



CITY OF VANCOUVER

ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT

Date: May 2, 2006  
Author: Henry Woo  
Phone No.: 873-7184  
RTS No.: 5905  
VanRIMS No.: 05-1400-20  
Meeting Date: May 25, 2006

TO: City Services and Budgets Committee  
FROM: General Manager of Corporate Services/Director of Finance  
SUBJECT: 2006 Basic Capital Budget

RECOMMENDATION

- A. THAT the allocation of 2006 Capital from Revenue funding of \$17.4 million to the 2006 Capital Budget be as follows:

Engineering	\$ 3,255,000
Community Service Initiatives	\$ 5,015,000
Civic Property Management	\$ 2,450,000
Other	\$ 2,180,000
Supplementary Capital	\$ 1,000,000
Inflation Adjustment and Debenture Discount	<u>\$ 3,500,000</u>
Total	\$17,400,000

Engineering, Parks & Recreation Facilities, and Supplementary project/program approval included in accompanying reports.

- B. THAT the project/program expenditures in the Community Service Initiatives, Civic Property Management, Public Safety, Library Facility and Other components of the 2006 Basic Capital Budget as summarized in this report and detailed in Appendix 2 be approved with the source of funding to be:

	<u>Capital from Revenue</u>	<u>Borrowing Authority</u>	<u>Development Cost Levies</u>
Community Services Initiatives	\$ 5,015,000	0	\$ 5,000,000
Civic Property Management	\$ 2,450,000	\$ 2,455,000	0
Public Safety	0	\$ 2,760,000	0
Library Facility	0	\$ 2,650,000	0
Other	\$2,180,000	0	0
Inflation Adjustment and Debenture Discount	\$3,500,000	0	0

- C. THAT the transfers of Capital Funds, as detailed in Appendix 3, for various capital account closeouts, be approved.

## COUNCIL POLICY

It is Council policy to identify capital funding priorities in three year Capital Plans. These Plans and the associated Financing Plan are developed such that the plan and necessary borrowing authority can be put to the voters at the time of triennial civic elections.

## PURPOSE

This report is one of four which seek approval of the programs and projects in the 2006 Capital Budget and their funding sources:

- This report provides an overall summary of the 2006 Capital Budget and the sources of funding for the program and seeks Council approval for the Community Service Initiatives, Civic Property Management, Public Safety, Library Facility and Other components;
- The 2006 Engineering Basic Capital Budget report reviews the capital expenditure program for Streets, Sewers and Waterworks and seeks Council approval of necessary borrowing and other funding sources; and close out of completed capital projects;
- The 2006 Park Board Basic Capital Budget report reviews the capital expenditure program for the Park Board and seeks Council approval of necessary borrowing and other funding sources; and close out of completed capital projects;
- The 2006 Supplementary Capital Budget seeks Council approval of the allocation of Supplementary Capital funding to specific project expenditures.

**BACKGROUND**

The Capital Plan forms the framework within which annual capital budgets are prepared. The two components of the annual capital budget are:

- Basic Capital, which includes project/program - specific items that were included in the Capital Plan, and
- Supplementary Capital, which includes items which had not been anticipated in the Capital Plan but which have become a priority during the course of the Plan.

The Capital Plan approved in October 2005, totaling \$355.47 million, guides the development of annual capital budgets in 2006, 2007 and 2008. Funding for the plan is derived from borrowing authority approved by plebiscite (\$170.57 million) and by Council for sewer and water projects (\$129.30 million), and a provision of \$55.6 million Capital from Revenue. In addition, the 2006-2008 Capital Plan includes funding of \$33.575 million from Development Cost Levies and \$2.2 million from Community Amenity Contribution (Park pay-in-lieu), which brings the total City Funding for 2006-2008 Capital Plan to \$391.24 million.

Council has approved the following programs in advance of the 2006 Capital Budget:

	Project	Funding
1	Street Rehabilitation Projects *	\$2,000,000
2	Sidewalk Reconstruction Program *	\$1,000,000
3	Woodward's Off-site Civil works	\$1,090,000
4	Grandview-Woodland Traffic Calming	\$80,000
5	Canoe Creek Sanitary Trunk - Tunnel Section	\$1,142,537
6	Rationalize Responsibilities of Grandview Cut Slope	\$300,000
7	2006 Traffic Signals Program *	\$1,266,500
8	2006 Park Capital	\$2,230,000
9	Community Legacy Projects - Park Board	\$3,500,000
		<u>\$12,609,037</u>

\* funding for these projects have been approved in advance of 2006 Capital Budget, the remaining projects are subject to approval of the 2006 Capital Budget.

Funding for these projects has been included in the recommendations in this report and in the accompanying Engineering and Park Board Capital Budget Reports.

## DISCUSSION

### 1. Funding for the 2006 Basic Capital Budget.

The following table summarizes the total funding for the 2006 - 2008 Capital Plan, and the funding recommendation of this report and the accompanying reports from the City Engineer and Park Board. The table also shows the proposed funding allocation for 2006.

(\$'000)	Capital Plan Summary*	Proposed 2006 Budget Allocation	Unallocated funding for 2007 and 2008
Capital from Revenue:	55,600	17,400	38,200
Capital from DCL Funding	33,575	12,000	21,575
Capital from CAC Funding	2,200	1,600	600
<b>Debenture Authority:</b>			
Plebiscite 2006 - 2008			
Capital Plan	135,570	41,295	94,275
Community Legacy Projects	35,000	3,500	31,500
Sewer	74,430	27,912	46,518
Water	<u>54,870</u>	<u>15,194</u>	<u>39,676</u>
Total Debentures	299,870	87,901	211,969
<b>Total Funding - see appendix 1A</b>	<b>391,245</b>	<b>118,901</b>	<b>272,344</b>
<b>External Funding</b>	-	<u><b>20,401</b></u>	-
<b>Gross City Capital Budget - see appendix 1B</b>		<u><b>139,302</b></u>	

\*adjusted to include DCL/CAC funding

A summary of the projects/programs and funding in the 2006 - 2008 Capital Plan and the allocation to the 2006 Capital Budget is included in Appendix 1A. External funding sources are added to the 2006 Capital Budget to show the City's Gross Capital Budget for 2006 as shown in Appendix 1B. Appendix 2 provides additional details about the projects/programs funded in the Community Service Initiatives and Other categories of the 2006 Capital Budget. Details of the Engineering and Park Board capital budgets are included in accompanying reports.

As noted in the table, \$87,901,000 of the funding for the 2006 Basic Capital Budget comes from debenture authority, including \$44,795,000 from plebiscite authority, and \$43,106,000 from authority approved by Council for sewer and water programs.

The 2006-2008 Capital Plan includes City-Wide Development Cost Levy (DCLs) funding totalling \$33,575,000 (Parks, Engineering, Daycare and Housing) and Community Amenity Contribution (CAC) funding of \$2.2 million. The 2006 Basic Capital Budget includes \$12 million of DCL funding (\$4.65 million for the Park Board, \$2.35 million for Engineering and \$5.0 million for Community Service Initiatives) and \$1.6 million CAC funding for Park.

## **2. Funding for the 2006 Supplementary Capital Budget**

The 2006 - 2008 Capital Plan includes provision for \$3.0 million in Supplementary Capital expenditures to be funded from the Operating Budget (Capital from Revenue) over the three years of the plan. The 2006 Supplementary Budget funding is \$1,000,000.

The allocation of these funds to specific projects has been submitted as an accompanying report.

### **COMMENTS ON THE BASIC CAPITAL BUDGET REQUESTS**

#### **1. Engineering Basic Capital Budget**

The 2006 - 2008 Capital Plan includes provision of \$220,950,000 for Engineering Public and Water Works projects. The 2006 Engineering Basic Capital Budget, totaling \$77,341,000, is submitted in an accompanying report which includes the recommendations necessary to provide funding for identified projects.

The City Engineer has requested borrowing and revenue funding totaling \$74,991,000 which fits within the 2006 - 2008 Capital Plan allocation. This funding is provided from 2006 - 2008 borrowing authority approved by plebiscite (\$28,630,000) and by Council for sewer and water (\$43,106,000), and from capital from revenue (\$3,255,000). In addition, DCL funding of \$2,350,000 is requested, which brings the total 2006 city funding for Engineering Capital to \$77,341,000. With funding from external sources of \$19,801,000, the gross 2006 Capital Budget for Engineering Capital Budget becomes \$97,142,000.

#### **2. Park Board Capital Budget**

The 2006 - 2008 Capital Plan includes provision of \$35.77 million for the Park Board, of which \$11.05 million is allocated to the 2006 Park Board Basic Capital Budget and the details are submitted in an accompanying report. Funding for the 2006 Basic Capital budget is provided from plebiscite authority (\$4,800,000), DCL funding (\$4,650,000) and Community Amenity Contribution (\$1,600,000).

In addition, Park Board has allocated \$600,000 from the funding received from the Canada BC Infrastructure Program towards the VanDusen Garden project, bringing the gross 2006 Park Capital Budget to \$11.65 million.

### 3. Community Legacy Projects - City Share

The 2006 -2008 Capital Plan includes provision of \$35 million to allow the City to advance capital projects from future Capital Plans to take advantage of opportunities to access cost-shared funding from senior governments and the Vancouver Olympic Organizing Committee. The projects to be covered are the practice ice rinks at Killarney and Trout Lake and the new Percy Norman Pool. Due to the urgency to deliver these projects in a timely manner, Park Board has requested \$3.5 million funding in advance of the 2006 Capital Budget approval for design tendering of the two ice rinks.

### 4. Community Services Initiatives

The 2006 - 2008 Capital Plan includes funding of \$26,195,000, for projects related to social and cultural facilities owned by the City and by others. These cover upgrading or relocation of social service, daycare and cultural facilities, grants to social and cultural organizations for capital upgrades to their facilities, a contribution to the Affordable Housing Fund; funding for the Downtown Eastside initiatives and Heritage Façade Rehabilitation program and continuation of the Public Art program.

Also included in the 2006-2008 Capital Plan is provision of \$ 5 million for the Queen Elizabeth Theatre Re-development and \$10 million for Cultural Reinvestment/Olympic Legacy Fund that can be accessed for renewal or upgrading of major cultural facilities or the provision of other Olympic Legacies in the City when senior government or community partner funding can be leveraged.

The 2006 Capital Budget includes funding of \$10,015,000 allocated to the following projects/programs:

Affordable Housing - New Neighbourhoods	\$5,175,000
Non Market Housing Upgrades	\$ 60,000
Social Service and Cultural Facilities	\$1,830,000
Capital Grants	\$ 150,000
Civic Public Art Program	\$ 300,000
Emerging Neighbourhood Initiatives	\$1,500,000
Cultural Reinvestment/Olympic Legacy Fund	\$1,000,000

Funding for the 2006 Capital program is provided from Capital from Revenue (\$5,015,000) and Development Cost Levies (\$ 5,000,000). Departments will report back to Council upon allocation of funds to specific projects.

### 5. Public Safety

The 2006 -2008 Capital Plan provides \$4.6 million for the construction of Firehall #15, of which \$0.5 million is requested in 2006 with funding provided from the plebiscite authority. A report from the General Manager of Fire and Rescue Services and the Director of Facilities Design and Management will be forwarded in the near future detailing the funding issues related to this project.

The 2006-2008 Capital Plan includes provision of \$19.5 million for the Police department to cover the high priority capital projects (construction of replacement facility for physical evidence storage, re-location of the Dog Squad, and major maintenance /upgrade of existing VPD office space). The 2006 Capital Budget includes allocation of \$2.26 million to cover the preliminary phase of the Evidence Storage Facility and the upgrade of existing VPD office space.

## 6. Other

The Other Category of the Capital Plan includes allocations totaling \$21.23 million for Library facilities planning and projects, maintenance of the City Hall Precinct and other civic facilities, Information Technology, Corporate Risk Data Management system, as well as the Electronic Records and Document Management system.

The 2006 Capital Budget includes allocation of \$9,735,000 with funding provided from plebiscite authority (\$5,105,000) and from Capital from Revenue (\$ 4,630,000). The projects/programs covered are as follow:

Library Facilities	\$2,650,000
Civic Facilities Management/Upgrades	\$4,905,000
Information Technology Maintenance and Expansion	\$880,000
Corporate Risk Data Management	\$300,000
Electronic Record and Document Management System	\$1,000,000

*The \$2,650,000 allocation to Library Facilities is made up of the following:*

- branch renovation \$150,000, which is funded from the plebiscite authority.
- Downtown Eastside/Strathcona Branch site acquisition and planning \$2,500,000, with funding provided from plebiscite authority. Upon finding a suitable location, staff will report back to Council for approval of the property acquisition and the planning of the future branch.

*The \$4,905,000 allocation to Civic Building Management is made up of the following:*

- City Hall and Civic Buildings Major Maintenance Program \$ 2,455,000

The 2006 program includes: City Hall Precinct Flooring (\$705,000), Painting and Exterior (\$785,000), Plumbing (\$180,000), Elevator (\$300,000), Heating/Ventilation (\$185,000), Fire Alarm and Safety (\$200,000), various maintenance/repairs projects (\$100,000).

- Facility Upgrade/Replacement Program \$ 2,450,000

The 2006 program includes: City Hall Precinct Office upgrade (\$550,000), Roof Replacement (\$450,000), Asbestos Mitigation (\$300,000), Non Profit Capital Asset Upgrade (\$700,000) and IT Backup Server Room (\$450,000).

*The \$880,000 allocation to Information Technology program is made up of:*

- SAP Evolution Program Phase 2 \$700,000

The major projects for 2006 are to establish the SAP Netweaver environment, implement the SAP data copy tool, implement/configure the SAP Real estate module and complete the rollout of the Manager Desktop functionality.

- Police Desktop Infrastructure \$180,000

The project is to bring the ratio of computers to officers to an appropriate level to meet the growing needs of dedicated desktop (rather than shared workstations) due to the changes in police role and business practices.

The other special projects included in this category are:

- Corporate Risk Data Management \$300,000

The 2006-2008 Capital Plan provides \$600,000 for the Corporate Risk Data Management program which comprises of three inter-connected projects (Contract/Agreement management, Property/Asset Tracking and Claims Management). The 2006 funding allocation of \$300,000 will cover the initial design and implementation of the Contract/Agreement management project.

- Electronic Records and Document Management \$1,000,000

The 2006 - 2008 Capital Plan includes provision for a number of projects (Electronic Record and Document Management system and the Voting equipment) requested by the City Clerk's office. The 2006 Capital Budget allocates \$1 million to cover the initial development phase of the Electronic Record and Document Management System and the funding is from Capital from Revenue. **Upon scoping the requirements and developing the business case, staff is to report back to council before the end of 2006.**



## 7. Inflation Adjustment and Debenture discount

The 2006 -2008 Capital Plan includes provision of \$10 million for capital project cost inflation adjustments and debenture discount for the approved borrowing authority. The 2006 Capital budget includes allocation of \$3.5 million for this category and the funding is provided from Capital from Revenue. Subsequent allocation to specific capital projects that require additional funding due to cost escalation will be reported to Council for approval.

## CONCLUSION

The 2006 Capital Budget represents the first annual budget arising from the 2006- 2008 Capital Plan and provides for the allocation of funding included in that Plan to individual projects and programs.

\* \* \* \* \*

CITY OF VANCOUVER

Allocation of the 2006-2008 Capital Plan to the 2006 Capital Budget

Appendix 1A

	2006-2008				2006			
	Borrowing \$000's	Revenue \$000's	DCL/CAC \$000's	Total City Funding	Borrowing \$000's	Revenue \$000's	DCL/CAC \$000's	City Budget \$000's
Public Works					D	E	F	
Streets & Bridge Infrastructure	\$27,800	\$0	\$0	\$27,800	\$7,550	\$0	\$0	\$7,550
Pedestrian and Cycling Facilities	\$21,500	\$0	\$4,700	\$26,200	\$8,950	\$0	\$750	\$9,700
Transit and Safety Improvements	\$11,600	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$16,600	\$5,915	\$835	\$1,500	\$8,250
Local Area Improvements	\$13,200	\$0	\$500	\$13,700	\$6,215	\$0	\$100	\$6,315
Communications & Street Lighting	\$0	\$7,050	\$0	\$7,050	\$0	\$2,420	\$0	\$2,420
Sewers	\$74,430	\$0	\$0	\$74,430	\$27,912	\$0	\$0	\$27,912
Yards	\$0	\$300	\$0	\$300	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Total Public Works</b>	<b>\$148,530</b>	<b>\$9,850</b>	<b>\$7,700</b>	<b>\$166,080</b>	<b>\$56,542</b>	<b>\$3,255</b>	<b>\$2,350</b>	<b>\$62,147</b>
Parks & Recreation								
Recreation Facilities	\$8,900	\$0	\$0	\$8,900	\$1,475	\$0	\$0	\$1,475
Land Acquisition	\$0	\$0	\$6,000	\$6,000	\$0	\$0	\$3,000	\$3,000
Park Development	\$6,270	\$0	\$9,950	\$16,220	\$1,825	\$0	\$3,000	\$4,825
Street Trees	\$750	\$0	\$0	\$750	\$250	\$0	\$0	\$250
Debenture & Overhead Charges	\$3,150	\$0	\$750	\$3,900	\$1,250	\$0	\$250	\$1,500
<b>Total Parks &amp; Recreation</b>	<b>\$19,070</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$16,700</b>	<b>\$35,770</b>	<b>\$4,800</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$6,250</b>	<b>\$11,050</b>
<b>Community Legacy Projects (Park Board)</b>	<b>\$35,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$35,000</b>	<b>\$3,500</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$3,500</b>
Public Safety								
Fire - Hall Replacement/Upgrading	\$4,600	\$0	\$0	\$4,600	\$500	\$0	\$0	\$500
Police - Training officer Facility	\$19,500	\$0	\$0	\$19,500	\$2,260	\$0	\$0	\$2,260
<b>Total Public Safety</b>	<b>\$24,100</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$24,100</b>	<b>\$2,760</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$2,760</b>
Community Service								
Affordable Housing	\$0	\$1,000	\$11,200	\$12,200	\$0	\$175	\$5,000	\$5,175
Non Market Housing	\$0	\$200	\$0	\$200	\$0	\$60	\$0	\$60
Emerging Neighbourhood	\$0	\$6,600	\$0	\$6,600	\$0	\$1,500	\$0	\$1,500
City-owned Social Facilities	\$0	\$4,770	\$175	\$4,945	\$0	\$1,830	\$0	\$1,830
Capital Grants	\$0	\$500	\$0	\$500	\$0	\$150	\$0	\$150
Public Art on Capital Projects	\$0	\$1,000	\$0	\$1,000	\$0	\$300	\$0	\$300
Civic Theatres	\$5,000	\$0	\$0	\$5,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Cultural Heritage Building Upgrades	\$0	\$750	\$0	\$750	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Cultural Reinvestment Fund/Olympic Legacy	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$0	\$10,000	\$0	\$1,000	\$0	\$1,000
<b>Total Community Services Initiatives</b>	<b>\$10,000</b>	<b>\$19,820</b>	<b>\$11,375</b>	<b>\$41,195</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$5,015</b>	<b>\$5,000</b>	<b>\$10,015</b>
Other								
Library Facilities Planning	\$3,300	\$0	\$0	\$3,300	\$2,650	\$0	\$0	\$2,650
Information Technology	\$0	\$2,680	\$0	\$2,680	\$0	\$880	\$0	\$880
Corporate Risk Data Management	\$0	\$600	\$0	\$600	\$0	\$300	\$0	\$300
City Clerk's Projects (Records Mgt & Voting Equipment)	\$0	\$5,000	\$0	\$5,000	\$0	\$1,000	\$0	\$1,000
Civic Facilities Maintenance/Upgrades	\$5,000	\$4,650	\$0	\$9,650	\$2,455	\$2,450	\$0	\$4,905
<b>Total Other</b>	<b>\$8,300</b>	<b>\$12,930</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$21,230</b>	<b>\$5,105</b>	<b>\$4,630</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$9,735</b>
Supplementary Capital	\$0	\$3,000	\$0	\$3,000	\$0	\$1,000	\$0	\$1,000
<b>Total - Capital Plan / Capital Budget Funding</b>	<b>\$245,000</b>	<b>\$45,600</b>	<b>\$35,775</b>	<b>\$326,375</b>	<b>\$72,707</b>	<b>\$13,900</b>	<b>\$13,600</b>	<b>\$100,207</b>
Waterworks	\$54,870	\$0	\$0	\$54,870	\$15,194	\$0	\$0	\$15,194
<b>Total Including Waterworks</b>	<b>\$299,870</b>	<b>\$45,600</b>	<b>\$35,775</b>	<b>\$381,245</b>	<b>\$87,901</b>	<b>\$13,900</b>	<b>\$13,600</b>	<b>\$115,401</b>
Inflation Adjustment and Debenture Discount	\$0	\$10,000	\$0	\$10,000	\$0	\$3,500	\$0	\$3,500
<b>Total - Capital Plan</b>	<b>\$299,870</b>	<b>\$55,600</b>	<b>\$35,775</b>	<b>\$391,245</b>	<b>\$87,901</b>	<b>\$17,400</b>	<b>\$13,600</b>	<b>\$118,901</b>

