	100					
	130					
Property Address	2054 Commercia	al Drive				
Description	Single Storey Ref	tail				
	Assumes Redeve	elopment to 4 Storey mixed	d use woo	dframe re	sidential	
Site and Building Size						
Existing Zoning	C2C1					
Permitted Maximum FSR	3.0	FSR				
Site Size	8.500	sq ft_or	100	by	85	
Assumed Density	3 00	FSR		2)		
Total Gross Elegranaco	25 500	ca ft				
	23,300	sy.rt.				
	3,000	sq.n.				
Gross Residential Floorspace	22,500	sq.ft.				
Net Residential Floorspace	19,125	sq.ft. saleable		85%	of gross ar	ea
Average Net Unit Size	600	sq.ft.				
Number of Units	32.0	units				
Number of Parking Stalls	0.9	per multifamily unit plus		29		
	2.0	per 1000 sq.ft. of retail space	ce or	6		
				35	in total	
Construction Costs					in co cui	
Demolition Costs	¢10.000					
Demolition Costs	\$10,000					
Permit Fees	\$50,000					
Site Servicing	\$76,220	assuming	\$2,500	per lineal	metre of fr	ontage
Landscaping	\$5.00	per sq.ft. of site area on	0.0%	of site		
Building Construction Costs - Residential	\$120					
Building Construction Costs - Retail/Commercial	\$180	assuming shell space				
Parking Construction Costs	\$40,000	per stall				
Total Hard Construction Costs	\$182	per gross so ft, assuming u	ndergroun	d narking a	and retail a	grade
Soft Costs	10%	of hard costs and parking	inder Broan	a parting c		Binne
Sont costs	10/0	of hard costs and parking				
	5%					
GVRD Sewer Levy	\$590.00	per apartment unit				
	\$0.443	per sq.ft. of retail space				
City Wide DCL's	\$10.20	per sq.ft. of building area				
Area Specific DCL's	\$0.00	per sq.ft. of building area				
Interim Financing on construction costs	7.0%	on 50% of construction cost	ts for	1.25	years	
Other Creation Costs and Allowances						
Initial Lease LID Costs	\$0.00					
Toppart Polocation Costs	\$0.00	assuming one month of rer	at nor ovic	ting unit		
	\$0.00	assuming one month of ref	it per exis	ting unit		
Assessed value year 1 - existing assessment	\$2,765,600					
Taxes during Year 1 - see existing building analysis	\$54,821					
Assessed Value Year 2	\$3,871,875	(roughly 50% of completed	value)			
Property Tax Rate (blended residential and business)	0.606065%					
Taxes during Year 2	\$23,466					
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	15%	of value				
Commission on Sale of Building	1%	of value				
	1/0					
Operating Revenue, Cost and Value Assumptions						
Average Lease Date for Datail Grass	6ar oo	norce ft notfershall				
Average Lease Rate for Retail Space	\$35.00	per sq.ft. net for shell space	e, no ir s			
Market Rental Rates						
Residential Units (average)	\$2.25	per sq.ft. per month or		\$1,350	per unit per	month
Laundry Revenue	\$0.00	per unit per month (in-suite)				
Parking Revenue	\$50.00	per stall per month				
Residential Vacancy Allowance	1.0%					
Commercial Vacancy Allowance	5.0%					
Property Tax Allowance						
Residential Assessment	\$6,000,000					
Desidential Tay Date	φ0,000,000					
	0.422573%					
Residential Property Taxes	\$25,354					
Business/Other Assessment	\$1,000,000					
Business/Other Tax Rate	1.982256%					
Commercial Property Taxes	\$19,823					
Residential Operating Costs - excluding taxes (see notes)	20.0%	of effective gross residential i	income			
Commercial Operating Costs (excluding tax)	\$8.00	per sq.ft. per year				

Analysis				
Net Operating Income and Value				
Revenues				
Commercial Gross Potential Rent (includes taxes)	\$148,823			
Commercial Vacancy	\$7,441			
Commercial Property Taxes	\$19,823			
Commercial Operating Costs	\$24,000			
Commercial Net Operating Income	\$97,559			
Capitalization Rate on Commercial Income	6.5%			
Capitalized Value of Commercial Space	\$1,500,906			
Apartment Gross Potential Rent	\$516,375			
Parking Revenue	\$17,400			
Laundry Revenue	\$0			
Total Gross Potential Revenue	\$533,775			
Apartment Vacancy	\$5,338			
Effective Gross Apartment Revenue	\$528,437			
Residential Property Taxes	\$25,354			
Residential Operating Expenses	\$105,687			
Net Operating Income	\$397,395			
Capitalization Rate on Residential Space	5.5%			
Capitalized Value of Residential Space	\$7,225,371			
Total Capitalized Value of Building	\$8,726,277			
Less Sales Commissions	\$87,263			
Net Value	\$8,639,014			
Land Costs				
Acquisition Costs	\$2,237,061			
PTT	\$42,741			
Holding During Approvals and Construction	\$279,276			
Total Land Costs	\$2,559,078			
Construction Costs				
Initial Lease Up Costs	\$0			
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$0			
Demolition Costs	\$10,000			
Permit Fees	\$50,000			
Site Servicing	\$76,220			
Landscaping	\$0			
Hard Construction Costs	\$4,640,000			
Soft Costs	\$471,622			
Contingency	\$262,392			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Residential	\$18,880			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Commercial	\$1,329			
City Wide DCL's	\$260,100			
Area Specific DCL's	\$0			
Property Taxes during approvals and construction	\$78,287			
Interim Financing	\$256,761			
Total Construction Costs	\$6,125,591			
Total Construction Costs per sq.ft.	\$240			
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	\$1,308,942			
Total Creation Cost	\$9,993,611			
Deficit = Net Value less Total Creation Cost	-\$1,354,597			
Deficit per Square Foot of Gross Residential Space	-\$60			
Deficit per Square Foot of Gross Residential Space	-\$53			

