



REPORT TO COUNCIL
STANDING COMMITTEE OF COUNCIL
ON PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT

JULY 20, 2006

A Regular Meeting of the Standing Committee of Council on Planning and Environment was held on Thursday, July 20, 2006, at 2:25 p.m., in the Council Chamber, Third Floor, City Hall.

PRESENT: Councillor Suzanne Anton, Chair
Mayor Sam Sullivan
Councillor Elizabeth Ball
Councillor David Cadman
Councillor Kim Capri, Vice-Chair
Councillor George Chow
Councillor Heather Deal
Councillor Peter Ladner
Councillor B.C. Lee
Councillor Raymond Louie
Councillor Tim Stevenson

CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE: Judy Rogers, City Manager

CITY CLERK'S OFFICE: Diane Clairmont, Meeting Coordinator

ADOPTION OF MINUTES

The Minutes of the Standing Committee on Planning and Environment meeting of June 29, 2006, were adopted.

INFORMATION

1. **Significant New Rezoning Application - 1409 - 1477 West Pender Street**
(VanRIMS No.: 11-3600-03)

The Committee had before it a description of a Significant New Rezoning Application for 1409 - 1477 West Pender Street, prepared by the Planning Department and submitted for information only.

Phil Mondor, Senior Planner, Rezoning Centre, provided an overview of the process to date in regard to this application, and responded to questions relating to loss of office space.

2. Significant New Rezoning Application - 5475 Dunbar Street, 3625 & 3641 West 39th Avenue (VanRIMS No.: 11-3600-03)

The Committee had before it a description of a Significant New Rezoning Application for 5475 Dunbar Street, 3625 & 3641 West 39th Avenue, prepared by the Planning Department, and submitted for information only.

Phil Mondor, Senior Planner, Rezoning Centre, provided an overview of the process to date in regard to this application and responded to questions relating to increased affordability of the housing component and number of lots.

3. Significant New Rezoning Application - 4887 Cambie Street (VanRIMS No.: 11-3600-03)

The Committee had before it a description of a Significant New Rezoning Application for 4887 Cambie Street, prepared by the Planning Department and submitted for information only.

Phil Mondor, Senior Planner, Rezoning Centre, provided an overview of the process to date in regard to this application.

In response to a question from Council, Mr. Mondor also reviewed the purpose of bringing Significant New Rezoning Applications before Council.

4. Dangerous and Nuisance Building at 1586 East 11th Avenue (VanRIMS No.: 11-4400-10)

This item was withdrawn.

5. Report Back on Development Cost Levies (DCLs) for Residential Developments With Fewer Than Four Units and For Low to Medium Density Housing Forms (VanRIMS No.: 02-3000-11)

The Committee had before it a Policy Report dated June 26, 2006, in which the Director of City Plans sought Council approval for amendments to the City's Development Cost Levies with respect to projects containing fewer than four units and for low to medium density housing forms. The General Manager of Community Services recommended approval.

Thor Kuhlmann, Planner, reviewed the report and responded to questions relating to the impact of lowering the DCL rates, secondary suites, proposed growth in population/housing along with the impact on rates, the timing and intention of the pending City-wide rate review, and the public benefits package review.

MOVED by Councillor Deal

THAT the Committee recommend to Council

- A. THAT Council approve the following amendments to the City-wide, Oakridge-Langara and Cedar Cottage Development Cost Levy by-laws to:
- i) reduce the DCL rate for low to medium density residential development (i.e., up to 1.2 FSR) from \$6.00 to \$1.75 per square foot (\$18.84 per square metre);
 - ii) remove the DCL exemption for residential projects containing fewer than four units and provide that a levy is payable where a building permit authorizes the construction, alteration or extension of a building that will contain fewer than four self-contained dwelling units; and,
 - iii) exempt any residential renovations, which may include additions smaller than 500 square feet (46.5 square meters), in structures containing fewer than four dwelling units

as described in Policy Report *Report Back on Development Cost Levies (DCLs) for Residential Developments With Fewer Than Four Units and For Low to Medium Density Housing Forms*, dated June 26, 2006; and

FURTHER THAT Council instruct the Director of Legal Services to bring forward for enactment amendments to the Cedar Cottage/Welwyn Street Development Cost Levy By-law, Oakridge/Langara Development Cost Levy By-law, and Vancouver Development Cost Levy By-law generally as set out in Appendix B of Policy Report *Report Back on Development Cost Levies (DCLs) for Residential Developments With Fewer Than Four Units and For Low to Medium Density Housing Forms*, dated June 26, 2006.

