



## CITY OF VANCOUVER

### ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT

Report Date: February 25, 2010  
Contact: Doug Manarin  
Contact No.: 604.873.7118  
RTS No.: 8606  
VanRIMS No.: 08-2000-20  
Meeting Date: March 23, 2010

TO: Vancouver City Council  
FROM: General Manager of Engineering Services  
SUBJECT: Local Improvement Reballots from October 22, 2009, Court of Revision

#### *RECOMMENDATION*

- A. THAT Court #630, Item #005, NOT BE APPROVED for pavement, curbs, trees and bulges on Queens Avenue from McHardy Street to McGeer Street.
- B. THAT Court #630, Item #015, NOT BE APPROVED for lane pavement on the lane north of 41<sup>st</sup> Avenue from Sherbrooke Street to Inverness Street.

#### *COUNCIL POLICY*

Policies governing the Local Improvement Process are set out in the Vancouver Charter and the Local Improvement Procedure By-law. The projects dealt with in the report have gone through the legal requirements in the process, but Council has requested additional information on project support/opposition through the reballot before giving final approval.

#### *PURPOSE*

At the October 22, 2009, Court of Revision, Council instructed staff to reballot the following local improvement petition projects. The result is as follows:

**Court#630, Item #005 (Petition)**

**Pavement & Curbs & Trees & Bulges on Queens Avenue from McHardy Street to McGeer Street**

At the Court of Revision, one owner rescinded support. Staff balloted owners to determine if there is still sufficient support for this project to proceed. The results are as follows:

Number of owners	12		
Required 2/3 majority	8		
		Ballot results	
		In Favour	3
		Opposed	<u>6</u>
		Total responses	9

Based on the ballot results, there is insufficient support for this project to proceed.

**Court#630, Item #015 (Petition)**

**Lane pavement on the lane north of 41<sup>st</sup> Avenue from Sherbrooke Street to Inverness Street**

At the Court of Revision it was brought to Councils attention that a previous owner signed the petition in favour and the new owner does not support the project. Staff balloted owners to determine if there is still sufficient support for this project to proceed. The results are as follows:

Number of owners	9		
Required 2/3 majority	6		
		Ballot results	
		In Favour	4
		Opposed	<u>3</u>
		Total responses	7

The two owners who did not return their ballot were sent a second ballot and still did not respond. Based on the ballot results, there is insufficient support for this project to proceed.

\* \* \* \* \*