**CITY OF VANCOUVER**  
**Summary of the 2006 Gross City Capital Budget**

**Appendix 1B**

	2006				Gross City Budget \$000's
	Borrowing \$000's	Revenue \$000's	DCL/CAC \$000's	Other Funding \$000's	
	D	E	F	G	
Public Works					
Streets & Bridge Infrastructure	\$7,550	\$0	\$0	\$3,273	\$10,823
Pedestrian and Cycling Facilities	\$8,950	\$0	\$750	\$500	\$10,200
Transit and Safety Improvements	\$5,915	\$835	\$1,500	\$7,167	\$15,417
Local Area Improvements	\$6,215	\$0	\$100	\$1,860	\$8,175
Communications & Street Lighting	\$0	\$2,420	\$0	\$228	\$2,648
Sewers	\$27,912	\$0	\$0	\$5,373	\$33,285
Yards	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Total Public Works</b>	<b>\$56,542</b>	<b>\$3,255</b>	<b>\$2,350</b>	<b>\$18,401</b>	<b>\$80,548</b>
Parks & Recreation					
Recreation Facilities	\$1,475	\$0	\$0	\$600	\$2,075
Land Acquisition	\$0	\$0	\$3,000		\$3,000
Park Development	\$1,825	\$0	\$3,000		\$4,825
Street Trees	\$250	\$0	\$0		\$250
Debenture & Overhead Charges	\$1,250	\$0	\$250		\$1,500
<b>Total Parks &amp; Recreation</b>	<b>\$4,800</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$6,250</b>	<b>\$600</b>	<b>\$11,650</b>
<b>Community Legacy Projects (Park Board)</b>	<b>\$3,500</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>		<b>\$3,500</b>
Public Safety					
Fire - Hall Replacement/Upgrading	\$500	\$0	\$0		\$500
Police - Training officer Facility	\$2,260	\$0	\$0		\$2,260
<b>Total Public Safety</b>	<b>\$2,760</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$2,760</b>
Community Service					
Affordable Housing	\$0	\$175	\$5,000		\$5,175
Non Market Housing	\$0	\$60	\$0		\$60
Emerging Neighbourhood	\$0	\$1,500	\$0		\$1,500
City-owned Social Facilities	\$0	\$1,830	\$0		\$1,830
Capital Grants	\$0	\$150	\$0		\$150
Public Art on Capital Projects	\$0	\$300	\$0		\$300
Civic Theatres	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
Cultural Heritage Building Upgrades	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0
Cultural Reinvestment Fund/Olympic Legacy	\$0	\$1,000	\$0		\$1,000
<b>Total Community Services Initiatives</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$5,015</b>	<b>\$5,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$10,015</b>
Other					
Library Facilities Planning	\$2,650	\$0	\$0		\$2,650
Information Technology	\$0	\$880	\$0		\$880
Corporate Risk Data Management	\$0	\$300	\$0		\$300
City Clerk's Projects (Records Mgt & Voting Equipment)	\$0	\$1,000	\$0		\$1,000
Civic Facilities Maintenance/Upgrades	\$2,455	\$2,450	\$0		\$4,905
<b>Total Other</b>	<b>\$5,105</b>	<b>\$4,630</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$9,735</b>
Supplementary Capital	\$0	\$1,000	\$0		\$1,000
<b>Total - Capital Plan / Capital Budget Funding</b>	<b>\$72,707</b>	<b>\$13,900</b>	<b>\$13,600</b>	<b>\$19,001</b>	<b>\$119,208</b>
Waterworks	\$15,194	\$0	\$0	\$1,400	\$16,594
<b>Total Including Waterworks</b>	<b>\$87,901</b>	<b>\$13,900</b>	<b>\$13,600</b>	<b>\$20,401</b>	<b>\$135,802</b>
Inflation Adjustment and Debenture Discount	\$0	\$3,500	\$0		\$3,500
<b>Total - Capital Plan</b>	<b>\$87,901</b>	<b>\$17,400</b>	<b>\$13,600</b>	<b>\$20,401</b>	<b>\$139,302</b>

*CITY OF VANCOUVER*

*2006*

**CAPITAL BUDGET**

**APPENDIX 2**

**BASIC CAPITAL**

**CITY OF VANCOUVER  
2006 Basic Capital Budget**

## APPENDIX 2

Project	Description	Gross Funding
<b>LIBRARY BOARD</b>		
I1-a/b	Ongoing Renewal of Branch Libraries	150,000
I2	Strathcona/DES branch	2,500,000
		<u>2,650,000</u>
<b>FIREHALL</b>		
J2	Replacement of Firehall # 15	500,000
<b>POLICE</b>		
K1-b	Property and Forensic Storage Facility	1,500,000
K4	Upgrading of Existing Facilities	760,000
		<u>2,260,000</u>
<b>COMMUNITY SERVICES</b>		
	<b>Housing Centre</b>	
L1-a	Woodward's Affordable Housing	5,000,000
L1-b	Affordable Housing - General Fund	175,000
	<b>Non-Market Operations</b>	
L2-b	Gresham and Old Continental Residences Upgrades	60,000
	<b>Social and Cultural Facilities</b>	
N1-a	City-Owned Social Services, Child Care and Cultural Facilities	1,830,000
N1-b	Olympic Legacy and Cultural Reinvestment Fund	1,000,000
N2	Capital Grants	150,000
N4	Public Art Program	300,000
	<b>Emerging Neighbourhoods</b>	
O1-a/b/c/d	DTES Revitalization Program	1,000,000
O2	Heritage Façade Rehabilitation Grant Program	500,000
		<u>10,015,000</u>

Project	Description	Gross Funding
<b>OTHER</b>		
<b>Information Technology</b>		
K5	Funding for Desktops and Laptops needs of VPD	180,000
U5-a	SAP Evolution - Phase 2	700,000
		<u>880,000</u>
U6	Corporate Risk Data Management	300,000
U7b	Electronic Records and Data Management System	1,000,000
<b>Civic Property Maintenance</b>		
W1	Asbestos Mitigation Program	300,000
W2	City Hall Precinct Office Upgrade	550,000
W3-a	Facilities - Interior Refurbishment	705,000
W3-b	Facilities - Painting/Patching	130,000
W3-c	Facilities - Exterior Refurbishment	655,000
W3-d	Facilities - Plumbing & Drainage Replacement	180,000
W3-e	Facilities - Fire Alarm & Public Safety	200,000
W3-f	Facilities - Heating, Ventilating and Air-Conditioning Systems	185,000
W3-g	Facilities - Elevator Upgrades	300,000
W3-h	Facilities - Major Equipment & Building Replacement	100,000
W3-i	Maintenance and Restoration of Non-Profit Assets	700,000
W4-b	Roofing and Façade Upgrade Program	450,000
W7	IT Infrastructure	450,000
		<u>4,905,000</u>
<b>TOTAL BASIC CAPITAL APPROVAL</b>		<u><u>22,510,000</u></u>

**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET**

Reference# I 1-a

**DEPARTMENT:** Library Board  
**Libraries**  
**DIVISION**

**PROGRAM: Central and Branch**

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:**  
**Central Branch**

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**  
 On going renewal of branch libraries

**CROSS FUNCTIONAL PROJECT – NAME OTHER DEPARTMENTS**

	<b>Project Total Cost</b>	<b>Previous Years Funding Carried Forward</b>	<b>2006 Advance Approval</b>	<b>Other Sources of Funding (from below)</b>	<b>Capital Budget Requested</b>
<b>Project Costs</b>	<b>\$50,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$50,000</b>

<b>BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):</b>		<b>OTHER FUNDING SOURCES</b>	
Direct Labour	\$0	Senior Governments	\$0
Materials	\$0	Property Owners	\$0
Equipment	\$0	DCL/CAC funding	\$0
Contract	\$50,000	Internal (please specify e.g. CFF Loan)	\$0
Overhead	\$0	Other External (please specify e.g. ICBC)	\$0
Other (specify basis)	\$0		\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$50,000</b>	<b>Total Other Funding Sources</b>	<b>\$0</b>
<b>COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:</b>			
<b>IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>
<b>(Added Basic – provide estimate details)</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

**PROJECT SUMMARY:** (Consider Objectives, Scope, Timing, Costs, & Functional breakdown. Continue on page 2 if required)

**OBJECTIVES:**

TO RENEW AND MAKE MORE USER FRIENDLY THE SECOND LEVEL AT LIBRARY SQUARE AS WELL AS TO ADDRESS THE LAYOUT OF THE CIRCULATION AREA ON LEVEL 1

**SCOPE:**

The goals of space redesign of Level Two are to create an area for one stop shopping for the public, to clearly identify the space as Vancouver Public Library, to remove visual and physical barriers, and to provide a welcoming, comfortable place for the community.

Improve workflow on level 1.

Funding in 2006 will be used for consulting help and planning.

**IMPACT OF DELAY:** The planning of this renovation needs to be done in 2006

**PROJECT TIMING:**

**Start Date (month/year) :** As soon a funding is approved

**Completion Date(month/year):** end of 2006

**FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:****Order Group:****Order Number:**

**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET**

Reference# I 1-b

**DEPARTMENT:** Library Board  
**Libraries**  
**DIVISION**

**PROGRAM:** Central and Branch

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:**  
**Branches**

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**  
 On going renewal of branch libraries

**CROSS FUNCTIONAL PROJECT – NAME OTHER DEPARTMENTS**

	Project Total Cost	Previous Years Funding Carried Forward	2006 Advance Approval	Other Sources of Funding (from below)	Capital Budget Requested
Project Costs	\$100,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$100,000

<b>BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):</b>		<b>OTHER FUNDING SOURCES</b>	
Direct Labour	\$0	Senior Governments	\$0
Materials	\$0	Property Owners	\$0
Equipment	\$0	DCL/CAC funding	\$0
Contract	\$100,000	Internal (please specify e.g. CFF Loan)	\$0
Overhead	\$0	Other External (please specify e.g. ICBC)	\$0
Other (specify basis)	\$0		\$0
<b>Total</b>	\$100,000	<b>Total Other Funding Sources</b>	\$0
<b>COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:</b>			
<b>IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:</b> (Added Basic – provide estimate details)	<b>2006</b> \$0	<b>2007</b> \$0	<b>2008</b> \$0

**PROJECT SUMMARY:** (Consider Objectives, Scope, Timing, Costs, & Functional breakdown. Continue on page 2 if required)

**OBJECTIVES:**

**TO RENEW AND REFURBISH SOME OF THE BRANCH LIBRARIES**

**SCOPE:**

Washroom Renovations – 4 branches in 2006; Meeting Room renovations at Kitsilano branch; South Hill , Kitsilano and Kerrisdale Libraries book drop, signage and other renewals.

**IMPACT OF DELAY**

Rather than spreading the work over 3 years the work would need to be done over 2 years.

**PROJECT TIMING:**

Start Date (month/year) : As soon a funding is approved

Completion Date(month/year): end of 2006

**FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:**

Order Group:

Order Number:



**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET****Reference# I 2****DEPARTMENT:** Library Board  
**DIVISION****PROGRAM:** Branch Libraries**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:**  
Strathcona/DES Branch**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**  
New branch library in the Strathcona DES area.**CROSS FUNCTIONAL PROJECT – NAME OTHER DEPARTMENTS**

	Project Total Cost	Previous Years Funding Carried Forward	2006 Advance Approval	Other Sources of Funding (from below)	Capital Budget Requested
<b>Project Costs</b>	<b>\$2,500,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$2,500,000</b>

<b>BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):</b>		<b>OTHER FUNDING SOURCES</b>	
Direct Labour	\$0	Senior Governments	\$0
Materials	\$0	Property Owners	\$0
Equipment	\$0	DCL/CAC funding	\$0
Contract	\$0	Internal (please specify e.g. CFF Loan)	\$0
Overhead	\$0	Other External (please specify e.g. ICBC)	\$0
Other (specify basis)	\$2,500,000		\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$2,500,000</b>	<b>Total Other Funding Sources</b>	<b>\$0</b>
<b>COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:</b>			
<b>IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:</b> (Added Basic – provide estimate details) \$790,000 annually after the branch is operational	<b>2006</b> \$0	<b>2007</b> \$0	<b>2008</b> \$0

**PROJECT SUMMARY: (Consider Objectives, Scope, Timing, Costs, & Functional breakdown. Continue on page 2 if required)****OBJECTIVES:**

TO CONSTRUCT A NEW BRANCH IN THE STRATHCONA/ DES AREA

**SCOPE:**

To development of a 16,000 square foot Community Branch for the DTES/Strathcona community. The branch would provide a full range of library services to an area that is currently serviced by the Carnegie Reading Room at Main and Hastings and the Strathcona School Library (largely K-6 collections) at the Strathcona School. The new branch would allow residents to use access a full range of library services in their own neighbourhood, whereas they now currently need to travel either west to Central or east to Britannia.

**Funding in 2006 will be used to acquire land and start the planning of the branch.****IMPACT OF DELAY**

Search for a site is already underway

**PROJECT TIMING:**

Start Date (month/year) : May 2006

Completion Date(month/year): to be determined (depends on availability of a suitable site)

**FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:****Order Group:****Order Number:**

**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET**Reference# **J2**

**DEPARTMENT:** VANCOUVER FIRE AND RESCUE SERVICES **PROGRAM:** FIREHALLS  
**DIVISION:** SUPPRESSION

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:**  
**REPLACEMENT OF FIREHALL 15**

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

**CROSS FUNCTIONAL PROJECT – NAME OTHER DEPARTMENTS**

	Project Total Cost	Previous Years Funding Carried Forward	2006 Advance Approval	Other Sources of Funding (from below)	Capital Budget Requested
<b>Project Costs</b>	<b>\$4,600,000</b>	<b>\$300,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$500,000</b>

<b>BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):</b>		<b>OTHER FUNDING SOURCES</b>	
Direct Labour	\$170,000	Senior Governments	\$0
Materials	\$0	Property Owners	\$0
Equipment	\$0	DCL/CAC funding	\$0
Contract	\$630,000	Internal (please specify e.g. CFF Loan)	\$0
Overhead	\$0	Other External (please specify e.g. ICBC)	\$0
Other (specify basis)	\$0		\$0
<b>Total (Requested To Date)</b>	<b>\$800,000</b>	<b>Total Other Funding Sources</b>	<b>\$0</b>
<b>COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:</b>			
<b>IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>
(Added Basic – provide estimate details)	\$0	\$	\$20,000

**PROJECT SUMMARY:** (Consider Objectives, Scope, Timing, Costs, & Functional breakdown. Continue on page 2 if required)

**OBJECTIVES:** To replace 93-year-old Firehall No. 15 at 3003 East 22nd Avenue.

**SCOPE:** To continue design development for a replacement firehall on the existing site or nearby park and make provisions for a temporary facility. \$300,000 was approved in the previous Capital Plan for conceptual design on the existing expanded site. Development options were expanded to respond to heritage commentary.

**IMPACT OF DELAY**

Continued deterioration of the existing firehall building will increase repair costs and Fire's Facilities Operating Budget is insufficient to meet needs. Also, construction costs are estimated to increase as much as \$70,000 for each month of delay (12%-18% annually). The increased ongoing costs are estimated at \$20,000, beginning in 2008; however, this is a preliminary number that needs review in the coming year.

**PROJECT TIMING:**

**Start Date (month/year):** September 2005

**Completion Date (month/year):** December 2007

**FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:**

**Order Group:**

**Order Number:**

**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET**

Reference# J-2

**DEPARTMENT:** VANCOUVER FIRE AND RESCUE SERVICES PROGRAM: FIREHALLS  
**DIVISION:** SUPPRESSION

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:**  
 REPLACEMENT OF FIREHALL 15

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

**CROSS FUNCTIONAL PROJECT – NAME OTHER DEPARTMENTS**

**Total 2006-2008 Capital Plan Funding = \$4,600,000 ; Funding Carried Forward = \$300,000**

	Project Total Cost	Previous Years Funding Carried Forward	2006 Advance Approval	Other Sources of Funding (from below)	Capital Budget Requested
1 <sup>st</sup> year Project Costs	\$800,000	\$300,000	\$0	\$0	\$500,000

<b>BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):</b>		<b>OTHER FUNDING SOURCES</b>	
Direct Labour	\$170,000	Senior Governments	\$0
Materials	\$0	Property Owners	\$0
Equipment	\$0	DCL/CAC funding	\$0
Contract	\$630,000	Internal (please specify e.g. CFF Loan)	\$0
Overhead	\$0	Other External (please specify e.g. ICBC)	\$0
Other (specify basis)	\$0		\$0
<b>Total (Requested To Date)</b>	<b>\$800,000</b>	<b>Total Other Funding Sources</b>	<b>\$0</b>
<b>COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:</b>			
<b>IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>
(Added Basic – provide estimate details)	\$	\$	\$20,000

**PROJECT SUMMARY:**

This project is to demolish and reconstruct Fire Hall #15 at 3003 East 22<sup>nd</sup> Avenue. The fire hall is a two bay Fire Hall of wood frame construction which was built in 1913. Due to the age and type of construction, this fire hall does not meet present Building Code requirements for "Post Disaster Buildings". In addition, it has a large number of deficiencies which would require extensive repair and upgrading to continue its use as a Fire Hall.

**OBJECTIVES:** To replace 93-year-old Firehall No. 15 at 3003 East 22nd Avenue.

**SCOPE:** To continue design development for a replacement firehall on the existing site or nearby park and make provisions for a temporary facility. \$300,000 was approved in the previous Capital Plan for conceptual design on the existing expanded site. Development options were expanded to respond to heritage commentary.

**IMPACT OF DELAY**

Continued deterioration of the existing firehall building will increase repair costs and Fire's Facilities Operating budget is insufficient to meet needs. Also, construction costs are estimated to increase as much as \$70,000 for each month of delay (12-18% annually). The increased ongoing costs are estimated at \$20,000, beginning in 2008; however, this is a preliminary number that needs review in the coming year.

**PROJECT TIMING:**

**Start Date (month/year):** September 2005  
**Completion Date (month/year):** December 2007

**FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:**

Order Group:

Order Number:

**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET**Reference# **K-1-b**

**DEPARTMENT:** VANCOUVER POLICE DEPARTMENT  
**DIVISION:** FORENSIC STORAGE

**PROGRAM:** POLICE FACILITIES

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:**  
 Property And Forensic Storage Facility

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:****CROSS FUNCTIONAL PROJECT – NAME OTHER DEPARTMENTS****Total 2006-2008 Capital Funding = \$17,000,000**

	Project Total Cost	Previous Years Funding Carried Forward	2006 Advance Approval	Other Sources of Funding (from below)	Capital Budget Requested
1 <sup>st</sup> year Project Costs	\$1,500,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,500,000

<b>BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):</b>		<b>OTHER FUNDING SOURCES</b>	
Direct Labour	\$1,000,000	Senior Governments	\$0
Materials	\$0	Property Owners	\$0
Equipment	\$0	DCL/CAC funding	\$0
Contract	\$0	Internal (please specify e.g. CFF Loan)	\$0
Overhead	\$500,000	Other External (please specify e.g. ICBC)	\$0
Other (specify basis) Land	\$0		\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$1,500,000</b>	<b>Total Other Funding Sources</b>	<b>\$0</b>

**COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:**

<b>IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>
(Added Basic – provide estimate details)	\$0	\$	\$
To be determined. No impact in 2006			

**PROJECT SUMMARY****OBJECTIVES:**

To provide a storage facility for Property and Evidence Storage and Information Management. Phase Two will include the forensic laboratories of the facility.

**PROJECT TIMING:**Start Date (month/year): **March 2006**Completion Date (month/year): **June 2008****FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:**

Order Group:

Order Number:

**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET****Reference# K-1-b**

...continuation...

