

Isfeld, Lori

From: Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office
Sent: Tuesday, June 21, 2016 10:18 AM
To: Public Hearing
Subject: FW: Proposed Amendments to East Fraserlands ODP, Petition Not In Favour Of Moving Daycare
Attachments: save-riverfront-park 2016 June 21.pdf

From: Miles Morin 5.22(1) Personal and Confidential
Sent: Tuesday, June 21, 2016 9:42 AM
To: Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office
Subject: Proposed Amendments to East Fraserlands ODP, Petition Not In Favour Of Moving Daycare

Hello,

Please see the attached petition regarding the Proposed Amendments to East Fraserlands ODP. This pertains to the council meeting scheduled for this evening, June 21 2016. This petition is in opposition to moving the daycare from the original location in the East Fraser Lands to the Riverfront Park in West Fraser Lands.

While we have had very little time to react to the City's proposal we were still able to gather over 280 signatures and many comments. The opposition to the removal of ANY of the park space from this park is growing exponentially. The community feels that our green spaces are under attack, and moving this daycare is an excuse to make room for more residential construction.

We have seen an incredible amount of residential building in this area, yet prices keep going up, and homelessness is on the rise:

<http://vancouver.ca/news-calendar/city-releases-2016-homeless-count-results-updates-low-income-housing.aspx>

This suggests that increasing residential supply/density may not be the solution. This coupled with the removal of our limited green space to make room for more construction seems particularly illogical. The community is opposed to this amendment, and would like the zoning for the Riverfront Park to be changed to park zoning. Once the community loses this green space it will be gone forever.

Thank you,

Miles Morin
saveourpark.ca



This petition has collected
287 signatures
using the online tools at iPetitions.com

Printed on 2016-06-21

Save Riverfront Park

About this petition

The City of Vancouver wants to move the development site for a daycare from the River District Development into the River Front Park. This will take up a large portion of the grass field that many of us enjoy.

It's not too late, tell the City of Vancouver you don't want this to happen to our park. They should build the daycare on the original location and leave Riverfront park alone.

This petition will be presented to City Council on Tuesday, June 21 at 6pm. You can also register to speak at this council hearing:

publichearing@vancouver.ca or Public Hearing phoneline: 604-829-4238

Don't wait! Space is limited at these hearings.

Visit saveourpark.ca for more information

Signatures

1. Name: Miles Morin on 2016-05-07 03:05:49
Comments: How can Vision Vancouver promote Vancouver as the "Greenest City In the World" while they cut down more trees than any council in the history of the city

2. Name: Jeanette Lam on 2016-05-07 03:37:22
Comments: My family and I cherish this park, and use it frequently. Please do not take any of this park away from us.

3. Name: Kathleen Lam on 2016-05-07 04:00:33
Comments:

4. Name: mario amabile on 2016-05-07 04:13:00
Comments: A happy community exists in a place, and a city that houses this community now wants to impose invasive change on it.

With all the money the city hall is making from the Cambie and Oak corridor re-developments, is it not time to keep some places un-changed, happy, self sufficient.

Please leave this neighbourhood the way it is.

5. Name: Barry Mooney on 2016-05-07 05:06:04
Comments: Keep the Day Care in East Fraserlands.

6. Name: Rita Fischer on 2016-05-07 21:04:30
Comments: This open greenspace has served and is used as a park for 20 years already. 3038 E Kent Ave. S which is the Southampton Apartment building has West facing yards which are an extension of this greenspace and putting a noisy daycare in our backyard is completely unacceptable and a blatant disregard for the owners in Southampton. Rezone this outdated zoning from 1986 - the City has decided, since then, to place residents in this area therefore this open greenspace needs to be saved and rezoned to "greenspace in perpetuity" and continue to be used as it has been for 20 years.

7. Name: Barbara Livingston on 2016-05-07 21:21:01
Comments: Build the daycare on the original site and leave Riverfront Park alone! So many people use this park! It would be a terrible mistake to build a daycare there!

8. Name: Mike Winsman on 2016-05-07 21:46:54
Comments:

9. Name: Rob Howatson on 2016-05-08 05:15:15
Comments: The city wants to put a daycare atop a downtown parkade and may allow one in a tower complex at Marine and Fraser, yet the planners can't find a site for childcare in the sprawling River District. They need to ask the mayor to meet with Wesgroup to work

this mess out. The residents of West Fraserlands should not have to sacrifice a single square foot of green space for amenities that are promised to us in the East Fraserlands plan.

10. Name: Mima Wilson on 2016-05-08 17:02:31
Comments:

11. Name: Beverley and Robert Goodwin on 2016-05-08 17:47:11
Comments: We believe that the needs for this day care facility is more critically needed to the north of Marine Drive and that the parkland area is unsuitable as the City is becoming so densely developed that maintaining this piece of property as is, becomes more critical for both the residents of the area and other Vancouverites.

12. Name: Liz Choquette on 2016-05-08 18:32:19
Comments: I have lived in the fraserlands for 27 years. I do not want the little bit of green space we have, used for a day care to service the river district. Use the original boundary location, that you have let a Polygon destroy already.
For a city that promotes green you have left none here by the river for humans, animals and birds to enjoy.

13. Name: Michelle Mollineaux on 2016-05-08 18:57:30
Comments: It is so disgusting that the city and developers are stripping away our precious green space in the name of money and greed. You already has a space planned for the daycare and yet you want to take almost half of our park for this daycare. What is so disgusting is there is over 84 acres of land that you are only building 1 and 2 bedroom condos that only less than 10% is suitable for high income families.

What is more insulting is that your staff tell me to my face that they are not taking up green space because the roof with be green. Hello! I can't walk my dog up there, I can't play soccer with my son on a roof and I won't be seeing the rest of the community on a roof for an evening gathering in the park. This greed and reckless development in our community has to stop.

Keep the daycare where it was supposed to be and LEAVE OUR PARK ALONE.

14. Name: JOANNE SMITH on 2016-05-08 20:48:41
Comments:

15. Name: Carol MacDonald on 2016-05-08 21:24:51
Comments: Greenspace is a necessity as Vancouver is already overdeveloped with condos etc... This area is proposed to house 18,000 more residents over the next 10 years ..so it's important we factor in parks etc to this area.

16. Name: Thomas Anfield on 2016-05-09 02:37:55
Comments: This Park is a resource to the community but to the city at large . its this field that makes the riverwalk park so enticing to the many people that come here to use the beautiful trails and enjoy the pier etc, THis development destroys the aesthetic which will

lead to the rest of the field being transformed as well. Why move ? wasn't the original idea something agreed to by the developers on the parcels now being developed? there is plenty of space over to the east, THE REAL QUESTION IS WHAT ARE THEY DOING WITH THE ORIGINAL SITE? and why?

17. Name: David Lam on 2016-05-09 04:03:00
Comments: Please build the daycare where originally intended in the giant development east of Kerr.

We were so sad to see the thousands of trees cut down for this development. Please leave this frequently used park "as is".

18. Name: Lisa Paulson on 2016-05-09 05:03:21
Comments: This is a park and should be left as park. A park is a large, green area for the enjoyment and recreation where families and people can meet and relax and enjoy the green space with their friends and family. Its place where residents and pets and children can play in a open space. This park is enjoyed by many and also in the summer this park is used by sport teams which brings a community together. This park needs to be rezoned as "park" and left to the community not as daycare sight or anything else. Also, the people who live on this park will affected. Twenty five feet out from their property line is minimal and ridiculous.
Building a daycare will affect the people of area, the environment and the spirit of the river district.
-

19. Name: Donovan Musson on 2016-05-09 05:15:35
Comments:
-

20. Name: Katie M on 2016-05-09 05:16:19
Comments: We don't need more buildings in this area. This is the only green space we have, and it is cruel to take it away.
-

21. Name: Giselle Miller on 2016-05-09 05:56:39
Comments:
-

22. Name: Joanne bruce on 2016-05-09 06:00:57
Comments:
-

23. Name: Raine Paulson-Andrews on 2016-05-09 08:09:14
Comments: The Riverfront community park is a very important green space for this growing neighborhood. It is a place where we form positive relationships with our neighbors, a place where we play with our children and a place where our dogs can walk and exercise. Riverfront Park is an important heartbeat of this community. I am an architect, in my opinion, it seems quite ill conceived to just plop a large daycare down yards away from people's private residences. By doing this, the Riverfront community will be severely severed. Please reconsider the enormous cost this will have on this beautifully growing community. Please rezone as a park and protect this important green

space that this community so desperately wants to keep. We choose our beautiful park over pavement.

