

PROJECTS BY PETITION
 Court of Revision 629
 April 7, 2009

LATE REPORT FOR COURT 629

REQUEST THE COURT TO MAKE THE FOLLOWING REVISIONS:

Correction to cost sharing and owner support

Schedule	Street	DESIGNATED AREA	From	To	District	City	Estimated Costs	Total	Entitled	Required	Signed	Comments
----------	--------	-----------------	------	----	----------	------	-----------------	-------	----------	----------	--------	----------

PAVEMENT, CURBS, TREES & BULGES - HIGHER ZONED

ORIGINAL:

001	33rd Avenue north side		Puget Drive	Narvaez Drive	South	392,656	151,214	543,870	15	10	10	
-----	---------------------------	--	-------------	---------------	-------	---------	---------	---------	----	----	----	--

and

33rd Avenue south side		Larch Street	Vine Street									
---------------------------	--	--------------	-------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

REVISED

001	33rd Avenue north side		Puget Drive	Narvaez Drive	South	430,457	113,413	543,870	15	10	10	
-----	---------------------------	--	-------------	---------------	-------	---------	---------	---------	----	----	----	--

and

33rd Avenue south side		Larch Street	Vine Street									
---------------------------	--	--------------	-------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Explanation - In accordance with the Local Improvement Procedure Bylaw No 3614, residentially zoned properties abutting an arterial street are entitled to a 25 percent reduction in the assessable rate. The cost sharing between the City and the owners has been adjusted to reflect the arterial street designation of 33rd Avenue which was not initially provided.

There has also been an adjustment in the number of owners supporting the project. Initially, Appendix 1 detailed that one owner having signed the original petition had withdrawn their support resulting in a Petition with insufficient support. Subsequently, a signed letter of support was recently received from an owner that did not sign the original Petition. The project now has the required 2/3 support of owners.

Recommendation - That this project be approved.

PROJECTS BY PETITION
 Court of Revision 629
 April 7, 2009

LATE REPORT FOR COURT 629

REQUEST THE COURT TO MAKE THE FOLLOWING REVISIONS:

Correction to owner support

Schedule	Street	DESIGNATED AREA		District	City	Estimated Costs Property owner	Total	Signatures		Comments	
		From	To					Entitled	Required Signed		
<i>PAVEMENT, CURBS, TREES & BULGES- LOCAL RESIDENTIAL</i>											
ORIGINAL:	003	Selkirk Street	Park Drive	64th Avenue	South	202,321	204,835	407,156	35	24	28
REVISED	003	Selkirk Street	Park Drive	64th Avenue	South	202,321	204,835	407,156	35	24	23

Explanation - There has also been an adjustment in the number of owners supporting the project. Initially, more than the required 2/3 had signed the petition supporting the project. Subsequently 5 owners have withdrawn their support resulting in a petition with insufficient support.

Recommendation - That this project be re-balloted.

PROJECTS BY PETITION
 Court of Revision 629
 April 7, 2009

LATE REPORT FOR COURT 629

REQUEST THE COURT TO MAKE THE FOLLOWING REVISIONS:

Correction to owner support

Schedule	Street	DESIGNATED AREA From	To	District	City	Estimated Costs Property owner	Total	Entitled	Signatures Required	Signed	Comments
----------	--------	-------------------------	----	----------	------	-----------------------------------	-------	----------	------------------------	--------	----------

SPEED HUMPS

ORIGINAL:

018	Lane south of 1st Avenue	Kamloops Street	Penticton Street	North		8,201	8,201	28	19	20	
-----	-----------------------------	-----------------	------------------	-------	--	-------	-------	----	----	----	--

REVISED

018	Lane south of 1st Avenue	Kamloops Street	Penticton Street	North		8,201	8,201	28	19	19	
-----	-----------------------------	-----------------	------------------	-------	--	-------	-------	----	----	----	--

Explanation - The original petition had more than the required 2/3 majority support. Letters from 2 owners withdrawing their support brought the number of owners below the required 2/3 (see Appendix 2). One additional owner has subsequently submitted documentation in support of the project.
 The project now has the required 2/3 support of 19 owners.

Recommendation - That this project be approved.