Case Study 14: 1206 East 22nd Avenue

Estimate of the Markot Land Value of Site Under Existing Zoning IAA IAA IAA Case Study Number IAA IAA IAA IAA Poperty Address IAD to 1216 East 22nd Avenue IAA IAA Description Assume Redevelopment to Row Housing with Underground Parking IAA Site and Building Size IAA IAA IAA Site Size IAA IAA IAA Permited Maximum FSR IAA IAA IAA Site Size IAAA IAA IAA IAA Site Size Science Information Informatinformation Informatinformation Information Informa	Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental Housing Study						
Case Study Number 13A 13A 13A Property Address 1266 to 1216 East 22nd Avenue 140 140 Description Existing Housing Assume Redevelopment to Row Housing with Underground Parking Site and Building Size 1.2 FSR 98 92 Permitted Maximum FSR 1.2 FSR 98 92 Site Size 1.2 FSR 98 92 Site Size 1.2 FSR 98 94 122 Resumed Density 1.2 FSR 98 94 122 Total Gross Resonance 1.4 494 sq. ft. 98 94 122 Residential Floorspace 1.4 494 sq. ft. 96 97 97 Number of Units 1.2 O FSR 97 97 97 97 Number of Parking Stalls 1.2 O FSR 97 97 97 97 Number of Varing Stalls 1.2 O per risto sq. ft. of net saleable residential space 92 10 10 Average Stalls Arice Press 1, ft. of Multifamily Residential Space 95000 97 97 10 10 Variang Stalls 500,00 97 97 10 10 10 10 Variang Stalls Arice Press 1, ft. of Multifamily Residential Space 500,00 10	Estimate of the Market L and Value of Site Under Existing	n Zoning					
Constantion 128 Description Existing Houses Assume Redevelopment to Row Housing with Underground Parking Image: Constantion of Constantio constantion of Constantio constantion of Constantion	Case Study Number	124					
Projecting Solution Existing Problems Existing Problems Description Assume Redevelopment to Row Housing with Underground Parking Star and Building Size Image: Solution Parking Existing Zoring RWI Image: Solution Parking Permitted Maximum FSR 1.2 FSR 9 Site Size 1.2 C/78 sq.1, or 98 by Site Size 0.5 q.1, t. Image: Solution Parking Image: Solution Parking Site Size 0.5 q.1, t. Image: Solution Parking Image: Solution Parking Site Size 0.5 q.1, t. Image: Solution Parking Image: Solution Parking Number of Linking Stalls 1.20 per multifamily unit plus 12 Image: Solution Parking Number of Marking Stalls 1.0 per multifamily unit plus 12 Image: Solution Parking Number of Marking Stalls 1.0 per sq.1, thetfor shell space Image: Solution Parking Image: Solution Parking Number of Parking Stalls 1.0 per sq.1, thetfor shell space, in Ti's Image: Solution Parking Image: Solution Parking Nutrang and Noncovershe Allowance on Commercial Space Solution Pars, thetfor shell space Image: Solution Parking Image: Solution Parking	Property Address	13A	at 22nd Avenue				
Description Description Assume Redevelopment to Row Housing with Underground Parking Image: Construction Construction Costs Image:	Property Address	Evicting House	IST ZZHU AVEHUE				
Assume Revenue Factor and State State and Building Size State and Building Size Existing Zoring RM	Description		lonmont to Dow Housing	with Lindo	raround D	orking	
Sine and building size Image: Sine and size	Otto and Building Oteo	Assume Redeve		with Onde	iground P	arking	
Lasting QuingINMINMINM12 FSR9999122Site Size12,078 sq.t. or9999122Site Size12,078 sq.t. or9990122Total Gross Floorspace14,494 sq.t.111Fetail Space0.9. sq.t.100%of gross areaAverage Net Unit Size11,250 sq.ft.100%of gross areaAverage Net Unit Size11,250 sq.ft.11Number of Dints112.0 units12.0 units12Number of Darking Stalls10.0 per multifamily unit plus121Average Lease Rate for Retail Space\$400.00 per sq.ft. of net slapece1Average Lease Rate for Retail Space\$400.00 per sq.ft. of net slapece1Average Lease Rate for Retail Space\$400.00 per sq.ft. of net slapece1Value of Retail Space\$400.00 per sq.ft. of start area1Average Lease Rate for Retail Space\$400.00 per sq.ft. of start area1Value of Retail Space\$500 per sq.ft. of start area1Outerustion Costs\$500 per sq.ft. of start area1Durotition Costs\$500 per sq.ft. of start area1Site Severing\$500 per sq.ft. of start area1Building Construction Costs\$500 per sq.ft. of start area1Building Construction Costs\$500 per sq.ft. of start area1Building Construction Costs\$500 per sq.ft. of start area1Site Severing\$500 per sq.ft. of start area1	Site and Building Size	DM4					
Permit Maximum Fish 1.2 Fish 98 by 122 Assumed Density 1.20 FSR 98 by 122 Assumed Density 1.20 FSR 98 by 122 Assumed Density 1.20 FSR 98 by 122 Assumed Density 1.4944 sq.ft. 1		RIVIT	500				
Sile Sack 1,20 /s Sq.1, or 98 by 122 Assumed Density 1,20 /s Sq.1, or 98 by 122 Total Gross Floorspace 14,444 sq.1, 0	Permitted Maximum FSR	1.2	FSR			400	
Assume Uensity 1.20 FsR 1.20 FsR Total Gross Floorspace 13.494 sq.ft. 1 Retail Space 0 sq.ft. 1 Gross Residential Floorspace 13.494 sq.ft. saleable 100% of gross area Average Net Unit's Size 13.250 sq.ft. 12 Number of Parking Stalls 1.20 units 12 Number of Parking Stalls 1.20 per multifamily unit plus 12 Average Stale Rate for Reating Space 0 12 Average Sales Price Per Sq. ft. of Multifamily Residential Space 4400.00 per sq.ft. of ret saleable calential space. 12 Value of Reating Space \$400.00 per sq.ft. of ret saleable readential space. 12 12 Value of Reating Space \$400.00 per sq.ft. of floasable area 12 12 Value of Reating Space \$50.00 12 12 12 Onstruction Costs \$50.00 \$25.00 12 12 Demolition Costs \$50.00 \$25.00 12 12 Stale Sarce Stale Construction Costs \$50.00 \$25.00 12 12 Stale Sarce Stal	Site Size	12,078	sq.π. or	99	by	122	
10.43 Gross Hoorspace 14,494 sq.1t. 0 0 Gross Residential Floorspace 14,494 sq.ft. 0 100% of gross area Average Net Unit Size 1,250 sq.ft. 0 100% of gross area Average Net Unit Size 1,250 sq.ft. 0 100% of gross area Average Net Unit Size 1,20 units 12 100% of gross area Number of Parking Stalls 1,20 per routIffamily unit plus 12 12 Number of Parking Stalls 1,00% of gross area 12 100% 12 Average Stales Price Per Sq. Ft. of Multifamily Residential Space 50,000 per sq.ft. of net saleable residential Space 12 100% 12 Average Lease Rate for Retail Space 50,000 per sq.ft. of net saleable area 12 10 12 Value of Retail Space Upon Lease-up S0,000 per sq.ft. of leasable area 12 12 12 Demolition Costs 50,000 per sq.ft. of site area on S0,0% of site 12 12 Demolition Costs 55,000 per sq.ft. of site area on S0,0% of site 12 12 12 Demolition Costs S10,000	Assumed Density	1.20	FSR				
Refail Space 0 sq.tt. 0 sq.tt. 0 Next Residential Floorspace 14,494 sq.tt. saleable 100% of gross area Average Net UnitS Size 12,20 units 0 Number of Parking Stalls 1.0 per multifamily unit plus 12 Number of Parking Stalls 1.0 per multifamily unit plus 12 Revenue and Value 20 per 1000 sq.ft. of net saleable residential space 0 Average Sales Price Per Sq. Ft. of Multifamily Residential Space \$400.00 per sq.ft. of net saleable residential space. 12 Vacancy and Nonrecoverable Allowance on Commercial Space \$400.00 per sq.ft. of net saleable area 1 Outs of the for Retail Space \$500.00 per sq.ft. of leasable area 1 Construction Costs \$510.000 per sq.ft. of site area on \$520.00 \$25.00 per lineal metre of frontage Site Sending \$574.47 assuming shell space 1 1 Building Construction Costs \$530.00 per sq.ft. of site area on \$50.0% of site Site Sending \$574.47 assuming shell space 1 Building Construction Costs \$350.000 per sq.ft. of site area on \$50.0% of site Site Sending \$575.457 assuming word and arking \$25.00 per lineal metre of frontage Building Construction Costs \$33.00 per sq.ft. of site area on \$0.0% of site	Iotal Gross Floorspace	14,494	sq.ft.				
Gross Residential Floorspace 14,494 sq. ft. sileable 100% of gross area Average Net Unit Size 12,250 sq. ft. 0 Number of Units 12,20 units 12 Number of Parking Stalls 1.0 per multifamily unit plus 12 Average Net Units 12 12 Number of Parking Stalls 1.0 per multifamily unit plus 12 Average Stales Price Per Sq. Ft. of Multifamily Residential Space 20 per 1000 sq. ft. of retail space or 0 Average Stales Price Per Sq. Ft. of Multifamily Residential Space 50.00 per sq. ft. of net saleable residential space 12 Vacancy and Nonecoverable Allowance on Commercial Space 6.50% 1 1 Value of Retail Space Upon Lease-up 50.00 per sq. ft. of Ieasable area 1 1 Construction Costs 510.000 1 1 1 Permit Fees 525,000 53.000 per sq. ft. of ite area on 50.0% of site 1 Building Construction Costs - Residential 533.000 per sq. ft. of site area on 50.0% of site 1 Building Construction Costs - Residential 533.000 per sq. ft. of site area on 50.0% of site 1 Construction Costs State Site per sq. ft.	Retail Space	0	sq.ft.				
Net Residential Floorspace 14,44 sq. ts. aleable 100% of gross area Average NEt Unit's Zac 1,20 units I Number of Vinits 1,20 units 12 Number of Parking Stalls 1,0 per multifamily unit plus 12 Revenue and Value 2.0 per 1000 sq.ft. of retail space or 0 0 Average Sales Price Per Sq. Ft. of Multifamily Residential Space \$400.00 per sq.ft. of net saleable residential space Average Lase Rate for Retail Space \$400.00 per sq.ft. of net saleable residential space Average Lase Rate for Retail Space \$500.00 per sq.ft. of leasable area Value of Retail Space Upon Lease-up \$0.00 per sq.ft. of sleasable area Sele Servicing 55.00 per sq.ft. of sleasable area Demolition Costs \$510,000 Permit Fees \$520,000 Site Servicing \$530.00 per sq.ft. of sleasable area Building Construction Costs \$5180 Building Construction Costs \$530.00 per sq.ft. of slea area Soft Costs \$5180 Contruction Costs \$519 per gross sq.ft. assuming underground parking and retail at grade Soft Costs \$30.00 per sq.ft. of retail space Contruction Costs \$350.00 per sq.ft. of retail space Contruction Costs \$350.00 per sq.ft. of sleasable area Soft Costs \$350.00 per sq.f	Gross Residential Floorspace	14,494	sq.ft.				
Average Net Unit Size 1,250 sq. ft. Image: Construction Costs 12 Number of Varking Stalls 1.0 per multifamily unit plus 12 Revenue and Value 2.0 per 1000 sq. ft. of retail space or 0 Average Stales Price Per Sq. Ft. of Multifamily Residential Space 20 per 1000 sq. ft. of retail space or 0 Average Stales Price Per Sq. Ft. of Multifamily Residential Space \$0.00 per sq. ft. of net saleable residential space. 12 Average Stales Price Per Sq. Ft. of Multifamily Residential Space \$0.00 per sq. ft. of net saleable residential space. 12 Average Lease Rate for Retail Space \$0.00 per sq. ft. of net saleable residential space. 12 12 Vatancy and Nonrecoverable Allowance on Commercial Space 6.50% 12 12 12 Vatancy and Konrecoverable Allowance on Commercial Space 6.50% 12 12 12 Demotition Costs Space 5.00 per sq. ft. of leasable area 12 12 Demotition Costs State for Retail Space 5.500 per lineal metre of frontage Landscaping \$5200 per sq. ft. of site area on \$0.00%	Net Residential Floorspace	14,494	sq.ft. saleable		100%	of gross a	rea
Number of Vinits 12.0 units 12.0 un	Average Net Unit Size	1,250	sq.ft.				
Number of Parking Stalls 1.0 per multifamily nultifamily nultifami n	Number of Units	12.0	units				
2.0 per 1000 sq.ft. of retail space 0 Revenue and Value 12 in total Revenue and Value 20 per sq.ft. of net saleable residential space 12 in total Average Lass Rate for Retail Space 5400.00 per sq.ft. net for shell space, no Ti's 1 Vacancy and Nonrecoverable Allowance on Commercial Space 0% 1 1 Output of the saleable residential space 0% 1 1 Vacancy and Nonrecoverable Allowance on Commercial Space 0% 1 1 Output of the sale Space Vacancy and Nonrecoverable Allowance 0.000 per sq.ft. of leasable area 1 Construction Costs \$10,000 1 1 1 Demolition Costs \$10,000 1 1 1 Demolition Costs \$25,000 per sq.ft. of site area on 50.0% of site 1 Building Construction Costs - Retail/Commercial \$130 1 1 Building Construction Costs - Retail/Commercial \$35,000 per stall 5 1 1 Cost Soct Soct Soct Soct Soct Soct Soct Soc	Number of Parking Stalls	1.0	per multifamily unit plus		12		
Revenue and Value12 in totalAverage Sales Price Per Sq. Ft. of Multifamily Residential Space\$400.00 per sq.ft. of net saleable residential spaceAverage Lease Rate for Retail Space\$000 per sq.ft. net for shell space, no Ti'sVacancy and Norrecoverable Allowance on Commercial Space6.50%Capitalization Rate for Retail Space6.50%Value of Retail Space Upon Lease-up\$0.00 per sq.ft. of leasable areaConstruction Costs </td <td></td> <td>2.0</td> <td>per 1000 sq.ft. of retail sp</td> <td>ace or</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td>		2.0	per 1000 sq.ft. of retail sp	ace or	0		
Revence and Value Image of the price per Sq. Ft. of Multifamily Residential Space St. 0000 per sq. ft. of net saleable residential space St. 0000 Average Lase Rate for Retail Space 0% Image St. 0% <					12	in total	
Average Sales Price Per Sq. Ft. of Multifamily Residential Space \$400.00 per sq.ft. of net saleable residential space Average Lease Rate for Retail Space \$0.00 per sq.ft. of net saleable residential space, no Tt's Capitalization Rate for Retail Space \$0.00 per sq.ft. of leasable area Capitalization Rate for Retail Space \$0.00 per sq.ft. of leasable area Construction Costs \$10,000 Demolition Costs \$25,000 Permit Fees \$20,000 per lineal metre of frontage Building Construction Costs - Residential \$130 <td>Revenue and Value</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Revenue and Value						
Average Lease Rate for Retail Space \$0,000 per sq.ft. net for shell space, no Tt's Image: state stat	Average Sales Price Per Sq. Ft. of Multifamily Residential Space	\$400.00	per sq.ft. of net saleable i	residential	space		
Vacancy and Nonrecoverable Allowance on Commercial Space 0% Capitalization Rate for Retail Space 6.50% Value of Retail Space Upon Lease-up S0.00 per sq.ft. of leasable are a Construction Costs \$10,000 Demolition Costs \$10,000 <td>Average Lease Rate for Retail Space</td> <td>\$0.00</td> <td>per sq.ft. net for shell spa</td> <td>ce, no TI's</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Average Lease Rate for Retail Space	\$0.00	per sq.ft. net for shell spa	ce, no TI's			
Capitalization Rate for Netail Space 6.50% Image: Capitalization Rate for Netail Space Upon Lease-up S0.00 per sq.ft. of leasable area Image: Capitalization Rate for Netail Space Upon Lease-up Construction Costs \$10,000 Image: Capitalization Rate for Netail Space Upon Lease-up Image: Capitalization Rate for Netail Space Upon Lease-up (Capitalization Rate for Netail Rate Rate Rate Rate Rate Rate Rate Rate	Vacancy and Nonrecoverable Allowance on Commercial Space	0%					