-
24. Name: Jan Quiring on 2016-05-09 14:42:05
Comments: This is an invaluable green play and gathering space for our community. Please deem this space a park for our generation and those to come!
-
25. Name: Robert Cherniack on 2016-05-09 15:04:55
Comments: It is appalling that the city wants to strip away the little amount of green space that we have now in order to build a daycare center.
-
26. Name: kathryn akazawa on 2016-05-09 15:19:42
Comments: keep this 'greenest city' green!
-
27. Name: Brian Parks on 2016-05-09 15:37:52
Comments: The river district is about to explode with new development. The city should be adding park space not taking it away. I have only lived in the area for a little over a year and Riverfront park was one of the reasons i purchased here. I wont support any mayor or council that approves this change
-
28. Name: jim murphy on 2016-05-09 18:29:50
Comments: i AM AGAINST BUILDING THIS IN THIS PARK. sHOULD BUILD ON THE ORIGINAL LOCATION IN rIVER dISTRICT
-
29. Name: Noreen Takahashi on 2016-05-09 18:55:11
Comments: Stick with the original ODP!!
-
30. Name: Cecilia Rossier on 2016-05-09 19:01:06
Comments: I have lived s.22(1) Personal and Confidential recently renamed the River District, since 1998.

As you know, there has been extensive development on these lands including a recent construction project on South Kerr & Jellicoe Street. East of this development is a lovely green space. During the summer months, I can hear happy sounds of men and women playing soccer from my balcony. As well, many people sit on the grass, have picnics and enjoy the view of the river and enjoy the area.

I strongly protest that with all the other building going up in the area, there is a proposal to take away some of this space to build a day care. The sense of community in this beautiful area of Vancouver has been sadly lacking due to the fact that amenities take last priority. I believe it is unfair and unconscionable that the City of Vancouver had not simultaneously focused on building condos and townhouses balanced with green spaces and amenities.

I strongly oppose this inexcusable waste of green space in our area.

Sincerely,
Cecilia Rossier

s.22(1) Personal and Confidential

-
31. Name: heidi carson on 2016-05-09 19:01:14
Comments: Vancouver wants to be the greenest city so removal of our precious remaining green space is contrary to our goals as a city.
-
32. Name: Avie Estrin on 2016-05-09 19:18:03
Comments: Destroying an outdoor childrens' facility to build an indoor childrens' facility is in a word, obtuse.
-
33. Name: Rochelle McKelvie on 2016-05-09 19:28:15
Comments: Please don't take away this beautiful park, we need to have green space to live, and enjoy, as children and adults to play together - Vancouver is disappearing way too fast under concrete...please keep our park untouched for us all to enjoy into the future!
-
34. Name: Javier Puig on 2016-05-09 20:21:59
Comments: This is a community-building, multi-use green space for families in an area sandwiched between the river, the highway and the massive residential development going on. If it stays, it would effectively continue to benefit everyone in our community, young and old, not just a specific age-group, or usage need. Why take away that which benefits us all?
-
35. Name: Amanda Versteeg on 2016-05-09 20:23:08
Comments: We'd like this space to remain in tact as a park. Please give the space to Vancouver Parks, so that we never lose this space to a building.
-
36. Name: Teryl Rothery on 2016-05-09 21:38:11
Comments: I've lived here for over 9 years and remember spending hours in that park with my dogs, my little girl from the time she was born. PLEASE let us keep our park space.
-
37. Name: Mark Reid on 2016-05-09 22:46:45
Comments:
-
38. Name: Tomomi Reid on 2016-05-09 22:49:29
Comments:
-
39. Name: DOUG ABRAHAMS on 2016-05-09 22:58:48
Comments:
-

40. Name: BIN YANG on 2016-05-09 23:03:33
Comments: Fully agree that the daycare should be built on the original location and leave Riverfront park alone.
-
41. Name: Ping Li on 2016-05-09 23:30:22
Comments: Please keep the little green to local people and build the new daycare in the new area as originally designed.
-
42. Name: Michael Mutsaerts on 2016-05-09 23:30:32
Comments:
-
43. Name: Valerie Mackay on 2016-05-09 23:39:56
Comments:
-
44. Name: Marcelle Musson on 2016-05-09 23:57:54
Comments: We need more parks
-
45. Name: Bruce Musson on 2016-05-09 23:59:50
Comments: Save our parks
-
46. Name: Debra Musson on 2016-05-10 00:01:26
Comments: We need more parks four kids to play
-
47. Name: ken low on 2016-05-10 00:28:53
Comments: Vision Vancouver or is it Blind Vision Vancouver?????
-
48. Name: Ann Talbot on 2016-05-10 01:50:15
Comments:
-
49. Name: Tor guttridge on 2016-05-10 03:15:13
Comments:
-
50. Name: Diego Brambilla on 2016-05-10 03:16:36
Comments: Leave daycare in original proposed spoy
-
51. Name: Ricardo A Arango on 2016-05-10 03:43:22
Comments:
-
52. Name: Aldo Arcieri on 2016-05-10 03:51:22
Comments:
-
53. Name: Jaskam Ke on 2016-05-10 05:04:46

Comments: Build on new development area please! Keep the existing area nice and peace.

54. Name: Hannah Garrett on 2016-05-10 05:05:22
Comments: Please leave the park as it is. It's difficult enough to find green space in Vancouver and it's absolutely necessary for a peaceful mind.

55. Name: Sandra Riley on 2016-05-10 05:39:42
Comments:

56. Name: Kaare Long on 2016-05-10 05:42:18
Comments:

57. Name: Avie Estrin on 2016-05-10 05:56:16
Comments: Destroying an outdoor childrens' facility to build an indoor childrens' facility is in a word, obtuse.

58. Name: Carol buchanan on 2016-05-10 06:01:46
Comments: This well used green space fosters vital community engagement. Please reconsider the current plan to build the daycare on this community land. The daycare has an appropriate place already in a building design.

59. Name: juana Diaz Sanchez on 2016-05-10 13:39:11
Comments: we need our park and green space for our children and pets vancouver support a green city just on theory .and realized the city do what they want to do . I'm really disappointed .

60. Name: Jaynne Wellygan on 2016-05-10 13:43:21
Comments: I really enjoy this park near my friend's. I see it used all the time by adults, teens, and children playing games, walking their dogs, etc.. It is such a beautiful simple green space and I can't get my head around why the city would want to destroy it. Can't you just leave things alone. Maybe next Gregor will want to pave it over for a bike path? The city has some weird ideas.