**DEPARTMENT: VANCOUVER POLICE DEPARTMENT**  
**DIVISION: FORENSIC STORAGE****PROGRAM: POLICE FACILITIES****SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:**  
**Property And Forensic Storage Facility****SCOPE:**

VPD's storage facilities include storage for forensic identification, forensic video, computer crime, crime laboratories, police stores and document storage. VPD's Property Office currently occupies approximately 26,000 SF in five locations. The office received 41,000 items for storage in 2003 and is experiencing an 18 percent growth annually. Recent advances in the area of DNA are expected to increase storage demands. A 2002 internal study concluded that the Property Office was substandard with respect to space, fire protection, security, movement of items and building access. As well, the storage facilities are not post-disaster ready.

**Phase One will include an 83,000 SF facility for the following:**

- a) Evidence Storage which includes
  - Storage vaults for valuables, drugs, alcohol and weapons with specialized storage equipment and controlled access and monitoring;
  - Storage facilities for DNA ;
  - An overnight property drop-off room with security lockers;
  - Exhibit processing area;
  - Secured access public counter for intake or release of property;
  - Other storage facilities..
- b) Property Office
  - Office responsible for receiving, storing and safekeeping of all found and seized property and evidence as well as the release to owners after VPD's processes are completed.
- b) Information Management
  - File storage requirements for file and archive files management and storage.

**Phase Two comprised of the Forensic Laboratories and Stores, will be considered in future Capital Plans.****IMPACT OF DELAY****Inadequate, non-conforming storage that does not comply with standards for forensic storage.**

**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET****Reference# K-2**

**DEPARTMENT:** VANCOUVER POLICE DEPARTMENT  
**DIVISION:** DOG SQUAD

**PROGRAM:** POLICE FACILITIES

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:**  
 Dog Squad Kennel Relocation

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:****CROSS FUNCTIONAL PROJECT – NAME OTHER DEPARTMENTS****Total 2006-2008 Capital Funding = \$1,200,000 ; Funding Carried Forward = \$445,000**

	Project Total Cost	Previous Years Funding Carried Forward	2006 Advance Approval	Other Sources of Funding (from below)	Capital Budget Requested
1 <sup>st</sup> year Project Costs	\$225,000	\$225,000	\$0	\$0	\$0

<b>BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):</b>		<b>OTHER FUNDING SOURCES</b>	
Direct Labour	\$220,000	Senior Governments	\$0
Materials	\$0	Property Owners	\$0
Equipment	\$0	DCL/CAC funding	\$0
Contract	\$5,000	Internal (please specify e.g. CFF Loan)	\$0
Overhead	\$0	Other External (please specify e.g. ICBC)	\$0
Other (specify basis) Escalation	\$0		\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$225,000</b>	<b>Total Other Funding Sources</b>	<b>\$0</b>

**COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:**

<b>IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:</b> (Added Basic – provide estimate details)	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>
	\$	\$	\$

None

**PROJECT SUMMARY:****OBJECTIVES:**

To replace the existing dog squad facility

**SCOPE:**

The Vancouver Police Department canine unit, built in 1969, is currently located in the former Cambie Works Yards. It is located adjacent to a residential area which has caused conflicts with the neighbourhood because of noise complaints. The facilities are in poor condition and have recently experienced mould problems from water penetration in its perimeter walls. A 24 kennel, 4,000 SF facility located on the vacant Evans Yard site is being proposed. The Cambie Yards facilities will be demolished in the first quarter of 2005, leaving the Kennels with security problems in addition to complaints from the neighbourhood.

**IMPACT OF DELAY**

Impacts Olympic Village construction.

**PROJECT TIMING:**

**Start Date (month/year):** March 2006  
**Completion Date (month/year):** August 2007

**FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:****Order Group:****Order Number:**

**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET**Reference# **K-3**

**DEPARTMENT:** VANCOUVER POLICE DEPARTMENT      **PROGRAM:** POLICE FACILITIES  
**DIVISION:** OFFICER TRAINING FACILITY

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:**  
Force Options Training Facility

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

**CROSS FUNCTIONAL PROJECT – NAME OTHER DEPARTMENTS**

**Total 2006-2008 Capital Plan Funding = \$0; Funding Carried Forward = \$9,000,000**

	Project Total Cost	Previous Years Funding Carried Forward	2006 Advance Approval	Other Sources of Funding (from below)	Capital Budget Requested
1 <sup>st</sup> year Project Costs	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$0	\$0	\$0

<b>BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):</b>		<b>OTHER FUNDING SOURCES</b>	
Direct Labour	\$400,000	Senior Governments	\$0
Materials	\$0	Property Owners	\$0
Equipment	\$0	DCL/CAC funding	\$0
Contract	\$0	Internal (please specify e.g. CFF Loan)	\$0
Overhead	\$100,000	Other External (please specify e.g. ICBC)	\$0
Other (specify basis) Land	\$0		\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$500,000</b>	<b>Total Other Funding Sources</b>	<b>\$0</b>
<b>COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:</b>			
<b>IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>
(Added Basic – provide estimate details)	\$0	\$	\$
To be determined			

**PROJECT SUMMARY:**

**OBJECTIVES:**

To provide suitable facilities for VPD training and qualifications.

**IMPACT OF DELAY**

Inadequate training facility. Private range is made available on a month by month basis.

**PROJECT TIMING:**

**Start Date (month/year):** March 2006  
**Completion Date (month/year):** March 2008 (subject to Council approval)

**FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:**

Order Group:

Order Number:

**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET**

**Reference# K-3**

...continuation...

---

**DEPARTMENT: VANCOUVER POLICE DEPARTMENT**  
**DIVISION: OFFICER TRAINING FACILITY**

**PROGRAM: POLICE FACILITIES**

---

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:**

**Force Options Training Facility**

---

**SCOPE:**

The 2003-2005 Capital Plan included funding of \$9.020 million toward the training facility cost, subject to finalization of a business plan for a joint facility.

Over a period of 18 months staff from the RCMP and City examined various needs for a joint-use facility. Initially the discussions focussed on a jointly managed facility that would meet firearms and tactical training needs of both departments and provided capacity to accommodate other local police forces, provincial corrections and sheriff staff and the private sector, with the City providing financing for the facility.

In October 2005, the City presented a facility design and pricing proposal to the RCMP. However, by January 2006, the City and VPD were advised by the RCMP that they could not proceed with the joint facility proposal due to budgetary concerns. The RCMP have chosen to meet their firearms training requirements at a facility to be developed in Chilliwack.

As a result of this notification, staff scaled the joint program to meet the long term requirements of VPD. The proposed facility includes 25-meter and 50-meter ranges, control tactics room, simulators, classrooms and administration space, totalling approximately 45,000 SF. Space has also been provided for use by other agencies (Independent Municipal Forces, Sheriffs, Bailiffs, Custom Inspectors, Transit Police and Armoured Car Guards) on a pay as you go basis.

In order to prepare the business plan it is necessary to prepare schematic designs and finalize the layout concurrent with the Forensic Storage Facility that will be located on the same site. Thus, funding is being sought in this plan to prepare the necessary preliminary designs and cost estimates necessary for the business plan up to approximately 25 percent of the total design for report back to Council by June 2006. This will also allow the Forensic Storage Facility to proceed in a timely manner.



**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET**

Reference# K-4

**DEPARTMENT:** VANCOUVER POLICE DEPARTMENT  
**DIVISION:** OFFICE ACCOMMODATION

**PROGRAM:** POLICE FACILITIES

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:**

Upgrading of existing facilities

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

**CROSS FUNCTIONAL PROJECT – NAME OTHER DEPARTMENTS**

**Total 2006-2008 Capital Plan Funding = \$1,300,000**

	Project Total Cost	Previous Years Funding Carried Forward	2006 Advance Approval	Other Sources of Funding (from below)	Capital Budget Requested
<b>1<sup>st</sup> year Project Costs</b>	<b>\$760,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$760,000</b>

**BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):****OTHER FUNDING SOURCES**

Direct Labour	\$400,000	Senior Governments	\$0
Materials	\$0	Property Owners	\$0
Equipment	\$360,000	DCL/CAC funding	\$0
Contract	\$0	Internal (please specify e.g. CFF Loan)	\$0
Overhead	\$0	Other External (please specify e.g. ICBC)	\$0
Other (specify basis)	\$0		\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$760,000</b>	<b>Total Other Funding Sources</b>	<b>\$0</b>

**COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:**

<b>IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>
(Added Basic – provide estimate details)	\$0	\$0	\$0

**PROJECT SUMMARY:****OBJECTIVES:**

To upgrade/maintain existing facilities in functional condition over the next six to nine years.

**IMPACT OF DELAY**

Facilities function poorly and impacts on service levels.

**PROJECT TIMING:**

**Start Date (month/year):** March 2006

**Completion Date (month/year):** March 2007

**FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:**

**Order Group:**

**Order Number:**

**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET****Reference# K-4**

...continuation...

**DEPARTMENT: VANCOUVER POLICE DEPARTMENT**  
**DIVISION: OFFICE ACCOMMODATION****PROGRAM: POLICE FACILITIES****SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:**

Upgrading of existing facilities

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:****SCOPE:**

VPD offices are currently located in three main facilities: 2120 Cambie Street Headquarters (leased), 5 East 8th Avenue and 312 Main Street. The 2006-2008 Capital Plan has provided \$1.3 million in funding for major upgrades so that the existing facilities can remain functional over the next six to nine years awaiting a new facility. Some of these projects include:

1. Financial Crime Section at 312 Main Street is being reconfigured and updated re-using modular furniture from the Kootenay Building.
2. The Crime Analysis Offices and 6th Floor Audit Area are being updated and re-designed to use space more efficiently.
3. Provision of emergency backup service at 8 East 5<sup>th</sup> .
4. VPD began to upgrade physical security systems in all facilities in 2002. This third phase of the project will continue mandatory security upgrades in the program spanning several years.
5. The current IT server room at 2120 Cambie Street is an internal electrical room that was not built to house the amount of equipment installed therein. The room is very warm and lacks air conditioning required to keep the equipment at a temperature which preserves its viability and reduces failures.
6. Demands on the Wire Room at 5 East 8th Avenue are exceeding capacity. It was set up in 2000 when the building was purchased and was expected to be adequate for five to ten years. With increasing use of technology and cell phones in crimes, the need for wire surveillance has increased from one or two phones to six or seven for a single case. This situation is compromising investigation of numerous crimes where wire surveillance is paramount at the beginning of the investigation

**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET****Reference# K-5****DEPARTMENT:** Corporate Services**PROGRAM:** Desktop Infrastructure project**DIVISION:** Information Technology**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:**

Vancouver Police Department – Desktop Project

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

Acquisition of additional desktop and laptop computers to meet the Vancouver Police Department's operational needs.

**CROSS FUNCTIONAL PROJECT** – Vancouver Police Department**Total 2006-2008 Capital Budget = \$ 180,000**

	Project Total Cost	Previous Years Funding Carried Forward	2006 Advance Approval	Other Sources of Funding (from below)	Capital Budget Requested
<b>2006 Project Costs</b>	<b>\$180,000</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$180,000</b>

<b>BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):</b>		<b>OTHER FUNDING SOURCES</b>	
Direct Labour	\$	Senior Governments	\$0
Materials	\$0	Property Owners	\$0
Equipment	\$180,000	DCL/CAC funding	\$0
Contract	\$	Internal (please specify e.g. CFF Loan)	\$0
Overhead	\$0	IT Long Term Financing Plan	\$0
Services	\$		\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$180,000</b>	<b>Total Other Funding Sources</b>	<b>\$0</b>
<b>COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:</b>			
No net savings. This is necessary replacement of obsolete equipment and necessary expansion to meet growing needs.			
<b>IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>
<b>(Added Basic – provide estimate details)</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

**PROJECT SUMMARY:** (Consider Objectives, Scope, Timing, Costs, & Functional breakdown. Continue on page 2 if required)**OBJECTIVES:** Purchase of 60 desktops and laptops for the Vancouver Police Department in 2006

Currently, the VPD is redesigning its business practices and using innovative techniques such as intelligence-led policing, to have a proactive focus in combating crime. In today's policing, the members of the Vancouver Police are no longer strictly crime fighters. They have a multi-faceted role ranging from problem solvers, community organizers, and mediators. As part of the officer's day to day job, a technology component now exists to complete many of the required functions of the position. For example, it is expected that the officer use PRIME-BC to enter reports and case information, employ CAD to query event history, and communicate with e-mail.

The purpose of this request is to bring the ratio of computers to officers to an appropriate level. Currently, the department has been moving away from shared desktops to dedicated desktops due to changes in police role and business practices. In the past, many officers did not need to use a computer as part of their positions. Instead, shared workstations were used for investigators and patrol members (5 officers to 1 desktop). Now, the ratio must be adjusted to reflect change in job responsibilities and environment. For this, the suggested ratio is 1 PC for one investigator and 1 PC for 4 patrol members.

**SCOPE:**

This Equipment is purchased to meet the Information Technology infrastructure needs of the Vancouver Police Department.

**IMPACT OF DELAY**

Continued operational inefficiencies.

**PROJECT TIMING:** Continuous through 2006.**FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:****Order Group:****Order Number:**

**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET****Reference# L1a****DEPARTMENT: Community Services**  
**DIVISION: Housing Centre****PROGRAM: Affordable Housing****SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE: Woodward's Affordable Housing****SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION: 200 units of affordable housing will be developed as part of the Woodward's redevelopment.****CROSS FUNCTIONAL PROJECT – NAME OTHER DEPARTMENTS: Real Estate Services, Current Planning**

Total Project Cost \$48 million (DCL/CAC funding \$5 million, Other \$2 million and Senior Government \$41 million)

	<b>Total Cost For 2006</b>	<b>Previous Years Funding Carried Forward</b>	<b>2006 Advance Approval</b>	<b>Other Sources of Funding (from below)</b>	<b>Capital Budget Requested</b>
<b>Project Costs</b>	<b>\$5,000,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$5,000,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>

<b>BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):</b>		<b>OTHER FUNDING SOURCES</b>	
Direct Labour	\$0	Senior Governments	\$0
Materials	\$0	Property Owners	\$0
Equipment	\$0	DCL funding	\$4,000,000
Contract	\$0	Internal (PEF)	\$0
Overhead	\$0	CAC funding	\$1,000,000
Other (specify basis) see below	\$5,000,000		\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$5,000,000</b>	<b>Total Other Funding Sources</b>	<b>\$5,000,000</b>
<b>COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:</b>			
<b>IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>
<b>(Added Basic – provide estimate details)</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

**PROJECT SUMMARY**

Council approved the business arrangements related to the redevelopment of Woodward's on Sept. 13, 2005. As part of this approval, Council approved \$7,000,000 in funding for the affordable housing in Woodward's. The \$7,000,000 City share of the total cost of the affordable housing is to be funded from city-wide DCLs (\$4,000,000), CACs (\$1,000,000) and the PEF (\$2,000,000).

This spring, the City and the Developer will be executing:

- the development agreement for the construction of the overall project, and
- the development agreement between the developer, BC Housing, the City and the non-profit sponsors of the affordable housing.

At that time, \$2,000,000 of the City's funding will be required to cover design, demolition and excavation costs to be incurred over the summer. BC Housing will be overseeing the development and construction of the affordable housing and the \$2,000,000 will be transferred to them for disbursement to the developer on a monthly claim basis.

When the airspace parcel plan is registered later this year, and the City takes ownership of the airspace parcels for the affordable housing components which will be leased to the non-profit sponsors, construction will commence on the affordable housing components and the balance of the City's share of the cost of the affordable housing will be owing to BC Housing.

The affordable housing is integral to the overall Woodward's development and its development cannot be delayed without delaying the whole project. Consequently, the City's share of the funding for the affordable housing must be available this year.

Construction of the project will commence with demolition and excavation this spring and construction of the new buildings in the fall. Completion is spring 2009.

**FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:****Order Group:****Order Number:**

**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET**

Reference# L1- b

**DEPARTMENT: Community Services****PROGRAM: Affordable Housing****DIVISION: Housing Centre****SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE: Affordable Housing Fund****SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

Provide general funding for social housing projects

**CROSS FUNCTIONAL PROJECT – NAME OTHER DEPARTMENTS:**

	<b>Total Cost For 2006</b>	<b>Previous Years Funding Carried Forward</b>	<b>2006 Advance Approval</b>	<b>Other Sources of Funding (from below)</b>	<b>Capital Budget Requested</b>
<b>Project Costs</b>	<b>\$175,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>		<b>\$175,000</b>

<b>BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):</b>		<b>OTHER FUNDING SOURCES</b>	
Direct Labour	\$0	Senior Governments	\$0
Materials	\$0	Property Owners	\$0
Equipment	\$0	DCL funding	\$0
Contract	\$0	Internal (PEF)	\$0
Overhead	\$0	CAC funding	\$0
Other (specify basis) see below	\$175,000		
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$175,000</b>	<b>Total Other Funding Sources</b>	<b>\$0</b>
<b>COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:</b>			
<b>IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>
<b>(Added Basic – provide estimate details)</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

**PROJECT SUMMARY**

The 2006-2008 Capital Plan provides \$1 million for Affordable Housing fund (in addition to the Woodward's Affordable Housing Projects). The 2006 Budget allocates \$175,000 as general funding for emergency capital repairs/improvement and/or renovation to buildings for social or affordable housing purposes.

**SCOPE:**

Some of the SRO hotels bought by the City for affordable housing purposes require funding to cover emergency repairs and capital improvement. An example is the Stanley/New fountain Hotel bought in 2002 with minimal upgrades done using funds provided by BC Housing. The funding allocation will be reserved for emergency repairs and/or other capital improvement to these buildings. Funding allocation to specific projects will follow the normal approval process.

**IMPACT:**

Delay in repairs/building upgrades will result in further deterioration of the building .

**PROJECT TIME:**

Start Date (Month/year): to be determined

Completion Date (month/year):

**FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:****Order Group:****Order Number:**

**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET**

Reference# L2-b

**DEPARTMENT: Community Services****PROGRAM: Residence Upgrades****DIVISION: Non-Market Operations****SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:****Gresham and Old Continental Residences Upgrades****SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

In 2006 paint the interior halls and common area of the Gresham residence (ground floor lobby, 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> floor halls, tenant lounge and kitchen, common bathrooms as well as replace worn mattresses/box springs, appliances (small fridges and counter top cooking appliances) in Gresham and Old Continental. Each residence has a portion of its appliances and beds that require replacement in 2006 due to age and non-repairable conditions. In succeeding years of the 2006-08 capital plan additional purchases will be required to replace worn items as they are identified. Funds will be accessed from the \$200,000 funding allocated to Non-Market Operations.

**CROSS FUNCTIONAL PROJECT – NAME OTHER DEPARTMENTS**

Facilities Design and Management &amp; Materials Management (Purchasing)

	Project Total Cost	Previous Years Funding Carried Forward	2006 Advance Approval	Other Sources of Funding (from below)	Capital Budget Requested
<b>Project Costs</b>	<b>\$60,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$60,000</b>

<b>BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):</b>		<b>OTHER FUNDING SOURCES</b>	
Direct Labour	\$0	Senior Governments	\$0
Materials	\$40,000	Property Owners	\$0
Equipment	\$0	DCL/CAC funding	\$0
Contract	\$20,000	Internal (please specify e.g. CFF Loan)	\$0
Overhead	\$0	Other External (please specify e.g. ICBC)	\$0
Other (specify basis)	\$0		\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$60,000</b>	<b>Total Other Funding Sources</b>	<b>\$0</b>
<b>COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:</b>			
N/A			
<b>IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>
<b>(Added Basic – provide estimate details)</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A

**PROJECT SUMMARY: (Consider Objectives, Scope, Timing, Costs, & Functional breakdown. Continue on page 2 if required)**

**OBJECTIVES:**

Access capital funds to paint the interior hall ways and common areas of the Gresham residence. Upgrades will enhance living condition of the residence.

Access capital funds to replace worn mattress/boxsprings and appliances at Gresham and Continental Residences as required in timely fashion in each of the 3 years of the current capital plan (2006-08) with access to these funds we are able upgrade the items which require immediate replacement due to health and safety concerns without expensing funds from the operating budget.

**SCOPE:** see continuation page

**PROJECT TIMING:**

**Start Date (month/year):** May 2006

**Completion Date (month/year):** September 2006

**FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:****Order Group:****Order Number:**

---

**DEPARTMENT: Community Services**  
**DIVISION: Non-Market Operations**

**PROGRAM: Residence Upgrades**

---

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:**  
**Gresham and Old Continental Residences Upgrades**

---

**SCOPE:**

Painting - \$20,000. Work to be completed in consultation with Facility Development - prepare scope of work required and call for bids and select contract.

Replacement of Furnishing and Appliances - \$ 40,000. Appliances and Mattress/box springs replacement will be targeted by residence staff and, in consultation with Material management, quotes will be obtained to consider vendor selection for the purchases.