Value of Retail Space Upon Lease-up \$0.00 per sq.ft. of leasable area \$10	Capitalization Rate for Retail Space	6.50%					
Construction CostsConstruction CostsS10,000Image: S10,000Image: S10,000Image	Value of Retail Space Upon Lease-up	\$0.00	per sq.ft. of leasable area				
Construction CostsConstruction CostsImage: Construction CostsImag							
Demolition Costs\$10,000InterfaceInterfacePermit Fees\$25,000per st,ft. of site area on\$0.0%of siteLandscaping\$5.00per st,ft. of site area on\$0.0%of siteBuilding Construction Costs - Residential\$130of siteInterfaceBuilding Construction Costs - Retail/Commercial\$130of siteInterfaceParking Construction CostsRetail/Commercial\$139per gross sq.ft. assuming shell spaceInterfaceTotal Hard Construction Costs\$359,000per stallInterfaceInterfaceContingency on Costs\$559per gross sq.ft. assuming und retail at gradeInterfaceSoft Costs\$459per sq.ft. of freial spaceInterfaceInterfaceContingency on Costs\$826.00per sq.ft. of building areaInterfaceInterfaceCity Wide DCL's\$0.433per sq.ft. of building areaInterfaceInterfaceArea Specific DCL's\$0.00per sq.ft. of building areaInterfaceInterfaceInterim Financing on construction costs\$0,830of gross residential reverueInterfaceInterfaceCother Costs and Allowances\$0.0%of gross residential reverueInterfaceInterfaceTenant Relocation Costs\$1,479,000InterfaceInterfaceInterfaceTaxes during Year 1. see existing building analysis\$6,250InterfaceInterfaceAssessed Value Year 2\$2,848,720(Sof drompleted value)InterfaceInterfacePro	Construction Costs						
Permit Fees(\$\$25,00)(b)(b)(c)(c)Site Servicing\$\$25,000per lineal metre of for tageLandscaping\$\$5.00per sq.ft. of site area on\$0.0%of site(c)Building Construction Costs - Residential\$\$130assuming shell space(c)(c)(c)Building Construction CostsRetail/Commercial\$\$150per stall(c)(c)(c)(c)Parking Construction CostsRetail/Commercial\$\$159per gross sq.ft. assuming und group parking and retail grade(c) <td< td=""><td>Demolition Costs</td><td>\$10,000</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Demolition Costs	\$10,000					
Site Servicing\$7,5457assuming\$2,500per lineal metre of frontageLandscaping65.00per sq.ft. of site area on50.0%of site	Permit Fees	\$25,000					
Landscaping\$5.00 per sq.ft. of site area on50.0% of siteImage: state st	Site Servicing	\$75,457	assuming	\$2,500	per lineal	metre of f	rontage
Building Construction Costs - Retail/Commercial \$130 assuming shell space Image: Commercial submit space Image: Com	Landscaping	\$5.00	per sq.ft. of site area on	50.0%	of site		
Building Construction Costs - Retail/Commercial\$180assuming shell spaceImage: Construction CostsImage: Construction Costs <td>Building Construction Costs - Residential</td> <td>\$130</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Building Construction Costs - Residential	\$130					
Parking Construction Costs\$35,000per stallImage: construction CostsTotal Hard Construction Costs\$159per gross sq.ft. assuming underground parking and retail at gradeSoft Costs10%of hard costs and parkingImage: construction CostsContingency on Costs5%Image: construction CostsImage: construction CostsGVRD Sewer Levy\$826.00per apartment unitImage: construction CostsCity Wide DCL's\$3.00per sq.ft. of retail spaceImage: construction CostsArea Specific DCL's\$0.00per sq.ft. of building areaImage: construction costsInterim Financing on construction costs7.0%on 50% of construction costs for1Other Costs and Allowances5.0%of gross residential revenueImage: construction costsMarketing and Commissions5.0%of gross residential revenueImage: construction costsTaxes during Year 1 - existing assessment\$1,479,000Image: construction costsImage: construction costsAssessed Value Year 2\$2,898,720(50% of completed value)Image: construction costsTaxes during Year 2\$2,249Image: construction CostsImage: construction costsTaxes during Year 2\$12,249Image: construction costsImage: construction costsTaxes during Year 2\$12,249Image: construction cost for construction costsImage: construction cost for construction costsTaxes during Year 2\$12,249Image: construction cost for construction cost for construction cost for construction cost for construction cost fo	Building Construction Costs - Retail/Commercial	\$180	assuming shell space				
Total Hard Construction Costs\$159 per gross sq.ft. assuming underground parking and retail at gradeSoft Costs10% of hard costs and parkingContingency on Costs5%GVRD Sewer Levy\$826.00 per apartment unitCity Wide DCL's\$3.00 per sq.ft. of retail spaceArea Specific DCL's\$3.00 per sq.ft. of building areaInterim Financing on construction costs7.0% on 50% of construction costs for1 yearsOther Costs and Allowances5.0% of gross residential revenueMarketing and Commissions5.0% of gross residential revenue2.0% of construction costs\$1,479,000Tenant Relocation Costs\$1,479,000Assessed Value Year 1 - see existing building analysis\$6,250Assessed Value Year 2\$2,288,720(50% of completed value)Property Tax Rate (blended residential and business)0.422573%Developer's Profit Margin Allowance15% of gross revenue0 for sors revenue\$1,2,249	Parking Construction Costs	\$35,000	per stall				
Soft Costs10%of hard costs and parking0Contingency on Costs5%111GVRD Sewer Levy\$826.00per apartment unit11\$0.443per sq.ft. of retail space111City Wide DCL's\$3.00per sq.ft. of retail space11Area Specific DCL's\$0.00per sq.ft. of building area11Interim Financing on construction costs7.0%on 50% of construction costs for1yearsOther Costs and Allowances5.0%of gross residential revenue11Marketing and Commissions5.0%of gross residential revenue11Tenant Relocation Costs\$1,479,000111Assessed Value Year 1 - see existing building analysis\$6,250111Assessed Value Year 2\$2,289,720(50% of completed value)111Property Tax Rate (blended residential and business)0.422573%1111Developer's Profit Margin Allowance15%of gross revenue111Developer's Profit Margin Allowance15%of gross revenue111	Total Hard Construction Costs	\$159	per gross sq.ft. assuming	undergrour	d parking	and retail a	at grade
Contingency on Costs5%Image: Contingency on CostsImage: Con	Soft Costs	10%	of hard costs and parking	0			
GVRD Sewer Levy\$\$26.00per apartment unitImage: Construction construction construction costsImage: Construction costImage:	Contingency on Costs	5%					
City Wide DCL's\$0.443per sq.ft. of retail spaceImage: sq.ft. of building areaArea Specific DCL's\$3.00per sq.ft. of building areaImage: sq.ft. of building areaImage: sq.ft. of building areaInterim Financing on construction costs7.0%on 50% of construction costs for1yearsOther Costs and Allowances000000Marketing and Commissions5.0%of gross residential revenue0000Tenant Relocation Costs2.0%of commercial value000000Assessed Value Year 1 - existing assessment\$1,479,000\$1,479,000 <td< td=""><td>GVRD Sewer Levy</td><td>\$826.00</td><td>per apartment unit</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	GVRD Sewer Levy	\$826.00	per apartment unit				
City Wide DCL'sSince per sq.ft. of building areaImage: sq.ft. of building areaArea Specific DCL's\$0.00 per sq.ft. of building areaImage: sq.ft. of building areaInterim Financing on construction costs7.0% on 50% of construction costs forI yearsOther Costs and AllowancesImage: sq.ft. of building areaImage: sq.ft. of building areaMarketing and Commissions5.0% of gross residential revenueImage: sq.ft. of building areaTenant Relocation Costs5.0% of commercial valueImage: sq.ft. of building analysisAssessed Value Year 1 - existing assessment\$1,479,000Image: sq.ft. of building analysisTaxes during Year 1 - see existing building analysis\$6,250Image: sq.ft. of building analysisAssessed Value Year 2\$2,898,720(50% of completed value)Image: sq.ft. of building analysisProperty Tax Rate (blended residential and business)0.422573%Image: sq.ft. of building analysisTaxes during Year 2\$12,249Image: sq.ft. of building analysisDeveloper's Profit Margin Allowance15% of gross revenueImage: sq.ft. of building analysis		\$0.443	per so ft. of retail space				
Area Specific DCL'sSource<	City Wide DCI's	\$3.00	per sq.ft. of huilding area				
Interspective beesSolid per sqlitt or building and uImage of the spectra peer sqlitt or building and uInterim Financing on construction costs7.0% on 50% of construction costs for1 yearsOther Costs and Allowances0000Marketing and Commissions5.0% of gross residential revenue002.0% of commercial value0000Tenant Relocation Costs\$0assuming one month of rent per existing unit0Assessed Value Year 1 - existing assessment\$1,479,00000Taxes during Year 1 - see existing building analysis\$6,25000Assessed Value Year 2\$2,898,720(50% of completed value)0Property Tax Rate (blended residential and business)0.422573%00Developer's Profit Margin Allowance15% of gross revenue00	Area Specific DCL's	\$0.00	per sq.ft. of building area				
Interfine matching on construction costs1 yearsOther Costs and AllowancesImage: Commercial valueImage: Commercial valueMarketing and Commissions5.0% of gross residential revenueImage: Commercial valueTenant Relocation Costs\$0of commercial valueImage: Commercial valueTenant Relocation Costs\$0ssuming one month of remper existing unitImage: Commercial valueTaxes during Year 1 - see existing building analysis\$6,250Image: Commercial valueTaxes during Year 2\$2,898,720(50% of completed value)Image: Commercial valueProperty Tax Rate (blended residential and business)0.422573%Image: Commercial valueImage: Commercial valueDeveloper's Profit Margin Allowance15% of gross revenueImage: Commercial valueImage: Commercial value	Interim Einancing on construction costs	7.0%	on 50% of construction co	sts for	1	veare	
Other Costs and AllowancesImage: Constant of the second secon		7.078		313 101	1	years	
Marketing and Commissions 5.0% of gross residential revenue Image: Commercial value Tenant Relocation Costs 5.0% of commercial value Image: Commercial value Tenant Relocation Costs 50% of commercial value Image: Commercial value Image: Commercial value Taxes during Year 1 - see existing building analysis \$1,479,000 Image: Commercial value Image: Commercial value Image: Commercial value Taxes during Year 1 - see existing building analysis \$6,250 Image: Commercial value Image: Commercial va	Other Costs and Allowances						
Name continues on solution 5.0% of gross residential revenue Image continues on solution 2.0% of commercial value 2.0% of commercial value Image continues on solution Tenant Relocation Costs \$0 assuming one month of rent per existing unit Image continues on solution Assessed Value Year 1 - existing assessment \$1,479,000 Image continues on solution Image continues on solution Taxes during Year 1 - see existing building analysis \$6,250 Image continues on solution Image continues on solution Assessed Value Year 2 \$2,898,720 (50% of completed value) Image continues on solution Image continues on solution Property Tax Rate (blended residential and business) 0.422573% Image continues on solution Image continues on solution Taxes during Year 2 \$12,249 Image continues on solution Image continues on solution Image continues on solution Developer's Profit Margin Allowance 15% of gross revenue Image continues on solution Image continues on solution	Marketing and Commissions	E 00/	of gross residential reven	110			
Tenant Relocation Costs2.0% of coninterclar valueImage: Coninterclar value		3.0%	of commercial value	uc			
Assessed Value Year 1 - existing assessment\$1,479,000Image: Complete disting unitTaxes during Year 1 - see existing building analysis\$6,250Image: Complete disting unitAssessed Value Year 2\$2,898,720(50% of completed value)Property Tax Rate (blended residential and business)0.422573%Image: Complete disting unitTaxes during Year 2\$12,249Image: Complete disting unitDeveloper's Profit Margin Allowance115%of gross revenue	Tanant Palacation Costs	2.0%	or commercial value	nt nor ovia	ting unit		
Assessed value lear 1 - Existing assessment \$1,47,9,000 Image: Complete lear 1 - Existing assessment Image: Complete lear 1 - Existing assessment Image: Complete lear 1 - Existing assessment Taxes during Year 1 - see existing building analysis \$6,250 Image: Complete lear 1 - Existing assessment Image: Complete lear 1 - Existing assessment Assessed Value Year 2 \$2,898,720 (50% of completed value) Image: Complete lear 1 - Existing assessment Property Tax Rate (blended residential and business) 0.422573% Image: Complete lear 1 - Existing assessment Image: Complete lear 1 - Existing assessment Taxes during Year 2 \$12,249 Image: Complete lear 1 - Existing assessment Image: Complete lear 1 - Existing assessment Developer's Profit Margin Allowance 15% of gross revenue Image: Complete lear 1 - Existing assessment	Accessed Value Vear 1 existing accessment	\$U \$1.470.000	assuming one month of re	ent per exis	ung unit		
Nakes during year 1 - see existing building analysis 56,250 Image: Complete analysis S6,250 Assessed Value Year 2 \$2,898,720 (50% of completed value) Image: Complete analysis Property Tax Rate (blended residential and business) 0.422573% Image: Complete analysis Image: Complete analysis Taxes during Year 2 \$12,249 Image: Complete analysis Image: Complete analysis Image: Complete analysis Developer's Profit Margin Allowance 15% of gross revenue Image: Complete analysis Image: Complete analysis	Assesseu value fear 1 - existing assessment	\$1,479,000					
Assessed value year 2 \$2,898,720 (50% of completed value) Image: Completed value year 2 Property Tax Rate (blended residential and business) 0.422573% Image: Completed value year 2 Taxes during Year 2 \$12,249 Image: Completed year 2 Developer's Profit Margin Allowance 15% of gross revenue	Taxes during rear 1 - see existing building analysis	\$6,250	(500) - (
Property Tax kate (blended residential and business) 0.422573% Image: Comparison of the state (blended residential and business) Taxes during Year 2 \$12,249 Image: Comparison of the state (blended residential and business) Developer's Profit Margin Allowance 15% of gross revenue	Assessed Value Year 2	\$2,898,720	(50% of completed value)				
Taxes during year 2 \$12,249 Developer's Profit Margin Allowance 15% of gross revenue	Property Tax Rate (blended residential and business)	0.4225/3%					
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance 15% of gross revenue	Taxes during Year 2	\$12,249	6				
	Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	15%	of gross revenue				