61. Name: Deborah wilkins on 2016-05-10 14:18:56
Comments: Park land is important. There is lots of space in the centre

62. Name: Pia on 2016-05-10 14:30:46
Comments: Please stick with the original plan. We need this green space!

63. Name: Moira on 2016-05-10 14:31:31
Comments:

64. Name: Tammy Low on 2016-05-10 15:42:50

Comments:

65. Name: Michael Nathanson on 2016-05-10 16:00:42
Comments: It is hard to understand how the city, which must maintain and protect the ever decreasing green space in Vancouver, will tear up an existing park to build a daycare. The River District development is 100M east, and has already been completely cleared with foundations dug, or in the process of being prepared, to make way for much needed infrastructure; day cares and schools have existed as part of the development plans for years now. Removing a park that has been part of this community for many years and the source of enjoyment for the entire area is simply unconscionable.
-
66. Name: michelle sheppard on 2016-05-10 16:01:07
Comments: I don't want to see this little bit of green space that we have left in our beautiful park be turned into a daycare centre . Please go back to the original plans and come up with an alternative location . We want this field kept for all nature lovers and there family's to enjoy.
-
67. Name: Kenji Asa on 2016-05-10 18:19:45
Comments: This is the only remaining park in this area. We have to stop encroachment of mony hungry commercialism in the name of daycare.
-
68. Name: Byron Smith on 2016-05-10 19:20:54
Comments: Don't rezone this park. That defies good sense. Keep the original plan.
-
69. Name: andrea meyer on 2016-05-10 19:45:29
Comments:
-
70. Name: Nathan Paulson on 2016-05-10 19:54:13
Comments: That Neighbourhood is going to be over built I am currently building in that Neighbour and I see no signs of it stopping. We need green space!!!!
-
71. Name: Angela Alexander on 2016-05-10 19:56:05
Comments: If the park is reduced we may soon need to take a number to visit. We are fortunate to have had previous generations that cherished green spaces. Imagine a Vancouver without Stanley Park. Please leave the Fraserland Park for our children and those to come.
-
72. Name: Will Hoyle on 2016-05-10 20:36:54
Comments:
-
73. Name: David Murphy on 2016-05-10 20:39:07
Comments: Move the daycare and future school east of Kerr where it was originally planned as part of the East Fraserlands Development.
-

74. Name: Beverley Harwood on 2016-05-10 20:46:57
Comments: City needs to honour original east Fraserlands project to house day care, sports field, community centre, and schools. New families in the East Fraserlands were advised these amenities would be part of this new community. Have they been advised of the change? The Riverfront park has always been well used for sports, families, individuals and pets for many years. If the City takes this away, we would forever lose this green space!
-
75. Name: Gina Morel on 2016-05-10 21:16:17
Comments:
-
76. Name: William Law on 2016-05-10 21:34:26
Comments: Where else are youth going to go when it's 4:20?.
-
77. Name: Birute Macijauskas on 2016-05-10 21:47:19
Comments: This green space is of vital importance to our community. To take half of it would curtail all the sporting activities that take place there. It would be like taking away half the scorer field or half the basketball court. Stick with the original plan.
-
78. Name: Angela Stanley on 2016-05-10 21:58:50
Comments: Why does the city keep taking away green spaces?!
-
79. Name: Teresa Knoepfel on 2016-05-10 22:23:45
Comments: The open green space is a draw for the whole community, all ages, and helps build community.
-
80. Name: DALE HARRIS on 2016-05-10 22:38:48
Comments: This park is an essential part of our community- family picnics, Ultimate Leagues, soccer games. etc.
-
81. Name: David Andreychuk on 2016-05-10 22:43:39
Comments: Seriously do we need another development? There are plenty of other places around to do so. This park is for families and the like. Let's use our brains here. Save the park and take the development to another site.
-
82. Name: Tracy Sedens on 2016-05-10 22:44:03
Comments:
-
83. Name: Gillian Fraser on 2016-05-10 22:56:08
Comments:
-
84. Name: Niki on 2016-05-10 23:08:06
Comments:
-

85. Name: Jessica Connaghan on 2016-05-10 23:14:22
Comments:

86. Name: Greg Wortman on 2016-05-10 23:25:04
Comments: Parks are parks, not vacant lots. We have too little park space as it is!

87. Name: Burgi Karina on 2016-05-10 23:42:12
Comments:

88. Name: Sheila Hughson on 2016-05-10 23:43:16
Comments: Sorry to see green space keep disappearing as it is so limited in a city. Our area is seeing a high influx of people which will continue to grow with all the building going on, we need this green space on the river for all to enjoy.

89. Name: Lynne Parisien on 2016-05-10 23:58:42
Comments:

90. Name: Joyce Lam on 2016-05-11 01:01:51
Comments: I am against the City of Vancouver wanting to move the development site for a daycare from the River District Development into the River Front Park. I can't believe with all the planning with the River District developers, this would change as it was one of the main selling points to getting the area developed. Do not let the developers of the River District take existing park land for what they had originally promised at the East Fraser Land development. Also, if you take the chunk of land from River Front Park, it will not allow a big enough field for any sports such as Ultimate or Football. The smaller area would only then be used as a dog park which limits what local residents can use the park for. How dare the City of Vancouver renege on its promise for the daycare to be located in the River District area. Why didn't the City incorporate it into the plans of the 2 huge rental properties being built on Jellicoe? Find another location in the undeveloped East Fraser lands. Please do not take the existing waterfront flat park land for the daycare. Surely, the City of Vancouver has some integrity to stand up to the River District Developers and some intelligence to find an alternate location in a future un-developed project in the River District.

91. Name: Sean Alward on 2016-05-11 01:02:16
Comments:

92. Name: Nichole Alward on 2016-05-11 01:04:04
Comments:

93. Name: Lauri alward on 2016-05-11 01:12:56
Comments:

94. Name: Sonya Polowy on 2016-05-11 01:22:28
Comments: The green space from Kerr east to Boundary has been decimated to make

way for the River District community planned neighborhood and there are 2 add'l projects under construction east of Kerr at Jellicoe and 1/2 block east of that. The grass field needs to be preserved for the community to enjoy.

95. Name: Lisa on 2016-05-11 01:23:52
Comments: This park is a community gathering place!

96. Name: Madeleine Sauve on 2016-05-11 01:28:03
Comments: Protect the Parks for the future. We'll never get them back!!

97. Name: Brian alward on 2016-05-11 01:38:10
Comments:

98. Name: Mike Snelling on 2016-05-11 01:38:23
Comments: The roadway in front of that location is so narrow it would be horribly dangerous for drop off and pick up.

99. Name: Andres Flores on 2016-05-11 01:55:14
Comments:

100. Name: Alex McClelland on 2016-05-11 02:01:26
Comments:

101. Name: Nesim Lichy on 2016-05-11 02:08:54
Comments: This is not a site for daycare. Parks should NOT be touched, this is the only big green space in this location. Find another area please.

102. Name: Araceli Monroy on 2016-05-11 02:10:38
Comments: Such a great area to enjoy under a tree shade and fell the grass under your feet. Please don't take it away!

103. Name: Tomas Lichy on 2016-05-11 02:11:09
Comments: This is not a site for daycare. Parks should NOT be touched, this is the only big green space in this location. Find another area please.

104. Name: Maggie Murphy on 2016-05-11 02:11:22
Comments:

105. Name: Michelle Guise on 2016-05-11 02:16:00
Comments: This park is a much needed green space for those who live east of Kerr. It is a playing field, a place for children and families to play and dogs to run. With thousands of people moving down to our neighbourhood, more green spaces and parks should be the goal, not less. The neighbourhood needs a breathing space, a break from cement and asphalt. The neighbourhood also needs a real opportunity to voice their opinions on

decisions that impact their lives. We are not saying no to the daycare. We are saying that there is ample space for it in River District area, as was the original plan.

106. Name: Andres Flores on 2016-05-11 02:20:07
Comments: Living in the neighbourhood for 12 years, this green area is very important not only for me but all people living near by. Here is where we meet, to play ultimate frisbee, to meet with our dogs and other dogs, to run with them, this is the only place where they can really fell free!!! And run!!! You can not take our park, it's not nice! You stay in the original location. It's not fare! "WE WANT OUR PARK UNTOCHEABLE"
-
107. Name: AJ Benefield on 2016-05-11 02:26:38
Comments: I am all for development in our area but not at the expense of our neighbourhood. We need this green space to balance out the major developing occurring and I feel that we are giving up a lot of our free space to accommodate growth and development but it is resulting in less and less relaxation and calm in our neighbourhood. We already have to put up with insufficient parking for residents who are not allowed to use the restaurant parking but the restaurant clientele uses our residential streets to park. Lets leave the park a park..Period!!
-
108. Name: Benjamin Savard on 2016-05-11 02:32:32
Comments: I am against building on the River Front Park. This is used regularly by people who already live here and have for years. This green space is important to the community already here and the city can easily change the plans within the new development to accommodate a daycare if they really want to.
-
109. Name: Nina Friend on 2016-05-11 02:33:53
Comments: Please leave our park alone.
No to the day care!
-
110. Name: Andrea Corbett on 2016-05-11 02:37:08
Comments:
-
111. Name: Patti Zazulak on 2016-05-11 02:37:51
Comments:
-
112. Name: Margaret Fraser on 2016-05-11 02:38:25
Comments:
-
113. Name: Lyd on 2016-05-11 02:46:27
Comments: For the last few years this area has been going a great deal of development and you can see the consequences this has been having in the different species that live or used to live in this area. How about caring for them too? How about caring for the balance of this ecology? Please consider these species are already undergoing major stress and they so much need the little space they already have. With hope that you do the right thing for the highest good of all beings. Thanks

114. Name: Dave Polowy on 2016-05-11 02:48:22
Comments:

115. Name: Angelo Di Meo on 2016-05-11 02:48:23
Comments:

116. Name: brian sin on 2016-05-11 02:52:00
Comments: this is a wonderful family oriented neighbourhood. we all treasure this real canadian experience. development should reflect and align our canadian core value, not just for development sake.