**IMPACT OF DELAY**

Gresham Residence has not been painted since it reopened in 1992. Proceeding with work will enhance the living condition for the tenants residing the building.

If an appliance/bed is inoperable we have to replace immediately. We have little or no stock available. We are required to purchase a replacement which is currently charge to our operating budget which cannot sustain the demand we have for replacements.

Access to the capital fund accelerates our ability to replace items and eliminates any cost impact on the residence's operating budgets. Bulk purchase reduces costs.

**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET****Reference# N1-a1****DEPARTMENT:** Community Services Group  
**DIVISION:** Social Planning**PROGRAM:** Childcare New Initiatives**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:**  
City-Owned Childcare Facilities**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**  
Woodward's, City Gate II and Downtown South  
New childcare facilities in priority neighbourhoods/projects**CROSS FUNCTIONAL PROJECT – NAME OTHER DEPARTMENTS:**  
N/A

	Project Total Cost	Previous Years Funding Carried Forward	2006 Advance Approval	Other Sources of Funding (from below)	Capital Budget Requested
Project Costs	\$1,910,652	\$0	0	\$880,652	\$1,030,000

<b>BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):</b>		<b>OTHER FUNDING SOURCES</b>	
Direct Labour (City Gate 2)	\$530,000	Senior Governments	\$500,000
Materials (City Gate 2)	\$500,000	Property Owners	\$0
Equipment (City Gate 2)	\$380,652	DCL/CAC funding	\$380,652
Contract (Design Consultant and Permitting fees for DT South and Woodward's)	\$500,000	Internal (please specify e.g. CFF Loan)	\$0
Overhead	\$0	Other External (please specify e.g. ICBC)	\$0
Other (specify basis)	\$0		\$0
<b>Total</b>	\$1,910,652	<b>Total Other Funding Sources</b>	\$880,652
<b>COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:</b>			
<b>IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>
(Added Basic – provide estimate details)	\$	\$	\$

**PROJECT SUMMARY: (Consider Objectives, Scope, Timing, Costs, & Functional breakdown. Continue on page 2 if required)****OBJECTIVES:**

This allocation will ensure that these priority childcare projects in the Woodward's and City Gate are not delayed causing further cost escalation and overall project endangerment (Woodward's and City Gate II). This allocation will ensure the City is in a position to act upon a potential site in the DT South, since DCL's have been accumulating for several years. We anticipate the sponsoring organizations will apply to senior government for additional funding in 2007 through the provincial major capital grant program..

**SCOPE:**

An allowance for soft costs (Design Consultant and Permit Fees and related expenses) for Woodward's at \$400,000 and \$100,000 for DT South. An allowance of hard costs related to construction (\$530,000) for Citygate II.

**IMPACT OF DELAY**

Current cost escalations in the neighbourhood of .8% per month, possible endangering of Provincial cost sharing opportunities, and impact on the pro forma for Woodward's

**PROJECT TIMING:****Start Date (month/year):** May 2006**Completion Date (month/year):** December 2008**FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:****Order Group:****Order Number:**



**DEPARTMENT: Community Services Group****PROGRAM: City Owned Facilities Childcare****DIVISION: Social Planning****SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE**

City Owned Childcare

**PROJECT SUMMARY (continued)**

This submission is to ensure the design and permitting of city-owned childcare projects in which the City has already made a substantial investment, i.e. Woodward's, where the facility is partially completed, i.e. City Gate II, where there are accumulating DCLs and Council instructions to move to complete the approved amenity package – Downtown South, or where there are matching provincial dollars confirmed.

**Woodward's**

The Development Permit Board approved Woodward's on February 27, 2006. The project includes a 37 space childcare facility to be constructed at the expense of the City. Council has approved the CD1 rezoning, including the 37 space to be built at the expense of the City. This request is for soft costs (consultant fees, permits and disbursements) related to the Woodward's project.

**City Gate II**

City Gate II has an incomplete shell provided by the developer as a condition of a previous rezoning. Because Strathcona is the neighbourhood with the highest need for child development services and has among the fewest licensed childcare facilities per capita, it is also a top priority. This request is for the City's contribution to construction costs along with previously approved CAC's.

**Downtown South**

Council has instructed staff to explore opportunities to locate a childcare facility in Downtown South in response to rising numbers of families on waitlists and declining opportunities for leveraging land costs through bonusing as the area builds out to capacity. Demand for childcare is also high and growing in adjacent neighbourhoods and in the Central Business District. , Area-specific Development Cost Levies (DCLs) for childcare are also accumulating. Staff have explored opportunities for securing a childcare facility in Downtown South, but the combination of childcare design requirements, patterns of existing development, and constraints of height restrictions to accommodate view corridors has so far precluded a successful outcome. Staff from Current Planning and Development Services have made this a priority. Once a suitable site and willing developer have been identified the City will need to move quickly with design and our share of permit fees on this project. This could reasonably occur in 2006.

**Provincial Partnership Projects (Nanook, Harbourview, Kits Montessori)**

Since the early 1980's the Province, through BCBC has owned a number of childcare portables which are sited on City-owned land. In 2003, the Province notified the City that all existing BCBC childcare portables would be transferred to the operating non-profit societies along with a cash settlement of \$415,000 each. This provides the opportunity to expand childcare capacity in partnership with the non-profit society by replacing three 30 year old portable structures with permanent facilities on City land and will bring the facilities in compliance with the Childcare Design Guidelines while reducing the City's exposure to risks associated with poorly maintained facilities.

**Mt Pleasant/ Simon Fraser Facility**

The relocation of the Mt Pleasant Community Centre to #1 Kingsway will leave a gap in services for pre-school and out of school care children near the Simon Fraser Elementary School. City Staff have been working with Parks Board, School Board, the Mt Pleasant Community Centre and the Simon Fraser Parents Advisory Committee to ensure these vital programs are not lost to the neighbourhood. A need assessment commissioned in 2003 identified the need for these services to continue near the elementary school. Parks Board and School Board are working on an agreement to locate the proposed facility on a mutual agreeable site, possibly on School land with Parks contributing the land for outdoor space. Once the site is finalized, soft costs will be needed for design and permitting fees.

**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET**

Reference# N1-a2

**DEPARTMENT:** Community Services Group  
**DIVISION:** Social and Cultural Facilities

**PROGRAM:** Provincial Partnerships

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:**

City-Owned Social Facilities

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

Provincial Partnership Project- Nanook, Harbourview, Kits Montessori  
 Special Projects- Mt Pleasant/ Simon Fraser Project

**CROSS FUNCTIONAL PROJECT – NAME OTHER DEPARTMENTS** N/A

	<b>Project Total Cost for 2006</b>	<b>Previous Years Funding Carried Forward</b>	<b>2006 Advance Approval</b>	<b>Other Sources of Funding (from below)</b>	<b>Capital Budget Requested</b>
<b>Project Costs</b>	<b>2,800,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$2M</b>	<b>\$800,000</b>

<b>BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):</b>		<b>OTHER FUNDING SOURCES</b>	
Direct Labour (Harbourview)	\$500,000	Senior Governments	\$2M (\$1.5M confirmed)
Materials	\$2,000,000	Property Owners	\$0
Equipment	\$0	DCL/CAC funding	0
Contract (Design Consultant and Permit Fees)	\$300,000	Internal (please specify e.g. CFF Loan)	\$0
Overhead	\$0	Other External (please specify e.g. ICBC)	\$0
Other (specify basis)	\$0		\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$2,800,000</b>	<b>Total Other Funding Sources</b>	<b>\$2M</b>
<b>COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:</b>			
<b>IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>
(Added Basic – provide estimate details)	\$	\$	\$

**PROJECT SUMMARY: (Consider Objectives, Scope, Timing, Costs, & Functional breakdown. Continue on page 2 if required)**

**OBJECTIVES:** This allocation will ensure that these childcare projects (Nanook, Harbourview, Kits Montessori and Mt Pleasant/ Simon Fraser) are not delayed causing further cost escalation and overall project endangerment. The Province has released the funding for the replacement of the BCBC portables (approximately \$1.5M). It is expected that Harbourview will apply for an additional \$500,000 Major Capital Grant from the province in 2006.

We anticipate the sponsoring organizations (Nanook, Kits Montessori and Mt Pleasant/ SF) will apply to senior government for an additional \$1.5m in 2007 through the provincial major capital grant program.

**SCOPE:**

Design Consultant and Permit Fees and related expenses for Nanook, Kits Montessori and Mt Pleasant/ Simon Fraser (\$100,000 each). We anticipate construction will start this year on Harbourview and are therefore request \$500,000 for this project.

**IMPACT OF DELAY**

Current cost escalations in the neighbourhood of .8% per month. It is best to move quickly to ensure additional Provincial cost sharing opportunities.

**PROJECT TIMING:**

**Start Date (month/year):** May 2006

**Completion Date (month/year):** December 2008

**FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:**

Order Group:

Order Number:

**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET**

Reference# N1-b

**DEPARTMENT: City Manager's Office/Community Services Group****PROGRAM: Special Projects****DIVISION: Olympic Operation/Office of Cultural Affairs****SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:****Olympic Legacy/Cultural Reinvestment Fund****SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

A Cultural Reinvestment / Olympic Legacy fund that can be accessed for renewal of major cultural facilities or the provision of other Olympic Legacies in the City when senior government or community partner funding can be leveraged

**CROSS FUNCTIONAL PROJECT – NAME OTHER DEPARTMENTS- N/A**

	<b>Project Total Cost</b>	<b>Previous Years Funding Carried Forward</b>	<b>2006 Advance Approval</b>	<b>Other Sources of Funding (from below)</b>	<b>Capital Budget Requested</b>
<b>Project Costs</b>	<b>1,000,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$1,000,000</b>

<b>BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):</b>		<b>OTHER FUNDING SOURCES</b>	
Direct Labour	\$0	Senior Governments	\$0
Materials	\$0	Property Owners	\$0
Equipment	\$0	DCL/CAC funding	\$0
Contract	\$0	Internal (please specify e.g. CFF Loan)	\$0
Overhead	\$0	Other External (please specify e.g. ICBC)	\$0
Other (specify basis)	\$ 1,000,000		\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 1,000,000</b>	<b>Total Other Funding Sources</b>	<b>\$0</b>

**COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:**

The City's contribution makes it possible for leveraging funding from senior levels of governments and other sources.

**IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:****(Added Basic – provide estimate details)****2006****2007****2008****\$****\$****\$****PROJECT SUMMARY: (Consider Objectives, Scope, Timing, Costs, & Functional breakdown)****OBJECTIVES:**

The 2010 Olympic and Paralympic Winter games provides an opportunity to “host” the world through both media and exposure and increased visitors. One of the key economic legacies from the 2010 Winter Games is the tourism potential arising from this international event. It is important to create a lasting positive visual image of the City which will result in new and return visits to Vancouver, thereby increasing our economic benefits.

With aging cultural infrastructure and the need to upgrade in time for the Cultural Olympiad and Olympic Arts Festival, new level of funding are needed to keep up with and meet the needs of a growing and diverse audience for arts and cultural activities in Vancouver. The 2006-2008 Capital Plan includes \$10 million provision for the Olympic Legacies projects and Cultural Reinvestment Fund and it is anticipated that having these funds available in the Capital Plan could leverage several millions dollars in funding from senior governments in advance of the 2010 Olympics.

**SCOPE:**

It is anticipated that ideas and projects that are related to the Olympic image will be considered over the next couple of years and, if approved, will be funded from the unallocated funding of \$1 million in the 2006 Capital Budget. Approval of specific projects will follow the normal funding approval process.

**PROJECT TIMING:****Start Date (month/year) : To be determined when specific project is approved****Completion Date(month/year):****FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:****Order Group:****Order Number:**

**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET**

Reference# N2

**DEPARTMENT:** Community Services Group  
**DIVISION:** Social Planning and Office of Cultural Affairs

**PROGRAM:** CAPITAL GRANTS

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:**  
 Capital Grants (non City-owned cultural, childcare and social services facilities)

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:****CROSS FUNCTIONAL PROJECT – NAME OTHER DEPARTMENTS** N/A

	Project Total Cost	Previous Years Funding Carried Forward	2006 Advance Approval	Other Sources of Funding (from below)	Capital Budget Requested
Project Costs	\$450,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$150,000

<b>BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):</b>		<b>OTHER FUNDING SOURCES</b>	
Direct Labour	\$0	Senior Governments	\$ 150,000
Materials	\$0	Property Owners	\$0
Equipment	\$0	DCL/CAC funding	\$0
Contract	\$0	Internal (please specify e.g. CFF Loan)	\$0
Overhead	\$0	Other External (please specify e.g. ICBC)	\$ 150,000
Other (specify basis) Grants	\$ 150,000		\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 150,000</b>	<b>Total Other Funding Sources</b>	<b>\$ 300,000</b>

**COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:**

The City's contribution makes it possible for organizations to leverage money from senior levels of governments, foundations and private individuals. These other funders view the City's endorsement as critical to their funding decisions.

<b>IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>
<b>(Added Basic – provide estimate details)</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>

**PROJECT SUMMARY: (Consider Objectives, Scope, Timing, Costs, & Functional breakdown. Continue on page 2 if required)**

**OBJECTIVES:**

The Capital Grants program supports the City's objectives of accessible, community-based services, the development and maintenance of strong, complete. The Capital Grants program encourages ownership and the creation of capital assets and thereby contributes to the long-term viability and sustainability of the non-profit sector in Vancouver. This is also a cost effective tool as it leverages at least two-thirds of the project costs from senior governments and the private sector. Recent Canadian research has shown that economic vitality of cities increasingly depends on inter-governmental and community collaboration in the provision of amenities and the creation of distinctive places.

**SCOPE:**

The 2006-2008 Capital Plan included \$500,000 for Capital Grants of up to one-third of total project costs to non-profit social service, daycare and cultural organizations for construction, renovation or repairs to facilities that are not owned by the City. Staff are proposing to allocate \$150,000 in each of 2006 and 2007 and \$200,000 in 2008 through a competitive grants process. Notices are mailed to eligible Vancouver non-profit social service, daycare and cultural organizations. As well, information on the grant program is available on the City's website and through brochures in the office. Grant applications are submitted and assessed by Social Planning, Cultural Affairs and Facilities Design & Development staff and recommendations made to City Council for approval.

**IMPACT OF DELAY**

Projects that depend on Federal/ Provincial Infrastructure funding are at significant risk of cancellation should the City fail to participate in the cost sharing within the required timeframe.

**PROJECT TIMING:**

**Start Date (month/year) :** Application deadline in early May

**Completion Date(month/year):** Council report with grant recommendations in July; projects completion dates vary.

**FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:****Order Group:****Order Number:**

**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET**

Reference# N4

**DEPARTMENT: Community Services Group****PROGRAM: Public Art Program****DIVISION: Office Cultural Affairs, Cultural Services****SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:****Public Art Program****SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:****CROSS FUNCTIONAL PROJECT – NAME OTHER DEPARTMENTS N/A**

	<b>Project Total Cost</b>	<b>Previous Years Funding Carried Forward</b>	<b>2006 Advance Approval</b>	<b>Other Sources of Funding (from below)</b>	<b>Capital Budget Requested</b>
<b>Project Costs</b>	<b>\$300,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$300,000</b>

**BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):****OTHER FUNDING SOURCES**

Direct Labour	\$0	Senior Governments	\$0
Materials	\$0	Property Owners	\$0
Equipment	\$0	DCL/CAC funding	\$0
Contract	\$ 75,000	Internal (please specify e.g. CFF Loan)	\$0
Overhead	\$0	Other External (please specify e.g. ICBC)	\$0
Other (specify basis)	\$ 225,000		\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$0 300,000</b>	<b>Total Other Funding Sources</b>	<b>\$0</b>

**COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:**

Proposed program review will identify additional (non-City) funding sources as well as process improvements.

**IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:****(Added Basic – provide estimate details)****2006****2007****2008****\$****\$****\$****OBJECTIVES:**

The 2006-2008 Capital Plan included \$1 million in funding for the Civic Public Art Program. This program includes:

The *Civic Public Art Program* - for art at new City capital works and in community settings including libraries, bridges, bikeways, Greenways, street improvements and parks.

The *Community Public Art Program* - for collaborative projects initiated by artists and communities that address neighbourhood needs and aspirations, and that build community pride, identity, and social capacity.

These programs aims to incorporate contemporary art practices into city planning and development – by funding art-making of many kinds, from single-artist commissions to artist collaborations with engineers, designers, and communities providing for the creation of art that expresses the spirit, values, visions, and poetry of place that collectively define Vancouver.

**SCOPE:**

For 2006, as part of the *Civic Public Art Program*, staff propose continued investment in civic projects already committed including the completion of a major public art work for the new civic complex at #1 Kingsway, and new work for greenways projects (Carrall Street and Central Valley) as well as the Main Street Showcase project. The *Community Public Art Program* will, as in previous years, seek applications from artists and communities for the creation of new artwork within neighbourhood and community settings.

In 2006, staff also propose to commission a comprehensive review of the Public Art Program. Conceived in the 1980s, the existing Program has served well. However, it is time to review the programs in the context of a changing urban landscape, an emerging, city-wide awareness of the value added by art, and the many the opportunities ahead.

City Council will receive separate reports on the allocation of funds on the programs and projects proposed.

**IMPACT OF DELAY**

Civic public art projects are integral to the overall construction project and cannot be delayed within additional costs or impact to the overall project budget.

**PROJECT TIMING:****Start Date (month/year) :** Ongoing**Completion Date(month/year):** Varies by capital Project; Review by Dec 2006**FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:****Order Group:****Order Number:**

**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET**

Reference# O1-a

**DEPARTMENT: Community Services Group****PROGRAM: DTES Revitalization****DIVISION: Central Area Planning****SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:****Chinatown Family Association Owned Heritage Building Renovation Incentives****SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

The City will be creating a fund which will allow Chinatown Family Associations to access funding for renovations to their heritage building renovations.

**CROSS FUNCTIONAL PROJECT – NAME OTHER DEPARTMENTS:**

N/A

	<b>Project Total Cost</b>	<b>Previous Years Funding Carried Forward</b>	<b>2006 Advance Approval</b>	<b>Other Sources of Funding (from below)</b>	<b>Capital Budget Requested</b>
<b>Project Costs</b>	<b>\$300,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$300,000</b>

<b>BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):</b>		<b>OTHER FUNDING SOURCES</b>		
Direct Labour	\$0	Senior Governments		\$0
Materials	\$0	Property Owners		\$0
Equipment	\$0	DCL/CAC funding		\$0
Contract	\$0	Internal (please specify e.g. CFF Loan)		\$0
Overhead	\$0	Other External (please specify e.g. ICBC)		\$0
Other (specify basis) Contributions	\$300,000			\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>Total Other Funding Sources</b>		<b>\$0</b>
<b>COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:</b>				
<b>IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:</b>				
<b>(Added Basic – provide estimate details)</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	
	N/A	N/A	N/A	

**PROJECT SUMMARY:** The City will be creating a fund which will allow Chinatown family associations to access incentive funds for heritage building renovations. This fund will provide up front costs to be used to hire design or other consultants to do renovations and upgrades on the heritage buildings owned by family associations or benevolent societies.

**OBJECTIVES:** Of Chinatown's 32 heritage buildings, approximately 15 are owned by a family association or a benevolent society. The society meeting rooms in these buildings are of important heritage value. Currently, staff are working with the Chinese Canadian Historical Society to better understand the social and architectural history of these associations and their buildings. The intent is that these buildings be preserved as they are a vital and distinctive characteristic of the fabric of built form in Chinatown.

**SCOPE:** Staff are seeking to create the Chinatown Family Association Owned Heritage Building Renovation Incentives fund to provide special incentives for the family associations to upgrade these buildings and the unique design features and artifacts they contain.

**IMPACT OF DELAY**

Delay the revitalization of heritage buildings. Association Societies would not have the start up costs to revitalize their buildings.

**PROJECT TIMING:**

Start Date (month/year): to be determined upon council approval

Completion Date: (month/year): to be determined upon council approval

**FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:****Order Group:****Order Number:**

**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET**

Reference# O1-b

**DEPARTMENT:** Community Services Group  
**DIVISION:** Central Area Planning

**PROGRAM:** DTES Revitalization

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:**  
 Lease Subsidy Program

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

The Lease Subsidy Program is a strategic initiative to help achieve the City and Vancouver Agreement economic revitalization objectives in the Downtown Eastside.

