### 08 2000 20

January 27, 2012

Dear City Clerk's Staff,

The Residents Association Mount Pleasant (RAMP) is presenting the City of Vancouver Council with a petition opposing the rezoning proposal at 228 to 246 East Broadway and 180 Kingsway. As Council will be debating a motion to refer this item to Public Hearing on January 31, 2012 at a Regular Council meeting, we request that information about our petition and number of people who signed in opposition to the proposal be provided to Council and the City Manager prior to this meeting.

We have submitted today two thousand one hundred and sixteen signatures on the petition.

The personal information on this petition was given in confidence by members of the public for use on this petition. We therefore we ask that the full confidentiality of the individuals be protected. The information provided may only be used in the verification of the petition. I understand that in our previous conversations the City Clerk's office can maintain the confidentiality of the petition. The names, email addresses, phone numbers, addresses are not to be used for any other purpose than to verify the petition; the City of Vancouver is not to share this information with any third party or elector organization. Data-mining is expressly not permitted.

RAMP may provide additional signatures to this petition at a later date. If the petition is expanded, we ask that the same conditions be respected to protect the privacy of additional individuals who sign the petition.

Thank you very much for your cooperation.

Kind regards,

Frace mackenzie

Grace MacKenzie Director, Residents Association Mount Pleasant

- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A. • Public and private views of the mountains would be lost. WE REQUEST:
- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00. **BENEFITS:**
- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
ALLISON BARKER	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		Aller	June (2,2011
Zed Zang				due 12, 2014
Chris Leihönen			Math	- 11
Jill Silva			(H.B. )	1/
KelliGiesbrecht			Bell: heshiett	(
John Ciaca			The biacco	11 11
Cline Mora			-7	2, 4
a(( 1)00/27			K.T.	((
(MANARY DOOLE"			ion 12.TD	11
STAPHAN NEICHTON			PA -	11
To return petition, prone s. 22(1) Personal a	nd Confidential	Res	idents Association Mount Plea	asant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A.
   Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

### WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00. **BENEFITS:**
- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

PLEASE PRINT CLEARL	Y * MANDATORY

*PRINT ŅAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
Nan de Hamilton	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		MA	JUNN / 11
David Parker			J2	JUNU (11
Robin Boronski			hhl	June 11/11
MARION MCmillor				June 1/1/1
Jamay Adhborg.			AD .	henta
Chery Warburg - War			A Al	Junen
DONALD FORMANDE			Kolton	Tua/11-11
MARK Boucher			Mult	June 14/11
PEPCI FURTES			Atta	JURE U/11
Cathy Spitznage 12			······································	Junoi/1
To return petition, phone: <sup>s. 22(1)</sup> Persona	l and Confidential	- Res	idents Association Mount Ple	asant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A. Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

#### WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00. **BENEFITS:**

# BENEFITS:

- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

# PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
Zach Claske	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		Grach Clorbe	Jane 8/11
Jennifer Logan			Augn	June 8/11
Joseph Hiraba yash,			And Kalefing	June 8/1
Heather Trawich			ALLO	June 8/11
(olin Liseth			4	June 84711
Donna PROSSER			801	Jm 8/11
Simon Hsieh			1 I Ail	June 8/11
Jim Suntle				Gurstil
ROB JOLIVETTE			Aylante	JUNBIN
FILEEN SORENSEN			15 mm	. R .
To return petition, phone: s. 22(1) Personal a	and Confidential	Resi	dents Association Mount Plea	isant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A. • Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

# WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00.

# **BENEFITS:**

- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

### PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*\$IGNATURE	*DATE
Audrey Howater	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		A A A A	June 8 2011
Salemation	-		Saft	pre § 2011
W. Grulkey	-		WSully	Jue 8/11
Cindy Tam	-		Chan	Jre8/11
Marjorie Gonzalez			Kast	June8/11
Aaron Berndsen			ageon Burtz	June 8/11
Jen Bisson	-		Binn	June 8/11
Neil BULMAN- FLEMING			An	Sine B/11
Sandia Cangnon			AL OF	June 9/11
Frances Clarke			ANCLE	Jone 9/11
To return petition, phone: s. 22(1) Personal	and Confidential	Resi	dents Association Mount Ple	asant



Residents Association Mount Pleasant

- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A. Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

# WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00.

# **BENEFITS:**

- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
Nicole Welsh	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		Méioh Vell	Suge 7,2011
Rebecca Walters			quatton	June 7/11
Ikbal Singh				Juelli
Denise Higginson			J. S. E	June7/11
DOMINIKA PILAT			Renterr	JUNE 7/11
JADE JORDAN			Carlos	JUNE 7/11
HEATHER RIPHES				June7/12
Eluis Adubotour-loku			TA-	JUNE7/11
Javon Florczah			Q	June 7/11
Anne Bell To return petition, phone: <sup>s. 22(1)</sup> Personal a	nd Confidential	Do	sidents Association Mount Plea	June 7/11
ro return peution, phone:		nc nc	suchts association mount rice	33am /



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A. Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

## WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00.

## **BENEFITS:**

- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
Sandeep Johal	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		SJAD.	June 6/11
Chris Beracqua			(Devacy).	June
ARINA TANASE			Atonate	June Zoll
Scott Kelly			Justo Tilly	June 7/11
JANE WILLIAMS			11- 0	in in
Sean Quigley			Re Dr	Jue 7,2041
Jennifer Wight			Allight	Jure 7, zoil
Chris Lightfust			at ze	June 7 2011
Ber Komukai			Rombi	Jun 7. 2011
NELA DAMJANOVSKI			Man famore.	June 7, 2011
To return petition, phone: s. 22(1) Personal	and Confidential	Re	sidents Association Mount Ple	asant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character and general amenity. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this height in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It does not respect the guidelines regarding descending building heights from the Main and 12th gateway viewpoint.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings. **WE REQUEST:**
- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00.

## **BENEFITS:**

- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
JEAN LABGAGE	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		Sen Lakeye	June 5, 2011
Luis Grisales			12-8	punerzol,
Andre' Jacsse			and an ho	Jone 5 2011
Alterowal Mmeetan			Mall	Turk S
Susan Horning			Stand	June 6/11
Laura Bianco			Kings	Jone 6/11
Queenly Lee				June 7/11
JOHN VS.			No HARTHAN	- 11
Jeen Man			Der m-	1 \
KLARA SEDLACER			Side	11/ 6/2NUS
To return petition, phone: <sup>s. 22(1) Personal</sup>	and Confidential	Re	sidents Association Mount Plea	isant /



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character and general amenity. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this height in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It does not respect the guidelines regarding descending building heights from the Main and 12th gateway viewpoint.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings. **WE REQUEST:**
- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00.