117. Name: Renata Licha on 2016-05-11 03:12:57
Comments: Parks should not ever be moved, this is the only big green space in this location. Find another area please.

118. Name: Lucia James on 2016-05-11 03:26:47
Comments:

119. Name: Lorri Plumley on 2016-05-11 03:59:16
Comments: Do NOT use our grass field for any buildings

120. Name: Maryline Perrett on 2016-05-11 04:24:24
Comments: Please keep to your original plan thereby preserving Riverfront park.

121. Name: Wendy Tsao on 2016-05-11 04:27:33
Comments: In this medium density neighbourhood of high rises, condos and townhouses, we really need to preserve our park spaces to make up for the lack of private yards. Please do not develop our park spaces.

122. Name: B Heximer on 2016-05-11 04:28:27
Comments: Preserve the green space it what will soon be an overdeveloped neighbourhood. I am aware more daycare space is needed, however, it should be used in the new development where it was originally planned. Let the developers help solve the problem.....they are making a lot of money out of it!

123. Name: Debbie on 2016-05-11 04:31:07
Comments: With all of the changes in the river district I'm sure the current and newcomers agree we need to save this park of useful size rather than multiple small ones. Once it's gone it will never be replaced.

124. Name: Giovanni Festa on 2016-05-11 04:32:54
Comments:

-
125. Name: Jeff Peters on 2016-05-11 04:38:15
Comments: Stop destroying green space on existing park land!
-
126. Name: Leila Howatson on 2016-05-11 05:15:04
Comments:
-
127. Name: J Lamb on 2016-05-11 05:16:18
Comments:
-
128. Name: Carol Naylor on 2016-05-11 05:16:19
Comments: This park should be left in it's natural state for all to enjoy.
-
129. Name: Beth Hankinson on 2016-05-11 05:21:46
Comments:
-
130. Name: andrea on 2016-05-11 05:35:10
Comments:
-
131. Name: barry jung on 2016-05-11 05:46:12
Comments:
-
132. Name: Nancy on 2016-05-11 05:57:50
Comments:
-
133. Name: Lynn Di Meo on 2016-05-11 06:39:31
Comments: All of our remaining green space is so quickly being swallowed up by new homes and businesses ... Please save our park ... The heart of our community .. Our little green oasisWell used, much loved and so needed !!!
-
134. Name: Elaine Weiner on 2016-05-11 06:40:16
Comments: We are losing too much green space. So much building. It is necessary for health reasons as well as ecological. Natural habitat for wildlife threatened. The entire planet is at risk. Preserving some green space is crucial for all. We need to think of the future of our children and grandchildren. So many trees down. And so many high rises everywhere. Please save this bit of green space. Please consider another location for the daycare.
-
135. Name: Jean on 2016-05-11 08:08:29
Comments: We believe the grass field is a treasure for all generations, it is unwise to take it away for any facility especially when there are locations in the near by new developement site.
-

136. Name: Neil Perrett on 2016-05-11 08:47:41
Comments: Leave this needed and much loved and used park alone. Build elsewhere.
-
137. Name: Marilyn Hogan on 2016-05-11 10:08:50
Comments: If we want to be the "Greenest City" we need to save all the green space we can. Some things are more important than money. Fresh air, parks and the opportunity for families to enjoy them together contribute to quality of life -- something no amount of money can buy.
-
138. Name: Megan Aprim on 2016-05-11 12:54:18
Comments:
-
139. Name: Ricardo Barreiros on 2016-05-11 12:55:03
Comments:
-
140. Name: Brette Galy on 2016-05-11 13:28:51
Comments:
-
141. Name: Don Wright on 2016-05-11 14:21:54
Comments: There is no need to chip away at our limited park lands. Please leave what parks are left alone.
-
142. Name: Jenny Penner on 2016-05-11 14:28:11
Comments:
-
143. Name: Derek Desrosiers on 2016-05-11 14:33:47
Comments: We have lived in the neighbourhood for 24 years and this park/playfield has been widely used for everything from local fun runs for fundraising to frisbee football (ultimate frisbee). We need this green space here in this neighbourhood.
-
144. Name: Bertha Johnson on 2016-05-11 14:33:52
Comments: Our park is well used by kids playing organized sports, sunbathers and dog owners. Obviously we NEED this riverside park! Please leave it as it is.
-
145. Name: Frank Hutton on 2016-05-11 18:20:00
Comments:
-
146. Name: Glen Donnelly on 2016-05-11 18:28:46
Comments:
-
147. Name: Vikki Stevenson on 2016-05-11 20:06:14
Comments:
-

148. Name: Emma Hunter on 2016-05-11 21:47:34
Comments:

149. Name: Lynn Olson on 2016-05-11 22:29:57
Comments:

150. Name: Bob Olson on 2016-05-11 22:30:20
Comments:

151. Name: Ida and Alexander Stauffer on 2016-05-11 22:43:24
Comments: We have lived by this park for over eight years and have enjoyed watching football games, soccer and frisbee. The city is trying to tell us that they are only using a part of the park to build on and there will be some remaining green space? The entire green space is necessary to enable these kind of activities. We have noticed in the comments that there has been no mention of how this proposed daycare will affect the homes on the park west side. These homes have been there for almost twenty years and the families will be left with a building right in front of them leaving them with no park view, no light and a noisy daycare facility to deal with. We believe the city has show no consideration for their homes, investment that woud most certainly depreciate and the poor quality of life they will be forced to endure. We all collectively urge the city to re zone this entire green space to park land and let the people, dogs, cats, birds, and all living creatures enjoy a little NATURE in what has become the largest construction site, dust bowl, mud pit, noisy, disruptive area in Vancouver! Please save our park.

152. Name: Paul Beaumont on 2016-05-11 23:05:22
Comments:

153. Name: Sue Katanchik on 2016-05-12 00:03:55
Comments: It's high time that Mayor Robertson and his cronies start acting on their promises to have a "greener" city. Yet greed in the form of more and more developers and their lure of the almighty bucks have City Hall turning their ignorant heads the other way. Our once uncluttered city is slowly resembling a concrete jungle with no signs of being reined in. I've lived here all my life and am totally fed up with too much development at what appears to be at all costs!!! Wake up before you ruin our beautiful city Mr. Mayor!!!!
:(:(:(

154. Name: Donna Krewenchuk on 2016-05-12 00:17:52
Comments: Leave us the green space.

Parking on Kent Ave is bad now.

155. Name: Andrea Adamovich on 2016-05-12 01:04:46
Comments: This field is used by many for team sports. Walking the dog. Picnics. And various community functions such as an organized Run and concerts. With all the development taking away the natural habitat for various birds and bats and the only open

space in the area. ..it would be terrible to close up the field for a day care. Seems the developers don't want a day care center to take away from lucrative development lands whet it was originally planned. Please keep this green space for all the locals new residents.

156. Name: Michael Kilvert on 2016-05-12 01:41:26
Comments: This area has been called a Riverfront Park for years. One example the letter from Matt Shillito, City of Vancouver dated Oct 24, 2006. Why change now.