**CROSS FUNCTIONAL PROJECT – NAME OTHER DEPARTMENTS:** N/A

	Project Total Cost	Previous Years Funding Carried Forward	2006 Advance Approval	Other Sources of Funding (from below)	Capital Budget Requested
Project Costs	\$358,000	\$58,000	\$0	\$0	\$300,000

<b>BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):</b>		<b>OTHER FUNDING SOURCES</b>	
Direct Labour	\$0	Senior Governments	\$0
Materials	\$0	Property Owners	\$0
Equipment	\$0	DCL/CAC funding	\$0
Contract	\$0	Internal (please specify e.g. CFF Loan)	\$0
Overhead	\$0	Other External (please specify e.g. ICBC)	\$0
Other (specify basis)	\$300,000		\$0
<b>Total</b>	\$300,000	<b>Total Other Funding Sources</b>	\$0
<b>COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:</b> N/A			
<b>IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:</b> (Added Basic – provide estimate details)	<b>2006</b> N/A	<b>2007</b> N/A	<b>2008</b> N/A

**PROJECT SUMMARY:** The details and scope of this project will be described further in a separate report to Council.

The overall project costs are estimated at \$600,000, of which only approximately \$350,000 will be required during 2006. The remaining funding will be required during the following program years.

Functional breakdown to be determined upon council approval.

**OBJECTIVES:**

- will supply after report to Council

**SCOPE:**

- will supply after report to Council

**IMPACT OF DELAY**

- will supply after report to Council

**PROJECT TIMING:**

**Start Date (monthly/year):** to be determined upon Council approval

**Completion Date (month/year):** to be determined upon Council approval

**FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:**

Order Group:

Order Number:

**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET**

Reference# O1-c

**DEPARTMENT:** Community Services Group  
**DIVISION:** Central Area Planning

**PROGRAM:** DTES Revitalization

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:**  
**W.I.S.H. Wellness Centre**

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

The WISH Wellness Centre will house a program providing a wide range of health services, including the needs of women with HIV and AIDS-related viruses, and will allow women who cannot, or do not, access primary health care an opportunity to do so in a safe environment.

**CROSS FUNCTIONAL PROJECT – NAME OTHER DEPARTMENTS:** N/A

	Project Total Cost	Previous Years Funding Carried Forward	2006 Advance Approval	Other Sources of Funding (from below)	Capital Budget Requested
<b>Project Costs</b>	<b>\$450,000</b>	<b>\$250,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$200,000</b>

<b>BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):</b>		<b>OTHER FUNDING SOURCES</b>	
Direct Labour	\$0	Senior Governments	\$0
Materials	\$0	Property Owners	\$0
Equipment	\$0	DCL/CAC funding	\$0
Contract	\$0	Internal (please specify e.g. CFF Loan)	\$0
Overhead	\$0	Other External (please specify e.g. ICBC)	\$0
Other (specify basis) Contributions	\$450,000		\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$450,000</b>	<b>Total Other Funding Sources</b>	<b>\$0</b>
<b>COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:</b>			
N/A			
<b>IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>
<b>(Added Basic – provide estimate details)</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A

**OBJECTIVES:** WISH is proposing to create a Wellness Centre where women will be able to access services 24 hours a day. The current WISH facility is located in the First United Church and the organization has access to less than 800 square feet for programming. A larger space is needed to adequately accommodate its current services in addressing the basic needs of women working in the survival sex trade.

WISH was the recipient of the VanCity \$1 million Award for 2003, received as contribution towards the wellness centre. The City's funds will be provided for renovation and other costs and are in addition to the \$1 million dollars, as well as other funds, from both WISH and the private sector.

**SCOPE:**

Funds will be needed to equip the Centre with a commercial kitchen, designated shower rooms, washrooms, and program-specific rooms, as well as to cover the costs of any potential building improvements needed to meet building by-law requirements. The total cost is therefore, in part, dependent on the building selected.

**IMPACT OF DELAY:** WISH and City staff have been working with the Vancouver Police and community residents and organizations to hear ideas and concern regarding the location of the 24/7 facility. WISH has also received a \$1 million grant from VanCity Credit Union towards the purchase of a facility. Funds for this project will need to be available immediately when a location is chosen.

**PROJECT TIMING:**

**Start Date (month/year):** subject of fulfillment of payment conditions

**Completion Date (month/year):** to be determined

**FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:**

**Order Group:**

**Order Number:**



**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET**

Reference# O1- d

**DEPARTMENT:** Community Services Group  
**DIVISION:** Central Area Planning

**PROGRAM:** DTES Revitalization

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:**  
 717 Princess Street Childcare Centre

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

Funds to renovate the facility at 717 Princess Street to provide for 89 licensed, quality childcare spaces in an area that is currently under-served.

**CROSS FUNCTIONAL PROJECT – NAME OTHER DEPARTMENTS:** N/A

	Project Total Cost	Previous Years Funding Carried Forward	2006 Advance Approval	Other Sources of Funding (from below)	Capital Budget Requested
Project Costs	\$450,000	\$250,000	\$0	\$0	\$200,000

<b>BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):</b>		<b>OTHER FUNDING SOURCES</b>	
Direct Labour	\$0	Senior Governments	\$0
Materials	\$0	Property Owners	\$0
Equipment	\$0	DCL/CAC funding	\$0
Contract	\$0	Internal (please specify e.g. CFF Loan)	\$0
Overhead	\$0	Other External (please specify e.g. ICBC)	\$0
Other (specify basis) Contributions	\$450,000		\$0
<b>Total</b>	\$450,000	<b>Total Other Funding Sources</b>	\$0
<b>COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:</b>			
<b>IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:</b> (Added Basic – provide estimate details)	<b>2006</b> N/A	<b>2007</b> N/A	<b>2008</b> N/A

**PROJECT SUMMARY:**

**OBJECTIVES:** In August 2004, the former St. Francis Xavier School daycare closed at this location, and the DTES community faced a loss in childcare spaces in one of the more under-served areas of the City. The Network of East Vancouver Coalition Organizations and Network Two Society partnered with Central City Mission Foundation to raise funds to purchase and renovate the facility to provide 89 licensed, quality childcare spaces.

The total project costs are estimated at over \$3 million dollars. The City's funding contribution to the project is in addition to the significant funds that both Central City Mission and the Ministry of Children and Family Development are contributing towards the purchase and renovation of the building (over \$2.5 million combined), among other funding partners.

**SCOPE:**

Funds will be used for start-up expenses and renovations, including expanding the kitchen to serve meals, install a lift to the second floor to provide wheelchair accessibility, replace the outdoor playground equipment and make repairs to the building to meet current standards.

**IMPACT OF DELAY:**

If funding not available will impact ability to leverage funding from both partners from the private and public sector and will cause building construction upgrade delays.

**PROJECT TIMING:**

**Start Date (month/year):** subject of fulfillment of payment conditions

**Completion Date (month/year):** to be determined

**FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:**

Order Group:

Order Number:

**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET**

Reference# O-2

**DEPARTMENT:** Community Services  
**DIVISION:** Current Planning

**PROGRAM:** Emerging Neighbourhood

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:**  
**Heritage Façade Rehabilitation Grant Program**

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

This program is available to assist owners with the costs of rehabilitating heritage building facades. The program covers 50% of costs up to a maximum of \$50,000 per principal façade, with the owner covering the balance. In order to be able to facilitate current and new applications for the next 12 months (till May 2007) an approval of additional funds of \$ 500,000 is requested. This amount, in addition to unused amount of approved 2003-2005 Capital Budget, is expected to provide for current Program requirements.

**CROSS FUNCTIONAL PROJECT – NAME OTHER DEPARTMENTS**

	Project Total Cost	Previous Years Funding Carried Forward	2006 Advance Approval	Other Sources of Funding (from below)	Capital Budget Requested
<b>Project Costs</b>	<b>\$500,000</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$500,000</b>

<b>BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):</b>		<b>OTHER FUNDING SOURCES</b>	
Direct Labour	\$0	Senior Governments	\$0
Materials	\$0	Property Owners	\$0
Equipment	\$0	DCL/CAC funding	\$0
Contract	\$0	Internal (please specify e.g. CFF Loan)	\$0
Overhead	\$0	Other External (please specify e.g. ICBC)	\$0
Other (specify basis)	\$500,000		\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$500,000</b>	<b>Total Other Funding Sources</b>	<b>\$0</b>
<b>COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:</b>			
n/a			
<b>IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>
<b>(Added Basic – provide estimate details)</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>

**PROJECT SUMMARY: (Consider Objectives, Scope, Timing, Costs, & Functional breakdown. Continue on page 2 if required)**

**OBJECTIVES:**

The objective is to enhance the exterior appearance of buildings in a manner that is sympathetic to the heritage character of the area and to encourage economic revitalization opportunities. A priority for this program is the rehabilitation of ground floor spaces for active uses such as retail and restaurants.

This is an integral part of a major City initiative to revitalize the downtown neighborhoods. The other component of the initiative includes the Heritage Building Rehabilitation Program, which offers incentives to owners such as transferable density and a property tax exemption of up to 10 years to assist with the costs of building rehabilitation and assists in introducing new uses and vitality to the area.

**SCOPE:**

Individual grants are allocated to specific projects. These can be stand alone or be a part of a comprehensive package of rehabilitation. Each grant is report to Council and requires Council approval.

**IMPACT OF DELAY**

n/a

**PROJECT TIMING:**

**Start Date (month/year) :** throughout the year depending on the grant application

**Completion Date(month/year):**

**FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:**

**Order Group:**

**Order Number:**

**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET**

Reference# U-5a

**DEPARTMENT: Corporate Services****PROGRAM: Other****DIVISION: Business Support Services, SAP Business Support****SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE: SAP Evolution – Phase 2****SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

Implement the next phase of the SAP evolution program:

- Establish SAP Netweaver environment,
- Complete the rollout of Managers Desktop functionality to management staff,
- Implement an SAP data copy tool,
- Implement/configure the SAP Real Estate module, and
- Begin the implementation of new functionality as prioritized by the City's business process owners (details noted below).

**CROSS FUNCTIONAL PROJECT – NAME OTHER DEPARTMENTS**

Corporate Information Technology, Real Estate Services, Financial Services, Budget Services, Human Resources, Engineering Services (IMS project), Community Services, Park Board

**Total 2006-2008 Capital Plan Funding = \$3,850,000**

	<b>Project Total Cost</b>	<b>Previous Years Funding Carried Forward</b>	<b>2006 Advance Approval</b>	<b>Other Sources of Funding (from below)</b>	<b>Capital Budget Requested</b>
<b>1<sup>st</sup> year Project Costs</b>	<b>\$1,268,000</b>	<b>\$372,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$196,000</b>	<b>\$700,000</b>

<b>BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):</b>		<b>OTHER FUNDING SOURCES</b>	
Direct Labour	\$86,000	Senior Governments	\$0
Materials	\$0	Property Owners	\$0
Equipment	\$0	DCL/CAC funding	\$0
Contract	\$764,000	Internal (please specify e.g. CFF Loan)	\$0
Overhead	\$0	Other External (please specify e.g. ICBC)	\$0
Other (hdwe-\$185k, training-\$67k, licenses-\$145k, contingency\$21K )	\$418,000	PEF	\$196,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$1,268,000</b>	<b>Total Other Funding Sources</b>	<b>\$196,000</b>

**COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:**SAP Netweaver

This initiative will provide the system environment that is necessary to enable cross system integration (required as part of the Engineering Infrastructure Management System initiative) as well as SAP web-based functionality (required for several initiatives, currently being planned for implementation – e.g. E-Recruiting, Strategic Enterprise Management and Self-Service Procurement).

Managers Desktop

The availability of additional licenses will provide managers primarily in Park Board + Community Services with quick/easy access to key personnel and financial information for their specific area of operation.

SAP Data Copy Tool

This tool will streamline SAP testing processes by reducing the effort required to copy data from one system to another. It will also enable SAP Business Support to satisfy the requirements of Provincial Bill #73 which disallows access to personal information from outside of Canada. The data copy/scramble tool will provide for the proper scrambling of sensitive data so that external SAP support resources can access the City SAP system for the purposes of maintenance without being exposed to personal information.

SAP Real Estate

The existing mainframe Real Estate management system is difficult to use, provides little/no validation of data entry and does not include the reporting that is necessary for effective portfolio analysis as it is not integrated in any way to the City's financial, asset and maintenance systems.

The SAP Real Estate module is an integrated solution (integrated with our existing SAP financial, asset and maintenance system) that addresses the existing Real Estate management issues noted above. It provides the information/reporting necessary to properly establish lease rates and to introduce triple net rents (tenant pays all expenses as per the industry norm). In addition, the introduction of SAP Real Estate is necessary to replace existing applications as part of the mainframe retirement.

**FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:****Order Group:****Order Number:**

**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET**

Reference# U-5a

**DEPARTMENT: Corporate Services**

continuation...

**DIVISION: Business Support Services, SAP Business Support****SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE: SAP Evolution – Phase 2**

<b>IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>
<b>(Added Basic – provide estimate details)</b>	<b>\$25,000</b>	<b>\$200,000</b>	<b>\$200,000</b>

As a result of the work undertaken in 2006, the impact on the operating budget is as follows:

- One SAP technical resource will be required to support the new Netweaver technical environment (~\$87k/yr) beginning in 2007.
- One SAP Business Analyst resource may also be required (~\$87k/yr) beginning in 2007, depending on the new SAP modules introduced (e.g. RE, PS/IM, and E-Recruiting).
- Also, the addition of \$145k in new SAP licensing will increase annual licensing costs by \$25k/yr (17% of \$145k).

The introduction of some new modules may further increase our need for licensing and therefore affect our annual licensing costs (estimates for this is not included in the above annual amounts).

**PROJECT SUMMARY:**

Since implementation of SAP the City's enterprise resource planning system in 1996, the SAP Business Support team has stabilized the system, optimized the original SAP 'footprint', and expanded the system into other areas. Following a system upgrade in 2005 to the new mySAP platform, the City is now positioned to take advantage of a number of 'web-enabled' and other improvements. This project submission is the first year of the 2006-2008 Capital Plan and covers the following areas.

**OBJECTIVES:**

- Improve SAP system usability and functionality in the areas of reporting and real estate management,
- Streamline system testing processes, and
- Prepare for the implementation of IMS and other web-enabled applications.

**SCOPE:**

The project scope includes the introduction of SAP Netweaver, the final rollout of Managers Desk Top to managers (primarily in the CSG and Park Board departments), the introduction of an SAP data copy/scrambling tool and the implementation of SAP Real Estate.

## 1. SAP Netweaver (\$235k)

*To establish SAP hardware environment (non-production) in order to accommodate the development of the Exchange Infrastructure (Xi), Business Intelligence (BI), Master Data Management (MDM) and Portal components of Netweaver. Implementation cost includes the procurement of one IBM server (~\$136k), 1 TB of Hard Drive space (~\$49k), Netweaver technical training (~\$30k) and consulting assistance (~\$20k)*

## 2. Managers Desktop (\$70k)

*To complete the rollout of Managers Desktop (MDT) functionality to departmental managers (primarily in the CSG and Park Board departments). Rollout cost includes the addition of ~ 80 SAP MDT licenses*

## 3. SAP Data Copy/Scrambling Tool (\$50k)

*To implement an SAP Data Copy/Scrambling tool. This tool will be used by the SAP Business Support team to copy SAP-related data between SAP systems and to scramble sensitive data for the purposes of system testing. Implementation cost includes Software (~\$50k)*

## 4. SAP Real Estate (RE) module (\$392k)

*To implement the SAP RE module as a replacement to the existing mainframe real estate applications (RM and RP). This system will be utilized by Corporate Services (Real Estate Services and Finance Services). Implementation cost includes Consulting (~\$244k), new SAP user licenses (~\$80k), new SAP user licenses (\$25K and Training/Other (~\$37k)*

**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET**

Reference# U-5a

**DEPARTMENT: Corporate Services**

....continuation

**DIVISION: Business Support Services, SAP Business Support****SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE: SAP Evolution – Phase 2****SCOPE – continued**

In addition, other SAP module implementations may also commence in 2006. The specific modules to be implemented starting in 2006 will be confirmed once the City business process owners have finalized a rollout schedule for the 2006-08 capital plan for SAP evolution (expected by mid year). Initiatives under consideration include (but are not limited to):

- SAP Project Systems and Investment Management (PS/IM),
- E-Recruiting
- Strategic Enterprise Management (SEM),
- Self-Service Procurement
- E-Learning.

The specific modules/projects to be undertaken will be reported separately to Council for approval. The portion of the total requested amount (\$1.07M) for these initiatives is \$500k. Although this amount will not cover all of the potential projects under consideration, it should be sufficient to cover the projects which may commence in 2006.

**IMPACT OF DELAY**

- The delay of Netweaver may also delay the Engineering IMS initiative.
- The delay of SAP Real Estate would potentially delay the retirement of the mainframe system (and hence result in ongoing mainframe operational costs).

**PROJECT TIMING:**

	<b>Start Date (month/year)</b>	<b>Completion Date (month/year)</b>
• SAP Netweaver	September 2006	December 2006
• Manager's DT	September 2006	December 2006
• Data Tool	October 2006	November 2006
• Real Estate	October 2006	March 2007

**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET**

Reference# U-6

**DEPARTMENT:** Corporate Services**PROGRAM:** Corporate Risk Data Management**DIVISION:** Risk & Emergency Management**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:** 3 Projects = Contract/Agreement Management, Property/Asset Tracking + Claims Management**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:** A corporate repository that tracks, updates, reports and facilitates management of:

- The City's liability exposures assumed through contracts/agreements.
- All City-owned + leased property + assets in which the City has insurable interests.
- The City's property, auto, liability and collection claims.

**CROSS FUNCTIONAL PROJECT – NAME OTHER DEPARTMENTS** City Clerk's Department, Legal Services, and other departments involved in contract management, all City departments involved in management + reporting of property assets and Engineering Services + Legal Services for Claims management.

**Total 2006-2008 Capital Plan Funding = \$600,000**

	Project Total Cost	Previous Years Funding Carried Forward	2006 Advance Approval	Other Sources of Funding (from below)	Capital Budget Requested
1 <sup>st</sup> year Project Costs	\$300,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$300,000

<b>BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):</b>		<b>OTHER FUNDING SOURCES</b>	
Direct Labour	\$0	Senior Governments	\$0
Materials	\$0	Property Owners	\$0
Equipment	\$0	DCL/CAC funding	\$0
Contract	\$185,000	Internal (please specify e.g. CFF Loan)	\$0
Overhead	\$0	Other External (please specify e.g. ICBC)	\$0
Risk & Emergency Mgmt support	\$25,000		
Business Support Services	\$50,000		
Corporate IT support	\$40,000		\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$300,000</b>	<b>Total Other Funding Sources</b>	<b>\$0</b>

**COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:** The City has a fiduciary duty to proactively identify + manage its contractual liability exposures, property assets + claims; + to keep current applicable data for possible defense + recoveries in the event of a claim/incident. In addition these projects will enhance workflow efficiency + data retention, + facilitate timely + accurate claims analyses.

<b>IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:</b> (Added Basic – provide estimate details)	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>
	\$	\$	\$

**OVERALL PROJECT SUMMARY:**

The City has a fiduciary duty to proactively identify exposures from legal/contractual obligations; to manage them through appropriate risk financing, transfer and controls; and in the event of a claim/incident, use the data as a basis for possible defense and recoveries.

As part of the Risk Management Implementation Project (*pertaining to contracts, insurance and claims*) endorsed by the Risk Management Committee in 2004, there is a need for a comprehensive corporate risk data management system for:

- 1) Contract/agreement management
- 2) Property/asset tracking
- 3) Claims management

**FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:****Order Group:****Order Number:**

...continuation...

**DEPARTMENT:** Corporate Services**PROGRAM:** Corporate Risk Data Management**DIVISION:** Risk & Emergency Management

The ultimate goals are:

- 1) To provide City users with a user-friendly tool to track, update and report risk data in a consistent, timely, and cost-effective manner
- 2) To create a corporate risk data repository that eliminates standalone databases and resultant operational inefficiencies
- 3) To ensure completeness and accuracy of corporate risk data to facilitate management of property and liability exposures to the City

Risk & Emergency Management will take the lead while Business Support and Corporate IT will provide the necessary assistance. The focus for 2006 will be to interview key stakeholders, define user needs for business process + functionality, develop requirements document and conduct fit-gap analyses on existing and to-be implemented systems. In addition, implementation of the Contract / Agreement Management Project will begin in 2006 due to its high priority for the Department. Currently, there is no central repository that all Departments consistently use.

The remaining two projects will be implemented in 2007 and 2008.