#### **BENEFITS:**

- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
Gordon Waselnyk	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		on Hords Waseling	June5201/
ALAN BODINGEFICED	+		Æ	JUNU 5,2015
Claire Lamont	+		ellet.	Junes 2011
REI TJERKSTRA	-			5 JUNIL
ROXANE WARD	-		Round	June 4/1
Brad Morgan	-		Bing	06104/1
ASHLEY TYNDAU	-		My Tinth	06/04/11
JERRY OCOL	4			0/04/11
JOY ONG			Arthor	06/09/11
Haltrenko				Dure 4/4
To return petition, phone: s. 22(1) Personal	and Confidential	- Res	idents Association Mount Plea	isant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A.
   Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

#### WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00. **BENEFITS:**
- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

#### PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
MR. MICHAEL SENIUK	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		Michael Seniuk	Juno 4/11
Janel Johnson	-		Cost at	ne 4/11
BAHST Pfenson	-		Do Tikon	Here cilly
JEAN CAMPBELL			1 anopelo	breg/11
KStamp	* *		Alther	
LEE CHAPELLE	_		Haple	JUNE4/1
PFFaber	_		DHA	June 4/1
S. Bannister	_			June 4/11
Lynette Vetro			L. Vetro	gare 4/11
Fatimer Aturesa			Alex	И
To return petition, phone: <sup>s. 22(1) Personal</sup>	and Confidential	- Res	idents Association Mount Plea	isant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A.
   Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.
   WE REQUEST:
- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00. **BENEFITS:**
- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
Unian Anneida	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		MASP	Inne 4/1
Marginy Baka			4 mm	4 June 11
Parrisk Wilson			Rent	June 4/11
MAURERA HOLDWAY &			n 18th	June 4/11
Rayna Milne			taipa	June 4/11
Cherre Booker			Clerkall.	June 4/29
Winsten Whitlock			W. Whiteook	JUAC4/11
Zeenest Zahan Anita			2 P	June 4/11
Bernes Potton			Bolden	June 4/11
Constance BARNes	and Confidential		7. Su	Auret
To return petition, phone: S. 22(1) Personal a		Res	idents Association Mount Plea	isjánt



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A.
   Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

### WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00. **BENEFITS:**
- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
Sames Neal	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		fine fall	June 10/11
Grahan Brownmeller			ABirmith	June 10/11
Neil Fornyhaugh			Hope y	JYNE 10 Zdij
Evin Northcott			Kullishol	June 10
Vanassa Juliet			V. Jug'un	- Jm 0/11
MIXE DINGMORE			Mi	Jure 10
Amber Daniels			Q	Jun 10/2011
Deset Broan			Lat	Sonto
Louise Taylor			X .	10/6/2011
Servin Groosh	nal and Confidential	<u> </u>	Chuand	kine 100/1
To repirh petition, phone:		Re	sidents Association Mount P	leasant <sup>J</sup>



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A.
   Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

# WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00.

# **BENEFITS:**

- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
MONIQUE VAN VUET	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		HO	06/09/11
Down Turkin	+		Date.	Jen 9/11
neg Biondini	-		Inthe	
Lynda Jordan	-		Lynda Jordan	June9/11
Zennier Hershman	+		Heimon	JUN IOI
BRYANWAGMAN	+		Ray Winney	Jan (0/1
BILL KUSSRU	-		am Jugett	10/6/11
St Clorbs	-		Ed Clork	101011
Apoto Benesh	-		het Berog	Sine 10,201
JoanHarvie			2 out	JUNEIOII
To return petition, phone: s. 22(1) Personal a	and Confidential	Res	dents Association Mount Plea	sant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A.
   Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

#### WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00.

### **BENEFITS:**

- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

#### PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY \* M

*	MANDATORY	

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
- Gwardolan Marker	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		m, Hoge Cults	W Jue 911
203 GORDON			- Faith	11
BoBBI ELLIOM				ii (
Matina Shrpstha- Birtch			PANC	11
Shiloh Lindsey			And lidan	(,
Christine Ropovikh			n Joh Poporch	jure 9/
April Curvy				June 9/1
Daniel Bhard			Paris Brand	11 (
Richard Lalande			Ridenttotat	June 9#4/1
Tissa Buckler To antima above s. 22(1) Personal a	nd Confidential		Thosells	June 7th/1/
To return petition, phone: 5. 22(1) Personal a		Res	idents Association Mount Plea	sant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A.
   Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

### WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00.

## **BENEFITS:**

- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

#### PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY \*

Kylie Messing Jeeh Sheddy BRENDAN QUINN The OBRIAIN Cormon Grandre 16/1	*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
Jeeh Sheddy BRENDAN QUINN Im OBRIAIN Cormon Grandre In Randre 9/6/	Kylie Messing	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		-Ser	9/06/11
Tom OBRIAIN Cormon Grandre 9/6/	Leeh Sheddy				1 1/1
Tom ODRIAIN Cormon arandre 9/6/ 100000000000000000000000000000000000	BRENDAN QUINN			Boul	9/06/11
Cormon Grandre 9/6/	Im OBRIAIN				9/6/11
For Provens 2/de	Carmon aranda			$ \rangle \langle 1 \rangle \langle 1 \rangle \rangle \langle 1 \rangle \rangle$	
NISTO MANNE	LISTS REWARDS			Castelion	9/06/11
Jenniter Brouse 9/00	Jenniter Brous			m M1110	9/06//1
Toras Cordeiro	Jozo Cordeiro			typil.com Joceto	9/04/11
Cole Johnston 9/00	Cole Johnston			later	9/66/11
To return petition, phone: 5. 22(1) Personal and Confidential Residents Association Mount Pleasant					9/06/11



**Residents Association Mount Pleasant** 

- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A.
   Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

### WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00.

### **BENEFITS:**

- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

### PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY \*

*	MANDATORY

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
Melissa Lee	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		5	June 9, '11
SHARRON WILSON			Sh	GN59/11
SHEILA MARACLE			Shuthle.	61
Robert Puyer			200	June All
Micole Cto tomas			n.Stotomas	June 9/11
Mariel Lopa			man	10
Rober 7 Tuey			A	17
NADINE T. GCAY	-		M2 Shar	JUNE 9/11
KONRAD (FATUAR	+		hould	june 1/12
Kaith Forsey			harden	June 9/11
To return petition, phone. s. 22(1) Personal	and Confidential	Res	idents Association Mount Plea	isant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A. Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

# WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00.

## **BENEFITS:**

- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
DENNIS MCDONALD	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		- Milical	JUN.9-11
JOE KRECANSKI			Joe Mucoushi	JUNGII
AVERY TITCHKOSKY			An	JUNIE 9.
ADAM CABLE			an aly	June 92011
Randall Foster			Kordell' tato	June 9.11
laurel Sullineys			Di.	Jone 09/11
Robin Allison			Li	June GII
Wendyoberander			42	June 9/11
Giha Faigen			min	Flike 7, Zoil
Maria PianSam To return petition, phone: s. 22(1) Personal	and Confidential	- Ro	MCi Cri Scry sidents Association Mount Plea	June ? /2011



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A. Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

# WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00. **BENEFITS:**
- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

### PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*/DATE
BILL NECRERY	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		L, Com DAC	2 mg
Guryn-Mchtorl			anti	Ine4/10.
CHINS ALAVERA			a Garbaga	June of
Rica Fuentebella			-ReB	June og
GARY SHANNON			J. Janno	JUNEON
T. HUNTER			A.Hernter	Fures/11
T.Kindrid			Fifting Kinolud	
L. NASH			l'ala	June 8/11
Tigna JoviCevic			Jawy 7-	In 8/11
YNZMINE LOCKUA			Mine Lains	JUN 8/11
To return petition, phone: s. 22(1) Personal	and Confidential	Resi	dents Association Mount Plea	sant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A. Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

### WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00.

### **BENEFITS:**

- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

## PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
Anno Myller	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		any muller	6/8/11
mand Safest			manich Seifert	Ehen 2011
Karly Paranich			Re	Sin E/11
FAUL GIFFER			pro	- 11
Matt Jenning			Mat Comps	June 8/11
JASON LEXA				Jun E 8/11
Mi rizin Jehran				June 8/11
Julian Jarnet			A	JCAP 8/
adam Streph			- ach ,	TUNERIN
LAMMY BALLASH	nd Confidential		Imk	Enne STAN
To return petition, phone: <sup>s. 22(1) Personal a</sup>		Re	esidents Association Mount Plea	asant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A.
   Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

## WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00.

# **BENEFITS:**

- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE/	*DATE
RYAN MACKPAIL	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		Bunlendon	8/4/1
TRAVIS BEATTIG			Thavis Barthe	S d i I
Emily Chapman	•		alf A	8/6/11
Anne Moriarty			Amolin	8/6/11
-THOMAS -BRIDGE			Tunnese	8/6/11
Christine Huynh	-		Sha	816/11
Daniel Gianitomaso			tal Al	8/6/11
CRYSTAL KOHORST			m C. Konovst	8/6/11
Jan Cook			XS GOK	8/6/11
To return petition, phone: 5. 22(1) Personal a	and Confidential	Re	sidents Association Mount Plea	6/26/211



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A. Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

### WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00. **BENEFITS:**
- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
PETER THOMPSon	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		HART/pr	8-JUNE/11
Gasey Deane			rail ggnfler	8-Jue/11
Millemen			//Velance	June 8
Carl Nyult			1 marti	7 1)
KODY FLATT			T. C	JUNE CO
EileenJonos			Soll.	08 Juno
Margaret Graves			Maus	June 3
Simon			5 Daviel;	June 8
Erica			a Ar	June Str
Kevin Curtis			teto	June 8th
To return petition, phone: <sup>s. 22(1) Personal</sup>	and Confidential	- Res	idents Association Mount Plea	isant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A.
   Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

#### WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00.

### **BENEFITS:**

- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

#### PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY \* N

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
1-CHIA SUN	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		unas	JUNeII/11
3. PICARD			Q	JUNE 1/11
L. Malbry			Lattalla	Juneidi
E. Shanari			Buln.	June All
Susan Giles			Atric	June 11/11
Micah Field			m	June 11/11
Shandra Stephons			MA	JUNO 11/1
W Gee			i gel	Junell
ana Joyce			along ce	June 12/11
CAROLE SEGAL To roturn potition phonons. S. 22(1) Personal	and Confidential		C. C	JUNE 11/11
To return petition, phone: 5. 22(1) Personal		R	esidents Association Mount Plea	asant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- Public and private views of the mountains would be lost. It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A.

### WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00.

#### **BENEFITS:**

- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
Fruy and Adele Oliver			Mon phil D. Oh	June 18/11
BETECIA MANO			Mane	)44-11/20
Kelly Miller			Kellfuller	JUNG 11/2010
Sho Asai			两井和司	June 11/2011
Erez Segal			Eng Sel	June 11/2016
LUKG CHEN				FUNILI
JOHN GRINDON			Phila	(106/11
Stephanie Ondrack			Seee	Jun 11-11
Leff Miller			Malen	Jue 11-11
toni daluni			for hur	nJump
To return petition, phone: s. 22(1) Personal a	nd Confidential	Res	idents Association Mount Plea	sant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A. Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

#### WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00. **BENEFITS:**
- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
Jemma Chunick	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		ACT	June 11/11
ROGETZ DATELS			· · ·	"Juce (1)
Marisa Torres			manff2.	11 June ral
San Mullin			Alter	1 Denezoil
Aju Shuttlemath				11 me
Michael Klery			Magna	11 June
Vanis Maximilia			IMAS	11 time
Georgia Franklin			22	W/ June
Talain Bajwa			Bing	JUNILI
Adampathicite			Allahiate	June 11/1
To return petition, phone: s. 22(1) Persona	l and Confidential	Res	idents Association Mount Plea	asant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A. Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

#### WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00. **BENEFITS:**
- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
CARY McKnight	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		Con Marchit	June 11/2011
Susan Dixon			SARO	~
Dan Erikkson			ablan ?	11
JUDY MORPLSON			Monisson.	1)
Helene de Moniz			felomony-	(1
SARAH ARMSTRONG			HAMISTUY	(
Jennicer Nuk			J'et	د <i>ا</i>
Madelene Collogy			Gellon	- 11
Tanguy Exume			TALPUY TESM	¥1
Maria Jacome To return petition, phone:	and Confidential		motione	41
To return petition, phone:		Re	sidents Association Mount Ple	asant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A.
   Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

## WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00. **BENEFITS:**
- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
M. KOBERS	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		Tool.	Juneiolli
D. Alcorn Ray m			Min Cer	June 10/11
t. myles			Torohla Rufe	June 10/11
V BOYES			M. Days.	June 10/11
Laph Gydmindson			thin	Jone 11/11
Micikus			Ray	tenerol 11
Ahita Sikma.			A. m	June 11/1
KendallStephenson			publistepter	June 1/1
C. Kenton	_		Chalperton	June 11/11
Brody McKnight			1/m	06/11/11
To return petition, phone: s. 22(1) Persona	l and Confidential	R	sidents Association Mount Ple	asant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area,
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A. Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

#### WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00.

### **BENEFITS:**

- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability, Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
J. DAVID MILLIBAN	. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		Dipla	JUN10/11
DHWIEL D Witte			Radiel Cristo	5un-10/1
NIGEL L. GILES			r The	Jun 10/11
Kirsten Redmon			KREtmin	June 10/11
MIKE FRASER			way	-4~ 10/11
PETERDARICANK			Andigh	
MARY ANN DE CORSY			mbleborley	JUNE 10 /1
Jared Ellingson			111-0	June 10/11
Julia Mandeson			ma	June 10/11
Dana Oikawa			- AB	June 10/11
To return petition, phone: s. 22(1) Personal a	and Confidential	- Re	sidents Association Mount Ple	asant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A.
   Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

### WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00.

#### **BENEFITS:**

- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

### PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
Tanueur Formundes	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		roud con the	Ime 10/11
Katarina Galaras			flori	Jone 10/11
Pauline Morste			PAULINESTER	4
GIVES KUDECIEVER				i *
Wiram Mayon			MAMANA	JUNEIO
Senny Bu,			Sug B. g.	June 10
Laura-Lee Walter				Juno K
KON WEISNER			RU	JUNGO
Charlotte Robertson			OR	June 10/1
Lynda Russelille			Stendulle	10000/11
To return petition, phone: s. 22(1) Personal	and Confidential	Res	idents Association Mount Plea	Isant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A.
   Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

### WE REQUEST:

6.1

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00. **BENEFITS:**
- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
CAROL SAWYER	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		Caril Sawyer	6/10/2011
Scalf Meachan			S. Men	6/10 /2011
Alexandra Caon			- Atom	6/16/2011
Lana whatley			Longi Whatter	6/10/11
Shelina Kew!			Sthan,	6/10/11
Eva Allan			SIN	6/10/11
BRIAN FOLEY			Pri Vy	6/10/11
Matt Martson			all	6-10-11
Erin Davidson			Epardon	8-10-11
Convline Mangosing			Cape frager	6-10-11
To return petition, phone: s. 22(1) Persona	l and Confidential	Re	sidents Association Mount Ple	asant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood. •
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes. •
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A. • Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

### WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00. **BENEFITS:**
- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

#### PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY \* MANDATORY \*PRINT NAME \*ADDRESS PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional) **\*SIGNATURE** s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential MOONPL JUN21 Did hono SNCC 1000 June 10 MARIE work CURISTIAN WYRITH SUPP (AH Jun June 16 W.N Dime 10 June 10 22(1) Personal and Confidential To return petition, phone: Residents Association Mount Pleasant



\*DATE

16

- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A. 
  Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

## WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00. **BENEFITS:**
- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
Canalyn Biochal	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		Caroly Buchell	NN 10/11
Buffi J. K-mith			Refli Tylunt	enni011
-Shillip BOULKEAU			RUND	JUNIO/11
MAKGAR CT BOUND OAN	-		mun	JUNGICIO
Portia Geczi			mffi	June 19/11
EENEST MAYO			hrue com Est the	Jane 10/11
Robin Crane			Kan	
Sandra Currio			founded	assign
Rose Boulton			Roze Joulton	June 10/11
Jehniter Main	and Confidential	Dea	In fractures	June 10/1
To return petition, phone: <sup>s. 22(1)</sup> Persona		- Ke	sidents Association Mount Plea	asant /



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A.
   Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

### WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00.

## **BENEFITS:**

- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
JEFF SEIP	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		all in	6/11/2011
DANIEL ROSS			anuel for	=11 poj
MARISSA JOHNSON			Allomoan	6/11/2011
David Burns			Las heres	6/11/2017
Robin Solvik			KKli	4/11/201
Julian Duliousv			All	6/11/2000
Nathon Owen			Nothint	6/12/2041
GEORGE KNUFF			6 kupp	6/12/2011
NATUSTIA TURNOVER			NTropust	5. yr
NELLY SICARD			Fibrat	6/12/11
To return petition, phone <sup>s. 22(1)</sup> Personal and	d Confidential	Res	idents Association Mount Plea	isant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A.
   Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

### WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00. **BENEFITS:**
- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

#### PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY

\* MANDATORY

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
Ela Juhan	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		Suhan	June 12/1
TONY KLAASSEN			how law	Junefiz/1
Conna Folking			Alkino	June 12/11
Charles McNuTT			Chi hut	Sane infi
Adacambe			Adacomby	June 12/11
MIRRAY LOJAN			ntest	mo 12/4
ADRIAN COSPOR				Sure 12/201
7. TESTE			Port	June 12/11
ANDREW KIENNY			Indu dig	June 13/11
NHATIN FISK			March	JUNE 13/1
To return petition, phone: <sup>5. 22(1)</sup> Personal a	ind Confidential	Re	sidents Association Mount Plea	sant



U

- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A.
   Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

#### WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00.

#### **BENEFITS:**

- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*ŞIGNATURE	*DATE
Fathe Wolfgram	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		hatel	June 12 Co,
Hoinz Motz	-		- day long	June 12/2
Devin Karringten	_		Z. L.	June. 12/09
Daran Muranco			Vb	11/12/6
Paul Marton	_		A Martin	June 12/11
Nygel Asselin	_		NAmi	Jender/11
Peter Wachowich	_		k-++	June 12/11
JOHN MURPHY	_		EPhiphy	June 12/2011
KAITIE BAKKER	_		Rohn	JUNE 12/2011
TYLER McGOWAN			Trong	JUNE 12/11
To return petition, phone: s. 22(1) Persona	al and Confidential	Res	idents Association Mount Ple	asant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A. Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

#### WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00. **BENEFITS:**
- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
David Lorenzi	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		DAR	06/11/4
DARCY KALTIO			Deatha	06/11/11
Thomas Hicks			24	Oblill
Sue Hartling			Starty	66/11/11
Keith Elliott			KWZIIOT	06/11/11
NANCY ESWORTHY			um Mars	06/0/11
Fion chou			Ki	66/13/1
Cate Camera			Citle	06/ B/11
KIMBERLEY FRENCH			Kan-	06/13/11
BARB MORRIS	and Confidential		ion they	06/13/14
To return petition, phone. <sup>s. 22(1)</sup> Personal	anu comuential	- Res	idents Association Mount Plea	asant 7