Robertson and Meggs like to make people believe they consult and listen. We all know that is garbage. They just do what they want anyway.

More bike lanes more free houses etc etc. No interest in people who work hard for their money.

157. Name: Lillian Harrison on 2016-05-12 02:01:41
Comments:

158. Name: Judy Greening on 2016-05-12 02:32:33
Comments: The daycare should be built in its original area, not near Kent and Kerr Street

159. Name: evelyn maan on 2016-05-12 02:58:18
Comments: the area west of Kerr street is already VERY population dense and 2 new developments will bring us ~500-700+ new neighbours in the next 18 months. i believe we need green space in this area much more so than a daycare. if the location is no longer suitable, please have the developers relocate the daycare EAST of Kerr. this park is used every single day of the year...please leave it as is.

160. Name: Regina Mayer on 2016-05-12 03:25:44
Comments:

161. Name: Avi van Haren on 2016-05-12 04:00:54
Comments: We enjoy this park almost every night - the area is already becoming so populated - please leave this park alone and keep the daycare in its original location. Thank you!

162. Name: Suzanne Ostrow on 2016-05-12 04:35:37
Comments: Find another place. We need a place for our sports.

163. Name: Lillian Harrison on 2016-05-12 05:13:58
Comments:

164. Name: Sandra Boone on 2016-05-12 06:22:56
Comments: leave Riverfront Park alone, let us have this little space

165. Name: Jordana frost on 2016-05-12 15:00:27
Comments: Why can't it be with one of the many new high rises or condo developments? Fifteen years of living here and I have never seen a need for a daycare but a highly utilized and the only park space has already been quartered

166. Name: Laura Tillyer on 2016-05-12 16:29:46
Comments: Again the city is cramming more developement down our throats and as in the past have not listened to the residents in this neighbourhood as they continue to build on River Front Greenspace. Please stop now and rezone this area for Park use and keep it as it has been used and enjoyed for this purpose for many, many years. City of Vancouver (Council) please listen to us!!!

167. Name: Esther on 2016-05-12 17:49:35
Comments: The City committed to building on East Fraserlands. They should stick to that commitment and build the daycares there. And if after building those four, they still feel there is a need for daycare spots, they can revisit this site. However, if we truly do live in a democracy where the City is obligated to hear the voices of the majority. Then, this site should not be used now.

168. Name: Derek Thompson on 2016-05-12 18:51:23
Comments: Please don't take away what little green space we have. Thank you

169. Name: Ryan Hogenes on 2016-05-12 19:04:34
Comments: The Riverfront Park is an important green space in our community and to make it smaller will have serious negative impacts. I cannot tell you how many neighbours we have met and built community with over impromptu baseball games or ultimate frisbee matches. Making it smaller will eliminate the possibility of this and crowd the park in a ever growing neighbourhood. It seems short sighted to me that we would reduce this park size.

170. Name: Maaret Brandon on 2016-05-12 21:00:12
Comments: Submitted

171. Name: Vivian Berg on 2016-05-12 21:05:08
Comments: I am appalled at what the city wants to do with our park here on E Kent Ave S!
It will DESTROY my view of the waterfront and take away too much space where I look out and enjoy watching people EVERYDAY enjoy this park with children and their pets!
PLEASE SAVE THE PARK!!!!

172. Name: Toni Robinson on 2016-05-13 01:09:37
Comments:

173. Name: David Glass on 2016-05-13 01:34:24
Comments:

-
174. Name: Roger Brandon on 2016-05-13 02:06:54
Comments: Submitted
-
175. Name: Charles Hough on 2016-05-13 03:32:47
Comments: The City's plan to destroy our park is a terrible idea and should be stopped. The City is putting the interests of developers ahead of the local community.
-
176. Name: MICHAEL STEVENS on 2016-05-13 09:04:06
Comments:
-
177. Name: Carli Harris on 2016-05-13 14:35:22
Comments: I have been using this park for the past 10 years as a place to stretch out and escape our city's congestion. My family and i will be heartbroken if we lose our beloved green space.
-
178. Name: Victoria Hui on 2016-05-13 14:52:27
Comments:
-
179. Name: Sandy Stechlinski on 2016-05-13 16:10:12
Comments: Keep the park a green space. Move the daycare back to original location.
-
180. Name: Jean Howe on 2016-05-13 16:15:18
Comments: Save our park for our children.
-
181. Name: Dave Curtis on 2016-05-13 16:35:16
Comments: I am against the City of Vancouver wanting to move the development site for a daycare from the River District Development into the River Front Park.
-
182. Name: Jennifer on 2016-05-13 16:42:03
Comments:
-
183. Name: Darryl Louie on 2016-05-13 16:43:19
Comments:
-
184. Name: Rowena on 2016-05-13 17:29:00
Comments: It's important & necessary to have parks that families and individuals can go to appreciate the beauty of nature and a place where people can come together to connect, relax and unwind from the stresses of life. My fondest memories of my childhood were at parks and still are to this day. Please SAVE RIVERFRONT PARK!
-
185. Name: Elf on 2016-05-13 18:19:08
Comments: 1. Protect ecologically valuable, environmentally sensitive, or unique natural

assets.

2. Create and complete networks of physical linkages for people and wildlife.

3. Create and complete a balanced, interconnected parks system meeting local, community

and regional passive and active recreational needs.

4. Protect the unique aesthetic and geographic character of the community

This is a flood zone and the open greenspace will absorb a huge amount of storm water and flood water but if you building would create a hard surface which would not be able to absorb water creating major flooding for the community.

An existing amenity, park & wildlife connector for 20 years already and must be preserved

186. Name: Shindi dhillon on 2016-05-13 19:12:56
Comments:

187. Name: Roger Brandon on 2016-05-13 19:47:04
Comments: I don't want the childcare center located in the park. Alsop there is no room already for people to park and there is a lot more people who will be using the park space once the extra residential bldgs. are built.

188. Name: Denise Johnson on 2016-05-14 02:04:01
Comments: Please reconsider the decision to use even a part of Riverfront Park to build a daycare centre.

With the addition of the new River Walk community and the 2 new social housing projects under construction, our neighbourhood population will grow exponentially. We need to maintain access to this remaining sliver of riverfront green space.

The Community Development Plan that was presented to residents showed the daycare facility within the River Walk development, and that's where it belongs. To renege on this commitment will confirm that the City of Vancouver's community consultation process is a farce!

189. Name: kbeaucock on 2016-05-14 07:53:16
Comments: This park is enjoyed by all age groups as one of the few recreation fields in the area. Why should this green space be sacrificed for a specific age demographic that is smaller than the wide age demographic it already serves and with the new development will continue to expand in the future.

190. Name: Hope Sterling on 2016-05-14 11:55:09
Comments: Please leave this park in tact. This area would not benefit from a daycare. The daycare should remain in its previously designated location. If it is moved to this site it will create parking hazards and substantially reduce property value to the neighbouring building.

191. Name: Allison Sullings on 2016-05-14 16:15:03
Comments:

-
192. Name: Shelby Gilraine on 2016-05-14 23:49:11
Comments:
-
193. Name: doreen howatson on 2016-05-15 02:53:34
Comments: Leave our much needed green space. Keep it forever.
-
194. Name: keith and gwen vint on 2016-05-15 16:14:40
Comments: present mayor SUPPOSEDLY GREEN... really? DO NOT UTILIZE OUR PRESENT RIVERSIDE PARK AREA... for ANY MORE buildings!! you have enough eastof kerr...
-
195. Name: Peony Tong on 2016-05-15 18:40:50
Comments:
-
196. Name: Rita on 2016-05-15 19:18:01
Comments: The River District has drastically changed since the OUTDATED 1986 zoning for this parcel of green space; therefore, having and continuing to serve as a "Park" & community amenity, this green space needs to be REZONED to "Green Space in Perpetuity" and continue to be used as such for the existing River District Community & its' projected influx of another 18,000 residents.
-
197. Name: Jacquie Day on 2016-05-15 21:28:20
Comments:
-
198. Name: Donna Davies on 2016-05-15 21:32:09
Comments: The development around this park is horrendous. Trees, greenery, birds & peace & quiet are now gone. We need!!!!!! this green space to relax on, picnic on, throw the ball for the dogs on, or just to sit in the sun to watch the tugboats go by. Please, if we lose this park, we have nothing else. The daycare can go where it was originally placed. Please!! Thank you.
-
199. Name: Patricia Cottingham on 2016-05-16 05:57:00
Comments:
-
200. Name: Barbara Logan on 2016-05-16 20:07:19
Comments: Soon we'll be down to postage-sized green spaces & no trees!!!! It's time to stop clearing trees as has been done in the east Fraserland area. Please keep our green spaces!
-
201. Name: Jill Ferrie on 2016-05-16 23:24:56
Comments: Please leave this beautiful green space for the families of this area to enjoy. It is essential for children and parents to have a space to run and enjoy nature. Don't take this away!