Analysis				
Revenue				
Gross Multifamily Sales Revenue	\$5,797,440			
Capitalized Value of Retail Space	\$0			
Total Sales Revenue	\$5,797,440			
Less Marketing and Commissions	\$289,872			
Net Sales Revenue	\$5,507,568			
Construction Costs				
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$0			
Demolition Costs	\$10,000			
Permit Fees	\$25,000			
Site Servicing	\$75,457			
Landscaping	\$30,195			
Hard Construction Costs	\$2,304,168			
Soft Costs	\$240,982			
Contingency on Hard and Soft Costs	\$134,290			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Residential	\$9,912			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Commercial	\$0			
City Wide DCL's	\$43,481			
Area Specific DCL's	\$0			
Property Taxes during approvals and construction	\$18,499			
Interim Financing	\$101,219			
Total Construction Costs	\$2,993,204			
Total Construction Costs per sq.ft.	\$207			
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	\$869,616			
Residual to Land and Land Carry	\$1,644,748			
Less interim financing on land for 18 months (7%)	\$156,999			
Less property purchase tax	\$27,755			
Residual Land Value	\$1,459,995			
Residual Value per sq.ft. of site	\$120.88			
Residual Value per sq.ft. buildable	\$100.73			

Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental Housin	q Study					
Base Case Rental Apartment Analysis	J					
Case Study Number	13B					
Property Address	1206 to 1216 Eas	t 22nd Avenue				
Description	Existing Houses					
	Assume Redevel	opment to Row Housing y	with Under	around Pa	rkina	
Site and Building Size				9.00.10.10		
Existing Zoning	RM1					
Permitted Maximum ESP	12	EQD				
Site Size	12 078	saft or	00	by	122	
Assumed Density	1 2,078	ECD	33	by	122	
Total Gross Eleorspace	11.20	sa ft				
Retail Space	14,494	sq.rt.				
Gross Residential Eleorspace	14 494	sq.rt.				
Net Posidential Floorspace	14,494	sq.rt.		100%	of groce or	
Average Net Unit Size	14,454	sq.ft		100%	UI gluss al	ea
Average Net Unit Size	1,250	sy.it.				
Number of Darking Stalls	12.0			12		
	1.0	per multinamily unit plus		12		
	2.0	per 1000 sq.rt. of retail sp	ace or	12	:	
				12	in total	
Demolition Costs	\$10,000					
Permit Fees	\$25,000		40 - 00			
Site Servicing	\$75,457	assuming	\$2,500	per lineal	metre of f	rontage
Landscaping	\$5.00	per sq.ft. of site area on	50.0%	of site		
Building Construction Costs - Residential	\$120					
Building Construction Costs - Retail/Commercial	\$180	assuming shell space				
Parking Construction Costs	\$35,000	per stall				
Total Hard Construction Costs	\$149	per gross sq.ft. assuming u	undergroun	d parking a	and retail a	t grade
Soft Costs	10%	of hard costs and parking				
Contingency on Costs	5%					
GVRD Sewer Levy	\$826.00	per apartment unit				
	\$0.443	per sq.ft. of retail space				
City Wide DCL's	\$3.00	per sq.ft. of building area				
Area Specific DCL's	\$0.00	per sq.ft. of building area				
Interim Financing on construction costs	7.0%	on 50% of construction co	sts for	1	years	
Other Creation Costs and Allowances						
Initial Lease Up Costs	\$0.00					
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$0.00	assuming one month of re	ent per exis	ting unit		
Assessed Value Year 1 - existing assessment	\$1,479,000					
Taxes during Year 1 - see existing building analysis	\$6,250					
Assessed Value Year 2	\$1,811,700	(roughly 50% of complete	d value)			
Property Tax Rate (blended residential and business)	0.422573%					
Taxes during Year 2	\$7,656					
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	15%	of value				
Commission on Sale of Building	1%	of value				
Operating Revenue, Cost and Value Assumptions						
Average Lease Rate for Retail Space	\$0.00	per sq.ft. net for shell spa	ce, no TI's			
Market Rental Rates						
Residential Units (average)	\$1.85	per sq.ft. per month or		\$2,313	per unit pe	r month
Laundry Revenue	\$0.00	per unit per month (in-suite))			
Parking Revenue	\$0.00	per stall per month				
Residential Vacancy Allowance	1.0%	p				
Commercial Vacancy Allowance	5.0%					
Property Tax Allowance						
Residential Assessment	\$4,000,000					
Residential Tax Rate	0 422573%					
Residential Property Taxes	¢16 002					
Rusiness/Other Assessment	φ10,903 ¢∩					
Rusiness/Other Tax Rate	φυ 1 982256%					
Commercial Property Taxes	1.902200%					
Peeidential Operating Costs - evaluding taxos (soo notes)	۵U 15 OV	of effective gross residential	incomo			
Commercial Operating Costs - excluding taxes (see notes)	15.0%	or enective gross residential	IIICOIIIE			
Commercial Operating Costs (excluding tax)	φ 0.0 0	per sq.it. per year				