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A.
   Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.
   WE REQUEST:
- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00. **BENEEITS**•
- BENEFITS:
- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
MARION MCCARTI	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential NEY		m mc artney	15 Jun 11
MARILYN BATCHELOI	<u>e</u>		Hailm Batchelon	June 15, 2011
CHAMINE Linza	24		4	lan15/11
Maria Freitas			Morien Treiter	INE15/11
ERRY LIN	ZA		Gating	JUNE 15/11
CARDLYN PAR	<u>rker</u>		Carolyp Parker	Dunoibly
KELLY BAIRD			KrQ 9	fore to 14
Trent Thomas			The thorts	Jore le l
Keysta Portee			L. Jorton	Jene 16/11
To return petition, phone <sup>s. 22(1)</sup>	Personal and Confidential	- Res	idents Association Mount Plea	D6 16 11 asant


- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A.
  Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.
  WE REQUEST:
- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00. **BENEFITS:**
- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	<b>SIGNATURE</b>	*DATE
BETTY JISOM	Personal and Confidential		A Price	6/14/11
ARSENIA DE JE 5115			4 de June	6/1411
VOYTE PORADIS			1000	5/14/11
Alexandra Jacobsen			716 April	06/14/1
Brian Juccoy			14	06/14/11
Caco CJacobsi			Que	06/14/1
Jillan Mann			Lenn	06/14/11
DAVID MILES .				0414/4
CISSA SWIHMET			Anit	06/14/11
Hogh Lerchot s. 22(1) Personal and Con	fidential		Thank	06/14/11
To return petition, phone:	nuchtia	Re	sidents Association Mount Ple	asant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A.
  Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.
  WE REQUEST:
- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00. **BENEFITS:**
- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

#### PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY \* N

\* MANDATORY

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
Kan him	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		Stell	06/01/21
David Meyerhoft			A S	6/11/11
LEONA ROTHNEY			Kothney	June 1// 11
Spencer Green			S.C.	June (
Rachel Zottenbeg			Hacher Bett.	tino 11 m
Mark Potterson.			Mr. P/M_	June 114
(g Greg (olumbarson			(sep, Olym	Sune Dtyp
Jason Robtone			Alal	Jue 12/11
V. DEMAITRE			Vienarl	June 12, 701
To return position above 5, 22(1) Persona	and Contidential			Ame 12/1
To return petition, phone: s. 22(1) Persona	2	- Res	idents Association Mount Ple	asjant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A. Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

# WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00. **BENEFITS:**
- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
Kaven Gibson	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		Kgilson	12/06/11
Sona Dowes			S.S Dhe	12/96/11
Apza Fortaine			Ad	11/6/12
Suzanne McIvor			Sprilyon	<i>i</i> N
World That			Wate	2/06/11
Ann Spros.			1. Jung	12/06/1
Adrian Bolli			L ACT	12/04/11
John Walias			Hilatias	12/06/11
Kali Thurber			Kat-	12/06/11
Susan Forguin			Sun7	12/06/11
To return petition, phone? s. 22(1) Personal	l and Confidential	Res	idents Association Mount Plea	asant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A. Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

# WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00. **BENEFITS:**
- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
Par Porture	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		C + C - C	Juneiz /11
BLAID MACKENCIE			1	3(1141249
Dennis Nyupon			Frid Tenne	June 12
ason Trout			liem tru	12 June
Cart Wharton			alhepper	12 June
Mayaret mcBide			phagaet mc Zi	12/06/11
Moving Young			in they	June 12.
B. IP Ritchie			pall Ru	Junt (
Keara Gillis			Reverther	JUNE 12/11
Adam Armbruster			admithust	j N
To return petition, phone: <sup>s. 22(1)</sup> Personal	and Confidential	Re	sidents Association Mount Plea	asant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A. Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

# WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00. **BENEFITS:**
- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
GARY ROPER	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		Gang Roper	June 12/11
JOE FERNAND			Joana	Jun 2/1
Putrick Carleton			Prof	Turne
Mayumi Miyaa.			pareprind.	June /2th/2011
Robert Demorest	-		R. Demout	· , '
WARREN WALKER			lai	и
BARBARAHENDERSO			Btenderson	JuneR
CHILLIS BAUDAT			In Sandat	i.
Myrne Ross			me.	4
Gencarlo Muro.			han por.	
To return petition, phone <sup>s. 22(1)</sup> Personal a	and Confidential	Re	sidents Association Mount Ple	asant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A. • Public and private views of the mountains would be lost. WE REQUEST:
- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00. **BENEFITS:**
- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

#### PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY \* MANDATORY

R

\*PRINT NAME \*ADDRESS **PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)** \*SIGNATURE \*DATE Antok . 22(1) Personal and Confidentia obdean pur ≫ 12 × DAM ASTEN 61211 pmic h ARKER 4 Im Un ιl elaneu .06 To/return petition, phone: s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential **Residents** Association Mount Pleasant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A. Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

# WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00. **BENEFITS:**
- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
Cheryl Pozacalla.	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		aller	r/6/n
Florence Osubranie			Debae	12/6/11
teun young			Part	26/11/11
Jaime Danike			0-0-	06/11/11
Dan Teens			honor	-06/11/11
OKGona Nemi Jo Hay				64/1/11
VINCENZO GIANBAL	•		mela	6/ 11/ 11
Brooke (any			BSS	62/11/11
Jimmy Quigley			1-270	6/11/1)
Nick Pribilovin			Do XA	G/11/11
To return petition, phone: <sup>s. 22(1) Personal</sup>	and Confidential	Res	dents Association Mount Plea	Isant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A. Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

# WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00. **BENEFITS:**
- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
SANDRA SPANGLER	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		Adda	8 JUNEZOIL
Vlad Ghelesel	-		Vihelesel	June8/11
JONFL ( PRINTER			JC	060911
Amylam			Cit	que 8/11
Pete Cronin			Actorio	June 9/11
George Ilsley			han	9 June 2011
Sech Elive			NRS.	9 Jun/11
JEANNINE ISTUANTY			Jame dons_	9 Jun/11
Allison Schneider			Jensedi	9/06/11.
Barb Duncan To return petition. phone: 5. 22(1) Personal	and Confidential		BAD dents Association Mount Plea	9/6/11



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.

