

**Kazakoff, Laura**

---

**From:** Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office  
**Sent:** Monday, June 06, 2016 2:57 PM  
**To:** Public Hearing  
**Subject:** FW: 1872 PARKER STREET

---

**From:** lynda russolillo s.22(1) Personal and Confidential  
**Sent:** Monday, June 06, 2016 12:34 PM  
**To:** Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office  
**Subject:** 1872 PARKER STREET

DEFINITELY NO REZONING FROM RT-5 TO CD-1.

The expansion and conversion of the existing heritage building on the site into a FOUR unit dwelling would be acceptable .

As long as the heritage building is restored to its original exterior . To add a four unit attachment is not fitting with the neighbourhood.

As always city hall wants nothing but big box housing all over the city. Do you not appreciate the heritage and history of our beautiful city?

The area between Victoria Drive east to Nanaimo and south to Hastings and north to Broadway should remain totally residential with two to four units maximum on any lot....certainly no high rise buildings like city hall is trying to pass at Venables and Commercial.

None of these developments are truly affordable housing.....NO REZONING TO CD-1.

THANK YOU.  
Lynda Russolillo

## Kazakoff, Laura

---

**From:** Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office  
**Sent:** Friday, June 10, 2016 9:20 AM  
**To:** Public Hearing  
**Subject:** FW: 1872 Parker Street hearing June 21

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Roberta Rich s.22(1) Personal and Confidential  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 09, 2016 6:31 PM  
**To:** Correspondence Group, City Clerk's Office  
**Subject:** 1872 Parker Street hearing June 21

Dear Mayor and Council,

My husband, Ken Peterson and I live at s.22(1) Personal and Confidential east of the site under discussion. We purchased our house about 26 years ago and have always taken a keen interest in the neighbourhood .

I wish we were in town so that we could attend the public meeting. I send this email in lieu of our appearance.

We are in favour of higher density on the eastside and are also great supporters of heritage houses. Our house was built in 1910 and over the years we have restored it in a way to maintain the original character of the building. We are part of a series of five heritage house on the south side of s.22(1) Personal and Confidential

So, in theory we support the redevelopment in question. However, what is objectionable about this plan is the proposed infill house of four units . A square, modern flat roofed 1950's style building is not in keeping either with the Brookhouse Residence or with other houses in the immediate neighbourhood.

I attended an open house several months ago at Brookhouse. There was a model of an attractive, pitched roof design. This would be a style more in keeping with the prevailing architecture .

I urge you not to approve this design as presented. Grandview Woodlands should maintain its heritage character especially on a corner lot on a highly visible street such as Victoria.

Thank you for your consideration.

Roberta Rich

Roberta Rich

The Midwife of Venice  
The Harem Midwife