-
202. Name: Peggy Hobbs on 2016-05-17 00:10:52
Comments: Please keep our green spaces GREEN!
-
203. Name: Greta Wenckebach on 2016-05-17 06:17:50
Comments: Whatever happened to the City of Vancouver's dream to become the greenest city on the continent? We are losing more and more green space every year. Please honour your commitment, Mayor Robinson.
-
204. Name: Jo Grunerud on 2016-05-17 15:54:45
Comments: Park space in this area of so many condos/townhouses is at a premium..do not destroy one more small area of green Vancr.
-
205. Name: Colleen Wong on 2016-05-18 03:58:41
Comments: Keep the park or build a green playing field
-
206. Name: Ryan ICasiano on 2016-05-18 15:11:16
Comments: Vancouver needs to retain the green space that is dwindling
-
207. Name: Lorne Nathe on 2016-05-19 01:00:29
Comments: Leave our existing park alone
-
208. Name: Kari-Lyn Twidale on 2016-05-19 16:29:14
Comments:
-
209. Name: Brandon Turner on 2016-05-20 00:23:25
Comments: The highlight of the river district is the parks and its green space. Stop taking this away! This is why we chose to live here. Also there is no more parking space for all these future building developments.
-
210. Name: Joanne Smartt on 2016-05-20 02:33:24
Comments: We need green space!!!!
-
211. Name: Ada on 2016-05-21 00:49:29
Comments:
-
212. Name: Juanita Spurrell on 2016-05-21 20:56:48
Comments: I live on East Kent Avenue and walk here everyday. This area needs more green space and the field provides the only large flat area for field games and unorganized sports in the area. We could also use some sidewalks west of Arygle and and cameras that will catch the people who think this is a free dumping area.
-
213. Name: Elf on 2016-05-22 16:07:14

Comments: THIS article(link below) is A MUST READ:
<http://theyyee.ca/Views/2008/02/18/EcoDensity/>

-
214. Name: Terri on 2016-05-22 23:15:26
Comments:
-
215. Name: Christine Briggs on 2016-05-24 04:06:00
Comments: Please keep the park. There is not enough fields in our city. We need more open spaces to keep up with all the densification.
-
216. Name: Rick Antonson on 2016-05-24 19:40:06
Comments: Keep it for the people.
-
217. Name: Brent Langill on 2016-05-24 21:03:03
Comments:
-
218. Name: Vivian Yao on 2016-05-28 00:41:02
Comments:
-
219. Name: Sanja Banjac on 2016-06-11 18:25:25
Comments:
-
220. Name: David on 2016-06-11 18:27:00
Comments:
-
221. Name: Radar Nguyen on 2016-06-11 20:46:07
Comments: We want to keep our parks. Remember that when a park is gone, it will never comes back!
We sent you to the City Hall for you to fight for us in this issue and we put a total trust on you!
-
222. Name: Martha Harrison on 2016-06-11 20:48:51
Comments: We need to protect our green space! Especially with all the development in this area. My family uses this park all the time and would hate to see this leave our neighbourhood!
-
223. Name: Kenji Asa on 2016-06-12 00:14:17
Comments: You just can't steal our Park, just because you want to build condos. It is outright stealing.
-
224. Name: Prescilla Carrion on 2016-06-12 00:45:35
Comments:
-

225. Name: Geoff Wong on 2016-06-12 00:46:16
Comments:
-
226. Name: Robert Wallace on 2016-06-12 01:04:04
Comments: I moved into River District 2 years ago and have enjoyed this green space. I am well aware that the site is not zoned park space but it should be. It is the only non allocated green space from Boundary to Victoria Drive. The space is used for family picnics, ultimate Frisbee and by community groups conducting fund raising where a large field is required. Once gone it can never be replaced.
-
227. Name: Shannon Stuart on 2016-06-12 02:44:45
Comments: I've seen many people using this green space. In this area it is rare to see such a vast expanse of flat green land that isn't a golf course and is open to the public. Please keep it this way.
-
228. Name: Shirley Ball on 2016-06-12 14:52:53
Comments: This is my granddaughter and her dog Kira's favourite place to run, play ball and fly kites. I will miss it when I am there providing care for my family
-
229. Name: Ken on 2016-06-12 16:07:57
Comments: There wont be any green space left in that waterfront area. Gregor isn't going green..he wants more green in his wallet
-
230. Name: Angela Inglis on 2016-06-12 17:05:00
Comments:
-
231. Name: Marie DesRosiers on 2016-06-12 17:40:48
Comments: This park has been a vital and vibrant part of our community, please don't destroy this piece of greenery.
-
232. Name: Dale Adams on 2016-06-12 17:57:03
Comments:
-
233. Name: Martha Stracke on 2016-06-12 18:11:48
Comments: Please let this park be.!!! We fly our kites there. We wlk by the river and like to see the park if more is build it is going to feel so close, so dense.
-
234. Name: Suzanne James on 2016-06-12 19:57:48
Comments:
-
235. Name: Pam Wayman on 2016-06-12 20:53:45
Comments: We need the Park, enough of our land is being taken away.
-
236. Name: Sharlene Steele on 2016-06-12 21:14:59

Comments: We need to keep our field. There is no other green space like it in this area.

237. Name: Helena Drury on 2016-06-13 00:14:42
Comments: Move the Fortis plant and build the daycare in its place instead. It is an eyesore and doesn't belong in a residential area anymore.

238. Name: Michelle millet on 2016-06-13 01:21:04
Comments:

239. Name: Gina Anderson on 2016-06-13 01:25:43
Comments:

240. Name: James McLeod on 2016-06-13 04:36:36
Comments: Please keep this park as it is. It is used and enjoyed by so many people.

241. Name: Francine Ng on 2016-06-13 04:39:34
Comments:

242. Name: Teresa Wong on 2016-06-13 04:43:04
Comments: With so many new developments in the Fraserland, the green space available in this area should be increased (not decreased) in proportion with the rising population.

243. Name: Maria Repole on 2016-06-14 03:13:50
Comments:

244. Name: Chunlei Tang on 2016-06-14 03:45:47
Comments: We need our park. As the population will go up due to the arrival of 16,000 new residents, we need more park for outdoor activities for the community, not less. City needs to honor original east Fraserlands project to house day care, sports field, community center, and schools.

Please build the daycare on the original location and leave Riverfront park alone.

245. Name: Derek Desrosiers on 2016-06-14 18:12:13
Comments: This park is very widely used as a playing field and the insertion of a daycare or any other building will make the remainder of the playing field too small for most team activities that take place there now.

246. Name: Nancy Darby on 2016-06-14 18:50:02
Comments: This is our only large green space. With the development of the River District, the daycare should have been built into those plans. We need more real useable green space not less!