Analysis				
-				
Net Operating Income and Value				
Revenues				
Commercial Gross Potential Rent (includes taxes)	\$0			
Commercial Vacancy	\$0			
Commercial Property Taxes	\$0			
Commercial Operating Costs	\$0			
Commercial Net Operating Income	\$0			
Capitalization Rate on Commercial Income	6.5%			
Capitalized Value of Commercial Space	\$0			
Apartment Gross Potential Rent	\$321,758			
Parking Revenue	\$0			
Laundry Revenue	\$0			
Total Gross Potential Revenue	\$321,758			
Apartment Vacancy	\$3,218			
Effective Gross Apartment Revenue	\$318,540			
Residential Property Taxes	\$16,903			
Residential Operating Expenses	\$47,781			
Net Operating Income	\$253,856			
Capitalization Rate on Residential Space	5.5%			
Capitalized Value of Residential Space	\$4,615,570			
Total Capitalized Value of Building	\$4,615,570			
Less Sales Commissions	\$46,156			
Net Value	\$4,569,415			
Land Costs				
Acquisition Costs	\$1,459,995			
РТТ	\$27,200			
Holding During Approvals and Construction	\$156,155			
Total Land Costs	\$1,643,350			
Construction Costs				
Initial Lease Up Costs	\$0			
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$0			
Demolition Costs	\$10,000			
Permit Fees	\$25,000			
Site Servicing	\$75,457			
Landscaping	\$30,195			
Hard Construction Costs	\$2,159,232			
Soft Costs	\$226,488			
Contingency	\$126,319			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Residential	\$9,912			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Commercial	\$0			
City Wide DCL's	\$43,481			
Area Specific DCL's	\$0			
Property Taxes during approvals and construction	\$13,906			
Interim Financing	\$95,200			
Total Construction Costs	\$2,815,189		 	
Total Construction Costs per sq.ft.	\$194			
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	\$692,336			
Total Creation Cost	\$5,150,875			
Deficit = Net Value less Total Creation Cost	-\$581,460			
Deficit per Square Foot of Gross Residential Space	-\$40			

Case Study 15: 1122 East 22nd Avenue

Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental Housing Study								
Estimate of the M	arket Va	lue of Site Unde	er Existing l	Jse				
Case Study Number	15A							
Property Address	1122 to 1	144 East 22nd Av	enue					
Description	Existing H	louses						
Value of Existing sing	le family h	ouses - Value for c	old SFDs					
	Lot size	Value per sq.ft.	Market Value					
1122 East 22nd	4,026	\$130	\$523,380					
1128 East 22nd	4,026	\$130	\$523,380					
1136 East 22nd	4,026	\$130	\$523,380					
1144 East 22nd	4,026	\$130	\$523,380					
Total			\$2,093,520					

Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental Housing Stuc	ły					
Estimate of the Market Land Value of Site Under Existi	ng Zoning					
Case Study Number	15B					
Property Address	1122 to 1144 Ea	ast 22nd Avenue				
Description	Existing Houses	i l				
	Assume Redeve	elopment to Attached Units	\$			
Site and Building Size						
Existing Zoning	RT10					
Permitted Maximum FSR	0.75	FSR				
Site Size	16,104	sq.ft. or	132	by	122	
Assumed Density	0.75	FSR				
Fotal Gross Floorspace	12,078	sq.ft.				
Retail Space	0	sq.ft.				
Gross Residential Floorspace	12,078	sq.ft.				
Net Residential Floorspace	12,078	sq.ft. saleable		100%	of gross ar	ea
Average Net Unit Size	1,200	sq.ft.				
Number of Units	10.0	units				
Number of Parking Stalls	1.0	per multifamily unit plus		10		
	2.0	per 1000 sq.ft. of retail spa	ce or	0		
		· · ·		10	in total	
Revenue and Value						
Average Sales Price Per Sq. Ft. of Multifamily Residential Space	\$400.00	per sq.ft. of net saleable re	esidential	space		
Average Lease Rate for Retail Space	\$0.00	per sq.ft. net for shell space	e, no Tl's			
/acancy and Nonrecoverable Allowance on Commercial Space	0%					
Capitalization Rate for Retail Space	6.50%					
/alue of Retail Space Upon Lease-up	\$0.00	per so,ft, of leasable area				
Construction Costs						
Demolition Costs	\$10,000					
Permit Fees	\$20,000					
Site Servicing	\$100,610	assuming	\$2,500	per lineal	metre of fr	rontage
andscaping	\$5.00	per sq.ft. of site area on	50.0%	of site		_
Building Construction Costs - Residential	\$130					
Building Construction Costs - Retail/Commercial	\$180	assuming shell space				
Parking Construction Costs	\$15,000	per stall				
Fotal Hard Construction Costs	\$142	per gross sq.ft. assuming g	arage park	ing		
Soft Costs	10%	of hard costs and parking		_		
Contingency on Costs	5%					
GVRD Sewer Levy	\$826.00	per apartment unit				
· · ·	\$0.443	per sq.ft. of retail space				
City Wide DCL's	\$3.00	per sq.ft. of building area				
Area Specific DCL's	\$0.00	per sq.ft. of building area				
nterim Financing on construction costs	7.0%	on 50% of construction cos	ts for	1	years	
.						
Other Costs and Allowances						
Marketing and Commissions	5.0%	of gross residential revenu	ie			
	2.0%	of commercial value				
Fenant Relocation Costs	\$0	assuming one month of re-	nt per exis	ting unit		
Assessed Value Year 1 - existing assessment	\$2,358.000					
Faxes during Year 1 - see existing building analysis	\$9.964					
Assessed Value Year 2	\$2.415.600	(50% of completed value)				
Property Tax Rate (blended residential and business)	0.422573%	, i i prete terder				
, ,	···					
Faxes during Year 2	\$10,208					

Analysis				
Revenue				
Gross Multifamily Sales Revenue	\$4,831,200			
Capitalized Value of Retail Space	\$0			
Total Sales Revenue	\$4,831,200			
Less Marketing and Commissions	\$241,560			
Net Sales Revenue	\$4,589,640			
Construction Costs				
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$0			
Demolition Costs	\$10,000			
Permit Fees	\$20,000			
Site Servicing	\$100,610			
Landscaping	\$40,260			
Hard Construction Costs	\$1,720,140			
Soft Costs	\$186,101			
Contingency on Hard and Soft Costs	\$103,856			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Residential	\$8,260			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Commercial	\$0			
City Wide DCL's	\$36,234			
Area Specific DCL's	\$0			
Property Taxes during approvals and construction	\$20,172			
Interim Financing	\$78,597			
Total Construction Costs	\$2,324,229			
Total Construction Costs per sq.ft.	\$192			
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	\$724,680			
Desiduel to London d Lond Come	¢4 540 704			
Residual to Land and Land Carry	\$1,540,731			
Less interim financing on land for 18 months (7%)	\$147,070			
Less property purchase tax	\$25,873			
Residual Land Value	\$1,367,788			
Residual Value per so ft of site	\$84 93			
Residual Value per so.ft. buildable	\$113.25			

Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental Housing	Study					
Base Case Rental Apartment Analysis	,					
Case Study Number	150					
Property Address	1122 to 1144 Fas	t 22nd Avenue				
Description	Evicting Houses					
Description	LAIStilly Houses	opmont to Attached Linite				
2 And Building Oles						
Site and Building Size	DN					
	RM1	500				
Permitted Maximum FSR	0.75	FSR				
Site Size	16,104	sq.ft. or	132	by	122	
Assumed Density	0.75	FSR				
Total Gross Floorspace	12,078	sq.ft.				
Retail Space	0	sq.ft.				
Gross Residential Floorspace	12,078	sq.ft.				
Net Residential Floorspace	12,078	sq.ft. saleable		100%	of gross a	rea
Average Net Unit Size	1,200	sq.ft.				
Number of Units	10.0	units				
Number of Parking Stalls	1.0	per multifamily unit plus		10		
	2.0	per 1000 sg.ft. of retail sp	ace or	0		
				10	in total	
Construction Costs				-		
Demolition Costs	\$10,000					
Bermit Food	\$20,000					
Cite Conjoing	\$20,000 \$100,610	accuming	¢2 500	norlingal	matra of f	rontogo
	\$100,610	assuming	\$2,500 50.00/	perimear	metre or r	rontage
Landscaping	\$5.00	per sq.ft. of site area on	50.0%	of site		
Building Construction Costs - Residential	\$120					
Building Construction Costs - Retail/Commercial	\$180	assuming shell space				
Parking Construction Costs	\$15,000	per stall				
Total Hard Construction Costs	\$132	per gross sq.ft. assuming	garage park	ing		
Soft Costs	10%	of hard costs and parking				
Contingency on Costs	5%					
GVRD Sewer Levy	\$826.00	per apartment unit				
	\$0.443	per sq.ft. of retail space				
City Wide DCL's	\$3.00	per sg.ft. of building area				
Area Specific DCL's	\$0.00	per sq.ft. of building area				
Interim Einancing on construction costs	7.0%	on 50% of construction co	sts for	1	vears	
	7.070		565101	-	years	
Other Creation Costs and Allowances						
	¢0.00					
Tenant Beleastion Costs	\$0.00 ¢0.00	accuming and month of r	nt nor ovic	ting unit		
	\$0.00	assuming one month of re	ent per exis	ting unit		
Assessed value Year 1 - existing assessment	\$2,358,000					
Taxes during Year 1 - see existing building analysis	\$9,964					
Assessed Value Year 2	\$1,509,750	(roughly 50% of complete	d value)			
Property Tax Rate (blended residential and business)	0.422573%					
Taxes during Year 2	\$6,380					
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	15%	of value				
Commission on Sale of Building	1%	of value				
Operating Revenue, Cost and Value Assumptions						
Average Lease Rate for Retail Space	\$0.00	per sq.ft. net for shell spa	ce, no TI's			
Market Rental Rates						
Residential Units (average)	\$1.85	per sq.ft. per month or		\$2,220	per unit pe	r month
Laundry Revenue	\$0.00	per unit per month (in-suite)			
Parking Revenue	\$0.00	per stall per month				
Residential Vacancy Allowance	1.0%	<u>,</u>				
Commercial Vacancy Allowance	5.0%					
Property Tax Allowance	0.070					
Residential Assessment	\$3,500,000					
Pesidential Tay Date	0.4005700/					
Desidential Dranathy Taylog	0.422073%					
	\$14,790					
Business/Other Assessment	\$0					
Business/Uther Tax Rate	1.982256%					
Commercial Property Taxes	\$0					
Residential Operating Costs - excluding taxes (see notes)	15.0%	of effective gross residentia	lincome			
Commercial Operating Costs (excluding tax)	\$0.00	per sq.ft. per year				