- B. THAT, Council direct that these amendments A (i) through (iii), be implemented at two different times, as follows:
- i) implement recommendation A(i) immediately (i.e., the DCL rate reduction for residential development up to 1.2 FSR); and
 - ii) implement recommendations A(ii) and A(iii) on February 1st, 2007 (i.e., the DCL for residential projects containing fewer than four units, and exemption for renovations).
- C. THAT Council approve the creation of one permanent full-time Clerk IV position to administer DCL payments at an annual cost of \$51,900 including salary and fringe benefits, plus one time costs of \$5,000, to be added to the Community Services budget without offset beginning in fiscal 2007. This position would be subject to classification by the Director of Human Resources.
- D. THAT staff be directed to take the necessary steps to notify the development industry and affected community stakeholders of the amendments to DCLs.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

6. Replacement of Firehall No. 15 - Public Consultation, Alternate Locations and Options (VanRIMS No.: 14-1000-01)

The Committee had before it an Administrative Report dated July 2, 2006, in which the Director of Facilities Design and Management and the Fire Chief in consultation with the Director of Current Planning, provided Council with information relating to public consultation and sought Council approval on options to retain the existing Firehall No. 15 building. The Fire Chief recommended approval of Consideration A(i); the Director of Planning recommended approval of C(i) and if after reporting back on funding sources, a suitable funding strategy is not identified, recommended C(ii) and D be pursued, and further if Council approved Consideration A, the Director of Planning supported Consideration B. The City Manager recommended approval of A(i), leaving the choice of A(ii) for Council's consideration.

Ken Bayne, Director of Financial Planning and Treasurer, reviewed the report along with Deputy Fire Chief, Bob Smith, and Yardley McNeill, Heritage Planner.

The following spoke in favour of Consideration C(i) as the preferred option (retention of existing building on the current site for incorporation in a new fire facility and staff to report back on final design and cost estimates for a replacement 3-bay fire facility at an additional cost estimated at \$2.8 million):

Adrian Dix, MLA Vancouver Kingsway
Cam Cathcart, Vice-Chair, Vancouver Heritage Commission (brief submitted - on file)
Bobbi Senft
Jackie McHugh
Waldo Brino (brief submitted - on file)

Speakers' comments included:

- many residents in the community care about the historical/heritage importance of the firehall; shows respect and caring about the Fire Department;
- Vancouver Heritage Commission:
 - Firehall No. 15 should be retained as one of only two examples left of Edwardian architecture built in 1913;
 - True Colours Program could be implemented and interior brought back to life;
 - VHC understands need for Vancouver Fire Department to accommodate modern apparatus and their pride in vintage equipment; retention will achieve this;
 - priority should be given to acquire adjacent property, when available;
 - FSR and height should be increased to accommodate 3-bay firehall, if needed;
 - retention for future generations important, City must be good steward of its own heritage;
- acknowledged a new firehall is needed, but if Firehall # 15 torn down, it is gone forever;
- link between history and emergency preparation should be continued;
- Vancouver is a leader in North American fire departments; Firehall # 15 should be kept as a landmark on many levels;

- Renfrew Collingwood vision states heritage buildings should be kept to ensure neighbourhoods keep their identities;
- large population increase in this area; therefore new firehall needed;
- safety/history must be balanced; and
- urge resources be found to preserve firehall and build new one next door.

Janet Leduc, Heritage Vancouver, spoke in favour of Consideration D (staff to report back on final design and cost estimates for a reduced program for a 2-bay firehall and additional funding of up to \$300,000 be approved to stabilize the existing building for interim use, and that Staff report back on long-term uses for the retained building and a source of funding), and if not viable, then Consideration C(i) should be approved. Her comments included:

- building has high heritage value and, if restored, could be upgraded to "A" listing;
- combination of old and new buildings makes a City great; it is important to reflect heritage throughout Vancouver, not just in specific areas e.g. Gastown, Downtown;
- City should take on role of first class stewardship;
- neither Vancouver Heritage nor public knew this building was in danger; therefore, it was not on the heritage "danger" list this year;
- there has been a lack of public consultation on this issue; and
- other options should be explored, including amenity bonus and grants.

Arthur Irving spoke in favour of saving the Firehall, without specifying a preference of options.