\* MANDATORY

- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A.
  Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

#### WE REQUEST:

PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00. **BENEFITS:**
- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optiona	i) *SIGNATURE	*DATE
Melissa bandura s.	22(1) Personal and Confidential		This h	June 8/11
Mary Coll			M lloo	8/06/11
Karen Tsang			the tono	June 8/11
Juliana Pereira			Heniz D.	JUNE 8/11
Inderit Waraich				Jene & II
Marisa, Pahl.			myprile Paul	June 8/1
Shahea Lawreng			Hoe la	Some #/1
NATOMI STELABORG			My.	Love 7/11
Xandra Grayson			Jada	June 8/11
MAMABM KOGH INWRH To return petition, phone: s. 22(1) Personal a	nd Confidential		Residents Association Mount Plea	Jun 8/11



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A. Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

### WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00. **BENEFITS:**
- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

### PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY \* MANDATORY

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
Alicia Yaung s.	22(1) Personal and Confidential		anyon	June 8/11
De Penney			Menney	Jure 8/2011
JARa Huosa			1	JUNI- 8,201,
ALYSHA PILLAY			here	line los / 11
Sandy Silva			Landy silva	J June 10/1
Evan Bates			GBalls.	June 10/11
KENT MCALISTER			21 Augustica -	JUNE 10/11
RAIG MEQUE				JUNE 10/11
EONARD JOSEPH			Fund grept	17 June 11 ad
CHRIS FREDERICK			Canil	Vana 10/1
To return petition, phone: s. 22(1) Personal an	la Confidential	Resi	dents Association Mount P	leasant



1

- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A. Public and p
  - Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

# WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00. **BENEFITS:**
- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
P.R. Gully	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		1+ R-11-	12.06.11
L. LESLIE		\	Lhesti	12/06/201
S. MILROY			Sulm	1Ú
Sean Williams			COLAR	12/06/11
Anjula Joshi			Stoni	Le
- Mio Dennis			A	),
allarie St			Ubarrior	12/00/11
Linda HUMP			Au	12/01/1
CONRAD KING			12	12/06/1
Jennifer Allan	nd Confidential		All la	12/6/11
To return petition, phone: <sup>s. 22(1)</sup> Personal a		Resid	dents Association Mount P	leasant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A. Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

# WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00. **BENEFITS:**
- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
JOHIN GARDNER	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		auh. Ach	6/12
JAMES LADAN	-		Dar	6/12/11
Tina Teeninga			Shia Conig	6/12/11
LIZ ROERNER			Koernen	6/12/4
Heid Schaub			Achzub	6/12/11
Mike In	-		N JA	6/2/11
JAMES ANDREWS			Sames andrens 12	the fire 2011
(phenshlamp	_		lation ,	
KERRY MANNING	L		Kota Jager	-6/12/11
ED BERWICK			Of Ray	<i>[1]</i>
To return petition, phone: <sup>s. 22(1) Personal</sup>	and Confidential	- Resi	dents Association Mount Plea	sant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A. Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

# WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00.

# **BENEFITS:**

- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
S. Thurs	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		1 and the second	June 17/2011
DEBORAH NEHEK			Arle.	June 17/11
Mary F Hill			Monthill	Jue 17/4
T.M. TLIONISON			An m	Jun 17/11
Peran Ritchie			I Br	June 17/11
Mike Sigari				Jue/17/1
Annela Bacelia			LA Refor	Sure/17/11
Sarah Chesterman			MAN	Ine 17/11
Chanda Stallmon			R	June 17/11.
Krista Johnston			4 Michistr	June 17/1
To return petition, phone: s. 22(1) Persona	l and Confidential	Res	idents Association Mount Ple	asant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A. Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

### WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00. **BENEFITS:**
- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)		*DATE
JEFF HARRISON	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		Confa-	JUNE 17/11
L'ydic Beeseler			Jugher Beeersel	Jane 17/2011
Maria ales	-		Maria ahris	Jeens 17. 111
A. Rose.			Id Robe	June 17/1
Rob Taylor	_		heley Tay	Ju (7/1
HLUNDY			1 Lienty	Jen 17/201
E.LUNDY			3lite O	JUNE17/10
K. HAJOUJI				i.
PGORMLEY			<u> </u>	Ine 17 11
Caleen Lynch			( Ann	June Mizal
To return petition, phone: s. 22(1) Persona	ir and Confidential	Re	esidents Association Mount Ple	asant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A. Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

### WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00.

### **BENEFITS:**

- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
EDEN PIORQUE	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		$\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{Q}}$	6/17/11
1<121STNA JOTIC			Rustin A.	S/17/11
Glingley			July -	61.7/11
ARTHUR BROWN			ather Brown	617111
RAMUNA BRO			Ramona Bro	wh 17/11
Inco VILLON			15	06/17/W
Jer Williams			15. WP MULLY	06/17/1
Larissa While			bruch	06/17/11
Kale Weghes			Libb	06/17/11
Tae Kyung Sung			In	06/17/11
To return petition, phone: s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential			idents Association Mount Ple	asant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A.
  Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

#### WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00. **BENEFITS:**
- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
Jacinta Sheridan	2(1) Personal and Confidential		om Sa	June 8/20
Ardred Spiel			ARE	Xmc 9/11
CHRIS SPOTZL				Scen 8/1
alcessa Epstein			12 rd	Jue 8/1
Murielspenier			ca 1	11 1
JD Murray			And	Jne 8 au
ADIN (VEIDGWHY			1227	- June 8,201
Any Schultz			aught	June9/
Veronica McGhe			V	Tune 15/1
JIM EASTE To return petition. phone:s. 22(1) Personal and			JIM EASTE	JUNE 19/11



Residents Association Mount Pleasant

- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A.
  Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

### WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00. **BENEFITS:**
- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
GREG GODFREY	2(1) Personal and Confidential		Cedo	JUNE 9, 2011
HEATHER FROST			Hobefrot	91.1.1
Erin Ames			Ecmo,	JURG /11
Mike Narrak			Mon	June 9/11
Jillian Cooper			Alaper O	June 9/11
Allison Kuhl			ozen.	June 9/11
An Sinclain			Am Snelain	June 9/11
Bronwen Payerle			Grayde	June 9/11
Mini Maharlich			N. Mal	Tre 9/1
KEN PALFREY	Confidential		ion 744	June 14/11
To return petition. phone: <sup>s. 22(1)</sup> Personal and	Connuential	Res	idents Association Mount Ple	easant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A. • Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

# WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00.

# **BENEFITS:**

- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
COURTNEY KOMONAGEY	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		Manley	Un19/11
SHERMAN YEE				JUN 19/11
RISA SKURNIK			Find	June 19/11
DAVEPOCAN			- chungh	ern
CHRISTIAN PAUL			MCHE	INE 19/11
MYKE KRESL			charles	Jun zoki
Join-Parl Facbert			l'ar	Ju 19/11
Marilyn Kynaston			Mkimast	June 19/11
Tyson Haven Kurt			Kinto	Jue (9/11
Carolina Joo			HAD .	Jun 191
To return petition, phone: s. 22(1) Personal	l and Confidential	- F	esidents Association Mount Ple	asant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A.
  Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

# WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00. **BENEFITS:**
- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE	
JOE KINGOON	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		Joe la=	Jun 191	li
Shelley MacEachern			h	Tu 19	1,
Legoy-Sue Gilbert			M. pupper	Jun. 19/1	f
Losz CHAR			- CARA	UNZ-13/1	
josef acode			Wal Jun	Jun 19/1	1
Kimberly MrAPee			(HAR)	dune 19/11	
BALAN THOMSON			12 T	Jac 19/11	
Jogo logg			fojo Lopa	fine 19,20	1)
James Duny			1/ Mig	10 21	
Jeff Curry			-	19 Jun	\(
To return petition, phone. <sup>s. 22(1)</sup> Personal	and Confidential	Re	Sidents Association Mount Plea	asant /	-



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A.
  Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

### WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00. **BENEFITS:**
- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY * MANDATORY						
*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE		
Katrin Bauth	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		Balle	June 19/1		
Aebera Gutilrez			Relations	June 19/11		
JOYLE MUNGÉR			Jonanno	June 19/1		
SOAMUS MUNDS			ha	- June 19/11		
Susan Jardine			Stindine	Jun 19/11		
5. Arzchi Diand			Aprohitald	ו או יול יונצ		
Carleen Kyle			Clober	Juc 13/ 11		
Kathy Band			KB	Kne 19/11		
WENDY PORTER			Thendy L. Cortes	une 19/11		
IRENE THORNE	and Confidential		fight	2 e 19,		
To return petition, phone: s. 22(1) Personal	and Confidential	Re	esidents Association Mount Ple	asant		



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A. • Public and private views of the mountains would be lost. WE REQUEST:
- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00. **BENEFITS:**
- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
TRINA BEAN	. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		Jong	June 19/1
JENNHIFENGANLOUGH				June 13, 11
Jennihr lanson				June 19/11
N. Grunberg				July 8/11
NIKEL ZEKAS			indicetas	JULYJI
Jo Canny				L.,
Patrick Johnston			OPet phys	June 19/4
Ken Lateur			il con	Jue 9/14
South Rehelph			A	June 19/1
MELANIE LAWRENCE			M. Larence	June 19/11
To retumpetition, phone: s. 22(1) Personal ar	nd Confidential	- R	esidents Association Mount Plea	





- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A.
  Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

# WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00. **BENEFITS:**
- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

# PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY \* MANDATORY **\*PRINT NAME** \*ADDRESS PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional) \*SIGNATURE \*DATE . 22(1) Personal and Confidential SALLY WONG Jun 19/11 ۶ų UNGIA mAon IN 19 To return petitioh, phone: **Residents Association Mount Pleasant**



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A.
  Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

# WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00. **BENEFITS:**
- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY * MANDATORY							
*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE			
STEPHEN BOHUS	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		Stephen Bolis.	JUNE 19/11			
TiBendera			1. Bendera	Fern (9/11			
Davielle Ray mond			pumarel	и ч.			
MICOLA WARSON			h	Jun 19/11			
NIM MC GUIRE			Nich Maniper	3W/19			
Lucas Pinheiro				//			
Andwer (rawford)			m Mark m	June 19/11			
Trevor Leach			Fren Den	1			
Shelley Marchaul			SIL	June 19/1			
Jaun Tan To return petition, phone: s. 22(1) Personal	and Confidential	Re	esidents Association Mount Plea	June 19/11			
,		,					



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A. Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

# WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00.

# **BENEFITS:**

- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
KEUIN O'NEILL	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		Valle	June 19,201
JENNA BOLMA			la	JUNE 19/204
PAULA BUNTETTE			pulesto	06/19/11
Mallory Harwardt			At	06/19/1
PAUL ABERNETHY			Plais Cant	6/19/11
Tal Fleerackers			14flater	6/19/11
LEILAN WONG			Lehhang	6/19/11
Elaine Kanigan			phing a	6/19/11
Poter Colenbrander			hhlas	19 June Zoll
Jesse Cameron			CAG 9	Sume 19
To return petition, phone: s. 22(1) Personal	and Confidential	Res	idents Association Mount Plea	asant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A. Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

# WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00. **BENEFITS:**
- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
Jahn Thismosop	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		JdR14	June 19/11
TOBY ARMIT			" Tellott	J.m/9/
Aminda Ranbro			after	tine 19/1
BRAD SCARBRO				JUNE 19/1
CRAHMY STAMIS				JUNEASh
Steve Stakiu			Aet.	Juvely/11
Dos GARDARA			6	Jue 12/11
Carol Bullen			Zeol Bellen	
POGHIMMINE Shever			hin	June 19/11
MARC STEWART		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	MAC-	June 19/11
To return petition, phone: s. 22(1) Personal	and Confidential	· Re	sidents Association Mount Ple	asant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A.
  Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.
  WE REQUEST:
- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00. **BENEFITS:**
- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY \* N

\* MANDATORY

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	/ *SIGNATURE	*DATE
Brian Berg	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		Dim	June 19/11
Audrez Berz			andre De	June (7)
Addina Bodd			UMPodd O	June 9 11
Emma Wilson			Emma Wilson	June 19/2
Lindson Routin				June 19 11
RexLainto				June 19/1
Sally Obis				June 191
JI OD PITON			X	Ju 19,1
Mitine Kadlo		-	Marallo,	Anger
Henry Watson	and Confidential		They Wate	Su 1911
To return petition, phone s. 22(1) Personal		Resi	dents Association Mount Plea	sant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A. Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

# WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00.

# **BENEFITS:**

- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
Moanatertia	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		Mortig	Judg, 19th
Tom Neutoen			Hunher!	ee e
Robert Melroin			Quarty Ukr	Jampe 19
Andrez McLeist			C. M.C.	June 9
Chris Ventour			Clin	June 9
5 Leve may			An	June 19
In Hoas			u A	n
Jackyn Deacon			Slacon	June 19
Dariord			t	-11
Stephanie Laverdu			ete	June 19
To return petition, phone: s. 22(1) Personal	and Confidential	}esi	dents Association Mount Plea	sant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A. Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

# WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00.

# **BENEFITS:**

- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
BELINDA HILL	. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		Boxan	6/19/11
DOMINIC BATT			r B.H	6/19/11
Ben Merry		(	672-	6/19/11
J. JOLY			TAX	6119/11
SARAHOTRANGÉ		G		6/19/11
Eveline Xia			Inter	919/11
Sebastian Merz			Sille,	6/19/11
Josna Rap			an	6/19/11
Annunar in Eradle			Africa	6/19/11
Marita Bradley			MAD	6/19/11
To return petition, phone: s. 22(1) Personal	and Confidential	Res	idents Association Mount Plea	əsant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.