Analysis				
Net Operating Income and Value				
Commercial Gross Potential Pent (includes taxes)	02			
Commercial Vacancy	0¢ 0\$			
Commercial Property Taxes	0¢ 0			
Commercial Operating Costs	φ0 ¢0			
	0¢ 0			
Canitalization Rate on Commercial Income	6.5%			
Capitalization Nate of Commercial Space	0.3% \$0			
Capitalized Value of Commercial Space	ψυ			
Apartment Gross Potential Rent	\$268,132			
Parking Revenue	\$0			
Laundry Revenue	\$0			
Total Gross Potential Revenue	\$268,132			
Apartment Vacancy	\$2,681			
Effective Gross Apartment Revenue	\$265,450			
Residential Property Taxes	\$14,790			
Residential Operating Expenses	\$39,818			
Net Operating Income	\$210,843			
Capitalization Rate on Residential Space	5.5%			
Capitalized Value of Residential Space	\$3,833,503			
Total Capitalized Value of Building	\$3,833,503			
Less Sales Commissions	\$38,335			
Net Value	\$3,795,168			
Land Costs				
Acquisition Costs	\$2,093,520			
PTT	\$39,870			
Holding During Approvals and Construction	\$224,006			
Total Land Costs	\$2,357,396			
Construction Costs				
Initial Lease Up Costs	\$0			
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$0			
Demolition Costs	\$10,000			
Permit Fees	\$20,000			
Site Servicing	\$100,610			
Landscaping	\$40,260			
Hard Construction Costs	\$1,599,360			
Soft Costs	\$174,023			
Contingency	\$97,213			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Residential	\$8,260			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Commercial	\$0 ¢26 224			
	\$36,234			
Area Specific DCL's	ŞU 616 244			
Property Taxes during approvals and construction	\$10,344			
Total Construction Costs	\$/3,581			
Total Construction Costs par ca ft	ې2,1/3,884 د 100			
	\$18U			
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	6575 00C			
Developer's Front margin Allowance	\$575,026			
Total Creation Cost	\$5 108 206			
iotar organion oogl	φυ, 100,300			
Deficit = Net Value less Total Creation Cost	-\$1.313.138			
Deficit per Square Foot of Gross Residential Space	-\$109			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Case Study 16: 605 West 41st Avenue

Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental Housing Study								
Estimated Value Under Existing Use								
Case Study Number	16A							
Property Address	605 to 61	5 to 615 West 41st Avenue						
Description	Existing H	sting Houses						
Value of Existing single	family ho	uses - Value for old	l SFDs					
	Lot size	Value per sq.ft.	Market Value					
605 West 41st	7,200	\$160	\$1,152,000					
615 West 41st	7,080	\$160	\$1,132,800					
Total			\$2,284,800					

Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental Housin	a Study					
Base Case Rental Anartment Analysis	16B					
Case Study Number	100					
Draparty Addraga	COE to C1E Woot	Alat Avanua				
Property Address	505 to 615 West	4 ISLAVENUE				
Description	Existing Houses					
	Assume Redevel	opment to Lowrise Apartr	nent			
Site and Building Size						
Existing Zoning	RS1					
Permitted Maximum FSR	0.6	FSR				
Site Size	14,280	sq.ft. or	119	by	120	
Assumed Density	1.45	FSR				
Total Gross Floorspace	20,706	sq.ft.				
Retail Space	0	sq.ft.				
Gross Residential Floorspace	20,706	sq.ft.				
Net Residential Floorspace	17,600	sq.ft. saleable		85%	of gross ar	rea
Average Net Unit Size	600	sq.ft.				
Number of Units	29.0	units				
Number of Parking Stalls	0.9	per multifamily unit plus		26		
	2.0	per 1000 sg.ft. of retail sp	ace or	0		
				26	in total	
Construction Costs				20		
Demolition Costs	\$10,000					
Permit Eees	\$10,000					
Site Servicing	\$33,000	accuming	¢2 E00	porlingal	motro of fi	contago
	\$30,701 ¢F.00	assuming	52,300 F0.0%	of cito	metre or m	Untage
Lanoscaping	\$5.00	per sq.n. of site area off	50.0%	orsite		
Building Construction Costs - Residential	\$120					
Building Construction Costs - Retail/Commercial	\$180	assuming shell space				
Parking Construction Costs	\$40,000	perstall				
Total Hard Construction Costs	\$170	per gross sq.ft. assuming i	undergroun	d parking a	ind retail a	t grade
Soft Costs	10%	of hard costs and parking				
Contingency on Costs	5%					
GVRD Sewer Levy	\$590.00	per apartment unit				
	\$0.443	per sq.ft. of retail space				
City Wide DCL's	\$10.20	per sq.ft. of building area				
Area Specific DCL's	\$0.00	per sq.ft. of building area				
Interim Financing on construction costs	7.0%	on 50% of construction co	sts for	1.25	years	
Other Creation Costs and Allowances						
Initial Lease Up Costs	\$0.00					
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$0.00	assuming one month of re	ent per exis	ting unit		
Assessed Value Year 1 - existing assessment	\$1,794,100					
Taxes during Year 1 - see existing building analysis	\$7,581					
Assessed Value Year 2	\$3,080,018	(roughly 50% of complete	d value)			
Property Tax Rate (blended residential and business)	0.422573%					
Taxes during Year 2	\$13.015					
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	15%	of value				
Commission on Sale of Building	1%	of value				
Operating Revenue, Cost and Value Assumptions						
Average Lease Rate for Retail Space	\$0.00	per sq.ft, net for shell spa	ce. no Tl's			
Market Rental Rates	7					
Residential Units (average)	\$2.40	per sa ft, per month or		\$1 440	ner unit ne	r month
	\$0.00	per unit per month (in-suite))	ψ1,110	per unit per	
Parking Revenue	\$0.00	per stall per month	/			
	1.0%					
Commercial Vacancy Allowance	5.0%					
	5.0%					
	¢6,000,000					
Residential Tax Data	φb,000,000					
Residential Demote Truce	0.422573%					
	\$25,354					
Business/Other Assessment	\$0					
Business/Other Tax Rate	1.982256%					
	\$0					
Residential Operating Costs - excluding taxes (see notes)	20.0%	or effective gross residential	income			
Commercial Operating Costs (excluding tax)	\$0.00	per sq.tt. per year				

Analysis				
Not Operating Income and Value				
Net Operating income and value				
Revenues				
	\$U			
	\$U			
Commercial Property Taxes	\$U			
Commercial Operating Costs	\$U			
	۵U ۵U			
	0.5%			
Capitalized Value of Commercial Space	ψυ			
Anartment Gross Potential Rent	\$506 883			
	¢000,000 \$0			
	\$0			
Total Gross Potential Revenue	\$506 883			
Anartment Vacancy	\$5,069			
Effective Gross Apartment Revenue	\$501 814			
Residential Property Taxes	\$25,354			
Residential Operating Expenses	\$100,363			
Net Operating Income	\$376.097			
Capitalization Rate on Residential Space	5.5%			
Capitalized Value of Residential Space	\$6,838,125			
	\$0,000,120			
Total Capitalized Value of Building	\$6,838,125			
Less Sales Commissions	\$68,381			
Net Value	\$6,769,743			
	+-,,			
Land Costs				
Acquisition Costs	\$2,284,800			
PTT	\$43,696			
Holding During Approvals and Construction	\$285,241			
Total Land Costs	\$2,613,737			
Construction Costs				
Initial Lease Up Costs	\$0			
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$0			
Demolition Costs	\$10,000			
Permit Fees	\$35,000			
Site Servicing	\$90,701			
Landscaping	\$35,700			
Hard Construction Costs	\$3,524,720			
Soft Costs	\$365,112			
Contingency	\$203,062			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Residential	\$17,110			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Commercial	\$0			
City Wide DCL's	\$211,201			
Area Specific DCL's	\$0			
Property Taxes during approvals and construction	\$20,597			
Interim Financing	\$197,453			
Total Construction Costs	\$4,710,656			
Total Construction Costs per sq.ft.	\$228			
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	\$1,025,719			
Total Creation Cost	\$8,350,111			
	*			
Deficit = Net Value less Total Creation Cost	-\$1,580,368			
Deficit per Square Foot of Gross Residential Space	-\$76			