Staff, including Rob Jenkins, Assistant Director, Current Planning, responded to questions relating to:

- Vancouver Fire Department Union's fundraising efforts;
- Vancouver Fire Department's preference of the various options;
- status of the proposed computer room;
- parking other than that allocated for apparatus;
- potential for Option 4a which offers a larger addition (not included in package to Council);
- alternate location/cost and City obligations regarding funding in this instance;
- heritage concerns and how heritage preservation would be accomplished;
- status and evaluation of need for 2 and 3 apparatus bays;
- City's increased heritage awareness;
- LEED (environmental) standards;
- potential for public access/use;
- cost of relocation/renovation;
- potential funding allocation from capital plan;
- options to offset costs including density bonusing, Community Amenity Contributions, and grants;
- potential for zoning change and Heritage Revitalization Agreement;
- safety implications relating to the C(i) (Option 4B); and
- status of this building within visioning program, policy guidelines.

At Council's request, Rod MacDonald, President, Vancouver Firefighters Union, provided information relating to the on-going interest of the Union to preserve and occupy the building. He suggested funds may be available to preserve the building for the Union's use; however, this has not been confirmed.

MOVED by Councillor Cadman
THAT the Committee recommend to Council

THAT Council approve retention of the existing Firehall No. 15 building on the current site at 3003 East 22nd Avenue for incorporation in a new fire facility; and

FURTHER THAT Staff to report back on final design and cost estimates for a replacement three-bay fire facility as proposed in the 2006-2008 Capital Plan (see option 4B in Administrative Report *Replacement of Firehall No. 15 - Public Consultation, Alternate Locations and Options* dated July 2, 2006), at an additional cost estimated at \$2.8 million, source of funding to be the Inflation Adjustment allocation in the 2006 - 2008 Capital Plan with the following to be included:

- a) providing space for fire prevention, accommodating this through height modification to acquire additional space; and
- b) investigating the potential for heritage bonusing with the intent to acquire funds to reduce capital cost to the City.

CARRIED
(Mayor Sullivan opposed)

7. Southeast False Creek - Public Realm Plan (VanRIMS No.: 11-2000-14)

The Committee had before it an Administrative Report dated July 6, 2006, in which the Project Manager of Southeast False Creek and Olympic Village, the Director of Current Planning and the General Manager of Engineering Services, sought Council approval of the Public Realm Plan for Southeast False Creek. The City Manager recommended approval.

At the meeting, staff distributed the document *Interpretive Strategy Southeast False Creek* as an Appendix to the Public Realm Plan, dated July 2006.

Jody Andrews, Project Manager, reviewed the report and responded to questions relating to shipping industry artifacts which have been stored for use in public realm.

The following spoke in favour of the Public Realm Plan:

Elaine Stevens, SEFC Stewardship Group
Ron Bain, SEFC Stewardship Group

Speakers' comments included:

- urban agriculture program is a well-balanced, integrated plan encompassing public and development interests;
- proposed plan takes a traditional public realm plan and adds social and other activities; should be considered an evolving document in which all components can be brought together to develop ideas;
- proposed plan could relate to other parts of Vancouver and to the world; and
- time will determine what urban agriculture will mean on the site, new/old ideas will be added and developed.

In response to Council questions, staff commented on the urban agriculture program and how it will be integrated into the overall plan, including terraces and rooftop gardens, and how this plan will be communicated world-wide.

MOVED by Councillor Deal
THAT the Committee recommend to Council

THAT the Public Realm Plan for the area known as Southeast False Creek be approved generally as contained in Appendix A of Administrative Report *Southeast False Creek - Public Realm Plan*, dated July 6, 2006.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Committee adjourned at 6:25 p.m.

* * * * *



CITY OF VANCOUVER

REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES STANDING COMMITTEE OF COUNCIL ON PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENT

JULY 20, 2006

A Regular Meeting of the Council of the City of Vancouver was held on Thursday, July 20, 2006, at 7:00 p.m., in the Council Chamber, Third Floor, City Hall, following the Standing Committee on Planning and Environment meeting, to consider the recommendations and actions of the Committee.