#### **OBJECTIVES for Contract/Agreement Management**

- 1) To provide users with a complete and accurate central repository of contracts/agreements that tracks, updates and reports the City's contractual liability exposures in a consistent, timely, and cost-effective manner.
- 2) To facilitate corporate and departmental administration and oversight of contractual transfer of risks; particularly in the areas of 3<sup>rd</sup> party proof of insurance, indemnity provision or request, performance bond, letter of credit, and limitation or release of liability.
- 3) To enhance the inter-department data gathering and reporting processes for insurance placement.

#### **OBJECTIVES for Property /Asset Tracking**

- 1) To provide users with a complete and accurate central repository that tracks, updates and reports City-owned and leased property and assets in which the City has insurable interests. Data includes, but is not limited to, property structure, occupancy type and status, availability of fire and burglar protection system, and replacement value for insurance placement purposes.
- 2) To enhance the inter-department data gathering and reporting processes for insurance placement.

#### **OBJECTIVES for Claims Management**

- 1) To enhance workflow management through real-time, multi-point access to electronic claim files by authorized individuals
- 2) To enhance data retention and intra-/inter-department communication capability (electronic vs. manual)
- 3) To enable a Citywide approach to claims analyses and loss prevention on a timely basis

#### **SCOPE:**

**2006** – Define R&EM needs and solicit input from applicable user departments; Corporate IT and SAP Business Support to assist with fit-gap analyses to determine whether SAP or other existing (or to-be implemented) systems/software provide the best functional fit

**2006 to 2008** – Conduct RFI/RFQ where appropriate; implementation; training; sustainment

#### **IMPACT OF DELAY:**

- Incomplete and/or inaccurate contractual liability exposure data results in ineffective and untimely risk management and reporting; claims investigation; and insurance placement.
- Incomplete and/or inaccurate property asset exposure data to facilitate cost-effective and timely risk management and reporting; claims investigation; and insurance placement. Uninsured/underinsured property and assets increase the City's financial exposures in the event of a loss or damage.
- Inefficient workflows and manual workaround; potentially incomplete claims data for corporate trend analyses and loss prevention strategies

#### **PROJECT TIMING:**

**Start Date (month/year):** April 2006

**Completion Date (month/year):** December 2008

**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET**

Reference# U7b

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK'S**  
**DIVISION: RECORDS AND ARCHIVES**

**PROGRAM: Records Management****SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:**

Electronic Records and Document Management System [ERDMS]

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:****CROSS FUNCTIONAL PROJECT – NAME OTHER DEPARTMENTS**

	Project Total Cost	Previous Years Funding Carried Forward	2006 Advance Approval	Other Sources of Funding (from below)	Capital Budget Requested
Project Costs	\$4.98 million	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,000,000

BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):		OTHER FUNDING SOURCES	
Direct Labour	\$860,000	Senior Governments	\$0
Materials	\$6,000	Property Owners	\$0
Equipment	\$4,800	DCL/CAC funding	\$0
Contract	\$82,000	Internal (please specify e.g. CFF Loan)	\$0
Overhead	\$32,200	Other External (please specify e.g. ICBC)	\$0
Other (specify basis)	\$15,000		\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$1,000,000</b>	<b>Total Other Funding Sources</b>	<b>\$0</b>

**COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:**

Improved document access. Eliminate wasteful document duplication. Produce measurable storage efficiencies  
 Offer collaboration and version control tools. Improved document access. Reduce legal risk.

IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET: (Added Basic – provide estimate details)	2006	2007	2008
	\$	\$	

**PROJECT SUMMARY: (Consider Objectives, Scope, Timing, Costs, & Functional breakdown.)**

**OBJECTIVES:** **Project objectives:** Build and implement a digital repository that manages [organizes, indexes, shares, maintains, disposes and archives] electronic documents and other types of digital objects as a core, enterprise-wide application. The basic business drivers are improved access, retrieval, collaboration, retention, reliability and authenticity, and security of electronic documents.

**2006-May 2007 objectives:** Hire management and core staff and initiate steering committee process. Draft and get approval for charter, business case and project plan. Initiate preparation and readiness plans for departments. Draft RFP based on core ERDMS functions and samples from other jurisdictions. Develop overview of change management plan for 2008 implementation. **It is expected that the Department will report back to Council on the project scope and cost by end of 2006.**

**SCOPE:** ERDMS is a core application residing on the SAN and available to desktops in all City departments. It is tightly integrated with MS office applications, including MS Outlook. ERDMS interfaces with existing document scanning/workflow applications and offers options for integration with SAP, GIS, IMS, Domino, etc. ERDMS identifies documents and records requiring permanent preservation and interfaces with the City's digital archives.

**PROJECT TIMING:**

Start Date (month/year) : 06/2006

Completion Date(month/year): Phase 1 – 12/2008 ; Phase 2 – 12/2010

**FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:**

Order Group:

Order Number:



...continuation...

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK'S**  
**DIVISION: RECORDS AND ARCHIVES**

**PROGRAM: Records Management**

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE**

Electronic Records and Document Management System [ERDMS]

**PROJECT SUMMARY (continued)**

**IMPACT OF DELAY:** Departments urgently need collaboration and document management tools. Wasteful duplication of document storage and poor version control will continue without a corporate-wide ERDMS solution in place.

**What is ERDMS?**

**ERDMS** manages [organizes, indexes, shares, maintains, disposes and archives] electronic documents and other types of digital objects in a single repository as a core, enterprise-wide application. The ERDMS repository is a smart repository. Its intelligence is two-fold: (1) its management of document life cycles is guided by VanRIMS – the corporate records classification and retention standard and (2) its functionality provides a wide array of document and records management tools.

**Key Business Drivers**

**Access** to document assets across the Corporation

**Improve retrieval, utilization, and response** for service operations

**Compliance** with records management standards and legal obligations

**Risk and liability** avoidance

**Common management framework** for vital information assets

- Document management interface** with existing office applications
- Common management framework** for retrieval, sharing, and disposition
- Common platform** for integration with existing business applications

**Rationale and Benefits - ERDMS:**

Standardize document management system used by all staff

Provide powerful search engines with keyword indexing, making document retrieval faster

Manage E-mail

Be a platform for cross-department and workgroup document sharing and collaboration

Eliminate wasteful document duplication

Produce measurable storage efficiencies

Offer collaboration and version control tools

Manage document retention and disposal using the Corporate rules in VanRIMS

Improve access to documents using VanRIMS as the taxonomy/folder hierarchy

Provide sophisticated audit trails to ensure the reliability of electronic documents

Enhance security at both the network and document levels

Manage compliance issues [as outlined in various industry standards and best practices including DoD 5015.2, ISO 15489, FOIPPA, Sarbanes/Oxley, etc.]

Provide a basic framework for content management

**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET**

Reference# W-1

**DEPARTMENT:** Corporate Services **PROGRAM:** Environmental Services  
**DIVISION:** Facilities Design and Management - Environmental Services

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:** Asbestos Abatement Projects in City-owned Buildings

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:** Asbestos materials to be removed as per the City's own asbestos compliance program

**CROSS FUNCTIONAL PROJECT – NAME OTHER DEPARTMENTS**

<b>Total 2006-2008 Capital Plan Funding = \$700,000 ; Funding Carried Forward = \$20,000</b>					
	<b>Project Total Cost</b>	<b>Previous Years Funding Carried Forward</b>	<b>2006 Advance Approval</b>	<b>Other Sources of Funding (from below)</b>	<b>Capital Budget Requested</b>
<b>1<sup>st</sup> year Project Costs</b>	<b>\$320,000</b>	<b>\$20,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$300,000</b>

<b>BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):</b>		<b>OTHER FUNDING SOURCES</b>	
Direct Labour	\$320,000	Senior Governments	\$0
Materials	\$0	Property Owners	\$0
Equipment	\$0	DCL/CAC funding	\$0
Contract	\$0	Internal (please specify e.g. CFF Loan)	\$0
Overhead	\$0	Other External (please specify e.g. ICBC)	\$0
Other (specify basis)	\$0		\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$320,000</b>	<b>Total Other Funding Sources</b>	<b>\$0</b>

**COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:**

The benefits of conducting the above work is to remove the risk associated with certain Hazardous materials that is a Health and Safety issue for City Staff and the General public and the reduction of sanctions from WCB.

<b>IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>
<b>(Added Basic – provide estimate details)</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

**PROJECT SUMMARY:****OBJECTIVES:**

To remove and dispose of hazardous material from areas that are can be a Health and Safety concern for City Staff and the public.

**SCOPE:**

City-owned facilities have been surveyed for Asbestos-contained materials (ACM) and a comprehensive Asbestos maintenance program is in place to comply with WCB regulation. However, due to the age of the material and the operating requirements, it is mandated by Workers' Compensation Board Regulations to remove certain damaged and delaminated Asbestos-containing material and other hazardous materials in City-owned buildings. The remaining ACM will remain in place under a maintenance program until the facilities are demolished.

- 1) C421 (City Hall) – City Hall Boiler Room Asbestos Abatement (boiler upgrade). Total Amount required \$110,000
- 2) A086 (Queen Elizabeth Theatre) – Boiler Room (boiler Replacement and building separation) Asbestos Abatement Total amount required \$180,000

**FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:**

Order Group:

Order Number:



**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET**

Reference# W2

**DEPARTMENT: CORPORATE SERVICES PROGRAM: CIVIC PROPERTY MANAGEMENT**  
**DIVISION: FACILITIES DESIGN AND MANAGEMENT - FACILITY DEVELOPMENT**

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:**  
**CITY HALL PRECINCT OFFICE UPGRADE**

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

**CROSS FUNCTIONAL PROJECT – NAME OTHER DEPARTMENTS**

**Total 2006-2008 Capital Plan Funding = \$700,000**

	Project Total Cost	Previous Years Funding Carried Forward	2006 Advance Approval	Other Sources of Funding (from below)	Capital Budget Requested
1 <sup>st</sup> year Project Costs	\$550,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$550,000

<b>BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):</b>		<b>OTHER FUNDING SOURCES</b>	
Direct Labour	\$150,000	Senior Governments	\$0
Materials	\$0	Property Owners	\$0
Equipment	\$0	DCL/CAC funding	\$0
Contract	\$400,000	Internal (please specify e.g. CFF Loan)	\$0
Overhead	\$0	Other External (please specify e.g. ICBC)	\$0
Other (specify basis)	\$0		\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$550,000</b>	<b>Total Other Funding Sources</b>	<b>\$0</b>

**COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:**

<b>IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>
<b>(Added Basic – provide estimate details)</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>

**PROJECT SUMMARY:**

The 2003-2005 Capital Plan provided \$600,000 to continue with the City Hall upgrading program including renovation of the 2<sup>nd</sup> floor Human Resources area, relocation of staff to the Training Centre on the subground level, conversion of Committee Room #2 to office use and general 3<sup>rd</sup> floor renovations. The upgrading program will continue in 2006-2008 with the following work.

**OBJECTIVES:** To upgrade and renovate office facilities within City Hall Precinct to accommodate new project teams, changing priorities and improve public access.

**IMPACT OF DELAY**

Inefficient use of training centre and potential delays to various projects requiring offices.  
 Inadequate accessibility to lower floors of Main Building

**PROJECT TIMING:**

**Start Date (month/year): April 2006**  
**Completion Date (month/year): December 2006**

**FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:**

Order Group:

Order Number:

...continuation...

---

**DEPARTMENT: CORPORATE SERVICES PROGRAM: CIVIC PROPERTY MANAGEMENT**  
**DIVISION: FACILITIES DESIGN AND MANAGEMENT - FACILITY DEVELOPMENT**

---

**SCOPE:**

Several projects are proposed to continue this program as follows:

**1. Training Centre Phase 2 Renovations**

The Training Centre has been relocated several times within City Hall Campus to accommodate other priority uses. The current location on the subground level of the Main Building was viewed as an interim use for this area, awaiting development of a new large public committee room. Organizational Development staff now have moved into the area on a more permanent basis. Phase 2 of this project will be to reconfigure the 4,500 sf remaining area to provide usable training rooms, SAP classrooms and break-out rooms. Considerable upgrading is required to the mechanical and electrical systems to accommodate this use. Ergonomic furniture has not been included in this work.

**2. Add Vertical Lift**

An accessibility improvement program began in mid-1980 and continued in subsequent Plans, has addressed many basic access problems in City-owned buildings. As improvements are made to public services within the City Hall Precinct, the need to improve access for persons with disabilities grows. The addition of a vertical lift at the subground level is proposed to improve physical access from the Training Centre, Strathcona and other Committee rooms on the subground level and East Wing to the Main Building.

**3. Office Upgrades on Fourth Floor, VanCity Building**

Office upgrades are required to accommodate additional staff on the third and fourth floors of the VanCity Building.

**4. Additional Facilities for Bicycle Commuters**

With the increase in bicycle commuters, additional facilities are anticipated to be required beyond the currently planned upgrades to lockers, showers, secure racks and parking areas.

**Total \$550,000**

**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET**

Reference# W-3-a

**DEPARTMENT: CORPORATE SERVICES****PROGRAM: Physical Plant Upgrades****DIVISION: FACILITIES DESIGN AND MANAGEMENT - BUILDING SERVICES****SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:****Interior Refurbishment- Flooring + Ceiling Program****SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

Ongoing replacement of floor coverings and ceiling tiles.

**CROSS FUNCTIONAL PROJECT – NAME OTHER DEPARTMENTS – N/A**

	<b>Project Total Cost</b>	<b>Previous Years Funding Carried Forward</b>	<b>2006 Advance Approval</b>	<b>Other Sources of Funding (from below)</b>	<b>Capital Budget Requested</b>
<b>2006 Project Costs</b>	<b>\$705,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$705,000</b>

<b>BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):</b>		<b>OTHER FUNDING SOURCES</b>	
Direct Labour	\$0	Senior Governments	\$0
Materials	\$0	Property Owners	\$0
Equipment	\$282,000	DCL/CAC funding	\$0
Contract	\$423,000	Internal (please specify e.g. CFF Loan)	\$0
Overhead	\$0	Other External (please specify e.g. ICBC)	\$0
Other (specify basis)	\$0		\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$705,000</b>	<b>Total Other Funding Sources</b>	<b>\$0</b>
<b>COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:</b>			
<b>IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>
<b>(Added Basic – provide estimate details)</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>

**PROJECT SUMMARY**

**OBJECTIVES:** Repainting and replacement of floor coverings, ceiling tile, stair nosing, furniture, lighting doors, parkade gates etc fall into the category of interior functional and aesthetic improvements. The maintenance mandate is to extend the quality of "what is" as long as possible. There is a point where simply because of age and heavy use these components must be replaced.

**SCOPE:**

Library Square Flooring -	\$225,000
PSB/312 Main Ceiling Tile/Flooring -	\$50,000
Orpheum Theatre – Auditorium	\$250,000
Carnegie – Flooring	\$50,000
2120 Cambie – Flooring	\$30,000
Gathering Place – Flooring	\$25,000
Van-City - Flooring	\$75,000

**IMPACT OF DELAY – Continued deterioration****PROJECT TIMING:****Start Date (month/year):** On receipt of funding.**Completion Date (month/year):** Determined through tendering process.**FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:****Order Group:****Order Number:**

**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET**

Reference# W-3-b

**DEPARTMENT: CORPORATE SERVICES****PROGRAM: Physical Plant Upgrades****DIVISION: FACILITIES DESIGN AND MANAGEMENT - BUILDING SERVICES****SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE: Interior Refurbishment****SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Painting/Patching****CROSS FUNCTIONAL PROJECT – NAME OTHER DEPARTMENTS: N/A**

	<b>Project Total Cost</b>	<b>Previous Years Funding Carried Forward</b>	<b>2006 Advance Approval</b>	<b>Other Sources of Funding (from below)</b>	<b>Capital Budget Requested</b>
<b>2006 Project Costs</b>	<b>\$130,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$130,000</b>

<b>BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):</b>		<b>OTHER FUNDING SOURCES</b>	
Direct Labour	\$0	Senior Governments	\$0
Materials	\$0	Property Owners	\$0
Equipment	\$52,000.00	DCL/CAC funding	\$0
Contract	\$78,000.00	Internal (please specify e.g. CFF Loan)	\$0
Overhead	\$0	Other External (please specify e.g. ICBC)	\$0
Other (specify basis)	\$0		\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$130,000</b>	<b>Total Other Funding Sources</b>	<b>\$0</b>
<b>COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:</b>			
<b>IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>
<b>(Added Basic – provide estimate details)</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>

**PROJECT SUMMARY:**

**OBJECTIVES:** Repainting and replacement of floor coverings, ceiling tile, stair nosing, furniture, lighting, doors, parkade gates, etc., fall into the category of interior functional and aesthetic improvements. The maintenance mandate is to extend the quality of "what is" as long as possible. There is a point where simply because of age and heavy use these components must be replaced.

**SCOPE:**

PSB/312 Annex -	\$20,000
2120 Cambie -	\$10,000
Carnegie Centre -	\$20,000
Marine Squad -	\$10,000
Gathering Place -	\$15,000
Orpheum -	\$10,000
Museums/PSC -	\$10,000
Van-City -	\$10,000
City Hall/East Wing -	\$15,000
5 East 8 <sup>th</sup> -	\$10,000

**IMPACT OF DELAY - Further deterioration****PROJECT TIMING:****Start Date (month/year): On receipt of order number****Completion Date (month/year): Determined with tendering process****FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:****Order Group:****Order Number:**

**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET**

Reference# W-3-c

**DEPARTMENT: CORPORATE SERVICES****PROGRAM: Physical Plant Upgrades****DIVISION: FACILITIES DESIGN AND MANAGEMENT - BUILDING SERVICES****SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:**

Exterior Repairs/Refurbishment

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:****CROSS FUNCTIONAL PROJECT – NAME OTHER DEPARTMENTS: N/A**

	Project Total Cost	Previous Years Funding Carried Forward	2006 Advance Approval	Other Sources of Funding (from below)	Capital Budget Requested
<b>2006 Project Costs</b>	<b>\$655,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$655,000</b>

<b>BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):</b>		<b>OTHER FUNDING SOURCES</b>	
Direct Labour	\$0	Senior Governments	\$0
Materials	\$0	Property Owners	\$0
Equipment	\$262,000	DCL/CAC funding	\$0
Contract	\$393,000	Internal (please specify e.g. CFF Loan)	\$0
Overhead	\$0	Other External (please specify e.g. ICBC)	\$0
Other (specify basis)	\$0		\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$655,000</b>	<b>Total Other Funding Sources</b>	<b>\$0</b>
<b>COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:</b>			
<b>IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>
<b>(Added Basic – provide estimate details)</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>

**PROJECT SUMMARY:**

**OBJECTIVES:** Repairs to exterior areas and surfaces due to spalling and delamination, aged caulking and prevention of water ingress are required for both aesthetic and safety reasons. Identified in the triage inventory these submissions carry on with the continued sustainability of facility envelopes.

**SCOPE:**

Library Square	Building Exterior Caulking	\$100,000
Museums	Pressure Wash/Seal Exterior	\$100,000
Van-City	Repair Delaminated Parking Garage	\$ 10,000
236 Cordova	Parkade Delamination	\$ 50,000
VPD 5 E. 8th	Parkade Gate	\$ 50,000
312 Main	Parkade Gate	\$ 40,000
City Hall	Resurface/Repair Concrete Stairs	\$50,000
City Hall	Seal Leaks in Structural Slab Various Areas	\$ 75,000
City Hall	Landscape Rejuvenation	\$100,000
City Hall	Irrigation System Replacement	\$ 80,000

**IMPACT OF DELAY: Continued deterioration.****PROJECT TIMING:****Start Date (month/year):** On receipt of funding**Completion Date (month/year):** Determined in tender process.**FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:****Order Group:****Order Number:**



**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET**

Reference# W-3-d

**DEPARTMENT: CORPORATE SERVICES****PROGRAM: Physical Plant Upgrades****DIVISION: FACILITIES DESIGN AND MANAGEMENT - BUILDING SERVICES****SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:****Plumbing and Drainage Replacement****SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

Ongoing replacement/upgrade of plumbing systems

**CROSS FUNCTIONAL PROJECT – NAME OTHER DEPARTMENTS: N/A**

	Project Total Cost	Previous Years Funding Carried Forward	2006 Advance Approval	Other Sources of Funding (from below)	Capital Budget Requested
<b>Project Costs</b>	<b>\$180,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$180,000</b>

<b>BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):</b>		<b>OTHER FUNDING SOURCES</b>	
Direct Labour	\$0	Senior Governments	\$0
Materials	\$0	Property Owners	\$0
Equipment	\$72,000	DCL/CAC funding	\$0
Contract	\$108,000	Internal (please specify e.g. CFF Loan)	\$0
Overhead	\$0	Other External (please specify e.g. ICBC)	\$0
Other (specify basis)	\$0		\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$180,000</b>	<b>Total Other Funding Sources</b>	<b>\$0</b>
<b>COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:</b>			
<b>IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:</b>			
<b>(Added Basic – provide estimate details)</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>
	\$	\$	\$

**PROJECT SUMMARY:**

**OBJECTIVES:** Failures in plumbing and drainage systems are a primary cause of building damage from material and health and safety perspectives. Mould forms from slow leaks in drainage systems, substantial damage occurs when aged water distribution systems develop leaks.