247. Name: Philly Lai on 2016-06-14 19:29:03
Comments: 16,000 new residents arrival, I feel lousy! I support to stop construction and no destroying the park, The arrogance of City Hall is astounding.
-
248. Name: Doug Marsden on 2016-06-14 19:43:19
Comments: do not remove this existing park. It is already being widely used by a diverse group of people. A day care can easily be placed at the temporary firehall @ Kerr st and Rosemont Dr once the new 1 is completed, but this park cannot be replaced if you remove it. This is a very bad idea, and council and mayor should be ashamed if they do not listen to our concerns about this park and insist on a daycare. But, since when has this council and mayor actually listened to the citizens concerned? zero! This is your opportunity to do the right thing and listen. STOP this decision NOW!!
-
249. Name: Mirela on 2016-06-14 19:48:52
Comments:
-
250. Name: Biluvia Freigang on 2016-06-14 21:08:28
Comments: This little strip of green is vital to be keep as green.. Daycare facilities should keepat the original place.. LIVE RIVERFRONT PARK ALONE!!!
-
251. Name: Jennifer Nonay on 2016-06-15 00:00:49
Comments:
-
252. Name: Michele Clarke on 2016-06-15 19:13:38
Comments: I am a resident on Jellicoe Street and I love that we have a park space less than one block away. As a person with disability, it is wonderful to experience this park space from a safe walking distance. You must keep this park intact and do not reduce the size to build on it. We need it to be a sports field size with additional room for others to use. DO NOT BUILD ON THIS SPACE!!!
-
253. Name: Teri Liptrot on 2016-06-15 23:13:38
Comments:
-
254. Name: Douglas Jang on 2016-06-15 23:15:50
Comments:
-
255. Name: Sheila Hughson on 2016-06-16 18:01:33
Comments:
-
256. Name: Sydney Rodriguez on 2016-06-16 21:27:51
Comments:
-
257. Name: Waverly Evens on 2016-06-16 21:30:47
Comments: This park is an integral part of the River District neighborhood. With the

degree of development planned for the area, it is imperative that the existing parks remain a vital component and not be paved over to make way for another building. There is still plenty of time to plan for the location of a day care on the east side of Kerr.

258. Name: Manny Rodriguez on 2016-06-16 21:50:57
Comments:

259. Name: Aida Ridriguez on 2016-06-16 21:51:56
Comments: I don't think this is the appropriate space for a day care. I want this free space saved. Keep to the original plan that was promised.

260. Name: Wendy Riva on 2016-06-16 23:30:22
Comments: A Daycare is not needed in this location. Put one where the new housing and shops are going. There is not much park/waterfront park land left and a daycare is a waste of space.

261. Name: Maya Rodriguez on 2016-06-16 23:53:33
Comments:

262. Name: Brad Coulouris on 2016-06-17 00:45:47
Comments: Ive been living at 8495 Jellicoe Street sine 1996. with all the land thats come up I see NO REASON to remove the park that is used and enjoyed my many.

263. Name: Debbie Shepherd on 2016-06-17 02:12:27
Comments: I've enjoyed the river walk for over 20years and have been living down here for 4years now.
I have seen all the development over the years and am saddened to hear they will be taking the last of the green space away from a community that have been enjoying it for years.
For a city who boasts preserving and creating the most green space, I am shocked and somewhat embarrassed that they would take the only space we have down here away.

264. Name: carol southgate on 2016-06-17 03:36:42
Comments: To destroy the only large greenspace left in the area for an amenity that should be located further east as promised is an extremely short sighted and ill thought out plan. This is not an appropriate site for a day care.

265. Name: Ron Spitz on 2016-06-17 17:13:13
Comments: There is very little open green space in our community of the river district and this parcel needs to be saved as park land.

266. Name: Mark A Rocchio on 2016-06-17 22:30:17
Comments: Please use original space that was allocated initially.

267. Name: Chris Willcock on 2016-06-17 23:10:57
Comments: This park has been used by locals for the 12 years I have lived in this area. Don't let the city destroy more of our precious space.

268. Name: Barry Peters on 2016-06-18 19:31:03
Comments: The original plan had developers set aside land for a Daycare or school. Why do they need to use THIS land? \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$rules???

269. Name: Chloe Eaton on 2016-06-18 21:34:44
Comments: We need all the green space we can get. Many of us will never be able to afford outside space of our own, so please save our park and build the daycare as originally planned.

270. Name: Dennis Bakke on 2016-06-18 23:34:50
Comments: The River District development has already ruined the neighbourhood atmosphere of the area. We need more parks, not more unwanted urbanization.

271. Name: Patricia Cottingham on 2016-06-19 22:51:21
Comments: I bought my first home s.22(1) Personal and Confidential 19 years ago. At that time, it was a very tranquil setting, with sawmills and people walking/dog walking/bike paths where the massive 16,000-18,000 people-infusion "River District" is now being developed. I ALWAYS knew that was planned and was actually involved in a couple of lovely, original planning meetings when we all discussed our utopian views of the ideal community development. I also did my homework when buying and knew that the area at the end of Jellicoe would eventually be developed for low cost housing or a co-op or Assisted Living; nobody was sure then what the site would actually hold. What I NEVER EXPECTED was this statement from the city that the park across from me is "actually not a park". Go figure! We were all told that that land was sacrosanct. The city maintains it as a park but apparently it is up for grabs to any developer....even "indirectly" through relocation of non-condo facilities from the East Fraser Lands to the West side. Please retain this lovely green space. Is is why I live here and why people are currently buying here!!! The sound of ultimate frisbee players and families playing with their dogs and kids in a non-structured environment is a refreshing break from the increasing sound off of South East Marine Drive.....and there is a 60% increase in that since ALL trees were removed from Kerr to Boundary. I go down on a hot day and read a book and "shoot the breeze" with my neighbours. There are going to be many thousands more neighbours in the coming 2 years and we need to preserve the little space that we have. If we cannot keep our green tree canopy... with song birds... in this city, could we PLEASE KEEP SOME GREEN LAND SPACE. Please, please listen to your hearts and souls; this is a beautiful part of Vancouver that is being relentlessly marketed for its "closeness with nature". Don't remove even more of that "nature. Many thanks!

272. Name: Colleen Jantzen on 2016-06-20 00:52:24
Comments:

273. Name: Carlyle Machinski on 2016-06-20 05:25:56

Comments: What's wrong with the original location for the daycare? Keep the green space green!

274. Name: Christina moorshead on 2016-06-20 10:37:28
Comments:

275. Name: Chelsea Parker on 2016-06-20 13:34:57
Comments:

276. Name: Teresa Lu on 2016-06-20 14:26:00
Comments:

277. Name: Kerrie A Machinski on 2016-06-20 17:16:00
Comments:

278. Name: Norma Townsend on 2016-06-20 17:16:57
Comments:

279. Name: Sunny Luk on 2016-06-20 17:43:38
Comments:

280. Name: Brent Townsend on 2016-06-20 18:18:09
Comments: I agree 100% that the City should build the daycare at the original site.

281. Name: Denise Luong on 2016-06-20 19:00:01
Comments:

282. Name: Parson Rass on 2016-06-20 20:05:27
Comments: Please Save this green area, we are surrounded by high rises and buildings. The government absolutely don't care about people, traffic and surrounding roads capacity!!!!!!!
All the government is concern is buildings so they will sucks inn taxes, and steals more money.
This site is just a green grass area, not even a real Park. Let us have it.
ENOUGH IS ENOUGH

283. Name: Gail Fareham on 2016-06-20 22:44:58
Comments:

284. Name: Joanne Sok on 2016-06-21 00:33:59
Comments:

285. Name: J Paul on 2016-06-21 02:51:40

Comments: This park is well used and highly valued by the community. We are facing a huge influx of people to this area so this is just wrong headed to now diminish the green space.

286. Name: Valerie Mackay on 2016-06-21 06:50:09
Comments: Please save our park so that the families and pets may enjoy peace and tranquility and the natural beauty that they have been accustomed to.
SAVE OUR PARK

287. Name: Greg Smith on 2016-06-21 16:14:10
Comments: Don't take away any of our park! keep the daycare where it was planned and not sell the land off for private gain.