PRESENT:

- Mayor Sam Sullivan
- Councillor Suzanne Anton
- Councillor Elizabeth Ball
- Councillor David Cadman
- Councillor Kim Capri
- Councillor George Chow
- Councillor Heather Deal
- Councillor Peter Ladner
- Councillor B.C. Lee
- Councillor Raymond Louie
- Councillor Tim Stevenson

CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE: Judy Rogers, City Manager

CITY CLERK'S OFFICE: Syd Baxter, City Clerk
Diane Clairmont, Meeting Coordinator

"IN CAMERA" MEETING

MOVED by Councillor Ball
SECONDED by Councillor Lee

THAT Council will go into a special meeting to be called by the Mayor in the week of July 24, 2006, which is closed to the public, pursuant to Section 165.2(1) of the *Vancouver Charter*, to discuss matters related to paragraph(s):

- (a) personal information about an identifiable individual who holds or is being considered for a position as an officer, employee or agent of the city or another position appointed by the city.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Councillor Capri
SECONDED by Councillor Anton

THAT this Council resolve itself into Committee of the Whole, Mayor Sullivan in the Chair.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

1. Report of the Roles, Relationships and Responsibilities Committee (VanRIMS No. 08-2200-20)

On July 18, 2006, Vancouver City Council agreed to postpone consideration of the *Report of the Roles, Relationships and Responsibilities Committee* dated June 2006, to the Regular Council meeting immediately following the Standing Committee Planning and Environment meeting on July 20, 2006.

Accordingly, Council had before it the *Report of the Roles, Relationships and Responsibilities Committee*, dated June 2006, and the motion put forward by Councillor Ball at the July 18, 2006 meeting.

The following motion reflects amendments accepted by the Mover.

MOVED by Councillor Ball

THAT Council adopt in principle the following recommendations submitted by the Roles, Relationships and Responsibilities Review Committee in the *Report of the Roles, Relationships and Responsibilities Review Committee*, dated June 2006, and amended by Council at its July 20, 2006 meeting:

1. THAT Council adopt the governance principles of integrity, accountability, responsiveness, transparency, effectiveness and the rights and responsibilities of citizens to participate in the democratic processes of the City as guiding principles for the structures, processes and practices involved in decision-making, public administration, and public involvement at the City of Vancouver.
2. THAT Council adopt in principle the Code of Conduct and refer it to the City Manager to recommend any necessary changes to ensure compliance with the *Vancouver Charter* and other existing laws and collective agreements.

3. THAT Council request the City Manager determine the feasibility of the development of an independent 3-person panel which would be appointed by Council, to act as advisory to the Mayor on fulfilling his duties respecting the Code of Conduct. This panel would be unanimously appointed by the entire Council. If necessary, the City Manager will recommend amendments to 11.2 to 11.6 of the proposed Code of Conduct; and

FURTHER THAT Council request the City Manager to report back on options, including the proposed independent 3-person panel, for reviewing complaints and recommending action, regarding alleged breaches of the Code of Conduct by the Mayor.

4. THAT Council request that the government of B.C. establish an accessible mechanism for use by the City and citizens to enforce the sections in the *Vancouver Charter* that pertain to conflict of interest.
5. THAT Council refer the proposed Code of Conduct to City affiliated boards and strongly encourage them to adopt it as policy and inform Council within a period of six months.
6. THAT Council adopt the proposed assessment criteria for the evaluation of advisory bodies.
7. THAT each new council establish advisory bodies by June 30th of the year following a civic election, taking into consideration its priorities, established criteria for advisory bodies and available City resources.
8. THAT Council continue the operations of advisory bodies that are integral to City processes on an interim basis during the period between a civic election and the establishment of advisory bodies by each new Council.
9. THAT Council adopt a procedure whereby mandate letters are issued to advisory bodies at the beginning of their terms and acknowledgement letters are issue to mark the end of their term.
10. THAT Council continue the current process for the appointment of advisory body membership.
11. THAT the term of advisory body members' appointments be aligned with the terms of advisory body mandates.
12. THAT Council undertake a careful analysis of each advisory body according to the proposed assessment criteria and make any desired changes to the City's advisory body system by Fall of 2006.

13. THAT Council request the City Manger to provide Council by December 2006 a definition of "Lobbying activities" and "Lobbyists" in the context of a possible corporate policy relating to lobbying and a code of conduct for "lobbyists"; and

FURTHER THAT the City Manager define the issues and concerns which led to this recommendation.

14. THAT the following amendments be made to the *Code of Conduct* outlined in the *Report of the Roles, Relationships and Responsibilities Review Committee*, dated June 2006:

5.3, Page 29 of the Report: Council officials, staff and advisory body members have a duty of fidelity to the City and must refrain from making public statements that are demeaning or disparaging to the individuals responsible for the administration of the City.