**SCOPE:**

Carnegie Centre Domestic Water Piping Repairs	\$100,000
Gathering Place Replace Hot Water Tanks with Boiler	\$80,000

**IMPACT OF DELAY : Continued deterioration and damage.****PROJECT TIMING:****Start Date (month/year): On receipt of funding.****Completion Date (month/year): Determined in tender process.****FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:****Order Group:****Order Number:**

**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET**

Reference# W-3-e

**DEPARTMENT: CORPORATE SERVICES****PROGRAM: Physical Plant Upgrades****DIVISION: FACILITIES DESIGN AND MANAGEMENT - BUILDING SERVICES****SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:** Fire Alarm and Life Safety**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

On going physical plant upgrades.

**CROSS FUNCTIONAL PROJECT – NAME OTHER DEPARTMENTS**

	<b>Project Total Cost</b>	<b>Previous Years Funding Carried Forward</b>	<b>2006 Advance Approval</b>	<b>Other Sources of Funding (from below)</b>	<b>Capital Budget Requested</b>
<b>Project Costs</b>	<b>\$200,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$200,000</b>

<b>BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):</b>		<b>OTHER FUNDING SOURCES</b>	
Direct Labour	\$0	Senior Governments	\$0
Materials	\$0	Property Owners	\$0
Equipment	\$100,000	DCL/CAC funding	\$0
Contract	\$100,000	Internal (please specify e.g. CFF Loan)	\$0
Overhead	\$0	Other External (please specify e.g. ICBC)	\$0
Other (specify basis)	\$0		\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$200,000</b>	<b>Total Other Funding Sources</b>	<b>\$0</b>
<b>COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:</b>			
<b>IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>
<b>(Added Basic – provide estimate details)</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>

**PROJECT SUMMARY**

**OBJECTIVES:** Fire alarm and sprinkler systems in listed facilities are becoming obsolete with replacement components increasingly difficult to obtain. Upgrades to these fire alarms, emergency power generators are required to ensure all codes are adhered to.

**SCOPE:**

Fire Alarms and Life Safety Programs:

Library Square	Lighting Dimmer Control Replacement	\$60,000
Van-City	Emergency Generator	\$140,000

**IMPACT OF DELAY: Further deterioration.****PROJECT TIMING:****Start Date (month/year):** On receipt of funding**Completion Date (month/year):** Determined through tendering process.**FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:****Order Group:****Order Number:**

**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET**

Reference# W-3-f

**DEPARTMENT: CORPORATE SERVICES****PROGRAM: Physical Plant Upgrades****DIVISION: FACILITIES DESIGN AND MANAGEMENT - BUILDING SERVICES****SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:**

HVAC

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

Ongoing replacement/upgrade of heating, ventilating and air-conditioning systems.

**CROSS FUNCTIONAL PROJECT – NAME OTHER DEPARTMENTS : N/A**

	<b>Project Total Cost</b>	<b>Previous Years Funding Carried Forward</b>	<b>2006 Advance Approval</b>	<b>Other Sources of Funding (from below)</b>	<b>Capital Budget Requested</b>
<b>Project Costs</b>	<b>\$185,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$185,000</b>

<b>BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):</b>		<b>OTHER FUNDING SOURCES</b>	
Direct Labour	\$0	Senior Governments	\$0
Materials	\$0	Property Owners	\$0
Equipment	\$95,000	DCL/CAC funding	\$0
Contract	\$90,000	Internal (please specify e.g. CFF Loan)	\$0
Overhead	\$0	Other External (please specify e.g. ICBC)	\$0
Other (specify basis)	\$0		\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$185,000</b>	<b>Total Other Funding Sources</b>	<b>\$0</b>
<b>COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:</b>			
<b>IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>
<b>(Added Basic – provide estimate details)</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>

**PROJECT SUMMARY:**

**OBJECTIVES:** Equipment and distribution systems as listed below have reached the end of their designed life expectancy as per ASHRAE guidelines. Continued replacement/upgrade of systems is required for both comfort and WCB air quality requirements.

**SCOPE:**

Orpheum Rooftop Units - \$60,000  
 Library Square Chillers - \$75,000  
 Gathering Place Heat Pumps - \$10,000  
 Carnegie Centre Rooftops - \$40,000

**IMPACT OF DELAY** – Increased breakdowns.

**PROJECT TIMING:**

**Start Date (month/year):** On receipt of funding.

**Completion Date (month/year):** Determined in tendering process

**FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:**

Order Group:

Order Number:

**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET**

Reference# W-3-g

**DEPARTMENT: CORPORATE SERVICES****PROGRAM: Physical Plant Upgrades****DIVISION: FACILITIES DESIGN AND MANAGEMENT - BUILDING SERVICES****SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:**

Elevators

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

Ongoing upgrade of elevators

**CROSS FUNCTIONAL PROJECT – NAME OTHER DEPARTMENTS: N/A**

	Project Total Cost	Previous Years Funding Carried Forward	2006 Advance Approval	Other Sources of Funding (from below)	Capital Budget Requested
Project Costs	\$300,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$300,000

<b>BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):</b>		<b>OTHER FUNDING SOURCES</b>	
Direct Labour	\$0	Senior Governments	\$0
Materials	\$0	Property Owners	\$0
Equipment	\$0	DCL/CAC funding	\$0
Contract	\$180,000	Internal (please specify e.g. CFF Loan)	\$0
Overhead	\$120,000	Other External (please specify e.g. ICBC)	\$0
Other (specify basis)	\$0		\$0
<b>Total</b>	\$300,000	<b>Total Other Funding Sources</b>	\$0
<b>COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:</b>			
<b>IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>
(Added Basic – provide estimate details)	\$	\$	\$

**PROJECT SUMMARY: (Consider Objectives, Scope, Timing, Costs, & Functional breakdown. Continue on page 2 if required)**

**OBJECTIVES:** As identified in Facilities Study many elevators are in the 40-50 year old range. Major components have worn to the point where reliable service cannot be ensured through regular preventive maintenance only. Modernization of controllers, travelling cables, hydraulic systems and handicapped accessibility is required.

**SCOPE:**

Orpheum West Coast Hall - \$160,000  
 Orpheum Handicapped Lift - \$35,000  
 VPD 5 East 8<sup>th</sup> - \$25,000  
 236 Cordova Annex - \$80,000

**IMPACT OF DELAY – Increased breakdowns.**

**PROJECT TIMING:**

Start Date (month/year): On receipt of funding  
 Completion Date (month/year): Determined in tendering process.

**FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:**

Order Group:

Order Number:

**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET**

Reference# W-3-h

**DEPARTMENT: CORPORATE SERVICES**  
**DIVISION: BUILDING SERVICES**

**PROGRAM: Physical Plant Upgrades**

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:**  
**Emergency Repairs for Major Equipment and System Replacement**

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**  
 Major Building Component Replacement

**CROSS FUNCTIONAL PROJECT – NAME OTHER DEPARTMENTS : N/A**

**Total 2006-2008 Capital Plan Funding = \$100,000**

	Project Total Cost	Previous Years Funding Carried Forward	2006 Advance Approval	Other Sources of Funding (from below)	Capital Budget Requested
<b>2006 Project Costs</b>	<b>\$100,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$100,000</b>

<b>BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):</b>		<b>OTHER FUNDING SOURCES</b>	
Direct Labour	\$0	Senior Governments	\$0
Materials	\$0	Property Owners	\$0
Equipment	\$60,000	DCL/CAC funding	\$0
Contract	\$40,000	Internal (please specify e.g. CFF Loan)	\$0
Overhead	\$0	Other External (please specify e.g. ICBC)	\$0
Other (specify basis)	\$0		\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$100,000</b>	<b>Total Other Funding Sources</b>	<b>\$0</b>

**COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:**

<b>IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>
<b>(Added Basic – provide estimate details)</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>

**PROJECT SUMMARY**

**OBJECTIVES:**

While the Capital Plan identifies most of the major equipment and system replacements and submissions were based on industry standards for equipment life expectancy, there can be unforeseen failures throughout the year. Boilers, chillers, electrical distribution and plumbing leaks are inevitable.

This funding will address these unforeseen failures.

**SCOPE:**

Scope will depend on the emergency repairs undertaken.

**IMPACT OF DELAY** – Inability to respond to the emergency repair as quickly as possible and possible cancellation of other projects.

**PROJECT TIMING:**

**Start Date (month/year) : As and when required**

**Completion Date(month/year): Determined in tendering process**

**FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:**

**Order Group:**

**Order Number:**

**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET**

Reference# W-3-i

**DEPARTMENT: CORPORATE SERVICES****PROGRAM: Physical Plant Upgrades – Non-profit Asset****DIVISION: FACILITIES DESIGN AND MANAGEMENT – BUILDING SERVICES****SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:**  
Non-Profit Maintenance and Restoration**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:****CROSS FUNCTIONAL PROJECT – NAME OTHER DEPARTMENTS – N/A****Total 2006-2008 Capital Funding = \$2,000,000**

	Project Total Cost	Previous Years Funding Carried Forward	2006 Advance Approval	Other Sources of Funding (from below)	Capital Budget Requested
1 <sup>st</sup> year Project Costs	\$700,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$700,000

<b>BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):</b>		<b>OTHER FUNDING SOURCES</b>	
Direct Labour	\$250,000	Senior Governments	\$0
Materials	\$50,000	Property Owners	\$0
Equipment	\$50,000	DCL/CAC funding	\$0
Contract	\$350,000	Internal (please specify e.g. CFF Loan)	\$0
Overhead	\$0	Other External (please specify e.g. ICBC)	\$0
Other (specify basis)	\$0		\$0
<b>Total</b>	\$700,000	<b>Total Other Funding Sources</b>	\$0
<b>COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:</b>			
<b>IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:</b>			
<b>(Added Basic – provide estimate details)</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>
	\$	\$	\$

**PROJECT SUMMARY****OBJECTIVES: Maintenance and restoration of Non-Profit Capital Assets****SCOPE:**

Aging physical plants in numerous buildings used for cultural purposes, fire halls and police facilities require continued upgrading over a series of Capital Plans. Similarly the Social/Cultural Facilities and amenities (approx. 77) which were transferred to Capital Assets in 2004 require the same diligence for sustainment. The 2006-2008 Capital Plan provided \$2.0 million for the following components: HVAC including, boilers, chillers/cooling towers, Elevators, Exterior + Interior upgrades, plumbing and drainage, Fire + Life safety. Significant capital investments will continue to be required over several plans to achieve predictive pro-active maintenance levels and address the sustainment of all projects identified in the sub-programs.

**FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:****Order Group:****Order Number:**

**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET**

Reference# W-3-i

...continuation...

**DEPARTMENT: CORPORATE SERVICES****PROGRAM: Physical Plant Upgrades – Non-profit Asset****DIVISION: FACILITIES DESIGN AND MANAGEMENT – BUILDING SERVICES****SCOPE – continued**

The facilities identified in this submission have not had a comprehensive triage inventory. The budget includes allowance for a temporary project manager to assess the Non-profit capital asset inventory as has been done with other capital asset portfolios and to implement the projects over a 3 year period, concurrent with the other capital asset work.

This submission consists of estimates for approx 1/3 of the program.

2006 will be first year for implementation of Interior, Exterior, Plumbing, Fire Alarm and Life Safety, HVAC and Elevator programs. Included in this request are funding for high priority repairs, assessment and project implementation.

## Breakdown estimated as follows:

Interior (Flooring, Ceiling Tile, Painting, Refinishes) -	\$150,000
Exteriors -	\$150,000
Plumbing -	\$50,000
Fire Alarm and Life Safety -	\$100,000
HVAC -	\$130,000
Elevators -	\$50,000

---

Assessment/Project Management -	\$70,000
---------------------------------	----------

**IMPACT OF DELAY – Continued deterioration of assets.****PROJECT TIMING:****Start Date (month/year): On receipt of funding****Completion Date (month/year): Determined by assessment and tendering process.**

**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET**

Reference# W-4-b

**DEPARTMENT: CORPORATE SERVICES PROGRAM: STRUCTURAL UPGRADING PROGRAM**  
**DIVISION: FACILITIES DESIGN AND MANAGEMENT - FACILITY DEVELOPMENT**

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:**  
**ROOFING AND FACADE UPGRADING PROGRAM**

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

**CROSS FUNCTIONAL PROJECT – NAME OTHER DEPARTMENTS**

**Total 2006-2008 Capital Plan Funding = \$600,000**

	Project Total Cost	Previous Years Funding Carried Forward	2006 Advance Approval	Other Sources of Funding (from below)	Capital Budget Requested
<b>1<sup>st</sup> year Project Costs</b>	<b>\$450,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$450,000</b>

<b>BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):</b>		<b>OTHER FUNDING SOURCES</b>	
Direct Labour	\$50,000	Senior Governments	\$0
Materials	\$0	Property Owners	\$0
Equipment	\$0	DCL/CAC funding	\$0
Contract	\$400,000	Internal (please specify e.g. CFF Loan)	\$0
Overhead	\$0	Other External (please specify e.g. ICBC)	\$0
Other (specify basis)	\$0		\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$450,000</b>	<b>Total Other Funding Sources</b>	<b>\$0</b>

**COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:**

<b>IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:</b> (Added Basic – provide estimate details)	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>
	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$</b>

**PROJECT SUMMARY**

**OBJECTIVES:** Ongoing program to replace roofs and insulation in buildings (Capital Assets) and to stabilize, clean and repaint heritage stonework facades.

**SCOPE:**

To repair deteriorating heritage windows in the Main Building, City Hall, a major designated landmark. The original wood windows consist of a traditional style frame and sill with a double operable tilt and slide sash. The windows are single glazed and there is no weather stripping where the sash meets the frame stop. Deterioration of the windows, primarily rot, is present and obvious. In the recent past, some window upgrade work has been completed. This work involved replacement of damaged sashes with new wood sash pieces fitted with double glazed units.

This project would address the restoration of the wood sashes and repair/replacement of the glass. Options include upgrading the sashes, retaining the original single pane for \$375,000 or also replacing the glass with low E for \$575,000 (replacement with low E needs to be assessed further because of the visible change in reflectivity which might result in an unacceptable "checkerboard" appearance).

**IMPACT OF DELAY**

Continued deterioration of windows in City Hall, a designated heritage landmark.

**PROJECT TIMING:**

**Start Date (month/year):** April 2006  
**Completion Date (month/year):** December 2006

**FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:**

**Order Group:** **Order Number:**



**2006 CAPITAL BUDGET**

Reference# W-7

**DEPARTMENT:** Corporate Services  
**DIVISION:** Information Technology

**PROGRAM:** IT Infrastructure

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT TITLE:** E-COMM – server room relocation/upgrade.

**SUB-PROGRAM or PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

Relocate Corporate Services IT server room in the E-COMM building to a larger server room which will include upgraded fire suppression, heating ventilating and air conditioning (HVAC) and power backup systems.

**CROSS FUNCTIONAL PROJECT**

Corporate Services – Facilities Design and Management

**Total 2006-2008 Capital Plan Funding = \$450,000 ; Other Sources (IT LT Financing Plan) = \$409,000**

	Project Total Cost	Previous Years Funding Carried Forward	2006 Advance Approval	Other Sources of Funding (from below)	Capital Budget Requested
<b>2006 Project Costs</b>	<b>\$859,000</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$409,000</b>	<b>\$450,000</b>

<b>BUDGET (Include functional breakdown):</b>		<b>OTHER FUNDING SOURCES</b>	
Direct Labour	\$15,000	Senior Governments	\$0
Materials	\$0	Property Owners	\$0
Equipment	\$287,000	DCL/CAC funding	\$0
Contract	\$557,000	Internal - IT Long Term Financing Plan	\$409,000
Overhead	\$0	Other External (please specify e.g. ICBC)	\$0
Other (specify basis)	\$0		\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$859,000</b>	<b>Total Other Funding Sources</b>	<b>\$409,000</b>

**COST SAVING AND OTHER BENEFITS:**

Reduced risk of systems malfunctions due to overheating in current server room.

<b>IMPACT ON OPERATING BUDGET:</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>
<b>(Added Basic – provide estimate details)</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

**PROJECT SUMMARY:**

Relocate Corporate Services IT server room within the E-COMM facility. The current server room is inadequate for current server equipment and cannot accommodate planned system expansion and mid term enterprise system needs.

The relocation includes upgrading the critical network physical infrastructure (CNPI) installing standardized server racking systems and reconfiguring the audio visual systems in the City of Vancouver Emergency Operation Center in the E-COMM facility. CNPI infrastructure includes special fire suppression systems, specialized air conditioning and ventilation systems and power backup systems.

The relocation provides sufficient space and capability for system current and intermediate term enterprise expansion and migration needs, is a scalable design which enables CNPI systems to upgrade to meet increased needs and is aligned with the City of Vancouver Emergency Plan and Corporate Services Emergency plan.

**SCOPE:**

Information Technology Infrastructure needs for the City of Vancouver departments and boards.

**IMPACT OF DELAY** Continued inability to relocate internal servers to E-COMM data center.

**PROJECT TIMING**

Summer/Fall of 2006.

**FOR BUDGET OFFICE USE ONLY:****Order Group:****Order Number:**

## APPENDIX 3

### CAPITAL CLOSEOUT AND CARRY FORWARD

#### CAPITAL CLOSEOUT

Below is a summary of the capital projects identified for close out in Building Management and Facility Development, Public Safety, Community Services and Other Capital, which include Information Technology, Library and sundry capital. Most of the accounts being closed were programs/projects funded from the 2000-2002 Capital Plan, and some were programs/projects provided in the 2003-2005 Capital Plan, for which, as a group, funding and expenditures occurred over a number of years.

The account summaries are based on the Capital Expenditure statement generated by the SAP system as of March 31, 2006.

The account details for each capital area are shown on the following pages. Explanations are provided for completed projects which have variances in excess of the established limit of \$50,000 and 15% of the approved budget.

Capital Projects with a total original budget of \$73.3 million were closed out, with a net surplus of \$2.7 million. The net overall unexpended balance resulting from the close-out in each area and the recommendation for allocation of the funding balances are summarized in the following sections.

**BUILDING MANAGEMENT AND FACILITY UPGRADES - CLOSEOUT**

<b>Project/Program</b>	<b>Total Budget</b>	<b>Actual as of March 31, 2006</b>	<b>Unexpended Balance</b>	<b>% of Budget</b>
<b>2000-2002 Projects</b>	<b>\$4,955,081</b>	<b>\$4,253,580</b>	<b>\$701,501</b>	<b>14.1%</b>
which includes:				
City Hall Client Centre & Campus Renovation* 312 Main Boiler Replacement Enhance City Hall Security Asbestos Mitigation Program City Hall Fire Alarm Phase 2 Orpheum Fire Alarm/Sprinkler System * Gas Detector Replacement				
* project with closeout balance exceeds \$50,000 & 15% of budget				
<b>2003-2005 Projects</b>	<b>\$2,013,400</b>	<b>\$2,049,556</b>	<b>(\$36,156)</b>	<b>-1.8%</b>
which includes :				
312 Main Chiller/AC Unit Replacement VPD Forensic Garage Ventilation/Safety QET/Orpheum Cooling Tower QET/Orpheum Freight Elevators QET Transformer Replacement QET Domestic Water Piping Replacement Museum Emergency Generator/Humidification Carnegie Centre/City Hall East Wing Elevators Vancity Building Boiler Replacement				
<b>Total for closeout</b>	<b>\$6,968,481</b>	<b>\$6,303,136</b>	<b>\$665,345</b>	<b>9.5%</b>

**Proposed Allocation of Funding Balance**

1) Facility Unallocated Account	\$519,420
2) Theatres - Unallocated	<u>\$145,925</u>
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$665,345</b>

Provided below are the explanations for Building Management and Facility projects that have unspent funding over \$50,000 and 15% of the budget:

**Program or Project: Client Centre and City Hall Precinct Upgrades**

Budget :	Actual :	Unexpended Balance :	% of Budget:
\$2,519,000	\$2,140,530	\$378,470	15%

In 1999, a number of office upgrade projects were started in City Hall Precinct to cope with departmental re-organization and accessibility improvement. Different renovation accounts were set up for individual projects. This City Hall Client Centre project covered renovation works in the Main building, West 10<sup>th</sup> Annex, and West Broadway offices. About \$315,000 of the expenses related to the West Broadway office had been charged to another Facility project, which was completed and closed out in previous year. In 2006, Engineering has requested funding for renovation/office upgrades to facilitate reorganization of the Transportation Division and \$300,000 is recommended to be allocated from the unspent balance remaining from the 2000-2002 City Hall Precinct projects to cover the upgrades to the 7<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> floors of City Hall.