• It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.

 It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A. • Public and private views of the mountains would be lost. WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00. **BENEFITS:**
- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY * MANDATORY						
*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE		
Charlos Mah	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		Charpore	JUNE 19/1		
APRIL WILSON			- All	JUNGII		
Pavil Skeik			A	June 4		
Vanille Serit			the abort	Jule 19.		
James Sabourin			Yang mouto	JUNE 19/1		
John AitRen			Howard	Sur rafy		
LANKEN BONCHARD			1 all	rimiall		
Senny Peng			Scorpene	4		
Woland	+		work of	JUNE 19		
To return petition, phone: S. 22(1) Personal	and Confidential	Pasi	m	June 19		
		Kesi	idents Association Mount Ple	asant		



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A. Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

### WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00.

# **BENEFITS:**

- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)		*DATE
PPuki s.	22(1) Personal and Confidential		1 alton V ju	06/09/11
5. Swanson			Kun durin	06/09/11
KEVIN ROMAIN			12mi	06/07/11
ERIN NEELY			5 Nel	06/19/11
LucyLopez			Libre D	06/19/1
Kerry Wannell			Karter	06/19/1
Seane Ernis			Jean	06/19/11
Lawra Howell			A	06/19/11
Bahiyyih Peters			2 Peters	06/19/11
Josh Peters			5 letas	06/19/11
To return petition, phone: s. 22(1) Personal ar	nd Confidential	Re	sidents Association Mount Ple	asant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A. Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

### WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00.

# **BENEFITS:**

- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
Suzane Form	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		m 22	June 19/201
Whan durch			( Bandura	June 19/2011
C. Benlina			Car Bondum (	Jan 17/2011
Jiburton			4Kg	
P. Los-Ber			In T	h
K. MARSH			Abbh.	June 19/11
B. Rampon			Kting C	June 19/11
L-Callan			A refr	
D GREFF				Jun 19/11
Alextu	<u>نــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ</u>	K		m í
To return petition, phone: s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential esidents Association Meunt Pleasant				



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A. Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

### WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00.
  BENEFITS:
- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
Susan Stepanohuk	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		M	Ine 19/11
Mike Smith			m 4.5,15	(1
Machenaud Virgile			the !	
RYAN R.			NAO	ι/
Nichole Dusyk			Autrol Dresy	Jun 18,204
Jon Parakonoshi			Office.	1/
Curtis			Juritz Gow	ų
Polix Schashberg			Whe Astruly	
Notasina another			all	11
Christian thomsen			OWETnuit.	((
To return petition, phone s. 22(1) Personal a	ind Confidential	Re	sidents Association Mount Plea	asant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A. Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

### WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00.

# **BENEFITS:**

- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

	*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE
1	Thomas Pichett	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		Traffit	June 19 2011
	Andre Liem	-		Juli	Junte 19 2011
	Forre GRM	_		Must	Juise Fi Zer 1
	Munici Grenier			A,	June 18. Kerry
A	let Schollen			A	sore 15 11
	). Kmpylu			Es Konphe	Puno 192011
Se	don Merch	-			Ine 19/11
A.S	teven Neumann			HILLE	June 9/11
D. P	·Collins			ND Plell	June 19/11
A	( Dupeont			Aly Dupont	June 19/1
To return	n petition, phone: s. 22(1) Personal	and Confidential	Res	sidents Association Mount Plea	asant



- It does not preserve Mount Pleasant's historic character. It will destroy the human-scale feeling of the area.
- It sets a precedent that will lead to more high-rise projects of this magnitude in the neighbourhood.
- It creates loading bays on Watson Street that will negatively impact pedestrians and bikes.
- It would cast long shadows on buildings and the streets to the north. The open space within the project would be shadowed by the tall buildings.
- It does not respect the City's guidelines for C-3A. Public and private views of the mountains would be lost.

### WE REQUEST:

- That development be consistent with the current scale of the properties surrounding the "Heritage Heart" of Mount Pleasant.
- That Council consider an increase to the allowed height and density from 9.2 m (30 feet) to no more than 21.3 m (70 feet) with a high-low massing rhythm of up to a maximum 21.3 m (70 foot) tower surrounded by 2 to 4 storey buildings and an increase in the floor space ratio (FSR) from 1.00 to no more than 3.00. **BENEFITS:**
- These recommendations respect the new Mount Pleasant Community Plan to increase height and density while maintaining the look and feel of the area and keeping the human-friendly scale of the buildings surrounding the Heritage Heart of our community.
- If the developer chose wood frame construction it would decrease the cost to build and thereby promote affordability. Wood frame construction is also better for the environment than concrete and glass towers.
- The form of development we are advocating will have less impact on land values, property taxes and rents in the neighbourhood, resulting in less displacement of low-income residents and small businesses.

PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY * MANDATORY						
*PRINT NAME	*ADDRESS	PRINT EMAIL or PHONE # (Optional)	*SIGNATURE	*DATE		
Lorraine Clarke	s. 22(1) Personal and Confidential		Low Black	19 JOA 20011		
Natacha Supavivat			Natache uparint	19 Jan 2011		
SARAH TAMLOR.			S.M.R.	19 Jan 2011		
Sarah Enco			Sand Gro	19 Jone 201		
for blurer			Mac	19/6/111		
JGOLLCHTIM			JGOLIGHTLY	19/6/11		
Anno Warje			Onna Waye	19/6/11		
CHRIS MARSECIA			LA	19/6/11		
UTHEISED Deramy			- Allel	19/6/14		
MICHARL DEMM To return petition, phone: S. 22(1) Personal	and Confidential	- Re	sidents Association Mount Plea	19/6/1/ Isant		