8.1, Page 33 of the Report: Staff members enjoy broad political freedoms and should be able to engage in democratic politics with few restrictions. However, such broad freedoms must be exercised so as not to call into question their ability to perform their public duties in a professional and impartial manner.

9.5, Page 34 of the Report: Staff and advisory body members must not accept any gift or personal benefit given because of the individual's position. This includes gifts and personal benefits of a token value such as bottles of alcohol, free or subsidized meals, invitations to social functions organized by groups or community organizations, ties, and scarves.

10.18, Page 36 of the Report: Advisory bodies must not inappropriately direct or influence, or attempt to inappropriately direct or influence, any staff in the exercise of their duties or functions; and

FURTHER THAT this clause be accompanied by a legal definition of "appropriate" and "inappropriate".

11.3, Page 37 of the Report: Alleged breaches in the Code of Conduct by Council officials, and advisory body officials should be reported in writing to the Mayor, and a response to the allegation of breaching of the Code of Conduct will be requested from the Council official or advisory body official accused of breaching the Code of Conduct before a response is considered.

amended

AMENDMENT MOVED by Councillor Deal

THAT the wording of Clause 3 be changed to read:

“THAT Council request City Staff report back on options for establishing an independent person , such as an ombudsman, or group of people, such as a panel, that would consider alleged breaches of the Code of Conduct by the Mayor, members of Council or members of advisory bodies and recommend appropriate actions to take in response to such breaches; and

FURTHER THAT following the determination of the above, the City Manager will recommend amendments to 11.2 to 11.6 of the proposed Code of Conduct.”

LOST

(Councillors, Anton, Ball, Capri, Ladner, Lee, and Mayor Sullivan opposed)

AMENDMENT MOVED by Councillor Deal

THAT the wording of Clause 5 be changed to read:

“THAT Council refer the Proposed Code of Conduct to City-affiliated boards and strongly encourage them to consider adopting it as policy.”

LOST

(Councillors, Anton, Ball, Capri, Ladner, Lee, and Mayor Sullivan opposed)

AMENDMENT MOVED by Councillor Louie:

THAT the following be added to the motion:

“THAT Council request the City Manager implement at the City of Vancouver a whistleblower policy similar to the one adopted by the City of Surrey, by December 31, 2006. The City Manager should also ensure that the policy is implemented at the Vancouver Park Board, Britannia and Ray-Cam Community Centres and other Civic Boards. The policy and its implementation should be done with full consultation with all civic unions and employee associations; and

FURTHER THAT this be referred to City Manager to be reported back as part of the entire Triple R Review.

amended

AMENDMENT TO THE AMENDMENT MOVED by Councillor Ball

THAT THE second paragraph be changed to read "this be referred to the City Manager and Human Resources Department as a stand alone document independent of the Triple R Review."

CARRIED

(Councillors Cadman, Chow, Deal, Louie and Stevenson opposed)

The amendment to the amendment having CARRIED, Councillor Ball's motion as amended was put and CARRIED with Councillors Cadman, Chow, Deal, Louie and Stevenson opposed.

Motion as approved:

THAT Council adopt in principle the following recommendations submitted by the Roles, Relationships and Responsibilities Review Committee in the *Report of the Roles, Relationships and Responsibilities Review Committee*, dated June 2006, and amended by Council at its July 20, 2006 meeting:

1. THAT Council adopt the governance principles of integrity, accountability, responsiveness, transparency, effectiveness and the rights and responsibilities of citizens to participate in the democratic processes of the City as guiding principles for the structures, processes and practices involved in decision-making, public administration, and public involvement at the City of Vancouver.
2. THAT Council adopt in principle the Code of Conduct and refer it to the City Manager to recommend any necessary changes to ensure compliance with the *Vancouver Charter* and other existing laws and collective agreements.
3. THAT Council request the City Manager determine the feasibility of the development of an independent 3-person panel which would be appointed by Council, to act as advisory to the Mayor on fulfilling his duties respecting the Code of Conduct. This panel would be unanimously appointed by the entire Council. If necessary, the City Manager will recommend amendments to 11.2 to 11.6 of the proposed Code of Conduct; and

FURTHER THAT Council request the City Manager to report back on options, including the proposed independent 3-person panel, for reviewing complaints and recommending action, regarding alleged breaches of the Code of Conduct by the Mayor.

