

Kennett, Bonnie

From: Ross Creasy s.22(1) Personal and Confidential
Sent: Wednesday, February 27, 2019 12:49 PM
To: Public Hearing
Subject: Re: 1768 Cook Street

This proposal should not be considered. This builder knew well in advance the size of their building - sneaking additional suites on top to offset costs is sneaky.

I say no.

Thank you,

Ross Creasy
s.22(1) Personal and Confidential

s.22(1) Personal and Confidential



Kennett, Bonnie

From: Broomemed s.22(1) Personal and Confidential
Sent: Wednesday, February 27, 2019 6:27 PM
To: Public Hearing
Subject: Rezoning Application for 1768 Cook Street

Dear Sir/Madam,

As I will be out of town on Tuesday March 12th, I am emailing my objection to this rezoning application proposal for 1768 Cook Street on the basis that it will have a very significant "shadowing" effect on my 15th floor apartment at the south west corner and across the street s.22(1) Personal and Confidential

To approve this application the city would be negating the conditions it has imposed for any additional building height to take place - namely, under "Design Guidelines " Section 4 (d)-Shadow profile effect on neighboring buildings.

I'm surprised that council are even considering allowing the developers to obtain approval for an increase in height of 21.5ft as this will obviously impact sunlight to the higher floors of both buildings in the s.22(1) Personal and Confidential of Columbia St.

Please present my objection to council.

Thank you,

Dr. Samuel Broome.

s.22(1) Personal and Confidential

Sent from my iPad

Kennett, Bonnie

From: John Cooper s.22(1) Personal and Confidential
Sent: Friday, March 01, 2019 8:57 PM
To: Public Hearing
Subject: Rezoning Application for 1768 Cook Street

Hi - why did the scheduling of this public hearing take so long? Notice of this proposal to increase the height was posted on the construction site at least 6 months ago before construction started. Construction is well underway - has the proposed height increase already been factored into the ongoing construction? We object to the increase in height as the proposed building may block more of the limited late afternoon sunlight that our building at s.22(1) Personal and Confidential, and especially our roof-top garden, will receive in the spring/fall.

John and Deb Cooper

s.22(1) Personal and Confidential

Kennett, Bonnie

From: Alicja Gorska
Sent: Saturday, March 02, 2019 12:49 PM
To: Public Hearing
Subject: Rezoning Application for 1768 Cook Street

s.22(1) Personal and Confidential

To Whom it May Concern,

I am strongly against approval of addition of one penthouse story to 1768 Cook Street development. Building is already massive and overpowering in design and should not be enlarged in any way. Developers will always ask for more. This area is getting more and more busy with large buildings that block views and cast shadows creating setting that is completely opposite to pleasant, human scale of the older parts of False Creek area.

It also appears that the penthouse level consists of a 5-bedroom luxury suite. We already have lots of luxury, unaffordable places to live in Vancouver. If developer really wants to have that penthouse suite they may need to remove one of the standard floors in order to stay within the zoning parameters, but excluding that penthouse unit from construction is my vote.

Regards,
Alicja Gorska

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

Kennett, Bonnie

From: Howard Nemtin
Sent: Monday, March 04, 2019 10:53 AM
To: Public Hearing
Subject: Rezoning Application for 1768 Cook Street

s.22(1) Personal and Confidential

Dear Mayor and Councillors,

Re: Public Hearing for Rezoning Application 1768 Cook Street

I am writing to express our opposition to the rezoning application to allow additional density and height for the development at 1768 Cook Street.

We live in s.22(1) Personal and Confidential which is located directly across the street from the proposed expanded development. Our suite is negatively affected by the increase in height due to blocked visibility and negative impact on value.

This proposal is being considered under the Southeast False Creek Design Guidelines For Additional Penthouse Storeys. Under paragraph 4 of the Guidelines it states "the size, shape and expression of the additional height and floor space must: (d) minimize negative impacts on and off-site, including neighbouring buildings, view obstructions, privacy ...". In addition, this application is proposing a 5,025 square foot penthouse that is 21 feet high situated on top of the current approved building. This monster penthouse contravenes the intent of the Guidelines which states should "a) reinforcing the neighbourhood's prevailing character" and "(j) complement adjacent development".

It is interesting to note that the application downplays this aspect of the proposal.

We have lived and enjoyed our home for over five years. . The current proposed rezoning would increase the height of 1768 Cook Street by a further 21 feet thereby blocking our view of the entire south-west quadrant. It would have a detrimental effect on the use and enjoyment of our suite. The original development, now under construction, envisioned a 16 storey building with a height of 154 feet. It complied with the South-East False Creek Official Community Plan and passed a rigorous review of the Development Permit Board and Design Panel. It is an attractive building that complements the area. The proposal for additional height and density is in contravention of the current guidelines for additional penthouse storeys and does nothing to enhance the neighbourhood.

Thank you,

Howard and Coleen Nemtin