Case Study 17: 4021 Kamloops

Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental Housing Study						
Base Case Rental Apartment Analysis						
Case Study Number	17A					
Property Address	4021 to 4035 Kamloops					
Description	Existing Houses					
Value of Existing single family houses - Value for old SFDs						
	Lot size	Value per sq.ft.	Market Value			
4021 Kamloops	3,828	\$130	\$497,640			
4029 Kamloops	3,828	\$130	\$497,640			
4035 Kamloops	3,828	\$130	\$497,640			
Total			\$1,492,920			
Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental Housi	na Studv					
---	-----------------------------------	--------------------------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	---------
Base Case Rental Apartment Analysis	J					
Case Study Number	17B					
Property Address	4021 to 4035 Kar	nloone				
Description	Evicting Houses	поорз				
Description		anna ant ta Lauria a Anarte				
	Assume Redevel	opment to Lownse Apartr	nent			
Site and Building Size						
Existing Zoning	RS1					
Permitted Maximum FSR	0.6	FSR				
Site Size	11,484	sq.ft. or	99	by	116	
Assumed Density	1.45	FSR				
Total Gross Floorspace	16,652	sq.ft.				
Retail Space	0	sq.ft.				
Gross Residential Floorspace	16,652	sq.ft.				
Net Residential Floorspace	14,154	sq.ft. saleable		85%	of gross ar	ea
Average Net Unit Size	600	sq.ft.				
Number of Units	24.0	units				
Number of Parking Stalls	0.9	per multifamily unit plus		22		
	2.0	per 1000 sg.ft. of retail sp	ace or	0		
				22	in total	
Construction Costs						
Demolition Costs	\$10.000					
Permit Fees	\$30,000					
Site Senicing	\$75,050	assuming	\$2 500	ner lineal	metre of fr	ontage
	\$7,5, 4 ,57 \$5,00	ner sa ft of site area on	50.0%	of site	incuc of it	ontage
Building Construction Costs - Residential	\$3.00 \$120		50.070	or site		
Building Construction Costs - Residential	\$120	accuming chall space				
Building Construction Costs - Retail/Commercial	\$100 \$100	assuming shell space				
	\$40,000	per stall				
Total Hard Construction Costs	\$1/3	per gross sq.ft. assuming i	undergroun	id parking a	and retail a	t grade
Soft Costs	10%	of hard costs and parking				
Contingency on Costs	. 5%					
GVRD Sewer Levy	\$590.00	per apartment unit				
	\$0.443	per sq.ft. of retail space				
City Wide DCL's	\$10.20	per sq.ft. of building area				
Area Specific DCL's	\$0.00	per sq.ft. of building area				
Interim Financing on construction costs	7.0%	on 50% of construction co	sts for	1.25	years	
Other Creation Costs and Allowances						
Initial Lease Up Costs	\$0.00					
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$0.00	assuming one month of re	ent per exis	ting unit		
Assessed Value Year 1 - existing assessment	\$1,794,100					
Taxes during Year 1 - see existing building analysis	\$7,581					
Assessed Value Year 2	\$2,476,955	(roughly 50% of complete	d value)			
Property Tax Rate (blended residential and business)	0.422573%					
Taxes during Year 2	\$10,467					
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	15%	of value				
Commission on Sale of Building	1%	of value				
Operating Revenue, Cost and Value Assumptions						
Average Lease Bate for Retail Space	\$0.00	per so ft, net for shell spa	ce. no Tl's			
Market Rental Rates	çoioo	persquerierererererepa				
Residential Linits (average)	\$2.00	per sa ft, per month or		\$1 200	ner unit ner	month
	\$0.00	per unit per month (in quite)	\	ψ1,200	per unit per	month
Darking Devenue	\$0.00 \$0.00	per unit per month)			
Paridential Vegenau Allewange	\$0.00 1.00/	per stall per month				
	1.0%					
	5.0%					
Property Tax Allowance	A4 000 000					
Residential Assessment	\$4,000,000					
Residential lax Rate	0.422573%					
Residential Property Taxes	\$16,903					
Business/Other Assessment	\$0					
Business/Other Tax Rate	1.982256%					
Commercial Property Taxes	\$0					
Residential Operating Costs - excluding taxes (see notes)	20.0%	of effective gross residential	lincome			
Commercial Operating Costs (excluding tax)	\$0.00	per sq.ft. per year				

Analysis				
Net Operating Income and Value				
Revenues				
Commercial Gross Potential Rent (includes taxes)	\$0			
Commercial Vacancy	\$0			
Commercial Property Taxes	\$0			
Commercial Operating Costs	\$0			
Commercial Net Operating Income	\$0			
Capitalization Rate on Commercial Income	6.5%			
Capitalized Value of Commercial Space	\$0			
Apartment Gross Potential Rent	\$339,697			
Parking Revenue	\$0			
Laundry Revenue	\$0			
Total Gross Potential Revenue	\$339,697			
Apartment Vacancy	\$3,397			
Effective Gross Apartment Revenue	\$336,300			
Residential Property Taxes	\$16,903			
Residential Operating Expenses	\$67,260			
Net Operating Income	\$252,137			
Capitalization Rate on Residential Space	5.5%			
Capitalized Value of Residential Space	\$4,584,307			
Total Capitalized Value of Building	\$4,584,307			
Less Sales Commissions	\$45,843			
Net Value	\$4,538,464			
Land Costs				
Acquisition Costs	\$1,492,920			
PTT	\$27,858			
Holding During Approvals and Construction	\$186,295			
Total Land Costs	\$1,707,074			
Construction Costs				
Initial Lease Up Costs	\$0			
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$0			
Demolition Costs	\$10,000			
Permit Fees	\$30,000			
	\$75,457			
Landscaping	\$28,710			
Hard Construction Costs	\$2,878,216			
Soft Costs	\$298,238			
Contingency	\$166,031			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Residential	\$14,160			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Commercial	ېU د 160 949			
Area Specific DCL's	\$109,848			
Area specific DCLS	ېU د 19 مړې			
Property Taxes during approvals and construction	\$18,048			
Interim Financing	\$101,381			
Total Construction Costs par ca ft	\$5,650,090			
	\$231			
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	¢687 646			
Developer S Front margin Anowance	2007,040			
Total Creation Cost	\$6 344 040			
ioui dication dost	φ 0,∠44,010			
Deficit = Net Value less Total Creation Cost	<u>\$1 706 246</u>			
Deficit per Square Foot of Gross Posidential Space				
benefic per oquare i out or oross residential space	-9102			

Case Study 1	8: Hypothetical	Industrial Site
--------------	-----------------	-----------------

Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental Housing Study											
Base Case Rental	Base Case Rental Apartment Analysis										
Case Study Number	18A										
Property Address Hypothetical Industrial Site in I2, I1 or M2 District											
Description Vacant or Low Value Existing Improvements											
Value of Site Under Exi	sting Indus	trial Zoning									
	Indiciduver Kental Housing Studyase Rental Apartment Analysisudy Number18AAddressHypothetical Industrial Site in I2, I1 or M2 DistrictionVacant or Low Value Existing ImprovementsSite Under Existing Industrial ZoningLot sizeValue per sq.ft.Market Value\$3,267,000\$3,267,000\$3,267,000										
Industrial Site	43,560	\$75	\$3,267,000								
Total			\$3,267,000								

Financial Analysis for Vancouver Rental Housing	Study					
Base Case Rental Apartment Analysis						
Case Study Number 1	8B					
Property Address	vpothetical Indus	strial Site				
Description	acant site or low	value existing improvem	onte			
		lopmont to Woodframe I		artmont		
Cite and Building Circ	ssumes Redeve			artiment		
Site and building Size	11 12 or M2					
Permitted Maximum FSR	n/a	FSR				
Site Size	43,560	sq.ft. or	150	by	290	
Assumed Density	1.45	FSR				
Total Gross Floorspace	63,162	sq.ft.				
Retail Space	0	sq.ft.				
Gross Residential Floorspace	63,162	sq.ft.				
Net Residential Floorspace	53,688	sq.ft. saleable		85%	of gross ar	ea
Average Net Unit Size	600	sq.ft.				
Number of Units	89.0	units				
Number of Parking Stalls	0.9	per multifamily unit plus		80		
	2.0	ner 1000 so ft of retail so	ace or	0		
	2.0	per 1000 3q.n.t. 01 retuit sp		80	in total	
Construction Costs				00	mitotai	
Domolition Costs	ć10.000					
	\$10,000					
Permit rees	\$65,000		4			
Site Servicing	\$114,329	assuming	\$2,500	per lineal	metre of fr	ontage
Landscaping	\$5.00	per sq.ft. of site area on	50.0%	of site		
Building Construction Costs - Residential	\$120					
Building Construction Costs - Retail/Commercial	\$180	assuming shell space				
Parking Construction Costs	\$40,000	per stall				
Total Hard Construction Costs	\$171	per gross sq.ft. assuming	undergroun	d parking a	nd retail a	t grade
Soft Costs	10%	of hard costs and parking	_			-
Contingency on Costs	5%					
GVRD Sewer Levy	\$590.00	per apartment unit				
	\$0.443	ner sa ft of retail snace				
City Wide DCI's	\$10.20	per sq.ft. of huilding area				
Area Specific DCL's	\$10.20 ¢0.00	per sq.ft. of building area				
Alea specific Delis	30.00	per sq.it. Or building area		4.05		
Interim Financing on construction costs	7.0%	on 50% of construction co	sts for	1.25	years	
Other Creation Costs and Allowances						
Initial Lease Up Costs	\$0.00					
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$0.00	assuming one month of re	ent per exis	ting unit		
Assessed Value Year 1 - existing assessment	\$3,000,000					
Taxes during Year 1 - see existing building analysis	\$12,677					
Assessed Value Year 2	\$9,395,348	(roughly 50% of complete	d value)			
Property Tax Rate (blended residential and business)	0.422573%					
Taxes during Year 2	\$39,702					
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	15%	of value				
Commission on Sale of Building	1%	of value				
	1/0					
Operating Revenue, Cost and Value Assumptions						
Average Lease Rate for Retail Space	\$0.00	nersa ft. net for shell sna				
Market Dentel Detec	Ş0.00	per sq.n. net for shell spa	ce, 110 11 3			
Market Relitat Rates	#0.00			01 000		
Residential Units (average)	\$2.20	per sq.ft. per month or		\$1,320	per unit per	month
Laundry Revenue	\$0.00	per unit per month (in-suite))			
Parking Revenue	\$50.00	per stall per month				
Residential Vacancy Allowance	1.0%					
Commercial Vacancy Allowance	5.0%					
Property Tax Allowance						
Residential Assessment	\$18,000,000					
Residential Tax Rate	0.422573%					
Residential Property Taxes	\$76.063					
Business/Other Assessment	\$0					
Business/Other Tax Rate	1,982256%					
Commercial Property Taxes	¢∩					
Residential Operating Costs - excluding taxos (non notes)	φ0 20.0%	of effective gross residential	lincome			
Commercial Operating Costs - excluding taxes (see notes)	20.0%	ner sa ft, per voor	THEOTHE			
	φ 0.00	per synt. per year				

Analysia				
Analysis				
Not Operating Income and Value				
Commercial Gross Potential Pont (includes taxes)	¢0			
Commercial Vacancy	¢۵			
Commercial Property Taxos	¢۵			
Commercial Operating Casts	¢۵			
	¢۵			
Canitalization Rate on Commercial Income	6.5%			
Capitalization Nate of Commercial Income	\$0.0			
Capitalized Value of Commercial Space	ψυ			
Anartment Gross Potential Rent	\$1 417 355			
Parking Revenue	\$48,000			
Laundry Revenue	\$0			
Total Gross Potential Revenue	\$1 465 355			
Apartment Vacancy	\$14 654			
Effective Gross Apartment Revenue	\$1 450 702			
Residential Property Taxes	\$76.063			
Residential Operating Expenses	\$290,140			
Net Operating Income	\$1,084,498			
Capitalization Rate on Residential Space	5.5%			
Capitalized Value of Residential Space	\$19.718.150			
······································	, .,			
Total Capitalized Value of Building	\$19,718,150			
Less Sales Commissions	\$197,181			
Net Value	\$19,520,968			
Land Costs				
Acquisition Costs	\$3,267,000			
PTT	\$63,340			
Holding During Approvals and Construction	\$407,967			
Total Land Costs	\$3,738,307			
Construction Costs				
Initial Lease Up Costs	\$0			
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$0			
Demolition Costs	\$10,000			
Permit Fees	\$65,000			
Site Servicing	\$114,329			
Landscaping	\$108,900			
Hard Construction Costs	\$10,779,440			
Soft Costs	\$1,100,267			
Contingency	\$608,897			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Residential	\$52,510			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Commercial	\$0			
City Wide DCL's	\$644,252			
Area Specific DCL's	\$0			
Property Taxes during approvals and construction	\$52,379			
Interim Financing	\$592,199			
Total Construction Costs	\$14,128,174			
Total Construction Costs per sq.ft.	\$224			
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	\$2,957,722			
Total Creation Cost	\$20,824,203			
Deficit = Net Value less Total Creation Cost	-\$1,303,234			
Deficit per Square Foot of Gross Residential Space	-\$21			