4. THAT Council request that the government of B.C. establish an accessible mechanism for use by the City and citizens to enforce the sections in the *Vancouver Charter* that pertain to conflict of interest.

5. THAT Council refer the proposed Code of Conduct to City affiliated boards and strongly encourage them to adopt it as policy and inform Council within a period of six months.
6. THAT Council adopt the proposed assessment criteria for the evaluation of advisory bodies.
7. THAT each new council establish advisory bodies by June 30th of the year following a civic election, taking into consideration its priorities, established criteria for advisory bodies and available City resources.
8. THAT Council continue the operations of advisory bodies that are integral to City processes on an interim basis during the period between a civic election and the establishment of advisory bodies by each new Council.
9. THAT Council adopt a procedure whereby mandate letters are issued to advisory bodies at the beginning of their terms and acknowledgement letters are issue to mark the end of their term.
10. THAT Council continue the current process for the appointment of advisory body membership.
11. THAT the term of advisory body members' appointments be aligned with the terms of advisory body mandates.
12. THAT Council undertake a careful analysis of each advisory body according to the proposed assessment criteria and make any desired changes to the City's advisory body system by Fall of 2006.
13. THAT Council request the City Manger to provide Council by December 2006 a definition of "Lobbying activities" and "Lobbyists" in the context of a possible corporate policy relating to lobbying and a code of conduct for "lobbyists"; and

FURTHER THAT the City Manager define the issues and concerns which led to this recommendation.

14. THAT the following amendments be made to the *Code of Conduct* outlined in the *Report of the Roles, Relationships and Responsibilities Review Committee*, dated June 2006:

5.3, Page 29 of the Report: Council officials, staff and advisory body members have a duty of fidelity to the City and must refrain from making public statements that are demeaning or disparaging to the individuals responsible for the administration of the City.

8.1, Page 33 of the Report: Staff members enjoy broad political freedoms and should be able to engage in democratic politics with few restrictions. However, such broad freedoms must be exercised so as not to call into question their ability to perform their public duties in a professional and impartial manner.

9.5, Page 34 of the Report: Staff and advisory body members must not accept any gift or personal benefit given because of the individual's position. This includes gifts and personal benefits of a token value such as bottles of alcohol, free or subsidized meals, invitations to social functions organized by groups or community organizations, ties, and scarves.

10.18, Page 36 of the Report: Advisory bodies must not inappropriately direct or influence, or attempt to inappropriately direct or influence, any staff in the exercise of their duties or functions; and

FURTHER THAT this clause be accompanied by a legal definition of "appropriate" and "inappropriate".

11.3, Page 37 of the Report: Alleged breaches in the Code of Conduct by Council officials, and advisory body officials should be reported in writing to the Mayor, and a response to the allegation of breaching of the Code of Conduct will be requested from the Council official or advisory body official accused of breaching the Code of Conduct before a response is considered.

15. THAT the following motion be referred to the City Manager and Human Resources Department as a stand alone item independent of the Triple R Review:

"THAT Council request the City Manager implement at the City of Vancouver a Whistleblower policy similar to the one adopted by the City of Surrey, by December 31, 2006. The City Manager should also ensure that the policy is implemented at the Vancouver Park Board, Britannia and Ray-Cam Community Centres and other Civic Boards. The policy and its implementation should be done with full consultation with all civic unions and employee associations."

2. **Vancouver Economic Development Commission - "Guiding Principles"**
(VanRIMS No. 08-3000-11)

On July 18, 2006, Vancouver City Council agreed to postpone discussion on the motion relating to "*Guiding Principles - Vancouver Economic Development Commission*" to the Regular Council meeting immediately following the Standing Committee on Planning and Environment meeting on July 20, 2006.

Councillor Ladner submitted the following amendments to the document *"Guiding Principles - Vancouver Economic Development Commission"*, dated July 2006:

Page 1: Competitive Business Climate

Bullet 2 add italics: "Welcome new businesses *that promote the liveability of Vancouver...*"

Bullet 3 add italics: "Ensure that municipal costs, *including business property taxes*, are competitive...."

Page 2: World Class Industry Clusters

Add Bullet: "*Encourage the growth of post-secondary educational and research institutions to enhance their stature as world-class structures.*"

Page 3: Strategic Infrastructure Investment

Bullet 3: change the wording "goods-movement" to "*movement of goods and services*".