Isfeld, Lori

From: Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office
Sent: Tuesday, June 21, 2016 10:18 AM
To: Public Hearing
Subject: FW: Proposed Amendments to East Fraserlands ODP, 2nd Petition Not In Favour Of Moving Daycare
Attachments: Save Our Park Petition page 2 of 2 001.jpg; Save Our Park Petition Page 1 of 2 001.jpg

From: Miles Morin s.22(1) Personal and Confidential
Sent: Tuesday, June 21, 2016 9:49 AM
To: Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office
Subject: Proposed Amendments to East Fraserlands ODP, 2nd Petition Not In Favour Of Moving Daycare

Hello,

Please see a second attached petition regarding the Proposed Amendments to East Fraserlands ODP. This pertains to the council meeting scheduled for this evening, June 21 2016. This petition is in opposition to moving the daycare from the original location in the East Fraser Lands to the Riverfront Park in West Fraser Lands.

While we have had very little time to react to the City's proposal we were still able to gather many signatures by hand. The opposition to the removal of ANY of the park space from this park is growing exponentially. The community feels that our green spaces are under attack, and moving this daycare is an excuse to make room for more residential construction.

We have seen an incredible amount of residential building in this area, yet prices keep going up, and homelessness is on the rise:


<http://vancouver.ca/news-calendar/city-releases-2016-homeless-count-results-updates-low-income-housing.aspx>

This suggests that increasing residential supply/density may not be the solution. This coupled with the removal of our limited green space to make room for more construction seems particularly illogical. The community is opposed to this amendment, and would like the zoning for the Riverfront Park to be changed to park zoning. Once the community loses this green space it will be gone forever.

Thank you,

Miles Morin
saveourpark.ca

SAVE OUR PARK PETITION!



SAY NO TO THE DAYCARE BY SIGNING THIS PETITION!

We the undersigned DO NOT want a daycare on our open greenspace @ 3010 E Kent Ave. S, Vancouver aka referred to by residents as Riverfront Park.

NO to the proposed Daycare

For more information visit:
www.saveourpark.ca

Name (please print)	Signature	Address	Date	Comments
LINDA BILW	s.22(1) Personal and Confidential		MAY 9/16	Save park area
JOHN BRANTER			of May 9/16 SAVE GREEN SPACE	
GERRY LENNERS			MAY 9/16 SAVE GREEN SPACE	
DIU PING WANG			PL MAY 9/16 604-3223089	
Jaffar Mufti			Marie Dr 604-323-0456	
Wajeha Medani			Tamie Dr Vancouver	
Rasool Rasooli			mid st Vancouver V6K3L6	
Dilca Tama			St. Van B.C.V	
TERENCE LEE			VE Van B.C.	
Josephine Li			Ave. Van. B.C.	
AGNES JAROSZ			VEN VAN BC MAY 9/16	
Penny Bruin			MAY 9/16 NO BLDGS.	
Shirley Fong			MAY 9/16	
Darwin Ho			"	
BORCHARDT			MAY 9/16 HAVE DAYCARE	
CRASTFORD	MAY 9/16 more daycare to			
Ravindar				
Muller				

No to Daycare - Save Our Park - 3010 E Kent S

HOUSING

s.22(1) Personal and Confidential

09/05

70000

05/09

don't want

05/09

NO DAYCARE

James Wong
Dawn Williams

Isfeld, Lori

From: Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office
Sent: Tuesday, June 21, 2016 10:19 AM
To: Public Hearing
Subject: FW: Proposed Amendments to East Fraserlands ODP, 3rd Petition Not In Favour Of Moving Daycare
Attachments: Save Our Park Petition Signatures 002.jpg

From: Miles Morin s.22(1) Personal and Confidential
Sent: Tuesday, June 21, 2016 9:49 AM
To: Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office
Subject: Proposed Amendments to East Fraserlands ODP, 3rd Petition Not In Favour Of Moving Daycare

Hello,

Please see a third attached petition regarding the Proposed Amendments to East Fraserlands ODP. This pertains to the council meeting scheduled for this evening, June 21 2016. This petition is in opposition to moving the daycare from the original location in the East Fraser Lands to the Riverfront Park in West Fraser Lands.

While we have had very little time to react to the City's proposal we were still able to gather many signatures by hand. The opposition to the removal of ANY of the park space from this park is growing exponentially. The community feels that our green spaces are under attack, and moving this daycare is an excuse to make room for more residential construction.

We have seen an incredible amount of residential building in this area, yet prices keep going up, and homelessness is on the rise:


<http://vancouver.ca/news-calendar/city-releases-2016-homeless-count-results-updates-low-income-housing.aspx>

This suggests that increasing residential supply/density may not be the solution. This coupled with the removal of our limited green space to make room for more construction seems particularly illogical. The community is opposed to this amendment, and would like the zoning for the Riverfront Park to be changed to park zoning. Once the community loses this green space it will be gone forever.

Thank you,

Miles Morin
saveourpark.ca

SAVE OUR PARK PETITION!



SAY NO TO THE DAYCARE BY SIGNING THIS PETITION!

We the undersigned DO NOT want a daycare on our open greenspace @ 3010 E Kent Ave. S, Vancouver aka referred to by residents as Riverfront Park.

NO to the proposed Daycare

For more information visit:
www.saveourpark.ca

Name (please print)	Signature	Address	Date	Comments
	s.22(1) Personal and Confidential			
DOROTHY BRIGGS			5/10/16	not appropriate site
R. K. HOUBATSON			5-10-16	ALL GREEN
Andrea Adamonid			5-10-16	Soccer field
Carlyle Wachurski			" "	NOT FOR DAYCARE
Jessie Teron			5-10-16	if ever much utilized
Aileen Howatson			5/10/16	green space SAVE THE GREEN
Jan Quiring			5/10/16	save our play space
Dennis Docosta			5/10/16	Save our park
Naynt Doulo			" " "	save our park
Gillian Davenport			5/10/16	We need the Green!
Julie Sours			5/10/16	NOVA! GO GREEN!
Go Kripem			10-05-16	save our park!

RITA 3038 E Kent Ave.

Isfeld, Lori

From: Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office
Sent: Tuesday, June 21, 2016 10:19 AM
To: Public Hearing
Subject: FW: Proposed Amendments to East Fraserlands ODP, 4th Petition Not In Favour Of Moving Daycare
Attachments: Save Our Park Petition Signatures 003.jpg

From: Miles Morin 5.22(1) Personal and Confidential
Sent: Tuesday, June 21, 2016 9:48 AM
To: Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office
Subject: Proposed Amendments to East Fraserlands ODP, 4th Petition Not In Favour Of Moving Daycare

Hello,

Please see a fourth attached petition regarding the Proposed Amendments to East Fraserlands ODP. This pertains to the council meeting scheduled for this evening, June 21 2016. This petition is in opposition to moving the daycare from the original location in the East Fraser Lands to the Riverfront Park in West Fraser Lands.

While we have had very little time to react to the City's proposal we were still able to gather many signatures by hand. The opposition to the removal of ANY of the park space from this park is growing exponentially. The community feels that our green spaces are under attack, and moving this daycare is an excuse to make room for more residential construction.

We have seen an incredible amount of residential building in this area, yet prices keep going up, and homelessness is on the rise:

<http://vancouver.ca/news-calendar/city-releases-2016-homeless-count-results-updates-low-income-housing.aspx>


This suggests that increasing residential supply/density may not be the solution. This coupled with the removal of our limited green space to make room for more construction seems particularly illogical. The community is opposed to this amendment, and would like the zoning for the Riverfront Park to be changed to park zoning. Once the community loses this green space it will be gone forever.

Thank you,

Miles Morin
saveourpark.ca

Handwritten: HCS sign by ~~the~~ Tuesday May 10.

SAVE OUR PARK PETITION!



SAY NO TO THE DAYCARE BY SIGNING THIS PETITION!

We the undersigned DO NOT want a daycare on our open greenspace @ 3010 E Kent Ave. S, Vancouver aka referred to by residents as Riverfront Park.

NO to the proposed Daycare

For more information visit:
www.saveourpark.ca

Name (please print)	Signature	Address	Date	Comments
W. GRULKEY	s.22(1) Personal and Confidential		09/05/16	Save the Park!
B Smith			10/05/16	
EATHER			11/05/16	SPELL not
M. GREENES			10/05/16	We need the green space!
DEREK DESROSIERS			10/5/16	
R Fischer			05/10/16	NO DAYCARE!