

Ludwig, Nicole

From: Pruthviraj Mule s.22(1) Personal and Confidential
Sent: Monday, June 25, 2018 4:26 PM
To: Public Hearing
Subject: Jun 26, 2018 6:00 PM Public Hearing Grandview-Woodland area

Dear Mayor and Council:

I am writing in support of Increasing Housing Choice in Grandview-Woodland area. I have been following the Grandview-woodland zoning proposal for a few months(Specially 2400 block of Charles/Kitchener street). It would be nice to see some of the zoning behind shopping nodes which would provide the extra suite(townhouses with secondary suites) that can be rented out to support mortgage or have when parents/guests join us.

For such a central location(convenient for transit, biking , parks and vibrant but less expensive) townhouses with secondary suites would be an added benefit for families dream house.

I urge Mayor and councils to consider rezoning of the houses on Grandview-Woodland area and include lots in the 2400 block of Charles/Kitchener street in the 1.45 FSR arterial street townhouse zone group.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Regards,
Pruthviraj M.

Ludwig, Nicole

From: David Maloon s.22(1) Personal and Confidential
Sent: Tuesday, June 26, 2018 1:21 AM
To: Public Hearing
Subject: Re: June 26, 2018 Public Hearing Grandview-Woodland zoning

Hi there,

I am in support of rezoning in general and would like the houses on the 2400-block of Charles and Kitchener St. to be reconsidered as an arterial street townhouse zone.

Regards,
David

On Wed, Jun 20, 2018 at 10:43 PM David Maloon s.22(1) Personal and Confidential wrote:
Hi,

My partner and I have been looking for a property in East Vancouver off and on over the last year. We have spent considerable time looking in the Grandview-Woodland area for its central location, optimal transit/biking routes, and community vibe. It was recently brought to my attention that houses on the 2400-block of Charles and Kitchener St. are being resized which would not allow enough space for secondary suites. For such a great location, it's disappointing that the new townhouses are unlikely to include a mortgage-helper to assist with their affordability. Is there any chance this zoning can be reconsidered?

Regards,
David

Ludwig, Nicole

From: kamal singh s.22(1) Personal and Confidential
Sent: Monday, June 25, 2018 3:58 PM
To: Public Hearing
Subject: Re: June 26, 2018 Public Hearing Grandview-Woodland zoning

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Hi,

I just wanted to clarify on my below email that I am in support of the rezoning but would like to see the 6 lots in the 2400 block of Charles/Kitchener street to be included in the 1.45 FSR arterial street townhouse zone group.

Yours sincerely,
Kamal

On Mon, Jun 18, 2018 at 9:42 PM, kamal singh s.22(1) Personal and Confidential wrote:
Hi,

I am writing this email to voice my concern about a recent proposal to decrease the zoning of a group on 2400 block of Kitchener and Charles Street.

We have been looking for such place mainly because it was near to schools, parks, shopping and transit. Now if the density is decreased it would become less affordable and out of reach for us.

Please reconsider changing the designation of the houses on 2400 block of Charles and Kitchener Street.

Yours sincerely,
Kamal Singh

Ludwig, Nicole

From: Bijan Pourkarimi s.22(1) Personal and Confidential
Sent: Monday, June 25, 2018 5:12 PM
To: Public Hearing
Cc: Jang, Kerry; Reimer, Andrea; Louie, Raymond; Carr, Adriane; Affleck, George; Deal, Heather; Bremner, Hector
Subject: RE: Grandview-Woodland zoning

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

I had submitted a letter in support of the rezoning of the 6 lots in the 2400 block of Charles/Kitchener Streets (please see below). I noticed that my letter was incorrectly categorized as opposing the rezoning. I would like to clarify that I support the rezoning to higher density in general and more specifically I support rezoning of the 6 lots in the 2400 block of Charles/Kitchener streets to be included in the 1.45 FSR arterial street townhouse zone grouping.