**Program or Project: Orpheum Fire Alarm/Sprinkler**

Budget :	Actual :	Unexpended Balance :	% of Budget:
\$160,000	\$14,075	\$145,925	91.2%

This funding was approved for a partial upgrade of these life/safety systems. It was placed on hold pending plans to expand the Orpheum Stage. Major upgrades to these systems will be required in conjunction with that work. The unspent balance will be transferred to Theatres Unallocated capital pending review of the project scope and costs.

**PUBLIC SAFETY CLOSEOUT**

<b>Project/Program</b>	<b>Total Budget</b>	<b>Actual as of March 31, 2006</b>	<b>Unexpended Balance</b>	<b>% of Budget</b>
------------------------	---------------------	------------------------------------	---------------------------	--------------------

**FIRE**

<b>2000-2003 Capital Projects</b>	<b>\$570,000</b>	<b>\$574,798</b>	<b>(\$4,798)</b>	<b>1.0%</b>
-----------------------------------	------------------	------------------	------------------	-------------

which include:

Firehall #1 Renovation Renovation to Training Burning Tower Confined Space Structural raining Area
--

Proposed Allocation of Closeout Balances:

Fund shortfall from fire Unallocated

Capital (\$4,798)

**POLICE**

<b>2000-2004 Capital Projects</b>	<b>\$244,500</b>	<b>\$258,122</b>	<b>(13,622)</b>	<b>5.6%</b>
-----------------------------------	------------------	------------------	-----------------	-------------

which include:

VPD Fire Suppression/Ventilation VPD IT Security /Computer Area Network VPD building Security Upgrades
--

Proposed Allocation of Funding Balance

Fund Shortfall from Police Unallocated

Account (\$13,622)

COMMUNITY SERVICES CLOSEOUT

<b>Project/Program</b>	<b>Total Budget</b>	<b>Actual as of March 31, 2006</b>	<b>Unexpended Balance</b>	<b>% of Budget</b>
------------------------	---------------------	------------------------------------	---------------------------	--------------------

Social and Cultural Facilities

<b>2000-2004 Projects for Closeout</b>	<b>\$1,160,000</b>	<b>\$1,138,684</b>	<b>\$21,316</b>	<b>1.8%</b>
--	--------------------	--------------------	-----------------	-------------

which include:

- Little Mt Neighbourhood House
- Firehall Arts Centre Emergency Repair
- 1140 West Pender Capital Improvement
- Artist Studios
- Alliance for Arts and Culture

Proposed Allocation of Funding Balance

To Cultural Facility Unallocated	\$21,316
----------------------------------	----------

Capital Grants

<b>2000-2005 Projects for Closeout</b>	<b>\$163,180</b>	<b>\$143,180</b>	<b>\$20,,000</b>	<b>32.8%</b>
--	------------------	------------------	------------------	--------------

which include:

- Grant to Central Mission
- Grant to Taiwanese Cultural Centre
- Grant to various organizations

Proposed Allocation of Closeout Balance

To 2005 Capital Grants Unallocated	\$20,000
------------------------------------	----------

SECTION C

COMMUNITY SERVICES

Project/Program	Total Budget	Actual as of March 31, 2006	Unexpended Balance	% of Budget
-----------------	--------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------------	----------------

Childcare

<b>2000-2005 Projects for Closeout</b>	<b>\$1,688,809</b>	<b>\$1,536,498</b>	<b>\$152,311</b>	<b>9.4%</b>
--	--------------------	--------------------	------------------	-------------

which includes:

Pooh Corner Daycare Mole Hill Daycare Dorothy Lam Childcare
---

**Proposed Allocation of funding Balance**

To: Pooh corner Playground Equipment	\$44,198
To: Childcare Unallocated	<u>\$108,113</u>
<b>Total Allocation</b>	<b>\$152,311</b>

Downtown Eastside Initiatives

<b>2000-2002 Projects for Closeout</b>	<b>\$1,800,000</b>	<b>\$1,846,407</b>	<b>(\$46,407)</b>	<b>2.6%</b>
--	--------------------	--------------------	-------------------	-------------

which includes:

Reconstruct corner at Main & Hastings 390 Main Street Phase 1 Improvement Equipment for DTES Community Events
---

**Proposed Funding Source for Closeout Balance**

Fund from 2005 DTES Unallocated	(\$46,407)
---------------------------------	------------

Affordable Housing Fund

<b>2000-2003 Projects for Closeout</b>	<b>\$4,622,162</b>	<b>\$4,218,130</b>	<b>\$404,032</b>	<b>8.7%</b>
--	--------------------	--------------------	------------------	-------------

which includes:

Central Residence * Dominion Hotel Stanley/New Fountain Hotel 2002 Unallocated Account
---

**Proposed Allocation of Funding Balance**

To: Affordable Housing Fund	\$404,032
-----------------------------	-----------

Provided below is an explanation for a Community Service Initiative project that had a variance or unspent balance over \$50,000 and 15% of the budget:

<b>Program or Project:</b>	<b>Central Residence Renovation</b>		
Budget :	Actual :	Unexpended Balance :	Variance (%) :
\$1,720,000	\$1,343,780	\$376,220	21.8%

The Central Residence Renovation project was funded by BC Housing. In 2003, additional City funding was approved for this project to supplement the grant from BC Housing. The renovation project is now complete. As more funding from BC Housing was received than anticipated, there is a balance of \$376,220 remaining in this project account. This funding balance will be returned to the Affordable Housing Fund for future housing project.



**OTHER CAPITAL CLOSEOUT**

<b>Project/Program</b>	<b>Total Budget</b>	<b>Actual as of March 31, 2006</b>	<b>Unexpended Balance</b>	<b>% of Budget</b>
<b>Sundry Capital</b>				
<b>2000- 2003 Capital Projects</b>	<b>\$6,435,089</b>	<b>\$5,885,839</b>	<b>\$549,250</b>	<b>8.5%</b>

which include:

Improvement to GIS (Eng IS) * Fuel Dispensing System (funded from loan) DOMINO Third Phase (funded from loan) Power Smart Program 2002 (funded from loan) Handheld Ticketing System Parking Meter Replacement Museum Revitalization Phase 3
--

**Proposed Allocation of Funding Balance**

To 2006 Engineering IS - Unallocated	\$304,209
To: Museum Revitalization	<u>\$245,041</u>
<b>Total Allocation</b>	<b>\$549,250</b>

Provided below is the explanation for the major projects in the "Other Capital" category:

**Program or Project:            Museum Revitalization**

Budget :	Actual :	Unexpended Balance :	Variance (%) :
\$ 1,660,000	\$ 1,414,959	\$245,041	14.8%

The Museum Revitalization Program Phase 3 approved in 1999 was scheduled to implement in stages over a number of years. The exhibit master plan and the base building construction are complete. It is recommended that the remaining funding in this project account be brought forward to the current plan to fund the capital work continued in this area. Expected completion date of this project is by the end of 2006.

**OTHER CAPITAL CLOSEOUT**

<b>Project/Program</b>	<b>Total Budget</b>	<b>Actual as of March 31, 2006</b>	<b>Unexpended Balance</b>	<b>% of Budget</b>
------------------------	---------------------	------------------------------------	---------------------------	--------------------

**Information Technology**

<b>Pre 2000 to 2004 Capital</b>	<b>\$49,668,939</b>	<b>\$48,697,094</b>	<b>\$971,845</b>	<b>2%</b>
---------------------------------	---------------------	---------------------	------------------	-----------

which include:

1997-99 IT Infrastructure Replacement Program
2000-02 IT Infrastructure Replacement Program
Quickfind
Y2K Conversion
GIS/MAP Project
DBRR Project
BC AFIS Project
SAP Core System Implementation
SAP Stabilization
SAP Upgrade V4.6
SAP AM/PM Module
SAP Evolution Phase 1

**Proposed allocation of Closeout Balance**

To IT Infrastructure Unallocated	\$274,076
To GIS Improvement	\$24,503
To Information Systems Unallocated	<u>\$673,266</u>
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$971,845</b>

Provided below are the explanations for the major projects in the "Other Capital" category :

**Program or Project: IT Infrastructure Replacement Program**

Budget :	Actual :	Unexpended Balance :	Variance (%) :
\$13,005,814	\$12,731,7387	\$274,076	2.1%

The IT Infrastructure replacement program is an ongoing program funded from the IT Long Term Financing Plan. The program covers network, servers, desktop/PC replacement and security. This closeout includes:

- all projects in the 1997 to 1999 program, which has a total budget of \$8.2 million and a closeout surplus of \$0.7 million.
- various IT Infrastructure projects funded in the 2000 to 2002 program with the exception of the 2002-2004 Desktop/PC replacement. The total budget for the completed projects is \$4.85 million with a shortfall of \$0.4 million at closeout.

The 2000-2002 closeout deficit is related to timing issues as some network/desktop management works in 1997-99 were delayed for completion in subsequent years. Also, more works for priority PC replacement was carried out than expected. The shortfall will be offset by the unexpended funding from 1997-1999 capital closeouts. The net surplus of \$274,076 from the closeout will be transferred to Unallocated account for future IT Infrastructure capital programs.

**Program or Project: SAP Core System Development/Stabilization/Upgrades**

Budget :	Actual :	Unexpended Balance :	Variance (%) :
\$ 31,966,225	\$31,469,515	\$496,710	1.5%

The SAP core system development started in 1998 and the above totals represent the projects completed over the past few years. The total budget for the completed projects includes:

- \$21.3 million for SAP core system development and implementation
- \$8.9 million for SAP stabilization and ongoing business support and
- \$1.7 million for various system upgrades (e.g. upgrade to V4.6, AM/PM module).

The AM/PM project has an approved budget of \$0.8 million and has \$0.27 million funding remaining on completion of the project. The surplus is due to less actual costs for backfilling of staff during project development and the reduction in the project scope (GIS interface being postponed to future date). The funding remaining from these projects will be transferred to Information Systems Unallocated account for future IT projects.

**Program or Project: Improvement to GIS and other IS projects**

Budget :	Actual :	Unexpended Balance :	Variance (%) :
\$ 638,000	\$ 333,792	\$304,208	48%

The budget was to build out the Engineering Department's GIS capability (including the underlying technologies, the applications and analytical tools for both technology and business staff. Majority of these enhancements were achieved by the migration from the old VISION GIS platform to the Oracle Spatial. The remaining funding will be carried forward to 2006-2008 to develop additional analytical tools and capabilities, in parallel with the IMS project.

## CARRY FORWARD

For incomplete projects that are from capital plans earlier than the 2003-2005 Capital Plan, funding for these projects have been carried forward to the 2006-2008 Capital Plan. This was done to facilitate monitoring of budgets for projects that span across multiple plans and to ensure that funding for old projects were still required.

Capital projects with an original budget of \$2.3 million and a net unexpended balance of \$1.9 million were carried forward to the 2006-2008 Capital Plan. The account details for these projects are shown on the following pages with the explanations for those with unexpended balances of more than \$50,000 and 15% of the approved budget.

**BUILDING MANAGEMENT AND FACILITY UPGRADES – CARRY FORWARD**

<b>Project/Program</b>	<b>Total Budget</b>	<b>Actual as of March 31, 2006</b>	<b>Unexpended Balance</b>	<b>% of Budget</b>
<b>2000-2002 Projects</b>	<b>\$420,000</b>	<b>\$206,949</b>	<b>\$213,051</b>	<b>50.7%</b>
which includes:				
Public Safety Building Elevator Public Safety Building Upgrades				

Provided below are the explanations for incomplete Building Management and Facility project that require unspent funding to be carried forward for continuation of the project:

**Program or Project:            Public Safety Building and Elevator Upgrades**

Budget :	Actual :	Unexpended Balance	(%) of Budget:
\$420,000	\$206,949	\$213,051	50.7%

The 2000-2002 budget allocation of \$420,000 was for building and elevator upgrades to the VPD office buildings. Some of the planned upgrades were delayed due to the uncertainty of the re-location of the VPD offices and other facilities. The elevator upgrade at Cordova Annex is scheduled in 2006 and \$123,555 remaining from the previous plan will be carried forward to fund this project. Also, the unspent balance of \$89,496 from the interior upgrades will be carried forward to combine with the VPD Transitional Fund included in the 2006-2008 Capital Plan to cover the high priority upgrades for the existing VPD buildings.

**PUBLIC SAFETY CARRY FORWARD**

<b>Project/Program</b>	<b>Total Budget</b>	<b>Actual as of March 31, 2006</b>	<b>Unexpended Balance</b>	<b>% of Budget</b>
------------------------	---------------------	------------------------------------	---------------------------	--------------------

**POLICE**

<b>2000-2002 Capital Projects</b>	<b>\$450,000</b>	<b>\$5,000</b>	<b>\$445,000</b>	<b>98%</b>
-----------------------------------	------------------	----------------	------------------	------------

which include:

Relocate Dog Squad Facility
-----------------------------

Provided below are the explanations for Police project that has unspent funding to be carried forward:

**Program or Project:** Re-locate VPD Dog Squad Facility

<b>Budget :</b>	<b>Actual :</b>	<b>Unexpended Balance</b>	<b>% of Budget(%):</b>
\$450,000	\$5,000	\$445,000	

The VPD Canine Unit built in 1969 and located in the former Cambie Yards has gradually been engulfed by incompatible residential uses and has caused conflicts with the neighbourhood because of the noise complaints. The 2000-2002 Capital Plan provided \$450,000 to re-locate the facility, however, a satisfactory centrally located site, away from residential uses, could not be acquired and hence the project was deferred. The current facility is within the area designated as the site for the 2010 Winter Olympics athletes' village and the 2006-2008 Capital Plan included an additional \$1.2 million to construct a Dog Squad on a vacant City-owned site at Evans Avenue. The unspent funding from 2000-2002 will be carried forward and combined with the 2006 funding for the Dog Squad construction project.

COMMUNITY SERVICES CARRY FORWARD

Project/Program	Total Budget	Actual as of March 31, 2006	Unexpended Balance	% of Budget
-----------------	--------------	-----------------------------	--------------------	-------------

Social and Cultural Facilities

<b>2000-2002 Projects</b>	<b>\$120,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$120,000</b>	<b>100%</b>
---------------------------	------------------	------------	------------------	-------------

which include:

Vanier Park Signage Vanier Park Cultural Centre Improvement Hodson Manor
--

Carry Forward

To: Vanier Park Signage	\$50,000
To: Vanier Park Cultural Centre	\$50,000
To: Hodson Manor	<u>\$20,000</u>
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$120,000</b>

Capital Grants

<b>2000-2002 Projects</b>	<b>\$50,000</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$50,000</b>	<b>100%</b>
---------------------------	-----------------	------------	-----------------	-------------

which include:

Grant to Chinese Cultural Centre
----------------------------------

Carry forward

To Chinese Cultural Centre	\$50,000
----------------------------	----------



<b>Project/Program</b>	<b>Total Budget</b>	<b>Actual as of March 31, 2006</b>	<b>Unexpended Balance</b>	<b>% of Budget</b>
------------------------	---------------------	--	-------------------------------	------------------------

Childcare

<b>2000-2005 Projects</b>	<b>\$125,000</b>	<b>\$37,819</b>	<b>\$87,181</b>	<b>69.7%</b>
---------------------------	------------------	-----------------	-----------------	--------------

which includes:

Portable Childcare Repair *				
Brant Villa/Playhouse Childcare				

Carry Forward

To: Portable/Modular Childcare	\$68,638
To: Brant Street/Playhouse 2006	<u>\$18,543</u>
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$87,181</b>

Downtown Eastside Initiatives

<b>2000-2002 Projects</b>	<b>\$948,500</b>	<b>\$189,639</b>	<b>\$758,861</b>	<b>80%</b>
---------------------------	------------------	------------------	------------------	------------

which includes:

DTES Lease Subsidy 2000-2002				
DTES Tenant Improvement 2000-2002				
Chinatown Revitalization				
Pennsylvania Hotel *				
Blood Alley Study *				

**Carry Forward of Funding Balance**

To: Pennsylvania Hotel	\$450,000
To: DTES Lease Subsidy Program	\$186,000
To: Chinatown Revitalization	\$47,228
To: Blood Alley Study	<u>\$75,633</u>
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$758,861</b>

Provided below are explanations for incomplete Community Service Initiative projects that have unspent funding over \$50,000 to be carried forward:

**Program or Project:            Portable Childcare Repair**

Budget :	Actual :	Unexpended Balance :	% of Budget:
\$100,000	\$31,362	\$68,638	68.6%

The 2002 budget was to cover building repairs for the portable childcare facilities. Exterior painting was done for some of the childcare facilities and the funding remaining from 2002 will be carried forward to 2006-2008 for the ongoing portable/modular childcare repairs/maintenance.

**Program or Project:            Pennsylvania Hotel**

Budget :	Actual :	Unexpended Balance :	% of Budget:
\$450,000	\$0	\$450,000	100%

In July 2001, council approved a grant of \$500,000 (with \$450,000 funded from Downtown Eastside Capital and \$50,000 from Affordable Housing Fund) to the greater Vancouver Housing Corporation for the purchase and renovation of the Pennsylvania Hotel at 412 Carrall Street. The grant is contingent on completion of the renovations and registration of the Housing Agreement. This project has been delayed due to work required to secure external funding to cover the additional construction costs. The funding will be carried forward to 2006-2008 pending further review of the project cost and funding issue and report back to Council.

**Program or Project:            Blood Alley Study**

Budget :	Actual :	Unexpended Balance :	% of Budget:
\$82,500	\$6,867	\$75,633	91.7%

In July 2001 Council approved funding for a study to develop an achievable concept plan and revitalization strategy for Blood Alley, including the renovation of adjacent commercial spaces. The funding also allows for a review of the implication of the proposed incentives in the Gastown Heritage Management Plan. Due to the uncertainty of some sites surrounding the Blood Alley, the work was put on hold. The funding remaining from 2000-2002 will be carried forward and the work will be underway in late 2006 and early 2007.

**Program or Project:            DTES Lease Subsidy/Tenant Improvement Program**

Budget :	Actual :	Unexpended Balance :	% of Budget:
\$186,000	\$0	\$186,000	100%

The 2000-2002 Capital budget provided \$186,000 for the lease subsidy and tenant improvement program. The program has been delayed, pending on the completion of the Downtown Eastside Economic Revitalization Plan. Lease Subsidy and Tenant Improvement programs will be administered by the recently formed Building Opportunities with Business and that this will be reported to Council in June. The funding from 2000-2002 will be carried forward to combine with other funding for the Downtown Eastside Revitalization.

**OTHER CAPITAL CARRY FORWARD**

<b>Project/Program</b>	<b>Total Budget</b>	<b>Actual as of March 31, 2006</b>	<b>Unexpended Balance</b>	<b>% of Budget</b>
<b>Library</b>				
<b>2000-02 Capital Projects</b>	<b>\$250,000</b>	<b>\$60,148</b>	<b>\$189,852</b>	<b>76%</b>

which include:

Strathcona/Downtown Branch 2000-2001 Capital *
---

**Carry Forward**

To: 2006 Capital for Strathcona/Downtown Branch \$189,852

Provided below are explanations for Library project that requires funding to be carried forward:

**Program or Project:**            **Library - Strathcona/Downtown Branch**

<b>Budget :</b>	<b>Actual :</b>	<b>Unexpended Balance :</b>	<b>% of Budget:</b>
\$ 250,000	\$ 60,148	\$189,852	76%

The 2000-2002 Plan was to support the pre planning and preparation process for the Strathcona/Downtown library, which has been identified by Vancouver Public Library as one of the high priority neighbourhoods for service improvement. The 2006-2008 Capital Plan provides \$2.5 million for property acquisition and planning for this branch. The funding remaining from 2000-2002 will be carried forward and combined with the 2006-2008 capital for the Strathcona/DTES library project.