Bullet 5: Revise to read: "*Support development of new approaches to energy generation, distribution and demand management, while ensuring energy costs remain competitive.*"

Page 3: High Quality of Life

Bullet 4: add italics: "Support a thriving *and diverse arts and...*"

Add Bullet: "*Ensure that Vancouver has affordable child care opportunities.*"

Add Bullet: "*Ensure the efficient and convenient movement of people.*"

Page 4: Regional Leadership & Collaboration

Heading: Change to *Regional Collaboration*

Sub-Heading: Change to "*Vancouver will work collaboratively towards regional economic development.*"

Bullet 1: change wording "Provide leadership for" to "*work towards development*".

Page 4 Sustainability

Add Bullet: "*Support businesses that produce local goods for local consumption, decreasing the need for long-distance hauls.*"

Add Bullet: "*Recognize and support the importance of the "Cool Vancouver" goals as vital elements of a healthy, sustainable business climate.*"

MOVED by Councillor Ladner

1. The economic well-being and the long-term economic sustainability of the City of Vancouver is a high priority for City Council;
2. The City of Vancouver does not have an economic development strategy to guide economic development priorities;
3. A clear sense of economic direction will support wider city strategies, planning, policy development and decision-making;

4. Guiding principles provide a framework for sound economic development strategies;
5. City Council has budgeted funds for the Vancouver Economic Development Commission to complete an economic development strategy for the City of Vancouver;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED

THAT City Council adopt the document *"Guiding Principles - Economic Development in the City of Vancouver"*, dated July 2006, as amended at the Regular Council meeting on July 20, 2006, to give direction to the Vancouver Economic Development Commission for its economic development strategy and to inform wider city strategies, planning, policy development and decision-making.

carried

MOVED by Councillor Cadman

THAT the document *"Guiding Principles - Economic Development in the City of Vancouver"*, dated July 2006, be referred to the public for comment and consultation, prior to adoption by Vancouver City Council.

LOST

(Councillors Anton, Ball, Capri, Ladner, Lee and Mayor Sullivan opposed)

AMENDMENT MOVED by Councillor Louie

THAT the resolution be amended by striking out the words "and to" and inserting the words "which will".

LOST

(Councillors Anton, Ball, Capri, Ladner, Lee and Mayor Sullivan opposed)

AMENDMENT MOVED by Councillor Deal

THAT; the resolution be amended by inserting the words "draft document " before "Guiding Principles".

LOST

(Councillors Anton, Ball, Capri, Ladner, Lee and Mayor Sullivan opposed)

The amendments to the motion having LOST, the motion was put and CARRIED with Councillors Cadman, Chow, Deal, Louie and Stevenson opposed.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

Report of Standing Committee on Planning and Environment July 20, 2006

Council considered the report containing the recommendations and actions taken by the Standing Committee on Planning and Environment. Its items of business included:

1. Significant New Rezoning Application - 1409 - 1477 West Pender Street
2. Significant New Rezoning Application - 5475 Dunbar Street, 3625 & 3641 West 39th Avenue
3. Significant New Rezoning Application - 4887 Cambie Street
4. Dangerous and Nuisance Building at 1586 East 11th Avenue - WITHDRAWN
5. Report Back on Development Cost Levies (DCLs) For Residential Developments With Fewer Than Four Units and For Low to Medium Density Housing Forms
6. Replacement of Firehall No. 15 - Public Consultation, Alternate Locations and Options
7. Southeast False Creek - Public Realm Plan

Items 1 to 7

MOVED by Councillor Lee

THAT the recommendations and actions taken by the Standing Committee on Planning and Environment at its meeting of July 20, 2006, as contained in items 1-7, be approved.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

RISE FROM COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Councillor Capri

THAT the Committee of the Whole rise and report.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ADOPT REPORT OF COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

MOVED by Councillor Anton

SECONDED by Councillor Ball

THAT the report of the Committee of the Whole be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

BY-LAWS

MOVED by Councillor Ball
SECONDED by Councillor Capri

1. A By-law to amend Cedar Cottage/Welwyn Street Development Cost Levy By-law No. 7862, Oakridge/Langara Development Cost Levy By-law 7630, and Vancouver Development Cost Levy By-law 8149 regarding developments with less than four units and low to medium density housing forms (By-law No. 9352)

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The Council adjourned at 10:10 p.m.

* * * * *