Bijan Pourkarimi, P.Eng.
Energitix Management & Consulting Corporation

s.22(1) Personal and Confidential

From: Bijan Pourkarimi s.22(1) Personal and Confidential
Sent: June 17, 2018 8:29 PM
To: 'publichearing@vancouver.ca' <publichearing@vancouver.ca>
Cc: 'CLRjang@vancouver.ca' <CLRjang@vancouver.ca>; 'CLRreimer@vancouver.ca' <CLRreimer@vancouver.ca>; 'CLRlouie@vancouver.ca' <CLRlouie@vancouver.ca>; 'CLRcarr@vancouver.ca' <CLRcarr@vancouver.ca>; 'CLRaffleck@vancouver.ca' <CLRaffleck@vancouver.ca>; 'CLRdeal@vancouver.ca' <CLRdeal@vancouver.ca>; 'hector.bremner@vancouver.ca' <hector.bremner@vancouver.ca>
Subject: Grandview-Woodland zoning

I understand that the City has reduced the proposed density for the Grandview-Woodland area on the 2400 block of Kitchener and Charles Streets. I am very surprised and disappointed to hear this, as the reduced density completely contradicts the City's desire to offer more affordable housing options for Vancouverites and higher density near commercial centres.

The City has allowed higher density near commercial centres in many areas of the City and I support higher density for the 2400 block of Kitchener and Charles Streets behind the retail buildings on Nanaimo. The higher density would offer townhouses with secondary suites, which would allow our family of 4 to move to a quieter street in a vibrant but less expensive part of Vancouver, which is close to schools, parks, and other amenities as well as bike routes and public transit. This type of development in the area would allow us to rent the suite to generate additional income to support the high cost of housing in Vancouver and provide affordable rental options for others. It would allow us to live and raise our children in a diverse community in Vancouver. It would also reduce my commute time and allow me to bike to work or take transit rather than drive to work.

But now that the City is proposing to reduce the density to allow for regular townhomes with no legal secondary suites, the cost of owning a home in Vancouver will be out of our reach even though as an engineer, I have high paying job. This change will mean we have move further away from Vancouver and commute by car everyday. It also means we have to rely on our cars for day to day tasks, such as taking the kids to school and parks.

I would therefore urge the City and Council to reconsider reducing the density for the 2400 block of Charles and Kitchener street.

Bijan Pourkarimi, P.Eng.

Energitix Management & Consulting Corporation



Virus-free. www.avast.com

Ludwig, Nicole

From: ssp wong
Sent: Tuesday, June 26, 2018 8:33 AM
To: Public Hearing
Subject: Re: Grandview-Woodland Rezoning

s.22(1) Personal and Confidential

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Hello,

Thank you for reviewing my email. I just found out that my email went to the "Opposed" category instead of the "Support" category in your City Council Public Hearing web site (Distribution Date 2018/06/25).

My intention is to support the rezoning for higher density housing but also would like to include the other houses in 2400 of Charles and Kitchener Street for increasing its housing choice and density.

Please re-categorize my email as "Support". Thanks for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,
Sue Wong

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 25, 2018, at 4:16 PM, ssp wong wrote:

s.22(1) Personal and Confidential

Hello,

I am in support of the extra zoning for the Grandview-Woodland area especially the need for units with in-law suites.

I've noticed in the recent zoning for townhomes doesn't include all the properties that had gone to the open houses, 2400 block of Charles and Kitchener street, even though there was positive input from people for additional density.

Vancouver needs to provide affordable housing for people to move closer to their place of work. These properties allow that and the added benefit is that they are just off the main street which provides a quiet environment for people to live in and away from the sound of traffic. The added suite helps with mortgage payments and expenses as we get older we still want to live here due to it's proximity to shopping, transit, and community centers.

Please reconsider adding these units back to the zoning required to build the additional suites.
Thanks.

Sincerely,
Sue Wong