Case Study 19: Hypothetical Industrial Site – Concrete Development (same site as Case Study 18)

Base Case Rental Apartment Analysis						
Case Study Number	19					
Property Address	Hypothetical Indu	strial Site				
Description	Vacant site or low	value existing improvem	ents			
	Assume Redevel	opment to Midrise Concre	ete Apartm	ent		
Site and Building Size						
Existing Zoning	11. 12 or M2					
Permitted Maximum FSR	n/a	FSR				
Site Size	43 560	saft or	150	by	290	
Assumed Density	3.00	FSR		-,		
Total Gross Eloorspace	130 680	sa ft				
Betail Snace	100,000	sa ft				
Gross Residential Floorspace	130 680	sa ft				
Net Residential Floorspace	111 078	sg ft saleable		85%	of gross a	rea
Average Net Unit Size	600	sa ft				
Number of Units	185.0	units				
Number of Parking Stalls	0.9	per multifamily unit plus		167		
	2.0	per 1000 sq.ft. of retail sp	ace or	0		
		per		167	in total	
Construction Costs				107	totai	
Demolition Costs	\$10.000					
Permit Fees	\$130,000					
Site Servicing	\$114 329	assuming	\$2,500	ner lineal	metre of f	rontage
Landscaping	\$5.00	per so,ft, of site area on	0.0%	of site		
Building Construction Costs - Residential	\$180	p q				
Building Construction Costs - Retail/Commercial	\$180	assuming shell snace				
Parking Construction Costs	\$40,000	ner stall				
Total Hard Construction Costs	\$231	per gross so.ft. assuming	undergrour	nd parking a	and retail a	it grade
Soft Costs	10%	of hard costs and parking				8
Contingency on Costs	5%					
GVRD Sewer Levy	\$590.00	per apartment unit				
	\$0.443	per sq.ft. of retail space				
City Wide DCL's	\$10.20	per sq.ft. of building area				
Area Specific DCL's	\$0.00	per sq ft of building area				
Interim Financing on construction costs	7.0%	on 50% of construction co	sts for	1.5	vears	
					,	
Other Creation Costs and Allowances						
Initial Lease Up Costs	\$0.00					
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$0.00	assuming one month of re	ent per exis	ting unit		
Assessed Value Year 1 - existing assessment	\$3,000,000					
Taxes during Year 1 - see existing building analysis	\$12.677					
Assessed Value Year 2	\$19.438.650	(roughly 50% of complete	d value)			
Property Tax Rate (blended residential and business)	0.422573%	(··· 0) ··· · · · · · · · · · ·	,			
Taxes during Year 2	\$82,142					
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	15%	of value				
Commission on Sale of Building	1%	of value				
ŭ						
Operating Revenue, Cost and Value Assumptions						
Average Lease Rate for Retail Space	\$0.00	per sq.ft. net for shell spa	ce, no TI's			
Market Rental Rates						
Residential Units (average)	\$2.40	per sq.ft. per month or		\$1,440	per unit pe	r month
Laundry Revenue	\$0.00	per unit per month (in-suite)			
Parking Revenue	\$50.00	per stall per month				
Residential Vacancy Allowance	1.0%					
Commercial Vacancy Allowance	5.0%					
Property Tax Allowance						
Residential Assessment	\$40,000,000					
Residential Tax Rate	0.422573%					
Residential Property Taxes	\$169,029					
Business/Other Assessment	\$0					
Business/Other Tax Rate	1.982256%					
Commercial Property Taxes	\$0					
Residential Operating Costs - excluding taxes (see notes)	20.0%	of effective gross residentia	l income			
Commercial Operating Costs (excluding tax)	\$0.00	per sq.ft. per year				

Analysis				
Net Operating Income and Value				
Revenues				
Commercial Gross Potential Rent (includes taxes)	\$0			
Commercial Vacancy	\$0			
Commercial Property Taxes	\$0			
Commercial Operating Costs	\$0			
Commercial Net Operating Income	\$0			
Capitalization Rate on Commercial Income	6.5%			
Capitalized Value of Commercial Space	\$0			
Apartment Gross Potential Rent	\$3,199,046			
Parking Revenue	\$100,200			
Laundry Revenue	\$0			
Total Gross Potential Revenue	\$3,299,246			
Apartment Vacancy	\$32,992			
Effective Gross Apartment Revenue	\$3,266,254			
Residential Property Taxes	\$169,029			
Residential Operating Expenses	\$653,251			
Net Operating Income	\$2,443,974			
Capitalization Rate on Residential Space	5.5%			
Capitalized Value of Residential Space	\$44,435,890			
Total Capitalized Value of Building	\$44,435,890			
Less Sales Commissions	\$444,359			
Net Value	\$43,991,531			
Land Costs				
Acquisition Costs	\$3,267,000			
PTT	\$63,340			
Holding During Approvals and Construction	\$407,967			
Total Land Costs	\$3,738,307			
Construction Costs				
Initial Lease Up Costs	\$0			
Tenant Relocation Costs	\$0			
Demolition Costs	\$10,000			
Permit Fees	\$130,000			
Site Servicing	\$114,329			
Landscaping	\$0			
Hard Construction Costs	\$30,202,400			
Soft Costs	\$3,031,673			
Contingency	\$1,674,420			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Residential	\$109,150			
GVRD Sewer Levy - Commercial	\$0 ¢1 222 026			
	\$1,332,936			
Area Specific DCL's	\$U			
Property Taxes during approvals and construction	\$94,820			
	\$1,920,730			
Total Construction Costs par sa ft	ې۲۵۵,۵۲۵,404 د مور			
	\$296			
Developer's Profit Margin Allowance	¢6 665 292			
Developer 5 Front wargin Anowance	20,005,383			
Total Creation Cost	\$40 020 454			
I CUI SIERIIUII CUSL	φ 4 3,030,134			
Deficit = Net Value less Total Creation Cost	-\$2 038 633			
Deficit per Square Foot of Gross Residential Space	-\$39			
,	400			

7.0 Appendix 2 - Summary of the Financial Impact of Policy Alternatives

The exhibit on the following page summarizes our analysis of the impact on the estimated financial gap associated with rental housing development that we completed as input to the evaluation of policy options included in Section 4.0 of this report.

	Income Tax Credit Eliminating Financing Costs	-\$91 psfb	-\$100 psfb	dìzq 999-	-\$39 psfb	-\$67 psfb	-\$30 psfb
ion	Eliminate GST+PST on Operating Costs	-\$119 psfb	-\$132 psfb	-\$132 psfb -\$123 psfb -\$54 psfb		-\$89 psfb	-\$47 psfb
olicies/Regulati	Eliminate GST+PST on New Construction	-\$108 psfb	-\$121 psfb	-\$114 psfb	-\$45 psfb	-\$80 psfb	-\$39 psfb
fied Change to Po	Rent Supplement Needed to Make Rental Viable	\$0.78 per sq.ft. per month or \$470 per unit	\$0.85 per sq.ft. per month or \$510 per unit	\$0.69 per sq.ft. per month or \$410 per unit	\$0.33 per sq.ft. per month or \$200 per unit	\$0.59 per sq.ft. per month or \$355 per unit	\$0.33 per sq.ft. per month or \$200 per unit
fb) Under Specil	Strata at Existing FSR with Rental Bonus	viable	viable	viable	viable	viable	viable
g Deficit (\$ps	No DCLs or Application Fees	-\$112 psfb	-\$125 psfb	-\$116 psfb	-\$47 psfb	-\$82 psfb	-\$39 psfb
ental Housing	No City of Vancouver Property Taxes	-\$115 psfb	-\$128 psfb	-\$118 psfb	-\$50 psfb	-\$86 psfb	-\$44 psfb
Estimated Re	Estimated FSR Needed for Rental to be Viable	30+FSR	30+FSR	not possible in woodframe	not possible in woodframe	6.0 FSR	6.0 FSR
	Bonus - All Rental Building	-\$71 psfb with a 2.0 FSR Bonus	-\$80 psfb with a 2.0 FSR Bonus	-\$74 psfb with a 0.5 FSR Bonus	-\$32 psfb with a 0.5 FSR Bonus	-\$49 psfb with a 1.0 FSR Bonus	-\$25 psfb with a 1.0 FSR Bonus
	No Parking	-\$87 psfb	dìsq 99\$-	-\$81 psfb	-\$12 psfb	-\$54 psfb	-\$6 psfb
	Base Case Estimated Deficit (\$psfb) for New Rental Project	-\$124 psfb	-\$137 psfb -\$129 psfb -\$60 psfb		-\$94 psfb	-\$52 psfb	
ite	Woodframe or concrete development	concrete	concrete	woodframe	woodframe	concrete	woodframe
ase Analysis of Case Study S	Description of Scenario	Redevelopment of Existing Low Density Commercial Building to Highrise Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 3.0FSR	Redevelopment of Existing Parking Lot to Highrise Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 3.0FSR	Redevelopment of Existing Older Walk up Apartment Building to Lowrise Apartment @ 1.45 FSR	Redevelopment of Existing House and Vacant Lot to Lowrise Apartment @ 1.45 FSR	Redevelopment of Existing Low Density Commercial Building to Mixed Use Residential and Retail @ 2.5 FSR	Redevelopment of Vacant Site to Mixed Use Residential and Retail @
Base Ca	Zoning	C-3A	C-3A	RM-4	RM-4	C-2	C-2
	Site	1 130 West Broadway	2 2080 West Broadway	4 1981 West 10th Avenue	7 1880 Frances Street	8 4464 Dunbar	1 4000 Main Street
				*		-•	1